l'm not robot!

An updated new edition of James Neuliep's international bestseller. This undergraduate textbook provides a clear contextual model for examining communication: A Contextual model for examining communication within cultural, microcultural, environmental, sociorelational, and perceptual contexts. Approach Ebook | READ ONLINE More Info => Download Intercultural Communication: A Contextual Approach read ebook Online PDF EPUB KINDLE Intercultural Communication: A Contextual Approach in format PDF Intercultural Communication: A Contextual Approach download Intercultural Communication: A Contextual Approach read ebook (READ ONLINE More Info => Download Intercultural Communication: A Contextual Approach read ebook Online PDF EPUB KINDLE Intercultural Communication: A Contextual Approach download ebook PDF EPUB book in english language [DOWNLOAD] Intercultural Communication: A Contextual Approach download free of book in format PDF #book #readonline #ebook #pdf #kindle #epub SHOW MORE SHOW LESS YUMPU automatically turns print PDFs into web optimized ePapers that Google loves. Loading PreviewSorry, preview is currently unavailable. You can download the paper by clicking the button above. © 1996-2014, Amazon.com, Inc. or its affiliates VDOC.PUB Authors: James William Neuliep PDF Download Embed This document was uploaded by our user. The uploader already confirmed that they had the permission to publish it. If you are author/publisher or own the copyright of this documents, please report to us by using this DMCA report form. Report DMCA In the fully updatedSeventh EditionofIntercultural Communication: A Contextual Approach, bestselling author James W. Neuliep provides a clear contextual model (visually depicted by a series of concentric circles) for examining communication within cultural, microcultural, environmental, sociorelational, and perceptual contexts. Students are first introduced to the broadest context-the cultural component of the model--and progress chapter by chapter through the model to the most specific dimensions of communication. Each chapter focuses on one context and explores the combination, and circumstances. Highlighting values, ethnicity, physical geography, and attitudes, the book examines means of interaction, including body language, eye contact, and exchange of words, as well as the stages of relationships, cross-cultural management, intercultural conflict, and culture shock. Seventh Edition 2 Intercultural Communication 3 In loving memory of Becket, and dedicated to Macduff, Midas, and Ellie. 4 Sara Miller McCune founded SAGE Publishing in 1965 to support the dissemination of usable knowledge and educate a global community. SAGE publishes more than 1000 journals and over 800 new books each year, spanning a wide range of subject areas. Our growing selection of library products includes archives, data, case studies and video. charitable trust that secures the company's continued independence. Los Angeles | London | New Delhi | Singapore | Washington DC | Melbourne 5 Seventh Edition 6 Intercultural Communication A Contextual Approach James W. Neuliep St. Norbert College Los Angeles London New Delhi | Singapore | Washington DC | Melbourne 5 Seventh Edition 6 Intercultural Communication A Contextual Approach James W. Neuliep St. Norbert College Los Angeles London New Delhi | Singapore | Washington DC | Melbourne 5 Seventh Edition 6 Intercultural Communication A Contextual Approach James W. Neuliep St. Norbert College Los Angeles London New Delhi | Singapore | Washington DC | Melbourne 5 Seventh Edition 6 Intercultural Communication A Contextual Approach James W. Neuliep St. Norbert College Los Angeles London New Delhi | Singapore | Washington DC | Melbourne 5 Seventh Edition 6 Intercultural Communication A Contextual Approach James W. Neuliep St. Norbert College Los Angeles London New Delhi | Singapore | Washington DC | Melbourne 5 Seventh Edition 6 Intercultural Communication A Contextual Approach James W. Neuliep St. Norbert College Los Angeles London New Delhi | Singapore | Washington DC | Melbourne 5 Seventh Edition 6 Intercultural Communication A Contextual Approach James W. Neuliep St. Norbert College Los Angeles London New Delhi | Singapore | Washington DC | Melbourne 5 Seventh Edition 6 Intercultural Communication A Contextual Approach James W. Neuliep St. Norbert College Los Angeles London New Delhi | Singapore | Washington DC | Melbourne 5 Seventh Edition 6 Intercultural Communication A Contextual Approach James W. Neuliep St. Norbert College Los Angeles London New Delhi | Singapore | Washington DC | Melbourne 5 Seventh Edition 6 Intercultural Communication A Contextual Approach James W. Neuliep St. Norbert College Los Angeles Los Angele SAGE Publications, Inc. All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher. FOR INFORMATION: SAGE Publications, Ltd. 2455 Teller Road Thousand Oaks, California 91320 E-mail: SAGE Publications Ltd. 1 Oliver's Yard 55 City Road London, EC1Y 1SP United Kingdom SAGE Publications Asia-Pacific Pte. Ltd. 3 Church Street #10–04 Samsung Hub Singapore 049483 Acquisitions Editor: Karen Omer Development Editor: Anna Villarruel eLearning Editor: Chelsey Postal Editorial Assistant: Sarah Dillard Production Editor: Jane Haenel Copy Editor: Amy Harris Typesetter: Hurix Systems Pvt. Ltd. 8 Proofreader: Talia Greenberg Indexer: Nancy Fulton Cover Designer: Candice Harman Marketing Manager: Amy Lammers Printed in the United States of America Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data Names: Neuliep, James W. Neuliep, St. Norbert College. Description: 7th Edition. | Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage, 2017. | Includes bibliographical references and index. Identifiers: LCCN 2016036981 | ISBN 9781506315133 (pbk. : alk. paper) Subjects: LCSH: Intercultural communication. | Culture. Classification: LCC HM1211 .N48 2017 | DDC 303.48/2-dc23 LC record available at This book is printed on acid-free paper. 17 18 19 20 21 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 9 Brief Contents 1. Preface 2. A Note on Culture and Language 1. Chapter 1 • The Necessity of Intercultural Context 5. Chapter 3 • The Cultural Context 5. Chapter 3 • The Necessity of Intercultural Context 5. Chapter 3 • The Necessity of Intercultural Context 5. Chapter 3 • The Necessity of Intercultural Context 5. Chapter 3 • The Necessity of Intercultural Context 5. Chapter 3 • The Necessity of Intercultural Context 5. Chapter 3 • The Necessity of Intercultural Context 5. Chapter 3 • The Necessity of Intercultural Context 5. Chapter 3 • The Necessity of Intercultural Context 5. Chapter 5 • The Necessity of Intercultu Nonverbal Code 9. Chapter 9 • Developing Intercultural Relationships 10. Chapter 11 • Intercultural Communication in Business, Health Care, and Educational Settings 12. Chapter 11 • Intercultural Contents Preface And Educational Settings 12. Chapter 11 • Intercultural Contents Preface And Educational Settings 12. Chapter 11 • Intercultural Contents Preface And Educational Settings 12. Chapter 12 • Acculturation, Culture Shock, and Intercultural Communication in Business, Health Care, and Educational Settings 12. Chapter 12 • Acculturation, Culture Shock, and Intercultural Communication in Business, Health Care, and Educational Settings 12. Chapter 12 • Acculturation, Culture Shock, and Interculturation, C Note on Culture and Language Chapter 1: The Necessity of Intercultural Communication The Need for Intercultural Communication The Nature of Human Communication Human Communication Apprehension The Nature of Culture Accumulated Pattern of Values, Beliefs, and Behaviors An Identifiable Groups The Study of Intercultural Communication Apprehension The Nature of Culture Accumulated Pattern of Values, Beliefs, and Behaviors An Identifiable Groups The Study of Intercultural Communication Apprehension The Nature of Culture Accumulated Pattern of Values, Beliefs, and Behaviors An Identifiable Groups The Study of Intercultural Communication Apprehension The Nature of Culture Accumulated Pattern of Values, Beliefs, and Behaviors An Identifiable Groups The Study of Intercultural Communication Apprehension The Nature of Culture Accumulated Pattern of Values, Beliefs, and Behaviors An Identifiable Groups The Study of Intercultural Communication Apprehension The Nature of Culture Accumulated
Pattern of Values, Beliefs, and Behaviors An Identifiable Groups The Study of Intercultural Communication Apprehension The Nature of Culture Accumulated Pattern of Values, Beliefs, and Behaviors An Identifiable Groups The Study of Intercultural Communication Apprehension The Nature of Culture Accumulated Pattern of Values, Beliefs, and Behaviors An Identifiable Groups The Study of Intercultural Communication Apprehension The Nature of Culture Accumulated Pattern of Values, Beliefs, and Behaviors An Identifiable Groups The Study of Intercultural Communication Apprehension The Nature of Culture Accumulated Pattern of Values, Beliefs, and Behaviors An Identifiable Groups The Study of Intercultural Communication Apprehension Apprehension Apprehension The Nature of Culture Accumulated Pattern of Values, Behaviors An Identifiable Groups The Study of Intercultural Communication Apprehension Appr Intercultural Communication and Uncertainty Intercultural Communication The Ethics of Intercultural Communication The Ethics of Social Justice Approach to Determining Which Behaviors Are Ethical The Utilitarian Approach The Fairness or Social Justice Approach The Common Good Approach The Virtues Approach The Ethical Principles of Eastern Cultures Confucianism Hinduism The Goal: Intercultural Communication Competence An Integrated Model and Measure of Intercultural Communication Competence Chapter Summary Discussion Questions Developing Intercultural Communication Competence 11 Key Terms Chapter 2: The Cultural Context Individualism-Collectivism Individualism Collectivism? So Who's an Individualism Across the United States Communication Consequences of Individualism-Collectivism? So Who's an Individualism and Collectivism? Individualism and Collectivism The Pancultural Self High- and Low-Context Cultural Orientations Value Orientations The Self The Family Society Human Nature Nature The Supernatural Power Distance Communication and Power Distance Communication Stance Uncertainty Avoidance A Theory of Uncertainty Avoidance A Theory of Uncertainty Orientation Stance Communication Stance The Microcultural Context Microcultural Group Status Muted Microcultural Groups of Hispanics/Latinos 12 Stereotypes of Hispanics/Latinos Plack Americans Black Americans Black Americans Asian Americans Asian Americans Values Asian Americans, Asian Ame Native Americans/Americans Indians Arab Americans Communication Patterns of Arab Americans Stereotypes of Arab Americans Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, and Queer/Questioning Microcultures Communication of the LGBTQ Microculture Chapter Summary Discussion Questions Ethical Issues and Microcultures Developing Intercultural Communication Competence Key Terms Chapter 4: The Environmental Context Environment Natural Environment E American Navajo Housing Muslim Homes Privacy Perceptions of Privacy in the United States Cross-Cultural Variations on Privacy Online Privacy Across Cultures Monochronic Consequences of Monochronic C Context Developing Intercultural Communication Competence Key Terms Chapter 5: The Perceptual Context Culture and Cognition The Geography of Thought A Model of Human Information Processing Stage #1: Input/Sensation Cross-Cultural Differences in Sensation A Model of Human Information Processing Stage #3: Recall/Retrieval Cross-Cultural Differences in Sensation A Model of Human Information Processing Stage #3: Recall/Retrieval Cross-Cultural Differences in Sensation A Model of Human Information Processing Stage #3: Recall/Retrieval Cross-Cultural Differences in Sensation A Model of Human Information Processing Stage #3: Recall/Retrieval Cross-Cultural Differences in Sensation A Model of Human Information Processing Stage #3: Recall/Retrieval Cross-Cultural Differences in Sensation A Model of Human Information Processing Stage #3: Recall/Retrieval Cross-Cultural Differences in Sensation A Model of Human Information Processing Stage #3: Recall/Retrieval Cross-Cultural Differences in Sensation A Model of Human Information Processing Stage #3: Recall/Retrieval Cross-Cultural Differences in Sensation A Model of Human Information Processing Stage #3: Recall/Retrieval Cross-Cultural Differences in Sensation A Model of Human Information Processing Stage #3: Recall/Retrieval Cross-Cultural Differences in Sensation A Model of Human Information Processing Stage #3: Recall/Retrieval Cross-Cultural Differences in Sensation A Model of Human Information Processing Stage #3: Recall/Retrieval Cross-Cultural Differences in Sensation A Model of Human Information Processing Stage #3: Recall/Retrieval Cross-Cultural Differences in Sensation A Model of Human Information Processing Stage #3: Recall/Retrieval Cross-Cultural Differences in Sensation A Model of Human Information Processing Stage #3: Recall/Retrieval Cross-Cultural Differences in Sensation A Model of Human Information Processing Stage #3: Recall/Retrieval Cross-Cultural Differences in Sensation A Model of Human Information Processing Stage #3: Recall/Retrieval Cross-Cultur Cultural Differences in Memory and Retrieval Categorization and Mental Economy Stereotypes U.S. Stereotypes Media Influence on Stereotypes Stereotypes and Expectations Ethnocentrism, Intercultural Communication, and Interpersonal Perceptual Context Developing Intercultural Competence Key Terms Chapter 6: The Sociorelational Context Dimensions of Group Variability Membership and Nonmembership Groups In-Groups and Out-Groups Reference Groups Role Relationships Role Differentiation and Stratification Family Groups Gender Groups Gender Stereotypes Sex and Gender Roles Across Cultures Japan India China Mexico Israel Saudi Arabia Chapter Summary Discussion Questions Ethics and the Sociorelational Context Developing Intercultural Communication Competence Key Terms Chapter 7: The Verbal Code The Relationship Between Language Generative Grammar The Gendering of Language: Are Languages Sexist? Elaborated and Restricted Codes Cross-Cultural Communication Styles Direct and Indirect Styles Instrumental and Affective Styles Gendered Language Style Across Cultures Language and Ethnic Identity Do You Speak "American"? Appalachian English Cajun English R-Less or R-Dropping Dialects California English Texas English The Midwest Accent? Chapter 8: The Nonverbal Code Developing Intercultural Communication The Relationship Between Verbal and Nonverbal Codes Formal Versus Informal Code Systems Channels of Nonverbal Communication Kinesics Emblems and Illustrators Affect Displays: Facial Expressions of Emotion Cross-Racial Recognition of Faces Regulators Office Physical Appearance and Dressenties Physical Physical Appearance and Physical Appearance Chronemics Nonverbal Communication and Dimensions of Cultural Variability Individualism-Collectivism Power Distance High and Low Contexts and Nonverbal Expectancies Chapter Summary Discussion Questions Ethics and the Nonverbal Code Developing Intercultural Communication Competence Key Terms Chapter 9: Developing Intercultural Relationships Communication Apprehension Assessing Sociocommunicative Orientation/Style Empathy and Similarity in Relationship Development Empathy Similarity Perceptions of Relational Intimacy Across Cultures Eastern and Western Cultures and Relational Maintenance The Internet as Relational Maintenance The Inter Marriages Marital Dissolution and Divorce Across Cultures Chapter Summary Discussion Questions Ethics and Intercultural Relationships Developing Intercultural Conflict Definition of Intercultural Conflict Kim's Model of Intercultural Conflict A Culture-Based Social Ecological Conflict Model Intercultural Dialogue, Conflict Resolution, and a Culture of Peace The Concept of Face, Facework, and Communication Styles The Intercultural Conflict Style Inventory Individualistic and Collectivistic Approaches to Conflict Resolution in High- Versus Low-Context Cultures Resolving Cross-Cultural Conflict: A Contingency Model Chapter 11: Intercultural Communication in Business, Health Care, and Educational Settings Intercultural Management Practices Across Cultures Japanese Management Practices German Management Practices Mexican Management Practices Chinese Management Practices Culture, Intercultural Communication, and Health Care 17 Lay Theories of Illness Health Care and Resources Across Cultures Health Care 17 Lay Theories of Illness Health Care 17 Lay Theories Other 17 Lay Theories Other 17 Lay Theori Communication and Educational Settings Learning Styles Across Cultures Teacher Immediacy in the Classroom and Across Cultures Some Recommendations for the Intercultural Consideration Competence Key Terms Chapter 12: Acculturation Culture Shock, and Intercultural Competence Acculturation Acculturation Acculturation Modes of Acculturation Modes of Acculturation Acculturat Competence A Model of Intercultural Competence The Knowledge Component The Affective Component The Psychomotor Component Situational Features Chapter Summary Discussion Questions Ethical Considerations: Some Final Thoughts on Developing Intercultural Competence Key Terms Glossary Notes Index 18 Preface Intercultural Communication: A Contextual Approach (Seventh Edition) is designed for undergraduate students to the fundamental topics, theories, concepts, and themes at the center of the study of intercultural communication. 19 Organization The overall organizational scheme of the book is based on a contextual model of intercultural communication. The model is based on the idea that, whenever people from different cultures come together and exchange verbal and nonverbal messages, they do so within a variety of contexts, including a cultural, microcultural, environmental, sociorelational, and perceptual context. The model is conceptually and graphically consistent and is presented in Chapter 1. The organizational scheme of the Seventh Edition is consistent with the earlier editions, but many substantive revisions have been added to many chapters. Each chapter has been
revised and updated to enhance and improve upon the existing content. Student Voices Across Cultures: A continuing and exciting feature of this edition is Student Voices Across Cultures. Each chapter includes at least one essay from a student applying a concept from that chapter to his or her personal experiences. Students from China, Sweden, and the United States have contributed to this feature. Many of the U.S. students discuss their study-abroad experiences: these essays provide the reader with real-life applications of theoretical concepts. Self-Assessments: Most chapters contain a number of self-assessment instruments that measure concepts such as intercultural communication, apprehension, ethnocentrism, individualism-collectivism, conflict-style preferences, and intercultural competence. These are designed to help students learn about themselves as they learn about important concepts in intercultural conversations. These hypothetical scripts illustrate how the various concepts discussed in the chapters manifest in human interactions. Ethics Questions: At the close of each chapter, students are asked to consider ethical issues related to the particular chapter. These questions encourage students to think about how they might respond and react ethically to intercultural situations. Developing Intercultural Communication Competence: Also new to this edition, at the close of each chapter is a feature titled Developing Intercultural Communication. The goal of this feature is tied to the goal of this book, which is to help students become competent intercultural communicators. Each chapter also includes a set of learning objectives, a chapter summary, discussion 21 questions, key terms, and an extensive reference list. 22 New to This Edition Each chapter has been thoroughly updated to include new developments in scholarship. Highlights to the revision include the following: Chapter 1 alerts students to the importance and necessity of intercultural communication in the 21st century. An argument presented here is that modern technology has decentralized information. This means that billions of people across the planet now have access to information that was not available to them only a few years ago. Such information empowers them. In addition, the most current data from the U.S. Census Bureau are reviewed, which point to the growing diversity of the U.S. population. The chapter 1, students can complete and score the Personal Report of Communication Apprehension, the Generalized Ethnocentrism Scale, and the Personal Report of Intercultural Communication. At the close of Chapter 1, and new to the chapter, is an introduction to intercultural communication competence. Here, students can complete the Intercultural Communication Competences with cultural differences while studying abroad in Italy. In Chapter 2, culture is defined as an accumulated pattern of values, beliefs, and behaviors shared by an identifiable group of people with a common history and verbal code system. The outer circle of the contextual model of intercultural context. This is the largest circle because culture permeates every aspect of the communicative exchange, even the physical geography. All topics are included in the chapter, including a scale that measures vertical and horizontal individualism and collectivism. Although most textbooks present individualism and collectivism as opposite dimensions of culture. However, there is an argument that both individualistic and collectivistic ideals serve the self, or are pancultural. The discussion of the pancultural self has been updated and extended. Two Student who explains power distance in his family. The focus of Chapter 3 is the microculture is used to refer to those identifiable groups of people that differ in some significant way from the general macroculture. These groups of people that differ in some significant way from the general macroculture is used to refer to those identifiable groups of people that differ in some significant way from the general macroculture is used to refer to those identifiable groups of people that differ in some significant way from the general macroculture. people that share a set of values, beliefs, and behaviors and possess a common history and verbal and nonverbal symbol system that is similar to, but systematically varies from, the larger, often dominant cultural milieu. Microcultures can be different from the larger culture in a variety of ways—most often because of race, ethnicity, language, religion, or even behavioral practices. Such microcultures develop their own language for communicating outside the dominant or majority culture's context or value system. The revised Chapter 3 includes a discussion of four U.S. microcultures: Hispanics/Latinos, Black Americans, Asian Americans, and LGBTQ (lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender and queer) groups. New to this chapter is a discussion of a fifth microculture, Arab Americans. Included in this chapter is a Student Voices Across Cultures profile written by a gay college professor, who discusses personal examples of being silenced as a member of a microculture. prescribes the overall rules for communication, the environmental context prescribes when and what specific rules apply. The environmental context includes the physical geography, architecture, landscape design, housing, perceptions of privacy, time orientation, and even climate of a particular culture. A discussion of the Japanese phenomenon of mes, or ultra-small homes, is included in this chapter. New to this chapter is a discussion of Muslim homes. These environmental factors play a key role in how people communicate. In this chapter 4 includes coverage of the nature of privacy in the United States, with a special focus on the perceptions of privacy among U.S. students. The section on online privacy has been completely revised and updated and attitudes about data privacy and the willingness to trade privacy for convenience and benefits online. Also included is a proposed set of criteria that online services should address in order to provide users from diverse backgrounds and cultures reasonable online privacy protections. A discussion of natural disasters as cultural and social events is included. Although natural disasters are triggered by natural events (e.g., tsunamis, earthquakes, floods, etc.), the effects of such disasters vary considerably across cultures because they take place within particular social and cultural systems of laws and values. In the Student Voices Across Cultures profile included in this chapter, a young man from Germany discusses his country's monochronic time orientation. A chart summarizing characteristics of monochronic time orientations has been added. Chapter 5 focuses on the perceptual contexts of the interactants and includes a simplified model of human information processing. The perceptual context refers to the individual characteristics of the interactants, including their cognitions, attitudes, dispositions, and motivations. How an individual gathers, stores, and retrieves information is uniquely 24 human but also culturally influenced. An individual influenced. An individual influenced. An individual influenced. An individual gathers, stores, and retrieves information is uniquely 24 human but also culturally influenced. An individual gathers, stores, and retrieves information is uniquely 24 human but also culturally influenced. An individual influenced. An individual influenced. An individual influenced. An individual influenced. An parallel to ethnocentrism. The geographical areas perceive the world—is discussed. Here, the focus is on how Asians (Eastern cultures) and Westerners think differently, and why. Another feature of this chapter is a fascinating discussion of the Stereotype and the essential content of those stereotypes. This model is applicable across cultures. Included in this chapter is a discussion of media's influence on stereotypes. The chapter applies cultivation theory to three microcultural groups in the United States, examining how they are depicted on television and the resulting stereotypes associated with them. Three specific groups studied here. In one of the Student Voices Across Cultures profiles in this chapter, a young woman who has won beauty pageants discusses the long-held stereotyped while studying abroad in Ecuador. The sociorelational context is the focus of Chapter 6. Whenever two people come together and interact, they establish some sort of social relationship based on their group memberships. Within such relationships, each person assumes a role. Roles vary from culture, there are student and teacher role relationships, but how student-teacher roles are definition of student waries significantly from the Japanese definition of student. What it means to be a mother or father varies considerably from one culture to another as well. One's roles prescribe the types of verbal and nonverbal symbols exchanged. Chapter 6 contains a discussion of family groups that now includes families in Kenya. A new section on sex roles in Saudi Arabia has been added. In the Student Voices Across Cultures profile in this chapter, a young woman from Saudi Arabia discusses sex roles in her family and culture. A new Student Voices Across Cultures has been included where a young woman from the United States discusses how she and her friends were addressed in Italy. Chapter 7 focuses on the verbal code and human language. Throughout much of the book, cultural differences are highlighted. In Chapter 7, however, language is characterized as essentially human rather than cultural. Based on the ideas of Noam Chomsky and other contemporary linguists, Chapter 7 points out that, regardless of culture, are exposed to a subset that constitutes their particular culture's language (e.g., English, French, and so on). The language of a particular culture is simply a subset of 25 universal language. To be sure,
culture several styles of language of a particular culture is simply a subset of 25 universal language. discussion of the gendering of language has been included, along with a comparison of sex differences in verbal language in Japan, China, and India. A discussion of uniquely American accents, including a section addressing whether or not such a thing as a Midwestern accent exists, closes the chapter's Student Voices Across Cultures. profile, a student from China explains how her native language emphasizes nonverbal codes, eight channels of nonverbal co occulesics, proxemics, haptics, olfactics, physical appearance/dress, and chronemics. In the section on kinesics, the use of gestures are presented. In the coverage of paralanguage, cultural uses of silence, accents, and tonal languages are discussed. A feature of this chapter is a discussion of the cross-cultural differences in eye contact (i.e., occulesics). Cultural variations of space are covered in the section on haptics. An extended discussion of olfactics across cultures is presented, and students can assess their perception of smell by completing the Personal Report of Olfactic Perception and Sensitivity. A discussion of physical appearance and dress looks at cultural variations in India and Japan, among other cultures. Finally, the chapter closes with a crosscultural application of nonverbal expectancy violations theory. In one of this chapter's Student Voices Across Cultures essay, a U.S. student Voices Across Cultures essay, a U.S. student violations theory. In one of this chapter's Student Voices Across Cultures essay, a U.S. student Voices Across Cultures essay, a U.S. student violations theory. Another Student Voices Across Cultures essay, written by a U.S. student, describes the nonverbal behavior of people in England, especially in mass transit contexts. Finally, in a new Student Voices Across Cultures essay, a U.S. student voices Across Cultures essay, a U.S. student voices Across Cultures essay. of intercultural relationships. This chapter focuses on five factors that affect relationships: uncertainty reduction, intercultural communicative style, empathy, and similarity. Each factor is discussed, with an emphasis on intercultural relationships. The discussed, with an emphasis on five factor is discussed, with an emphasis on intercultural relationships. completely revised, with the addition of new graphics. A substantially revised and updated section on the Internet and relational maintenance, including how Facebook is used in several different cultures. A much revised section on interethnic and interracial relationships and marriages is 26 included, and the discussion of polygyny and interracial marriages is completely updated. A much revised section and India were profiled in the Sixth Edition, but these sections have been completely revised with all new (and current) sources. The section on divorce across cultures. Also included is a discussion of the research associated with lesbian and gay relational maintenance In this chapter, students can complete the Sociocommunicative Orientation/Style and Factors in Choosing a Mate instruments and compare their preferences with other cultures. In the two Student Voices Across Cultures profiles, a young man from Saudi Arabia discusses marriage in his country, and a young woman explains relationship building in Colombia. Chapter 10, which focuses on intercultural conflict, has been expanded. The chapter begins with a definition of intercultural conflict, including John Oetzel and Stella Ting-Toomey's revised Culture-Based Social Ecological Model of Conflict and Benjamin Broome's Model of Building a Culture of Peace. Then, an example of intercultural conflict is applied to all three models. Through these three applications, students can assess their degree of self-face, other-face, and mutual-face concerns after exposure to a conflict situation. The chapter also includes discussions of facework and facework and trainers to diagnose and managers and trainers and t intercultural conflicts. The chapter includes a discussion of conflict scenarios have been included of Cross Cultural Conflict styles. A major section at the end of the chapter on Kohls and Buller's Contingency Model of Cross Cultural Conflict scenarios have been included. that apply the model. In the Student Voices Across Cultures profile, a U.S. student discusses how she manages conflict. In a new Student voices Across Cultures profile, a U.S. student discusses how she manages conflict with her international exchange student friends. revised. The section on management practices across cultures has been updated. Each section on the specific cultures profiled (i.e., Japan, Germany, Mexico, and China) has been revised to reflect the most current statistics available. Note that each of these four sections begins with a brief overview of their economies. These have all been updated. Also included is an interesting discussion of the phenomenon of the salaryman in Japan. The Student Voices Across Cultures essay was written by a student from Sweden who discusses business practices in his country. The section on health care has been updated. Several current studies and references have been added to the section on provider-patient 27 communication. The Student Voices Across Cultures essay was written by a student from the Faroe Islands, where health care is handled much differently than in the United States. across cultures and a graphic of a learning style model, a section on teacher immediacy across cultures, and a series of pedagogical recommendations for teachers in intercultural classrooms, has been added. Chapter is the practical aspect of traveling or moving to a new culture. A model of assimilation/acculturation is presented, along with factors that influence the acculturation process, such as perceived similarity and host culture attitudes. A four-stage, U-curve model of culture attitudes. reentry culture shock. The chapter includes a variety of self-report inventories to help students prepare for a journey abroad. It closes with a model of intercultural competence as four interdependent components—knowledge, affective, psychomotor, and situational features. A feature of this chapter is an extended discussion of Kim Zapf's Culture Shock Scale, including a checklist of additional culture shock during her semester in Spain. A Student Voices Across Cultures profile features a young woman's experiences with culture shock during her semester in Spain. A Student Voices Across Cultures profile features a young woman's experiences with culture shock during her semester in Spain. essay on culture shock. Many of my students comment that coming back to the United States after a semester abroad (i.e., reentry shock) is more difficult than actually traveling abroad (i.e., culture shock). Of particular interest is a new Student Voices Across Cultures profile from a young man who spent a year-long tour of duty in Afghanistan. In his profile, he discusses how the model of culture shock presented in the chapter does not apply to his overseas experience in a war zone. 28 Digital Resources SAGE edge offers a robust online environment you can access anytime, anywhere, and features an impressive array of free tools and resources to keep you on the cutting edge of your learning experience. Visit the open-access SAGE edge website at edge.sagepub.com/neuliep7e. SAGE edge for Instructors supports your teaching by making it easy to integrate quality content and create a rich learning environment for students. Test banks provide a diverse range of pre-written options as well as the opportunity to edit any question and/or insert your own personalized questions to effectively assess students' progress and understanding. Sample course syllabi for semester and quarter courses. Editable, chapter-specific PowerPoint® slides offer complete flexibility for creating a multimedia presentation for your course. EXCLUSIVE Access to full-text SAGE journal articles that have been carefully selected to support and expand on the concepts presented in each chapter. Multimedia content appeals to students with different learning styles. Lecture notes summarize key concepts by chapter to help you prepare for lectures and class discussions. SAGE edge for Students provides a personalized approach to help you accomplish your coursework goals in an easy-to-use learning environment. Mobile-friendly practice quizzes allow you to independently assess your mastery of course material. An online action plan allows you to track your progress through the course and materials and to individualize your learning experience. Learning objectives reinforce the most important material. Multimedia web links make it easy to mine Internet resources, further explore topics, and answer critical thinking questions. EXCLUSIVE! Access to full-text SAGE journal articles that have been carefully chosen to support and expand on the concepts presented in each chapter. 29 Acknowledgments A number of people have been instrumental in the revision of this book. I am so very fortunate to work with the professionals at SAGE Publishing. That only my name appears on the cover of this book is misleading. Many of my editorial and production staff at SAGE were new to this edition, and they deserve much of the credit for what is good in this book. They are the very best and have been incredibly helpful and supportive. I wish to express my gratitude publicly. A genuine and sincere thanks goes to my new acquisitions editor, Karen Omer, who enthusiastically stepped into the new position and has been incredibly helpful. Thanks, Karen. I hope we continue to work together for a long time. Karen has put up with a lot from me, and she deserves a special commendation for her persistence and patience, counsel, guidance, and friendship. You are wonderful. My new eLearning Editor is Chelsey Postal. Thank you so much, Chelsey, for your
expertise and patience and for tolerating my fifth-grader sense of humor. You are the best! My new editorial assistant is Sarah Dillard. Thanks, Sarah, for your hard work in reviewing the book and paying close attention to the details. A special thanks to my production manager, Jane Haenel, who did so much to make the book look so good. Like the others, Jane has been so helpful and tolerant of me. My new copy editor is Amy Harris. Thanks, Amy, for your attention to detail, very quick turn-around time, and humor. Much appreciated. Thanks also to the following reviewers of the book, whose many suggestions were incorporated into the revision. I very much appreciate their constructive commendations: Shirley Acquah, Westfield State University Sandra Alspach, Ferris State University Nader H. Chaaban, George Mason University Shirley A. Fessel, Park University Meredith Marko Harrigan, SUNY Genesco Jason Hough, Hartnell College Kumi Ishii, Western Kentucky University Pratibha Kumar, Mount St. Mary's University Joshua Daniel Phillips, Penn State Brandywine Sudeshna Roy, Stephen F. Austin State University Otilia Salmón, University of North Florida David Schreindl, Dickinson State University Bin Zhang, South Puget Sound Community College 30 As I continue into my fourth decade of teaching at the collegiate level, a genuine and sincere thank you goes to my students at St. Norbert College from whom I have learned a great deal about culture and so much more about life. A special thanks to the new Student Voices Across Cultures contributors, including Joe Lancelle, Abbie Hausman, Corie Stingl, Hanna Klecka, and Dex Anschutz. One of the greatest pleasures of my life is the relationships I have initiated and maintained with my students. --J.W.N. 31 A Note on Culture and Language Writing a book about intercultural communication is fraught with issues related to language and cultures are fluid, in flux, transforming, and evolving—some slowly, some quickly. As you read through this book, please know that a very conscious attempted to language and cultures are fluid, in flux, transforming, and evolving—some slowly, some quickly. has been made to be inclusive and nonjudgmental when describing cultural groups and cultural traditions. Language we use to describe cultures can sometimes unintentionally mischaracterize the culture. That's the nature of writing about the language we use to describe cultures can sometimes unintentionally mischaracterize the culture. intercultural communication. A very conscious attempt has been made to use language throughout this book that is sensitive to these issues and, in so doing, to recognize the evolving historical, social, and gender issues. The following terms are used in the seventh edition, although regional designations and nationalities are used when they are more accurate and specific: Arab descent. Arab descent. Arab descent. Arab descent. Arab descent. populations. Muslim refers to people around the world who practice Islam. African or Black American is used, whichever is most accurate) is used. American or Black American is used to refer to groups or individuals who are members or descendants of indigenous peoples of North America. When referring to groups including Hawaiians and Samoans, the broader term Native American is used for U.S. citizens of Asian descent. Asian is used to describe things or people of or from Asia. Hispanic/Latino is used at first reference; for subsequent references, Hispanic or Latino may be used as a collective noun depending on the study being cited. White or Caucasian is used to refer to citizens of the United States who are of European ancestry. I am grateful to all the scholars from different walks of life who have reviewed the seventh edition of Intercultural Communication: A Contextual Approach and to my colleagues in history, political science, modern foreign languages, and sociology with whom I've had extensive conversations about how to use the "right" terminology. To be sure, there is no consensus among them. The "correct" terminology depends upon whom you ask. 32 1 The Necessity of Intercultural Communication is a necessity Define and discuss the nature of communication Define and discuss the nature of communication befine and discuss the nature of communication of culture Explain the different contexts that make up the contextual model of intercultural communication Summarize the five fundamental assumptions of intercultural communication Identify and discuss the five academic approaches used in determining ethical behavior Describe why intercultural communication Identify and discuss the five academic approaches used in determining ethical behavior Describe why intercultural communication competence is a necessity In 1804, the number of people on planet Earth was 1 billion. In 1927, 123 years later, it was 2 billion. By 1960, 33 years later, it was 3 billion. By 1974, 14 years later, it was 4 billion. Currently, there are over 7 billion (i.e., 7,313,000,000) people on planet Earth. One human is born every 8 seconds and dies every 11 seconds, for a net gain of one person every 15 seconds. Of the 7.3 billion people on the planet, about 1.4 billion, or nearly 20%, are Chinese, and 1.3 billion, just over 17%, are East Indian. Approximately 323 million, or about 4.5%, reside in the United States; around 3.5% are Brazilian. Over the past 200 years, the growth rate, distribution, and density of the world's population have not been spread equally. Certain regions of the world have grown disproportionately in terms of people, while other regions vary considerably in terms of population, while Europe constitutes about 11%.2 The purpose of the previous paragraph is to point out that the world's population is growing disproportionately. Along with that, something else has grown disproportionately: technology and its decentralizing role in information. In 1948, the painter and writer Wyndham Lewis wrote about a "global village" in his book America and Cosmic Man. Several years later, his friend Marshall McLuhan also used the term to describe how technological advances of mass media would continuent in human communication. McLuhan predicted that through the elimination of such barriers, people would continuent in human communication. to interact and live on a global scale—but one virtually transformed into a village. 3 Seventeen years into the 21st century, McLuhan's vision of a global village is no longer considered an abstract idea but a near certainty. Technological ability of mass media and the Internet to bring events from across the globe into our homes, businesses, and schools dramatically reduces the distance between people of different cultures and social networking sites such as Facebook, connect people throughout the world via satellites and fiber optics. Skype links people from across the planet in seconds. The essential effect of this technology is its decentralizing role in dissemination across local, regional, and international borders. This means that billions of people across the planet now have access to information not available to them only a few years ago. Information empowers people. The . In 1780—nearly 240 years ago—when John Adams, the second president of the United States, corresponded with his European counterparts in France, it would take as long as 6 months to send and receive letters, as they traveled by ship across the Atlantic ease and speed with which people of differing cultures can now communicate is stunning Ocean. Imagine sending a text message to a friend that takes half a year to arrive! Today, it takes less than a second. Moreover, the sheer frequency and quantity of messages sent is baffling compared with only a few years ago. Some sources estimate that in 2016 there were 2.6 billion e-mail users, each having about two e-mail accounts (i.e., over 5 billion e-mail accounts) worldwide. By 2019, it is estimated that number will grow to 3 billion e-mail users and nearly 6 billion e-mail sont and received per day was over 200 billion! That number is expected to grow to 250 billion per day by 2019.4 Of course, e-mail is only one of the technological advances facilitating communication across cultures. The Internet has become a dominant and powerful source of information for billions of people across the planet. 34 Harshith Mallya, a graduate of Manipal Institute of Technology—one of the top recognized universities in India —notes that India had about 200 million Internet users in 2013 and will have over 500 million Internet users in 2017. Moreover, with the third-largest smartphone market in the world, India as there are people in the United States. Currently, there are 3 times as many cell phone subscribers in India as there are people in the United States. In India, or anywhere else, cell phones and smartphones provide quick and easy access to information to persons in small towns and villages, where communicating with outsiders was virtually impossible only a few years ago. In India, the majority of subscribers are now outside the major cities and wealthiest states. 5 And while many may think that the United States is the cell phone and Internet capital of the world, it actually ranks 72nd among 212 countries in terms of the number of cell phones per capita. The top 10 countries in terms of the number of cell phones per capita are, in order, the United Arab Emirates, Bahrain, Macau, Hong Kong, Estonia, Qatar, Israel, Lithuania, Bulgaria, and Italy.6 Technology has linked the world. Many college students in the United States have a Facebook account or are at least familiar with the social networking site. But unlike e-mail or cell phones, social networking sites such as Facebook are intentionally designed to establish and maintain relationships. So initiating a relationship with someone from across the globe is much easier now than it was only a few years ago. According to its own records, as of January 2016, there were over
a billion monthly active users. About 84% of Facebook daily active users and 1.6 billion monthly active users and 1.6 billion monthly active users. advances facilitate the initiation and maintenance of cross-cultural relationships, the late noted historian and Pulitzer Prize winner Arthur Schlesinger warned us that history tells an ugly story of what happens when people against another, different group of people is among the most instinctive of human drives. Xenophobia—the fear or contempt of that which is foreigners—is believed by many to be an innate biological response to intergroup competition. Indeed, Schlesinger contended that unless a common goal binds diverse people together, tribal hostilities will drive them apart. By replacing the conflict of political ideologies that dominated in the 21st century as the explosive issue.8 35 The Need for Intercultural Communication International tensions around the globe are striking examples of the need for effective and competent intercultural communication. For example, although it was several years ago, an international incident with potentially global consequences occurred between the People's Republic of China and the United States, stressing the need for competent intercultural communication. The incident began on April 1, 2001, when a U.S. Navy surveillance plane collided with a Chinese fighter jet in international airspace over the South China Sea. As a result of the collision, the U.S. plane—an EP-3 electronic warfare and surveillance aircraft—was damaged and nearly crashed. However, because of heroic efforts on the part of the crew, the plane landed safely at a Chinese air base. The 24-member crew of the U.S. plane was detained by the Chinese military. China and the United States disagreed as to the cause of the U.S. crew. For their release, China demanded that the United States accept responsibility and apologize for the collision. The United States refused, arguing that the collision was the fault of the United States refused, arguing that the collision was the fault of the United States accept responsibility and apologize for the collision. expressed "regret" over the collision and the disappearance of the Chinese pilot. Although Chinese officials acknowledged the statement as a move in the right direction, they insisted that the United States apologize for the incident. On April 8, the vice president of the United States and the secretary of state rejected China's demands for an apology but expressed "sorrow" for the disappearance of the Chinese pilot. They also drafted a letter of sympathy to the pilot's wife. The Chinese a letter expressing regret over the incident, including a statement admitting that the U.S. aircraft landed in Chinese territory without seeking permission. The Chinese continued to demand an apology. Finally, on April 11, the United States issued a letter to the Chinese foreign minister, asking him to "convey to the Chinese people and to the family of Pilot Wang Wei that we are very sorry for their loss." The letter continued, "We are very sorry the entering of China's airspace and the landing did not have verbal clearance." To be sure, the word apology did not appear in the letter. But in their announcement of the letter to the Chinese people, Chinese officials chose to translate the double "very sorry" as "shenbiao gianyi," which, in Chinese, means a deep expression of apology or regret not used unless one is admitting wrongdoing and accepting responsibility for it. Based on that letter and the subsequent translation, China agreed to release the U.S. crew. John Pomfret of the Washington Post Foreign Service asserted, "In the end, it was a matter of what the United States chose to say and what China chose to hear." Apparently, such delicacies in 36 communication are common during U.S.-China negotiations. According to Bates Gill, who was then the director of the Center for Northeast Asian Policy Studies at the Brookings Institution, U.S. negotiators often use words such as acknowledge that, when translated into Chinese, mean admit or recognize so that the Chinese can interpret such wordings as an admission of U.S. guilt.9 Indeed, national conflicts within our own borders, often ignited by racial, religious, and ethnic tensions, underscore the necessity for skillful intercultural communication. But perhaps more important, the need for competent intercultural communication is felt intrapersonally, within our own personal, social, and professional lives and relationships. Consider the situations discussed in An Intercultural Conversation box that Jim, an undergraduate student from England. They are talking in Jim's dorm room. Jim: So, Bridget, are you enjoying your first few days in the United States? Bridget: Yes, but I am a bit paggered, you know. Got pissed last night. Jim: Oh ... sorry ... are you having problems with someone? Can I help? Bridget: Not a'tall, oh no, nothing traumatic—just farty things, you know. Nothing to have a dicky fit over. Jim: Ah, yeah, right. (Jim's girlfriend, Betsy: Hello. Jim: Hi, Betsy! Hello. Jim: Hi, Betsy! Hey, this is Bridget. She's from England. Betsy: You were what?! (Leaves the room.) Jim: (Running after her.) No! Betsy, that's not true! We were just talking! I swear! Situation #2 Later that same day, Jim is trying to explain to Betsy that nothing was happening between him and Bridget when Jahan. He lives just down the hall. Betsy: Hi, Jahan. Jahan: Is this your girlfriend, Jim? Jim: Ah ... yeah, she is. Jahan: Are you two going to marry? Have children? Jim: Ah, well ... Betsy: Uh ... we really haven't discussed that. Jahan: Oh, I see. Is your family not wealthy enough for her, Jim? What is your father's occupation? Jim: Ah, well ... Betsy: Uh ... we really haven't discussed that. Jahan: Oh, I see. Is your family not wealthy enough for her, Jim? What is your father's occupation? Jim: Ah, well ... Betsy: Uh ... we really haven't discussed that. Jahan: Oh, I see. Is your family not wealthy enough for her, Jim? What is your father's occupation? Jim: Ah, well ... Betsy: Uh ... we really haven't discussed that. Jahan: Oh, I see. Is your family not wealthy enough for her, Jim? What is your family not wealthy enough for her, Jim? What is your family not wealthy enough for her, Jim? What is your family not wealthy enough for her, Jim? What is your family not wealthy enough for her, Jim? What is your family not wealthy enough for her, Jim? What is your family not wealthy enough for her, Jim? What is your family not wealthy enough for her, Jim? What is your family not wealthy enough for her, Jim? What is your family not wealthy enough for her, Jim? What is your family not wealthy enough for her, Jim? What is your family not wealthy enough for her, Jim? What is your family not wealthy enough for her, Jim? What is your family enough family en awkward situations. The misinterpretations in Situation #1 and Situation #2 are due mostly to cultural and linguistic differences. In Bridget's England, for example, the word paggered means to get drunk, farty refers to something insignificant, a dicky fit is an emotional outburst, and intercourse simply means to have a conversation. Translated in terms Jim can understand, Bridget was tired because she had been drunk the night before, but she did not think it significant enough to complain. Upon meeting Betsy, she simply invited her into the conversation. The second conversation is a bit more complicated. The late Dr. Pittu Laungani, the wellknown Indian-born psychologist, wrote extensively about the culture of his native India. In his writings, Laungani asserted that Indians tend to initiate social conversations with complete strangers quite easily. According to Laungani, Indians often ask, without embarrassment, very personal and delicate questions concerning one's age, marital status, occupation, income, religious beliefs, and so on. Laungani professed that Westerners need to learn that these guestions are not to be taken with any offense.10 Benefits are even greater. Communicating and establishing relationships with people from different cultures can lead to a whole host of benefits, including healthier communities; increased international, and local commerce; reduced conflict; and personal growth through increased international, and local commerce; reduced conflict; and personal growth through increased international, and local commerce; reduced conflict; and personal growth through increased international, and local commerce; reduced conflict; and personal growth through increased international, and local commerce; reduced conflict; and personal growth through increased international, and local commerce; reduced conflict; and personal growth through increased international, and local commerce; reduced conflict; and personal growth through increased international, and local commerce; reduced conflict; and personal growth through increased international, and local commerce; reduced conflict; and personal growth through increased international, and local commerce; reduced conflict; and personal growth through increased international, and local commerce; reduced conflict; and personal growth through increased international, and local commerce; reduced conflict; and personal growth through increased international, and local commerce; reduced conflict; and personal growth through increased international, and local commerce; reduced conflict; and personal growth through increased international, and local commerce; reduced conflict; and personal growth through increased international, and local commerce; reduced conflict; and personal growth through increased international, and local commerce; reduced conflict; and personal growth through increased international, and local commerce; reduced conflict; and personal growth through increased international, and local commerce; reduced conflict; and personal growth through increased international, and local growth through
increased inter people have lowered their defenses and learned to accept and celebrate their differences. England contends that we can no longer define equality as "sameness" but, instead, must value our differences. England contends that we can no longer define equality as "sameness" but, instead, must value our differences. individuals working collectively for the benefit of everyone, not just their own group. Through open and honest intercultural communication, people can work together to achieve goals that 39 benefit everyone, regardless of group or culture, including the global community in the home, business, or neighborhood. Healthy communities support all community members and strive to understand, appreciate, and acknowledge each member. Increased Commerce Our ability to interact with persons from different cultures, both inside and outside our borders, has immense economic benefits. In 2015, the top 10 countries with which the United States traded—in terms of both imports and exports were, in order, China, Canada, Mexico, Japan, Germany, South Korea, the United Kingdom, France, Taiwan, and India. In 2015, U.S. trade with these countries accounted for nearly \$3 trillion (i.e., \$3,000,000,000). Only through successful intercultural communication can such economic potentials be realized.12 Reduced Conflict Conflict is inevitable; we will never be able to erase it. We can, however, through cooperative intercultural communication, reduce and manage conflict. Often, conflict stems from our inability to see another person's point of view, particularly if that person is from a different culture. We develop blatant negative generalizations about the person, which are often incorrect and lead to mistrust. Table 1.2 summarizes some of the most common stereotypes of different racial and ethnic groups in the United States that have been documented by researchers.13 Such feelings lead to defensive behavior, which fosters conflict. If we can learn to think and act cooperatively by engaging in assertive (not aggressive) and responsive intercultural communication, we can effectively manage and reduce conflict with others. Personal Growth Through Tolerance As you communicate with people from different cultures, you learn more about them and their way of life—including their values, history, and habits—and the substance of their personality. As your relationship develops, you start to understand them better, perhaps even empathizing with them. One of the things you will learn (eventually) is that although your cultures are different, you have much in common. As humans, we all have the same 40 basic desires and needs; we just have different ways of achieving them. As we learn that our way is not the only way, we develop a tolerance for difference. This can be accomplished only when we initiate relationships with a Japanese student at our college or university than we could by traveling to Japan for a 2- or 3-week vacation. Moreover, although this may sound contradictory, the more we learn about others and other cultures, the more we begin to understand how we conduct our own lives. Diversity in the United States One need not travel to faraway countries to understand the need for and experience the benefits of intercultural communication. Largely because of immigrants, in record numbers, are crossing U.S. borders. Anna Brown and Renee Stepler of the Pew Research Center report that there were over 41 million immigrants living in the United States in 2013, making up 13% of the nation's population. This number represents a fourfold increase since 1960. At that time, just under 10 million immigrants lived in the United States, accounting for about 5% of the population. Brown and Stepler note that while the growth rate has begun to decline in recent years, the number of immigrants living in the United States is projected to double by 2065. Brown and Stepler also note that there has been a significant shift in the countries of origin among the immigrants coming to their report, in 1960, 84% of immigrants coming to their report, in 1960, 84% of immigrants coming to the United States were born in Europe or Canada. By 2014, European and Canadian immigrants made up only a small share of the foreign-born population, while Mexicans accounted for the largest share, about 28%. Asian immigrants, other Latin Americans stood at 24%, and 8% were born in another region.14 The federal government uses the terms Hispanic and Latino interchangeably and classifies Hispanics/Latinos as an ethnic group but not a racial group. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, Hispanics are a heterogeneous group composed of Mexicans, Cubans, Puerto Ricans, Puerto million of all Hispanics in the United States lived in just three states—California, Texas, and Florida. Just over 8% lived in the Northeast. But that trend is likely to change in the upcoming decades as the Hispanic population expands geographically. In addition to the rapid growth of diverse populations in the United States, another trend is emerging: An increasing number of groups are revitalizing their cultural heritage, and many people are protective of their native language. A sensitive issue among many U.S. citizens is the status of the English language. Over the years, many federal lawmakers have proposed legislation making English the official language of the United States. According to Brown and Stepler, the number of immigrants who are proficient in English has significantly declined since 1980. fell from 30% in 1980 to 16% in 2014. The number of immigrants who speak English "very well" increased from 27% to 35% over the same time period, however.15 Photo 1.1 The local government of New York City provides documents in six languages to ensure that its diverse residents receive essential information. © iStockphoto.com/tupungato In

and Hispanic community—the county board of commissioners made English the official language of its government and called for more spending to promote English fluency. The all-White Brown County board voted 17 to 8 to approve the measure. "It's saying this is our official language of its government and called for more spending to promote English fluency. The all-White Brown County board voted 17 to 8 to approve the measure. "It's saying this is our official language of its government and called for more spending to promote English fluency. The all-White Brown County board voted 17 to 8 to approve the measure. "It's saying this is our official language of its government and called for more spending to promote English fluency. The all-White Brown County board voted 17 to 8 to approve the measure." language. This is what we believe in, and we should encourage English," said then-Board Supervisor John Vander Leest. On the other hand, in August 2004 the Texas border town of El Cenizo—whose population is heavily Hispanic—adopted Spanish as its official language. Mayor Rafael Rodriguez said that he and most of the town's residents speak only 42 Spanish. According to Rodriguez, "In past administrations, the meetings were done in English and they did not explain anything." The vote means that town business will be conducted in Spanish, which then will be translated into English for official documents to meet the requirements of Texas law. Rodriguez said the city council's intent was not to usurp English or create divisions but to make local government more accessible to the town's residents. "What we are looking for is that the people of the community who attend the meetings and who only speak Spanish be able to voice their opinions," Rodriguez said.16 Although the United States prides itself on being a nation of immigrants, there is a growing sense of uncertainty, fear, and distrust among different cultural, ethnic, and linguistic groups. These feelings create anxiety that can foster separatism rather than unity. Many people are frustrated, confused, and uncertainty be reduced. Only when diverse people come together and interact can they unify rather than separate. Unity is impossible without communication. Intercultural communication. Intercultural communication is a necessity. 43 Human Communication is a necessity. communication. Communication professor Charles Larson estimated that in 2010 most U.S. citizens were exposed to more than 5,000 persuasive messages every day.17 Most people would be miserable if they were not allowed to communicate with others. Indeed, solitary confinement is perhaps the worst form of punishment inflicted on humans. Human communication—that is, the ability to symbolize and use language—separates humans from animals. Communication with others is the essence of what it means to be human. Through communication is the vehicle by which people initiate, maintain, and terminate their relationships with others. Communication is the means by which people influence and persuade others. Through communication—can be the source of many interpersonal problems. Marriage counselors and divorce lawyers indicate that a breakdown in communication—that is, public speaking—is one of the most frequently cited fears, even more feared than death. This book is about the ubiquitous subject labeled communication. Specifically, this is a book about intercultural communication occurs whenever two or more people from different cultures come together and exchange verbal and nonverbal messages. Throughout the course of this book, you will be introduced to a whole host of concepts and theories that explain the process of people of differing cultural backgrounds coming together and exchanging verbal and nonverbal messages. define. If you were to go to your university library and select 10 different introductory communication texts, each would probably offer a different definition of communication, most communication scholars agree on certain dimensions of communication that describe its nature Communication is a process. A process is anything that is ongoing, ever changing, and continuous. A process is not static or at rest; it is always moving. Communication is always moving. The terms process and dynamic are closely related. Part of what makes communication is a dynamic nature. Something that is dynamic is considered active or forceful. Because communication is interactive because it occurs between people. Communication requires the active participation of two people sending messages at the same time—that is, as we are sending messages we are simultaneously receiving messages at the same time—that is, as we are sending messages at the same time—that is, as we are sending messages at the same time—that is, as we are sending messages we are sending messages at the same time—that is, as we are sending messages at the same time—that is, as we are sending messages at the same time—that is, as we are sending messages at the same time—that is, as we are sending messages at the same time—that is, as we are sending messages at the same time—that is, as we are sending messages at the same time stimulus that represents something else. Symbols can be verbal or nonverbal. They are the vehicle by which the thoughts and ideas of one person. Messages are constructed with verbal and nonverbal symbols. Through symbols, meanings are transferred between people. Symbols (i.e., words) have no natural relationship with what they represent (they are arbitrarily selected and learned). For example, the verbal symbols "C-A-T" have no natural connection with cute, fuzzy animals that purr and like to be scratched. These particular symbols have no natural connection with cute, fuzzy animals that purr and like to be scratched. continuous dynamic Something considered active and forceful symbols are arbitrarily selected and learned stimulus representing something else FIGURE 1.1 Different Codes 45 Nonverbal symbols are arbitrary as well. nonverbal symbols are meaningful only to people who have learned to associate them with what they represent. People can allow just about any symbols they want. For example, you and your friends probably communicate with one another using private symbols that no one else understands. You have your own secret code. You have words, phrases, gestures, and handshakes that only you and your friends know, understand, and use. This allows you to communication is intentional, meaning that it is performed consciously. Intentional communication exists whenever two or more people consciously engage in interaction with some purpose. Unintentional communication may exist, however. For example, you pass a friend in the hallway of your friend simply didn't see you and was thinking about the exam he or she just failed and was not intentionally ignoring you In this book, the type of communication that will be discussed is intentional communication. This book takes the position that intentional communication, either verbal or nonverbal, is more informative than unintentional communication, either verbal or nonverbal, is more informative than unintentional communication. and perceptual environment in which communication occurs. In many ways, the context defines the meaning of any messages sent. That communication is ubiguitous simply means it is everywhere, done by everyone, all the time. Wherever one goes, some communication is happening. context The cultural, physical, social, and psychological environment Finally, culture shapes communication, and communicate differently. The verbal and nonverbal symbols we use to communicate with our friends and families are strongly influenced by our culture. Perhaps the most obvious verbal communication difference between two cultures is language. Even cultures speaking the same language, however, have different meanings for different meanings for different meanings between these two cultures. In England, to "bomb" an examination is to have performed very well. Communication, then, is the ubiquitous, dynamic, interactive process of encoding and decoding verbal and nonverbal messages are sent intentionally, some others —perhaps our nonverbal messages—can unintentionally influence other people.19 communication The simultaneous encoding, decoding, and interpretation of verbal and nonverbal messages between people Human Communicate at birth and continue communicating throughout their lives. We also know that many people experience fear and anxiety when communicating with others, particularly in situations such as public speaking, class presentations, a first date, or a job interview. The fear or anxiety people experience when communicating with others is called communication apprehension. In the past 50 years, a substantial body of research has accumulated regarding the nature and prevalence of communication apprehension. The late Jim McCroskey, considered the father of this concept, believed that nearly everyone experiences some kind of communication apprehension. United States suffer from communication apprehension is normal; that is, all of us experiencing communication apprehension: traitlike, context based, audience based, and situational Traitlike communication apprehension is an enduring general personality predisposition where an individual experiences communication apprehension. 47 communication apprehension. 47 communication apprehension The fear or anxiety associated with either real or anticipated communication with another persons or group of persons Context-based communication apprehension is restricted to a certain generalized context, such as public speaking, group meetings, or job interviews. Persons with context-based communication apprehension experience anxiety only in certain contexts. Audience-based communication apprehension is triggered not by the specific context but by the particular person or audience-based communicating. Hence, persons with audience-based communication
apprehension may experience anxiety when communicating with strangers or their superiors, for example. College students with audience-based communication apprehension may experience anxiety when communicating with professors but not when communicating with other students. Finally, situational-based communicating with other students may feel anxious interacting with professors only when they are alone with the professor in the professor in the hallways or in the classroom, interacting with the professor may not be a problem.20 To repeat, virtually everyone experiences communication apprehension at some time; if you experience such anxiety, it does not mean you are abnormal or sick. What follows is the Personal Report of Communication Apprehension (PRCA-24), a scale designed to measure your degree of communication Apprehension. Take a few moments and complete the scale in Self-Assessment 1.1. Personal Report of Communication Apprehension (PRCA-24), a scale designed to measure your degree of communication Apprehension. designed to measure communication apprehension 48 Self-Assessment 1.1: Personal Report of Communication apprehension Directions: This instrument is composed of 24 statements concerning your feelings about communication apprehension Directions: This instrument is composed of 24 statements concerning your feelings about communication apprehension. whether you (1) strongly agree, (2) agree, (2) agree, (3) are undecided, (4) disagree with each statements. Do not be concerned about this. Work quickly; just record your first impressions. ______1. I dislike participating in group discussions. 2. Generally, I am comfortable while participating in group discussions. _____ 5. Engaging in group discussions. _____ 6. I am calm and relaxed while participating in group discussions. _____ 5. Engaging in group discussions with new people makes me tense and nervous. ______ 6. I am calm and relaxed while participating in group discussions. ______ 5. Engaging in group discussions with new people makes me tense and nervous. ______ 6. I am calm and relaxed while participating in group discussions. ______ 5. Engaging in group discussions. ______ 5. Engaging in group discussions with new people makes me tense and nervous. ______ 6. I am calm and relaxed while participating in group discussions. ______ 5. Engaging in group discussions with new people makes me tense and nervous. ______ 6. I am calm and relaxed while participating in group discussions. ______ 5. Engaging in group discussions with new people makes me tense and nervous. ______ 6. I am calm and relaxed while participating in group discussions. _______ 5. Engaging in group discussions with new people makes me tense and nervous. ______ 6. I am calm and relaxed while participating in group discussions. ______ 5. Engaging in group discussions with new people makes me tense and nervous. ______ 6. I am calm and relaxed while participating in group discussions. ______ 5. Engaging in group discussions with new people makes me tense and nervous while participating in group discussions. ______ 5. Engaging in group discussions with new people makes me tense and nervous discussions with new people makes me tense and nervous discussions with new people makes me tense and nervous discussions with new people makes me tense and nervous discussions discussions with new people makes me tense and nervous discussions discussio 7. Generally, I am nervous when I have to participate in group discussions. 8. Usually, I am calm and relaxed while participating in meetings. 9. I am very calm and relaxed when I am called upon to express an opinion at a meeting. 10. I am afraid to express myself at meetings. 11. Communicating at meetings usually makes me uncomfortable. 12. I am very relaxed when answering questions at a meeting. 13. While participating in a conversation with a new acquaintance, I feel very nervous. 14. I have no fear of speaking up in conversations. 15. Ordinarily, I am very tense and nervous in conversations. 16. 17. When conversing with a new acquaintance, I feel very relaxed. Ordinarily, I am very calm and relaxed in conversations. 18. I am afraid to speak up in conversations. 19. I have no fear of giving a speech. 21. I feel relaxed while giving a 20. Certain parts of my body feel very tense and rigid while giving a speech. 22. My thoughts become confused and jumbled when I am giving a speech. 23. I face the prospect of giving a speech with confidence. 24. While giving a speech, I get so nervous I forget facts I really know. 49 Scoring: The PRCA-24 allows you to compute a total score and four subscores. The total score represents your degree of traitlike communication apprehension. Total scores may range from 24 to 120. McCroskey argued that any score above 80 indicate a very high level of communication apprehension. Total PRCA Score Step 1. Add what you marked for Items 1, 3, 5, 7, 10, 11, 13, 15, 18, 20, 22, and 24. Step 2. Add what you marked for Items 2, 4, 6, 8, 9, 12, 14, 16, 17, 19, 21, and 23. Step 3. Subtract the score of Step 1. Then add the score of Step 1 to that total. The sum is your PRCA score. The subscores indicate your degree of communication apprehension across four common contexts: group discussions, meetings, interpersonal conversations, and public speaking. For these scales, a score above 23 is very high. Subscores for Items 1, 3, and 5. Meeting Subscore: 18 + scores for Items 8, 9, and 10, minus scores for Items 7, 10, and 11. Interpersonal Subscore: 18 + scores for Items 19, 21, and 23, minus scores for Items 20, 22, and 24. SOURCE: McCroskey, J. C. (1982). Introduction to Rhetorical Communication (4th Ed.). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall. © 1982. Printed and electronically reproduced by permission of Pearson Education, culture is ubiquitous and has a profound effect on humans. Culture is simultaneously invisible yet pervasive. As we go about our daily lives, we are not overtly conscious of our culture's influence on us. How often have you sat in your classroom, for example, and consciously thought about what it means to be a U.S. citizen? As you stand in the lunch line, do you say to yourself, "I am acting like a U.S. citizen? As you sit in your classroom, do you say to yourself, "The professor is really acting like a U.S. citizen"? Yet most of your thoughts, emotions, and behaviors are culturally driven. One need only step into a culture different from one's own to feel the immense impact of culture. Culture has a direct influence on the physical, relational, and perceptual contexts. For example, the next time you enter your communication classroom, consider how the room is arranged physically, including where you sit and where the professor lecture from behind a lectern? Do the students sit facing the professor? Is the chalkboard, windows, and so on. Does the professor lecture from behind a lectern? Do the students sit facing the professor? Is the chalkboard, windows, and so on. Does the professor lecture from behind a lectern? professor and the other students in your class. Is the relationship formal or informal? Do you interact with the professor and students about topics other than class material? Would you consider the relationship personal or impersonal? Finally, think about your perceptual disposition—that is, your attitudes, motivations, and emotions about the class. Are you happy to be in the class? Do you enjoy attending? Are you nervous when the instructor asks you a question? To a great extent, the answers to these questions are contingent on your culture. The physical arrangement of classrooms, the social relationship between students and teachers, and the achers, and teachers are contingent on your culture. vary significantly from culture to culture. Like communication, culture is difficult to define. To be sure, more than 50 years ago, two well-known anthropologists, Alfred Kroeber and Clyde Kluckhohn, found and examined 300 definitions of culture, no two of which were the same.21 Perhaps too often, people think of culture only in terms of the fine arts, geography, or history. Small towns or rural communities are often accused of having no culture. Yet culture exists everywhere. There is as much culture in Willard, New York, New York, New York, New York, New York (population 8,400,197). The two cultures are just different. Simply put, culture is people. Although there may not be a universally accepted definition of culture, there are a number of properties of culture that most people would agree describe its essence. In this book, culture is defined as an accumulated pattern of values, beliefs, and behaviors shared by an identifiable group of people with a common history and verbal and nonverbal symbol systems. 51 culture An accumulated pattern of values, beliefs, and behaviors shared by an identifiable group of people with a common history and verbal and nonverbal symbol system and belief systems and by the actions of their members. People who exist in the same culture generally share similar values and beliefs (see Table 1.3). In the United States, for example, individuality is highly valued. An individuality is highly valued. An individual's self-interest takes precedence over group interests. U.S. citizens value personal independence. Conversely, in Japan, a collectivistic and homogeneous culture -a sense of groupness and group harmony -is valued. Most Japanese see themselves as members of a group first and as individuals second. Where U.S. citizens value interdependence, Japanese value interdependence. The values of a particular culture lead to a set of expectations and rules prescribing how people should behave in that culture. Although many U.S. citizens prefer to think of themselves as unique individuals, most of them behave in similar ways. Observe the students around you are very different from your peers, you are really quite similar to them. Most of your peers follow a similar behavioral pattern to your own.
For example, on a day-to-day basis, most of your peers attend classes, take examinations, go to lunch, study, party, and write papers. U.S. citizens share a similar behavioral profile. Most work an average of 40 hours a week, 52 receive some form of payment for their work, and pay some of their earnings in taxes. Most spend their money on homes and cars, and almost every home in the United States has a television. Although U.S. citizens view themselves as unique individuals, most of them have similar values, beliefs, and behaviors, they are identifiable as a distinct group. In addition to their shared values, beliefs, and behaviors, the members of a particular culture share a common history. Any culture are traditions that are passed on to future generations. In many cultures, history is a major component of the formal and informal education systems. To learn a culture's history is to learn that culture's history is to learn that culture's history is to learn about the beclaration of Independence, one of this country's most sacred documents. Elementary school children in Iran learn about the historical significance of the political and religious revolution that took place in their culture in the 1970s and 1980s. Russian children learn about the arts in Russian children learn about the arts in Russian composers, including Tchaikovsky, Rachmaninoff, and Stravinsky. The art of the past helps Russians remember their culture and history as they face disruptive social and political crises. Such historical lessons are the glue that binds people together. Verbal and nonverbal symbols with which the members of a culture communicate are culture bound. Seeing the difference between the verbal codes of any two cultures is easy. For instance, the dominant verbal code in the United States is English, whereas the dominant verbal code, they may have dramatically different verbal styles. Most White U.S. citizens, for example, use a direct, instrumental, personal style when speaking English. Many Native Americans/American Indians who also speak English use an indirect, impersonal style and may prefer the use of silence over words.22 Photo 1.2 Nonverbal communication, including body language, can communicate a great deal about one's culture. 53 © iStockphoto.com/iStock_Oles Nonverbal code systems vary significantly across cultures as well. Nonverbal communication includes the use of body language can communicate a great deal about one's culture. When an adult interacts with a young child in the United States, for example, it is not uncommon for the adult to pat the child's head. This nonverbal gesture is often seen as a form of endearment and is culturally acceptable. In Thailand, however, where the head is considered the seat of the soul, such a gesture is unacceptable. In Thailand, however, where the head is considered the seat of the soul, such a gesture is unacceptable. impolite, perhaps even disgusting. But in China, slurping and making belching noises during a meal simply mean one is enjoying the food.23 Photos 1.3a, b: Children learn the values, norms, and behaviors of their culture at an early age. 54 55 © iStockphoto.com/kali9 © iStockphoto.com/pushlama People also communicate nonverbally through smell U.S. citizens, in particular, seem obsessed with the smell of the human body and home environment. Think of all the products you used this morning before you left for class that were designed to mask the natural scent of your body, including soap, toothpaste, mouthwash, deodorant, and cologne and/or perfume. Persons from other cultures often complain that U.S. citizens tend to smell antiseptic. Microcultures exist within most cultures, groups of people—or microcultures exist within the broader rules and guidelines of the dominant cultural milieu but are distinct in some way, perhaps racially or linguistically, or via their sexual orientation, age, or even occupation. In some ways, everyone is a member of some microcultural group. In many cases, microcultural groups are considered subordinate or treated subordinately in some way, perhaps politically or economically. microculture An identifiable group of people who share a set of values, beliefs, and behaviors and who possess a common history and a verbal and nonverbal symbol system that is similar to but systematically varies from the larger, often dominant cultures. The Amish of Lancaster County, Pennsylvania, also can be considered a microcultural group. Although the Amish are subject to most of the same laws as any other group of citizens, they have unique values and communication systems that differentiate them from mainstream American life. For example, Amish children are exempt from compulsory attendance in public schools after the eighth grade. Although almost all Amish speak English, when they interact among themselves, they speak German is used. Hence, most Amish of Lancaster County speak three languages. 57 The Study of Intercultural Communication Ideally, we now have an understanding of the word communication and the idea of culture. So what happens when people from different cultures come together and communication." Compared with many other academic disciplines, the study of intercultural communication is young. The histories of othe academic fields such as math, biology, philosophy, and psychology date back hundreds and, in some cases, thousands of years. But the academic discipline of intercultural communication can be traced back only a few decades—specifically, to the year 1959 and the publication of Edward T. Hall's book The Silent Language. Hall is generally recognized as the founder of the academic discipline we call intercultural communication. Although the term intercultural communication. 24 Hall held three university degrees (i.e., BA, MA, and PhD) in anthropology. Anthropology is the study of the origin on, say, the Navajo or Hopi Indians of the American Southwest, as did Hall. Hall often referred to this as a macrolevel approach to culture. Among the many significant influences on Hall's approach to his studies was anthropologist Franz Boas. The term cultural relativism is often attributed to him. Boas believed, as did Hall, that humans are inherently ethnocentric (i.e., believing that one's native culture is the standard by which other cultures are observed and judged) and that our observations, values, and customs are the preferred and accepted standards by which one should conduct one's life. Consequently, an individual from a particular culture is an adaptation to and a distinctive straditions, values, and customs without some other culture is an adaptation to and a distinctive stradition. product of a unique set of historical, social, and environmental conditions. As these conditions vary, cultures vary accordingly—and, in this sense, there is no correct culture. Following World War II, the U.S. Congress established the Foreign Service Institute (FSI). FSI is the federal government's primary training institution for officers and support personnel of the U.S. foreign affairs community, preparing American diplomats and other professionals to advance U.S. foreign affairs interests overseas and in Washington. In the early 1950s, Hall taught at FSI and soon discovered that the traditional ways of teaching 58 about macrolevel culture, from an anthropological perspective, were not effective in training FSI personnel how to interact with persons from different cultures. So Hall and others began to rethink how to teach about culture and soon developed a new curriculum, scholars focused on intercultural communication—that is, how people from different cultures interact with one another—rather than on how members of a particular culture interact within their culture. This new curriculum also emphasized the nonverbal channels of time (chronemics), space (proxemics), and body language (kinesics). One of Hall's most fascinating insights was how invisible culture is to its own members—that is, how most people are so unaware of their own cultural ways of living. This new approach also embraced Boas's idea of cultural relativism in that cultures should be judged only from within their specific cultura context, and cultural traditions, beliefs, and behaviors are to be evaluated on that culture's unique set of historical, social, and environmental conditions. In 1959, Hall published The Silent Language, which sold more than 500,000 copies in its first 10 years and is considered the seminal work in the field. In the book, Hall asserted that culture is communication. By the late 1960s, we saw the first intercultural communication established a Division of Intercultural Communication. L. S. Harms's 1970 book, Intercultural Communication, is thought to be the first textbook on the subject. By 1975, the Speech Communication Association established the Division of Intercultural Communication, and in 1977, the Intercultural Communication Intercultural Communication occurs whenever a minimum of two persons from different cultures or microcultures come together and exchange verbal and nonverbal symbols. A central theme throughout this book is that intercultural communication is contextual. A contextual model of intercultural communication is presented in Figure 1.2. According to the model, intercultural communication is contextual. cultural, microcultural, environmental, perceptual, and sociorelational contexts. intercultural communication Two persons from different cultures or microcultures exchanging verbal and nonverbal messages FIGURE 1.2 A Contextual Model of Intercultural Communication 59 The term context refers to the setting, situation, circumstances, background, and overall framework within which communication occurs. For example, when you interact within a social context, such as your dorm room. You also interact within a social context—that is, friend to friends, you interact within a social context. The contextual model of intercultural communication
attempts to identify the various contexts that define what happens when a person from Culture B. As we walk through the contextual model of intercultural communication, please note that the model is both conceptually and graphically consistent. The largest, outer circle of the model represents the cultural context. All communicative exchanges between persons occur within some culture. The cultural context represents an accumulated pattern of values, beliefs, and behaviors shared by an identifiable group of people with a common history and verbal and nonverbal symbol systems. So whenever you and someone from a different culture come together and interact, you are within a cultural context. In this textbook, the cultural context (Figure 1.3). As mentioned earlier, within most cultures separate groups of people coexist. These groups, called microcultures, are in some way different from the larger culture; notice that in the model, the microcultures exist within a larger culture; notice that in the model, the microcultures exist within a larger culture. Some people refer to microcultural groups as minority groups or subcultures, but those terms will not be used here. Microcultures are the focus of Chapter 3. FIGURE 1.3 The Cultural and Microcultures for the next largest circle in the model is the environmental contexts 60 The next largest circle in the model is the environmental context (Figure 1.4). interaction. While culture prescribes the overall rules for communication, the physical location indicates when and where the specific rules apply. For example, in the United States, there are rules about yelling. Depending on the physical location, yelling is generally prohibited, whereas at a football game, yelling is the preferred method of communicating. The environmental context includes the physical geography, architecture, landscape design, and even climate of a particular culture. All these environmental factors play a key role in how people communicate. In the model, the environmental context is within the microcultural and cultural contexts. Conceptually, this is because one's culture and membership in microcultural groups significantly influence how one perceives the environment. For example, temperatures below 32 degrees (i.e., freezing) are not thought of as extreme to a person raised in Tucson, Arizona, such temperatures may seem unbearable. In this book, the environmental context is discussed in Chapter 4. FIGURE 1.4 The Cultural, Microcultural, and Environmental context is discussed in Chapter 4. FIGURE 1.5, the two circles within the environmental context is discussed in Chapter 4. FIGURE 1.4 The Cultural, Microcultural, and Environmental context is discussed in Chapter 4. FIGURE 1.4 The Cultural, Microcultural, and Environmental context is discussed in Chapter 4. FIGURE 1.4 The Cultural, Microcultural, Mic interactant, including cognitions, attitudes, dispositions, and motivations. Specifically, the perceptual context refers to how an individual gathers, stores, and retrieves information in our memories and retrieve it for later use. Although the ability to gather, store, and retrieve information is fundamentally human, it is also affected by culture. Many of the attitudes, beliefs, and values you hold were taught to you by your culture. For example, what smells good to you is cultural. The music you listen to is also largely a cultural by-product. Moreover, how an individual develops attitudes about others, including stereotypes, varies from culture to the relationship between the interactants. Whenever two people come together and interact, they establish a relationship, each person teaching your communication class is assuming the role of student; the person teacher. So, in a very real sense, you are having a relationship with your teacher that is, a student-teacher relationship. Roles prescribe how people should behave. Most of the people with whom you interact are related to you via your role as student. What you interact about—that is, the topic of your interaction —is also defined by your role as student. you and your professors interact about courses. How you interact with your professor—that is, the style of talk (e.g., polite language and style of your talk with your professor is probably very different from the language and style of talk you use when you go back to your dorm room and interact with your friends. Probably the 10 people with whom you most recently interacted were directly related to you via your role as student. When you go back to your hometown during semester break and step into the role of son/daughter or brother/sister, you are assuming a different role, and your interaction changes accordingly. Your interaction varies as a function of what role you are assuming. FIGURE 1.6 A Contextual Model of Intercultural Communication 63 Roles vary from culture to every culture to every culture to every culture there are student in the United States is a function of what role you are assuming. is very different from what it means in Japan. In Japan, for example, many students go to school 6 days a week. Japanese teachers are highly respected and play an influential role in the Japanese student's life. What it means to be a mother or father also varies considerably from one culture to another. In the Masai culture of Kenya, a woman is defined by her fertility. To be defined as a mother in Masai culture, a woman must endure circumcision (i.e., clitoridectomy), an arranged marriage, and wife beating.25 Conceptually, people (i.e., perceptual contexts) are connected to one another via their relationships. The model shows this connection via the sociorelational context (see Figure 1.6). sociorelational context links the two perceptual contexts. One's roles prescribe the types of verbal and nonverbal symbols that are exchanged. In this book, the sociorelational context and role relationships are the focus of Chapter 6. All our relationships are defined by the verbal and nonverbal messages we send to our relational partners. What differentiates one relationship from another is the verbal and nonverbal things you do with each other. For example, what differentiates your relationship with your teacher from your relationship with your best friend is the verbal and nonverbal things you do with each other. represented by two circles labeled nonverbal and verbal contexts. The nonverbal and nonverbal circle is smaller and is represented as a series of dashes in the shape of a circle. The nonverbal message circle is larger than the verbal message circle because the majority of our communicating nonverbally through eye contact, body stance, and space. In addition, our 64 nonverbal message circle because the majority of our communicating nonverbally through eye contact, body stance, and space. behave. The verbal message circle is formed by a series of dashes to represent the digital quality of verbal communication. 26 By digital, we mean that, unlike our nonverbal communication is made up of words that have recognizable and discrete beginning and ending points. A word is like a digit. We can start and stop talking with words. However, our nonverbal behavior goes on continuously. Chapter 7 concentrates on verbal codes, and Chapter 8 addresses nonverbal codes, and Chapter 8 addresses nonverbal codes. The general theme of this book, as represented in the model, is that intercultural communication is defined by the interdependence of these various contexts. The perceptual contexts combine to create the sociorelational context, which is defined by the verbal and nonverbal messages sent. The sociorelational contexts. These contexts combine in a complex formula to create the phenomenon of intercultural communication. Intercultural Communication and Uncertainty When we interact with someone from a different culture, we are faced with a lot of uncertainty. We may not know what to say or do in such circumstances. This uncertainty about the other person may make us feel nervous and anxious. Communication theorist Charles Berger contends that the task of interacting with someone from a different culture who may look, act, and communicator with someone from a different culture who may look, act, and communicate different culture who may look act, different culture, we must be able to predict how our interaction partner is likely to behave and, based on those predictions, select our appropriate verbal and nonverbal messages.27 Berger theorizes that whenever we come together and interact with a stranger, our primary concern is to reduce uncertainty, especially when the other person is someone with whom we will interact again. Often, when we are faced with high levels of uncertainty, we experience anxiety. In high-uncertainty situations, our primary goal is to reduce uncertainty and increase the predictability of the other. This can be accomplished via specific verbal and nonverbal communication strategies such as question asking and appropriate nonverbal expressiveness.28 Some types of communication situations lead to increase our sense of anxiety. Based on these criteria, initial interaction with someone, or interacting with someone from a different culture, may produce heightened anxiety.29 65 Intercultural communication experts William Gudykunst and Young Kim have argued that when we interact with people from different cultures, we tend to view them as strangers. Strangers. are unknown people who are members of different groups. Anyone entering a relatively unknown or unfamiliar environment falls under the rubric of "strangerness" and the lowest degree of familiarity. Thus, there is greater uncertainty in initial interaction with strangers than with people with whom we are familiar. According to Gudykunst and Kim, actual or anticipated interaction with members of different groups (e.g., cultures or ethnic groups different from our own) leads to anxiety.30 If we are too anxious about interacting with strangers,
we tend to avoid them. Communication researchers Jim Neuliep and Jim McCroskey state that this type of communication anxiety can be labeled intercultural communication apprehension—that is, the fear or anxiety associated with either real or anticipated intercultural communication apprehension. apprehension The fear or anxiety associated with either real or anticipated interaction with persons from a different culture requires a degree of communication competence. According to Brian Spitzberg, most models of communication competence include cognitive, affective, and behavioral components. The cognitive component refers to how much one knows about communication. The behavioral component refers to the skills one has to interact competently. An interculturally competent communicator is motivated to communicate, and skilled in communicating. In addition, an interculturally competent communicators interact effectively by adapting messages appropriately to the context Competent communicators understand the rules, norms, and expectations of the relationship and do not significantly violate them. Communicators are effective to the degree that their goals are accomplished successfully.32 According to Neuliep and McCroskey, a person's affective orientation involves the individual's degree of motivation to approach or avoid a given intercultural context or person. Communication studies indicate that at least 20% of the U.S. adult population experience communication studies indicate that at least 20% of the U.S. adult population experience communication studies indicate that at least 20% of the U.S. adult population experience communication studies indicate that at least 20% of the U.S. adult population experience communication studies indicate that at least 20% of the U.S. adult population experience communication studies indicate that at least 20% of the U.S. adult population experience communication studies indicate that at least 20% of the U.S. adult population experience communication studies indicate that at least 20% of the U.S. adult population experience communication studies indicate that at least 20% of the U.S. adult population experience communication studies indicate that at least 20% of the U.S. adult population experience communication studies indicate that at least 20% of the U.S. adult population experience communication studies indicate that at least 20% of the U.S. adult population experience communication studies indicate that at least 20% of the U.S. adult population experience communication studies indicate that at least 20% of the U.S. adult population experience communication studies indicate that at least 20% of the U.S. adult population experience communication studies indicate that at least 20% of the U.S. adult population experience communication studies indicate that at least 20% of the U.S. adult population experience communication studies indicate that at least 20% of the U.S. adult population experience communication studies indicate that at least 20% of the U.S. adult population experience that at least 20% of the apprehension at some time in their lives, perhaps during a job interview, a first date, and so on. One outcome of communication apprehension is to avoid such situations. Given that intercultural communication may be more anxiety producing than other forms of communication, the number of people suffering from intercultural communication apprehension is likely considerable. Identifying such individuals may be the first step toward more effective and successful intercultural communication. Apprehension (PRICA). This scale was developed by communication researchers Neuliep and McCroskey. PRICA is similar to the Personal Report of Communication about communication with someone from a culture different from yours. After completing each scale, you can compare your scores from both instruments. 67 Self-Assessment 1.2: Personal Report of Intercultural Communication Apprehension Directions: This instrument is composed of 14 statements concerning your feelings about communicating with people from other cultures. Please indicate in the space provided the degree to which each statement applies to you by marking whether you (1) strongly agree, (2) agree, (3) are undecided, (4) disagree with each statement. There are no right or wrong answers. Work quickly, and record your first impressions. 1. Generally, I am comfortable interacting with a group of people from different cultures. 2. I am tense and nervous while interacting in group discussions with people from different cultures. 3. I like to get involved in group discussions with others who are from different cultures. 4. Engaging in a group discussion with people from different cultures 6. While participating in a conversation with a person from a different culture, I feel very nervous. makes me tense and nervous. 5. I am calm and relaxed when interacting with a group of people who are from different cultures. 7. I have no fear of speaking up in a conversation with a person from a different culture. 9. Ordinarily, I am very calm and relaxed in conversations with a person from a different culture. 10. While conversing with a person from a different culture, I feel very relaxed. Ordinarily, I am very tense and nervous in conversations with a person from a different culture. I'm afraid to speak up in conversations with a person 13. My thoughts become confused and jumbled when interacting with people from different cultures. 12. I face the prospect of interacting with people from different cultures with confidence. 14. Communicating with people from different cultures makes me feel uncomfortable. Scoring: To score the instrument, reverse your original response for Items 2, 4, 6, 8, 11, 13, and 14. For example, for each of these items 1 = 5, 2 = 4, 3 = 3, 4 = 2, and 5 = 1. If your original score for Item 2 was 1, change it to a 5. If your original score for Items 2, 4, 6, 8, 11, 13, and 14. For example, for each of these items 1 = 5, 2 = 4, 3 = 3, 4 = 2, and 5 = 1. If your original score for Item 2 was 1, change it to a 5. If your original score for Item 4 was a 2, change it to a 4, and so on. After reversing the score for Items 2, 4, 6, 8, 11, 13, and 14. For example, for each of these items 1 = 5, 2 = 4, 3 = 3, 4 = 2, and 5 = 1. If your original score for Item 4 was a 2, change it to a 4, and so on. After reversing the score for Item 4 was a 2, change it to a 5. If your original score for Item 4 was a 2, change it to a 4, and so on. After reversing the score for Item 4 was a 2, change it to a 5. If your original score for Item 4 was a 2, change it to a 4, and so on. cannot be higher than 70 or lower than 14. Higher scores (e.g., 50–70) indicate high intercultural communication apprehension. SOURCE: Neuliep, J. W., & McCroskey, J. C. (1997). The Development of Intercultural communication Apprehension. Source: (e.g., 14–28) indicate high intercultural communication Apprehension. Source: (e.g., 14–28) indicate high intercultural communication Apprehension. Scales. Communication Research Reports, 14, 145–156. The PRICA instrument is composed of 14 statements concerning your feelings about communication with people from other cultures. Please indicate in the space provided the degree to which each statement applies to you by marking whether you (1) strongly agree, (2) agree, (3) are undecided (4) disagree, or (5) strongly disagree with each statements. Bo not be concerned about this. Work quickly and record your first impressions. Responding to these statements as honestly as possible is very important; otherwise, your score will not be valid. To the degree that you answered the items honestly, your score is a fairly reliable and valid assessment of your motivation increases, so does your confidence. As confidence increases, intercultural communication competence also is likely to increase. People who are nervous and tense about interacting with people from different cultures are less likely to approach intercultural communication A central premise of this book is that intercultural communication is a complex combination of the cultural, microcultural, environmental, perceptual, and sociorelational contexts between two people who are encoding verbal and nonverbal messages. Because of the complexity of this process, a fundamental assumption about intercultural communication is a complex combination of the cultural, microcultural, microcultural communication is a complex to people who are encoding verbal and nonverbal messages. that during intercultural communication the message sent is usually not the message received. ASSUMPTION #1: During intercultural communication, the message sent is usually not the message received. emotions, and behaviors that were planted and cultivated by culture. As we have said, intercultural communication is a symbolic activity in which the thoughts and ideas of one person are encoded into a verbal or nonverbal message format and then transmitted through some channel to another person who must decode it, interpret it, and respond to it. This process of encoding, decoding, and interpreting is filled with cultural noise. Noted intercultural communication scholar Gudykunst has asserted that during intercultural exchanges are necessarily, to a greater or lesser extent, charged with ethnocentrism. Hence, during intercultural communication, the message sent is not the message received.34 Ethnocentrism refers to the idea that one's own culture is the center of everything and all other groups (or cultures) are scaled and rated with reference to it. Sociologist W. G. Sumner argued that ethnocentrism nourishes a group's pride and vanity while looking on outsiders, or out-groups, with contempt.35 Although culture may mediate the extent to which we experience ethnocentrism, it is thought to be universal. One of the effects of ethnocentrism is that it clouds our perception of others. We have a tendency to judge others, and their
communication, based on the standards set by our own culture. Neuliep and McCroskey have argued that the concept of ethnocentrism may serve a valuable function when one's ingroup is under attack or threatened. Moreover, ethnocentrism forms the basis for patriotism, group loyalty, and the willingness to sacrifice for one's own group. To be sure, however, ethnocentrism can be problematic. In not looking past their own culture, people see little importance in understanding other cultures. At high levels, ethnocentrism is an obstacle to effective intercultural communication.36 ethnocentrism The tendency to place one's own group (cultural, ethnic, or religious) in a position of centrality and highest worth, while creating negative attitudes and behaviors toward other groups 70 Neuliep and McCroskey have developed the GENE (Generalized Ethnocentrism) Scale, which is designed to measure ethnocentrism. This scale and the directions for completing it are presented in Self-Assessment 1.3. GENE (Generalized Ethnocentrism) Scale Self-report instrument designed to measure generalized ethnocentrism ASSUMPTION #2: Intercultural communication is primarily a nonverbal act between people. and successful intercultural communication in the culture that speaks that language. To be sure, proficiency in a foreign language expedites the intercultural communication is primarily and fundamentally a nonverbal process. The expression of intimacy, power, and status among communication is typically a nonverbal process. accomplished nonverbally through paralinguistic cues, proxemics, haptics, oculesics, and olfactics. In Korea, for example, one's hierarchical position is displayed via vocal tone and pitch. When a subordinate is offered an important piece of paper, such as a graded exam from a respected professor, he or she grasps it with both hands (not just one) and and indirect eve contact—all nonverbal signs of deference. The well-known anthropologist Hall has argued that people from different cultures engage in a selective screening of sensory information that ultimately leads to different perceptions of experience.37 Regarding olfactics (smell), most cultures establish norms for acceptable and unacceptable scents associated with the human body. When people fail to fit into the realm of olfactic cultural acceptable scents associated with the human body. United States, we are obsessed with masking certain smells, especially those of the human body. In Western and Westernized cultures, body odor is regarded as unpleasant and distasteful, and great effort is expended in its removal. As we will see in Chapter 8, our nonverbal messages complement, augment, accent, substitute for, and repeat our verbal messages. 71 Self-Assessment 1.3: GENE (Generalized Ethnocentrism) Scale Directions: The GENE Scale is composed of 22 statements concerning your feelings about your cultures. In the space provided to the left of each item, indicate the degree to which the statement applies to you by marking whether you (5) strongly agree, (4) agree, (3) are neutral, (2) disagree with the statement. There are no right or wrong answers. Some of the statements are similar. Remember, everyone experiences some degree of ethnocentrism. Fortunately, as we will see in Chapter 5, ethnocentrism can be managed and reduced. Be honest! Work quickly and record your first response. 1. Most other cultures are backward compared to my culture. 2. My culture should be the role model for other cultures act strange when they come into my culture. 4. Lifestyles in other cultures are just as valid as those in my culture. 5. Other cultures should 7. People in my culture could learn a lot from people of other cultures. 8. Most people from other cultures just don't know what's good for them. try to be more like my culture. 6. I'm not interested in the values and customs of other cultures. 9. I respect the values and customs of other cultures. 10. Other cultures are 12. I have many friends from other cultures. 13. People in my culture have just about the best lifestyles of anywhere. 14. Lifestyles in other cultures are not as valid as those in my culture. 15. I'm verv interested in 11. Most people would be happier if they lived like people in my culture. smart to look up to our culture. 17. I see people who are similar to me as virtuous. 18. I do not cooperate with people who are different. 19. Most people in my culture just don't know what is good for them. 20. I do not trust people who are the values and customs of other cultures. 16. I apply my values when judging people who are different. 22. I have little respect for the values and customs of other cultures. Scoring: To determine your ethnocentrism score, complete the following steps: 72 Step 1: Add your responses to Items 4, 7, and 9. Step 2: Add your responses to Items 1, 2, 5, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 18, 20 different 21. I dislike interacting with people from different cultures. 21, and 22 (note that not all items are used in scoring). Step 3: Subtract the sum from Step 1 from 18 (i.e., 18 minus Step 1 sum). Step 3: Subtract the sum from Step 1 sum). Step 4: Add the results of Step 2 and Step 3. This sum is your generalized ethnocentrism. Ethnocentrism Scale, from Neuliep, J. W., & McCroskey, J. C. (1997). The Development of a U.S. and Generalized Ethnocentrism Scale. Communication necessarily and McCroskey. Permission granted by Neuliep and McCroskey. involves a clash of communicator style. In the United States, talk is a highly valued commodity. People are routinely evaluated by their speech. Yet silence—that is, knowing when not to speak—is a fundamental prerequisite for linguistic and cultural competence.38 The use and interpretation of silence varies dramatically across cultures. In many collectivistic cultures, such as Japan and Korea, silence can carry more meaning than words, especially in the maintenance of intimate relationships. In fact, the Japanese and some Native American/American Indian tribes in the United States believe that having to put one's thoughts and emotions into words somehow cheapens and discounts them. In the United States, we value, and employ, a direct and personal style of verbal communication. Personal pronouns are an essential ingredient in the composition of just about any utterance. Our mottos include "Get to the point," "Don't beat around the bush," "Tell it like it is," and "Speak your mind." Many cultures, however, prefer an indirect and impersonal communication style. In these cultures, there is no need to articulate every message. True understanding is implicit, coming not from words but from actions in the environment, where speakers provide only hints or insinuations. The Chinese say, "One should use the eyes and ears, not the mouth," and "Disaster emanates from careless talk." The Chinese consider the wisest and most trustworthy person to be the one who listens, watches, and restricts his or her verbal communication.39 ASSUMPTION #4: Initial intercultural communication is a group phenomenon experienced by individuals. Whenever we interact with a person from a different culture, especially early in our relationship with him or her, we carry with us assumptions and impressions. Often, these are based on characteristics of the other person by virtue of his or her membership in groups related to culture, race, sex, age, or occupation, for example. In other words, we have a tendency to see others not as individuals with unique thoughts, ideas, and goals, but rather as "an Asian American" or "a woman" or "a cab driver." In other words, we have a tendency to see others not as individuals with unique thoughts, ideas, and goals, but rather as "an Asian American" or "a woman" or "a cab driver." In other words, we have a tendency to see others not as individuals with unique thoughts, ideas, and goals, but rather as "an Asian American" or "a woman" or "a cab driver." In other words, we have a tendency to see others not as individuals with unique thoughts, ideas, and goals, but rather as "an Asian American" or "a woman" or "a cab driver." In other words, we have a tendency to see others not as individuals with unique thoughts, ideas, and goals, but rather as "an Asian American" or "a woman" or "a cab driver." In other words, we have a tendency to see others not as individuals with unique thoughts, ideas, and goals, but rather as "an Asian American" or "a woman" or "a cab driver." In other words, we have a tendency to see others not as individuals with unique thoughts, ideas, and goals, but rather as "an Asian American" or "a woman" or "a cab driver." In other words, we have a tendency to see others not as individuals with unique thoughts, ideas, and goals, but rather as "an Asian American" or "a woman" or "a cab driver." In other words, we have a tendency to see others not as individuals with unique thoughts, ideas, and goals, but rather as "an Asian American" or "a woman" or "a cab driver." In other words, we have a tendency to see others not as individuals with unique thoughts, ideas, and goals, but rather as "an Asian American" or "a woman" or "a woman we do not see the person—we see the groups to which the person belongs. The problem with this is that group data may not be a reliable source on which to construct our messages. Because 73 someone belongs to a specific racial, ethnic, sex, or age group does not necessarily mean that he or she takes on the thoughts, behaviors, and attitudes associated with that group. Thus, the potential for miscommunication is great. So during initial intercultural group, he or she is also an individual. Once we further develop a relationship with that person, we will start to see the relationship as interpersonal rather than intercultural. We will discuss this more in Chapter 9.40 ASSUMPTION #5: Intercultural communication is a cycle of stress, adaptation, and growth. As mentioned earlier in this chapter, when we come together with a person from a different culture we
may feel uncertain, apprehensive, and anxious. Such feelings are stressful. Hence, sometimes intercultural communication is stressful. The good news is that we can learn and adapt to such stress and eventually grow. During intercultural communication, we have to be mindful that the communication strategies we use with persons with whom we are familiar may not be effective with persons from other cultures. Thus, we have to learn to adapt and adjust our communication style. We have to recognize that we will make mistakes, learn from them, adapt, and move on. From these experiences, we grow as humans. A good beginning point is to recognize that people from different cultures are different—not better or worse, but simply different. Once we are able to do this, we can adjust and adapt our verbal and nonverbal messages accordingly and become competent interactants.41 74 The Ethics of Intercultural Communication A recurring theme throughout this book is ethics. Ethics involve judgments about what is right and wrong in the course of human conduct. Ethics set a standard by which judgments of right and wrong are decided. Although some scholars distinguish between ethics and morals, we will treat the two terms interchangeably. Ethics become salient (i.e., particularly relevant) whenever human behavior and decision-making are conscious, voluntary, and impact others. Ethics should not be confused with, nor are they necessarily linked to, religions. While most religious people as well as religious people as well as religious people as well as religious to act ethical standards, ethics are not synonymous with whatever is legal. While legal codes integrate ethical standards into laws that guide and control the behavior of citizens, they may not necessarily be ethical. For example, slavery was legal in the United States for more than a hundred years. 42 If we define culture as an accumulated pattern of values, beliefs, and behaviors held by an identifiable group of people, and if we assume that cultures are different from one another, then intercultural communication takes on a necessary ethical dynamic because communication is a conscious, voluntary act that influences others. Consider the following situation. 75 AN INTERCULTURAL CONVERSATION: Where Should We Eat Dinner? Tommy is from the Chicago suburbs. He is studying abroad in Seoul, South Korea. His host-national friend, Kwan, is a native South Korean student and is serving as his mentor. They are joined by another fellow student, Dinesh, their friend from India. Tommy: Hey, guys, I'm starved. Where should try it. Tommy: Poshintang? What's that? Kwan: It's dog meat soup. A lot of people eat it in the summer. Tommy: Seriously? Kwan: Yeah, for sure. Tommy: No way am I eating dog. Dinesh: Me neither. I don't eat any meat anyway. Is there a vegetarian restaurant nearby? Tommy: I'm not doing vegetarian, either. I have to have meat, but not dog. Kwan: Why don't you just try poshintang and see what you think? You'll probably like it. Tommy: No way, man. Not me. Dinesh: I can't. My parents would be very disappointed in me if I did. Kwan: Listen, you guys, you are in Korea now. You need to adapt, ya know ... when in Rome? Do as we do! Tommy: No way. Dinesh: No, I can't. Kwan: If I were in the United States now, you'd probably make me eat something I don't like. Dinesh: Yeah, would you make me eat beef, Tommy? Tomm? Tomm? Tomm? Tomm? Tomm? Tomm? Tomm? Tomm? To express and create meaning through the shared food they eat. In any culture, food serves a communicative function. Many important cultural and social rituals are conducted around and with food. In the United States, for example, Thanksgiving is a food-centered holiday. Meals are a central feature of birthday parties, weddings, and funerals. First dates often occur in restaurants. Sporting events (e.g., the Super Bowl) are regularly thought of as much as eating events as sporting ones. In her research on the food and eating habits in South Asian cultures, Jennifer B. Saunders observes that in many, the 76 preparation, serving, and consumption of food are often enacted in heightened contexts that create symbolic meanings for both the performers and the audience. Saunders maintains that in Indian culture, the substance and symbol of the food one eats are clearly defined. She notes that the act of eating reveals participants' ethics and character. Each bite of food, she argues, communicates how that person understands himself or herself and how the food will contribute to his or her moral and emotional state.43 In 2015 in the United States, 24 billion pounds of beef, most are disgusted by the thought of eating a horse, dog, or cat. Yet in parts of South Korea since 1984. Some statistics show that dog is the fourth-most popular meat in South Korea after pork, beef, and chicken. According to a British Broadcasting Corporation report, as many as 6,000 restaurants across South Korea may be selling dog meat soup, or what is called poshintang, using up to 8,500 tons of dog meat a year. According to the same report, another 94,000 tons are used to produce a medical tonic called kaesoju.44 So Tommy, Kwan, and Dinesh are faced with an ethical dilemma within the social/communicative ritual of eating a meal. All three young men have their own cultural ethics guiding their eating habits. Kwan wants to eat it. Dinesh wants to eat vegetarian. Tommy admits that if they were in his native culture, he would try to sway them to eat beef. Who is right? The Five Approaches to Determining Which Behaviors are Ethical A central question about intercultural ethics, or whether the same ethical principles apply to all cultures, a concept sometimes referred to as metaethics, or whether this question For example, if we argue from a culturally relativistic perspective, then we must be willing to tolerate behaviors that many of us would find cruel, such as dowry—that is, a gift of some sort or financial grant to be paid to the groom's family. If the bride's family cannot meet the dowry arrangements, or if the groom's family sees the given dowry as unacceptable, the voung women are either murdered or driven to suicide by continuous harassment and torture by husbands and in-laws in an effort to coerce an increased dowry. Carol J. Williams, writing in the Los Angeles Times, notes that India's National Crime Records Bureau reported that 8,233 Indian women were killed in 2012 in dowry-related violence, 77 or nearly one per hour. Despite a rapidly expanding middle class and decades of protests and public awareness campaigns, the incidence of dowry deaths grew by nearly 3% over the previous 5 years, and torture at the hands of a husband or his family increased by 5.4%, with 99,135 cases reported by survivors in 2011. Legislation outlawing dowries in India was enacted in 1961, but such laws are typically ignored. The point here is that few persons would condone the practice of dowry deaths. There is likely not a single anthropologist who, after immersing himself or herself in Indian culture, would come away and justify or excuse such a custom under the quise of cultural relativism. 45 On the other hand, are there universal standards that everyone on the planet must obey? And who decides on these standards? Historically, scholars from across a variety of academic fields have recognized five approach, the common good approach, the rights approach, the rights approach, the rights approach, the thical actions are those that provide the greatest balance of good over evil. Some act is deemed ethical if it provides the greatest number (of people). To apply such an approach, one must first identify the courses of action that produces the greatest benefit and least harm. This approach is called utilitarianism because it emphasizes the consequences of actions on the well-being—that is, the utility—of all persons directly benefiting from or harmed by the act.47 The Rights Approach focuses on an individual's right to choose for herself or himself. Advocates of a right sapproach maintain that humans are distinct from other living beings on the planet because they have the free will to choose their course of action and that such free will to use people (e.g., hurt, manipulate) in ways they do not freely choose. Other fundamental human rights include the right to the truth, the right to be respected and treated as free, rational, and capable of making their own decisions. Thus, in this view, acts are ethical to the extent that they respect the rights of others. Acts are wrong to the extent that they violate the rights of others. 48 The Fairness or Social Justice Approach is based on the Aristotelian dictum that "equals 78 should be treated equally." In this case, the ethical

question is whether an act treats everyone in the same way or whether it shows favoritism and/or discrimination—that is, treats some unequally. Advocates of this approach maintain that favoritism benefits some people without a justifiable reason. Discrimination burdens people without a justifiable reason. and/or discrimination is unethical. This approach requires that people be treated with consistency.49 The Common Good Approach The community and their subsequent actions should contribute to the community good. This approach has a more societal orientation than does utilitarianism in that it emphasizes that one's actions affect everyone's welfare, including a society's system of just laws, public safety, affordable health care, an effective education system, a clean environment, and even public recreation areas. Thus, an ethical act is one that such social policies are not violated, especially those that may inordinately affect vulnerable members to follow their individual goals, the common good approach also challenges societal members to recognize and advance the goals shared by the community.50 The Virtues Approach The virtues approach asserts the idea that there are certain ideals, principles, or standards (i.e., virtues) toward which every individual should strive to reach his or her highest potential. become. Virtues such as truth, beauty, honesty, courage, compassion, generosity, tolerance, love, fidelity, integrity, fairness, self-control, and prudence are encouraged. Actions manifested in such virtues are considered ethical. In dealing with an ethical problem using the virtue approach, individuals should ask themselves, "What kind of person should I be? What will promote the development of character within me and my community?"51 The Ethical Principles of Eastern Cultures, all of them have their roots in Western ideology and philosophy and may not be applicable to all cultures. To be sure, Robert Shuter asserts that many of the fundamental tenets of the those five approaches are, in fact, not a part of the ethics that implicit in most of these classical approaches is the tenet that human acts are considered ethical to the extent that they contribute to the happiness and general well-being of the individual—that 79 truthfulness, equality, choice, and fairness are paramount in defining an ethical act. Moreover, Shuter argues that these perspectives place the free will of the individual at the center of ethics, above all else. Such focus assumes that humans are naturally reasonable and intellectual. But as Shuter points out, the ethical principles of some major Eastern ethical codes do not follow the same assumptions. Two traditions, including Confucianism and Hinduism, have very different perspectives on what is ethical. writings of Confucius. Cultures and countries strongly influenced by Confucianism include China, Korea, Japan, Taiwan, and Vietnam. Unlike many of the Western approaches to ethics that stress free choice and ethical acts. The wises of humans is competent at ritual and practicing ritual in all circumstances. By definition, rituals restrict, rather than free, human action. In addition, where many of the Western approaches elevate humans all flourish interdependently.53 In Confucianism, there are five basic virtues: ren (benevolence/altruism), yi (integrity/sense of rightness), li (rite and propriety), chi (moral understanding), and shin (trust).54 Within these five virtues is the recurring theme that humans are defined by their obedience to their place in the social hierarchy of relationships. By definition, social hierarchies rank order people and prescribe rules for proper conduct within each level of the hierarchy. In Confucianism, the five principal relationships are (1) ruler and subject, (2) parent and child, (3) husband and wife, (4) older sibling and younger sibling, and (5) friend and friend. Peace and harmony can be achieved only if people know, understand, and practice their proper place in society.55 Some scholars maintain that Confucianism fosters inequality. Yeanmi You states that Confucianism has fostered gender biases by promoting the belief that a son is preferred over a daughter and a man is inherently superior to a woman in society. A woman who is as talented and educated as a man faces great discrimination in society because of the portrayal of a man as superior to a woman, which is deeply rooted in Confucianism.56 Hinduism is not monotheistic (i.e., purporting belief in a single god). Hindus believe that gods or divinities can take many forms, but all form one universe; Vishnu, the presentations of Brahman are Brahma. The three most important representations of Brahman are Brahma. The three most important representations of the universe; Vishnu, the preserver or protector of the universe; and Shiva, the destroyer of the universe; Vishnu, the presentations of Brahman are Brahma. rather than faith based, which means that practices—which are often social—are more important than beliefs. Jeff Spinner-Halev writes this: Hinduism is concerned with legitimizing hierarchical social relationships and mollifying deities, but what makes him into a Hindu are the ritual practices he performs and the rules to which he adheres, in short, what he does.57 Hindus believe in the reincarnation of the soul, which is rebirth after death. One's physical body is mortal, but one's soul is immortal. Hindus believe that when they die, their soul enters a new body and the cycle continues They also believe the conditions of one's present life are due to Karma, or the accumulated good or bad behavior and creates suffering through bad behavior. Eventually, the soul will achieve Moksha, or salvation, and stop the cycle of rebirths to become a part of the absolute soul. The four main objectives or aims of life include Dharma, or righteousness; Artha, or wealth; Kama, or desire; and Moksha, or salvation. Hinduism prescribes strict rules and regulations about how one is to act within one's caste level. In some cases, the lower caste may not be allowed even to interact with the higher caste. In India's caste system, there are four levels: (1) Brahmins—the learned, educated elites, and priests; (2) Kshatriyas—the nobles and warriors; (3) Vaishyas—the traders, businessmen, and farmers; and (4) Sudras—those who serve the needs of the uppercaste members. The Sudras are further divided into the touchables and untouchables and untouchables. The touchables are considered demeaning and polluting by the upper caste, such as barbers, hairdressers, or cleaners. The sudras are considered demeaning and polluting by the upper caste, such as barbers. Hinduism prescribes that one is born into a caste level, and it is virtually impossible to move from one caste level to the next— that is, from lower to higher levels. In traditional Hindu society, men and women are clearly not equal. The birth of a son is seen as a blessing, while the birth of a daughter is met with misgivings—she is a financial burden to the family.58 So we can see from the Intercultural Conversation box in this section that Tommy, Kwan, and Dinesh have themselves an ethical standards. 81 The Goal: Intercultural Communication Competence The fundamental goal of this book is to help you become a competent intercultural communicator. Intercultural communication competence is defined as the degree to which you effectively adapt your verbal and nonverbal messages to the appropriate cultural communication competence is defined as the degree to which you effectively adapt your verbal and nonverbal messages to the appropriate cultural communication competence is defined as the degree to which you effectively adapt your verbal and nonverbal messages to the appropriate cultural communication competence is defined as the degree to which you effectively adapt your verbal messages to the appropriate cultural communication competence is defined as the degree to which you effectively adapt your verbal messages to the appropriate cultural communication competence is defined as the degree to which you effectively adapt your verbal messages to the appropriate cultural communication competence is defined as the degree to which you effectively adapt your verbal messages to the appropriate cultural communication competence is defined as the degree to which you effectively adapt your verbal messages to the appropriate cultural communication competence is defined as the degree to which you effectively adapt your verbal messages to the appropriate cultural communication competence is defined as the degree to which you effectively adapt your verbal messages to the appropriate cultural communication competence is defined as the degree to which you effectively adapt your verbal messages to the appropriate cultural communication competence is defined as the degree to which you effectively adapt your verbal messages to the appropriate cultural communication competence is defined as the degree to which you effectively adapt you effective have to adjust and modify the kinds of verbal and nonverbal messages you send. This process requires that you have some knowledge about the person's culture with him or her, and that you have the appropriate verbal and nonverbal skills to encode and decode messages. intercultural communication competence The ability to adapt one's verbal and nonverbal messages to the appropriate cultural context. Intercultural context intercultural context intercultural competence varies from situation. That is, a particular American may be quite competent while interacting with Chinese people and relatively incompetent when interacting with Germans. Verbal appropriate behaviors conform to the rules, norms, and expectancies of the cultural context.60 For example, when greeting a Japanese person in Japan, one is expected to bow. The rules associated with bowing are determined by one's status and typically does not make direct eye contact. Effective behaviors are
those that successfully perform and accomplish the rules and nonverbal messages vary considerably perform and accompletent. As we have seen throughout this book, the appropriateness and effectiveness of verbal and nonverbal messages vary considerably perform. across cultures. Behaviors considered appropriate in one culture may not be appropriate in another culture. An Integrated Model and Measure of Intercultural Communication Competence For the past 10 years or so, Lily Arasaratnam and her colleagues have been developing an integrated model and measure of intercultural communication competence. Like others, Arasaratnam maintains that being a competent intercultural communicator involves knowing about other cultures, having an appropriate 82 behavior can be best judged and determined from the endency, and applying appropriate 82 behavior can be best judged and determined from the endency. perspectives of both the communicator enacting the behavior and the other person with whom intercultural communication occurs. Moreover, Arasaratnam contends that a person who is competently in other intercultural exchanges as well.62 In related research, Arasaratnam and Marya Doerfel discovered that those who were identified as competent intercultural experience/training, (c) approach tendencies, (d) a global attitude, and (e) listening skills. Arasaratnam and Doerfel arrived at these five characteristics via interviews with persons from 15 different countries who were asked to describe a competent intercultural communicator. Arasaratnam and Doerfel interviewed persons from the United States, Bahamas, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Burkina Faso, China, Egypt, Ghana, India, Indonesia, Ivory Coast, Japan, Malaysia, Nigeria Norway, and the Philippines.63 Specifically, they wanted to identify those traits in competent intercultural interactants that transcend the cultures. Stepping Into a Different Culture Hanna Klecka Hanna Klecka St. Norbert College, 2015 Communication major and Spanish minor Studied abroad in Florence, Italy, Spring 2015 During my semester abroad in Italy, I discovered many similarities and differences between American and Italian. From the start, I learned that everyday interactions, like ordering a cappuccino at a local bustling café, were very stressful experiences. When I wandered into Café Michelangelo for the first time one morning, I made the mistake of waiting in line behind a crowd of people. I quickly learned that in Italy, lines often do not exist. This crowd of people was a swarm of other patrons also attempting to get their morning coffee fix, and there was no systematic way for me to get to the front. This situation gave me severe anxiety. Italians were entering this café and would force their way through the others congregated around the counter. They proceeded to yell what they wanted to the owner, Marco, while hovering nearby, loudly conversing with other patrons while they waited for their daily espresso. In the United States, we wait in lines so regularly that it is something we no longer think about. We like organization, and lines are an integral part of this 84 systematic and predictable process, especially when ordering something to eat. The disorder at the café caused me confusion and stress. The act of getting coffee, something that was so simple for me to do in America, was proving to be very difficult in Italy. Over time, as I frequented this little café, I adapted my communication strategies to match those used by Italians. If I wanted something to drink or eat, I had to be persistent and sometimes tough—at least from an American standpoint. By the end of my stay in Florence, this unwavering attitude became inherent in my actions and motivations, it allowed me to be a competent intercultural communicator. Empathy, of course, involves the extent to which one can infer the cognitions and motivations of another. Here, empathy also includes the ability to sense, accurately perceive, and appropriately respond to one's personal, interpersonal, and effort to talk, understand, and extend help. This includes the anticipation of or actual engagement in intercultural communication Intercultural experience and training involves the actual study of intercultural communication. Respondents in the study reported that taking a course in intercultural communicators are perceived as such because they are willing to spend time listening and learning, and they know about cultural matters and are good at relating to differences individuals who are open to others, are better at communicating, show interest in differences and are good at relating to differences and are aware of them. For example, are they speaking from their own cultural perspective or trying to communicate in the other's cultural mode or speaking in a cultural mode of spea Assessment 1.4: The Intercultural Communication Competence Scale Directions: The following items may or may not describe how you think, feel, and behave when interacting with people from different cultures. In the space to the left of each item, indicate the degree to which you (5) strongly agree, (4) agree, (2) disagree, or (1) 2. I feel a sense of belonging to a group of people based on relationship (i.e., family, strongly disagree with the statement. There are no right or wrong answers. It's best to record your initial response and not think too much about it. 1. I often find it difficult to differentiate between similar cultures (e.g., Asians, Europeans, Africans, etc.). friends) instead of cultural identity (i.e., people from my culture, people from other cultures). 3. I find it easier to categorize people based on their cultural identity than their personality. 4. I often notice similarities in personality between people who belong to completely different cultures. 5. If I were to put people in groups, I 6. I feel that people from other cultures have many valuable things to teach me. 7. I feel more comfortable with people from my own culture than with people from other cultures. would group them by their culture rather than by their personality. 8. I feel closer to people with whom I have a good relationship, regardless of whether they belong to my culture or not. _____9. I usually feel closer to people who are from my own culture because I can relate to them better. _____10. I feel more comfortable with people who are open to people from other cultures than with people who are not. 11. Most of my close friends are from other cultures. 12. I usually change the 13. When I interact with someone from a different culture, I usually try to adopt some of his or her ways. 14. Most of my friends are from my own culture. 15. I usually look for opportunities to interact with people from other cultures. Scoring: Reverse your way I communicate depending on whom I am communicating with. responses for Items 1, 2, 7, 8, 9, and 14. For these six items, if your original score was a 3, leave it to a 2; if your original score was a 3, leave it to a 2; if your original score was a 4, reverse it to a 2; if your original score was a 4, reverse it to a 2; if your original score was a 4, reverse it to a 1; if your original score was a 4, reverse it to a 1; if your original score was a 4, reverse it to a 1; if your original score was a 4, reverse it to a 2; if your original score was a 4, reverse it to a 1; if your original score was a 4, reverse it to a 1; if your original score was a 4, reverse it to a 1; if your original score was a 4, reverse it to a 2; if your original score was a 4, reverse it to a 1; if your original score was a 4, reverse it to a 2; if your original score was a 4, reverse it to a 2; if your original score was a 4, reverse it to a 2; if your original score was a 4, reverse it to a 2; if your original score was a 4, reverse it to a 2; if your original score was a 4, reverse it to a 2; if your original score was a 4, reverse it to a 4; and if your original score was a 4, reverse it to a 4; and if your original score was a 4, reverse it to a 4; and if your original score was a 4, reverse it to a 4; and if your original score was a 4, reverse it to a 4; and if your original score was a 4, reverse it to a 4; and if your original score was a 4, reverse it to a 4; and if your original score was a 4, reverse it to a 4; and if your original score was a 4, reverse it to a 4; and if your original score was a 4, reverse it to a 4; and if your original score was a 4, reverse it to a 4; and if your original score was a 4, reverse it to a 4; and if your original score was a 4, reverse it to a 4; and if your original score was a 4, reverse it to a 4; and if your original score was a 4, reverse it to a 4; and if your original score was a 4, reverse it to a 4; and if your original score was a 4, reverse it to a 4; and if your original score was a 4, reverse it to a 4; and if your original score was 15 items. Your score must range between 15 and 75. Higher scores (above 55) indicate more intercultural communication competence. SOURCE: Arasaratnam, L. (2009, May). The Development of a New Instrument of Intercultural Communication. Journal of Intercultural Communication, 20. Published by Elsevier. URL: 86 Chapter Summary The purpose of this chapter is to emphasize the necessity of intercultural communication. The first part of this chapter argued that recent technological, political, and sociological advancements have created a global village only dreamed about 30 years ago. The essential effect of this technology is its decentralizing role in dissemination across the planet now have access to information not available to them only a few years ago. Information empowers people. While the dream of a global village holds great promise, the reality is that diverse people have diverse opinions, values, and beliefs that clash and too often result in violence. Although the challenges of an increasingly diverse world are great, the benefits are even greater. Communicating and establishing
relationships with people from different cultures can lead to a whole host of benefits, including healthier communities; increased tolerance. Only through intercultural communication can such conflict be managed and reduced. The second part of this increased tolerance. chapter offered some definitions of communication and culture. Both terms are difficult to define. Communication is a dynamic, interactive, transactive, transact part, can be defined as an accumulated pattern of values, beliefs, and behaviors shared by an identifiable group of people with a communication is essentially contextual. The cultural, microcultural, and environmental contexts surround the communicators, whose sociorelational contexts are defined by the exchange of verbal and nonverbal messages encoded and decoded within each interactant's perceptual context. The third part of this chapter let you discover something about yourself—in this case, your intercultural communication apprehension. When we interact with someone from a different culture, we are faced with a set of the set of lot of uncertainty. We may not know anything about the person's culture, values, habits, behavior, dress, and so on. We may not know what to say or do in such circumstances. This uncertainty about the other person may cause us to feel nervous and anxious and may lead us to avoid such circumstances. willing to approach intercultural situations and are sensitive to the differences in those situations. This part of the chapter took a look at ethics. Ethics become salient (i.e., particularly relevant) whenever human behavior and decision. making are conscious, voluntary, and 87 impact others, such as during intercultural communication. Finally, the fifth part of this book—that is, for you to become a competent intercultural communicator. 88 edge.sagepub.com/neuliep7e SAGE edge offers a robust online environment featuring and impressive array of free tools and resources for review, study, and further exploration, keeping both instructors and students on the cutting edge of teaching and learning. 89 Discussion Questions 1. In what ways is the United States changing demographically? What will the population look like in 50 years? 2. Why are so many people afraid of communication? 3. Why are so many people afraid to communicate with people from cultures different from their own? 4. Using the definition of culture presented in this chapter, how would you describe your culture? 5. How do the various contexts of the contextual model of intercultural communication relate to one another? 6. Why is it that during intercultural communication "the message sent is rarely the message received"? 7. What does it take to become an intercultural competent communicator? 90 Developing Intercultural competent. In an effort to reach that goal, try to practice the following: 1. Although it may sound contradictory, one way to become more aware of cultural differences is to become mindful of your own behaviors. So the first step to become more aware of cultural differences is to become mindful of your own behaviors. bookstore, are you consciously aware of the physical space between you and the other students? When you have a conversation with your friends at lunch are you consciously aware of your eye contact? The physical distance we assume while shopping and the eye contact? differs considerably across cultures. So ... Step 1, pay attention to your own behavior and keep in mind that what seems very natural to you is not natura ICCS: Intercultural Communication Competence Scale Do you see any patterns here? Were your scores high or low? Why might that be? 91 Key Terms communication 13 context 12 culture 16 dynamic 11 ethnocentrism 28 GENE (Generalized Ethnocentrism) Scale 28 Generalized Ethnocentrism Scale intercultural communication 20 intercultural communication apprehension 25 intercultural communication competence 37 microculture 18 Personal Report of Communication Apprehension (PRCA-24) 13 process 11 symbol 11 92 The history of our planet has been in great part the history of the mixing of peoples. —Arthur M. Schlesinger Jr.1 93 2 The Cultural Context © iStockphoto.com/photojournalis 94 Learning Objectives 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. Recognize that no culture is purely individualistic or purely collectivistic Discuss the research behind the notion of a panculture is purely individualistic or purely collectives 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. Recognize that no culture is purely individualistic or purely collectives that are high context and some that are low context Compare value orientations among cultures that are high context and some that are high context and some that are high context and some cultures that are high context and some that are high context and some that are high context and some cultures that are high context and some that are high context and some cultures that are high context. Compare and contrast large and small power distance cultures that are weak uncertainty avoidant and some that are strong uncertainty avoidant The cultures that are strong uncertainty avoidant the learn to organize their thoughts, emotions, and behaviors in relation to their environment. Although people are born into a culture, it is not innate. Culture is learned. Culture is learned. Culture is learned. Culture is not innate. respects, the terms communication and culture can be used interchangeably. Yet the influence of culture; however, culture influences our every thought, feeling, and action. As the late internationally recognized anthropologist Edward T. Hall asserted in the quote at the beginning of this chapter, culture hides more than it reveals, particularly from its own members. Australian anthropologist Roger Keesing argues that culture in terms of its geography; for example, we think of Saudi Arabia as a hot, desert culture and of Siberia as a cold, mountainous one. But culture is more a human phenomenon than a geography certainly affects how people live within a particular culture, the people, more than the geography certainly affects how people live within a particular culture. think about the people. That being said, it is also important to understand that cultures of people are not static but, rather, dynamic. This means that cultures change; they are fluid, always moving. For example, in December 2015, for the first time in history, women in Saudi Arabia were allowed to vote. Xanthe Ackerman and Christina Asquith report that women in Saudi Arabia face numerous barriers to financial and personal freedom, and in these elections, Saudi women won 20 seats, only 1% of the 2,100 municipal seats, which carry little power—but the presence of women in government marks a significant evolution of women's rights and offers a role model for the next generation of Saudi decades, anthropologists, communication researchers, psychologists, and sociologists have 95 isolated several dimensions of cultural variability] High The cultural continua allow us to represent the dimensions of cultural variability as continuous and varying in magnitude by degree. In other distance, and uncertainty avoidance. Each of these dimensions affects how people communicate. The five dimensions of cultural variability will be presented along cultural continua: Low [words, no culture is purely and absolutely individualistic or collectivistic. Instead, a culture may be more individualistic or more collectivistic than some other culture in which a large power distance is practiced should not be thought of as the opposite of a culture in which small power distance is practiced. In some cases, dimensions of culturel variability may coexist in cultures are in a state of great transition. Thus, a culture that was once considered collectivistic may now be considered individualistic. For example, Japan is considered a collectivistic, group-oriented society. However, since the 1950s, Japan has been strongly influenced by Western culture. Many Japanese scholars have observed that the younger generation of Japanese, while still considered collectivistic, is more individualistic than that of their parents and especially of their grandparents. Likewise, although the United States is considered very individualistic, many U.S. businesses and corporations employ collectivistic management models in the workplace, focusing on teamwork and so forth—that does not mean that every person in that culture is an individualist. The United States, for example, is considered an individualistic cultures are dynamic, continuously developing and evolving. 96 Individualism-Collectivism Perhaps the single most studied dimension of cultures falling on one side of the continuum are individualistic, while those falling toward the other side are collectivistic. Cultures falling at the midpoint might possess both individualistic and collectivistic tendencies dominate. 4 FIGURE 2.1 Individualistic tendencies dominate. 4 FIGURE 2.1 Individu Individualism Researchers at the University of Michigan analyzed more than 250 studies that investigated individualism, or both.5 They found that the most relevant feature of individualism, as defined in the majority of the studies they reviewed, was valuing personal independence. Researchers at the University of Auckland in New Zealand point out that valuing personal independence involves putting an emphasis on personal autonomy, and achieving self-fulfillment. Moreover, individualists strive to maintain distinctive personal autonomy, and achieving self-fulfillment. to see themselves as unique from others.6 individualism Cultural orientation in which the individualism and collectivism. Triandis, from the University of Illinois, is well known for his work on individualism and collectivism. Triandis discusses four defining attributes of individualism collectivism: 1. How individuals perceive themselves (e.g., "I am distinct and unique" vs. "I am a member of a family, tribe") 2. How individuals relate to others?") 3. The goals
individuals follow (e.g., "I want to win" vs. "I'm a team player to help the group win") 4. What drives individuals' behavior (e.g., "It is my right to do this" vs. "My duty is to my group") 97 Triandis writes that in individualistic cultures, emphasis is placed on individualistic cultures, emphasis is placed on individualistic cultures, emphasis is placed on individualistic cultures stress values that benefit the individual person. The self is promoted because each person is viewed as uniquely endowed and possessing distinctive talent and potential. Individualistic cultures, people are taught to be creative, self-reliant, and assertive.7 Triandis and others have pointed out that an important ingredient of individualistic cultures is that the individual is emotionally disconnected from in-groups such as the family. Because the individual has been taught to be independent, social control depends more on personal guilt than on shame or other social norms or conformity. Ironically, members of individualistic cultures tend to belong to many groups, but their affiliation with those groups is short-lived. Many of the groups, or occupational groups, or occupational groups, are designed to enhance self-worth. Such groups might include self-help groups, or occupational groups, or occupations and economies based on occupational specialization, in which individuals do different jobs. Cultural complexity also occurs in cultures where people are separated from one another either geographically or through migration patterns. Many individualistic cultures where people are separated from one another either geographically or through migration patterns. Kemmelmeier point out that the central ingredient of collectivism is the assumption that groups bind and mutually obligate individuals.10 In their extensive review of literature, they found that collectivism is linked to a sense of duty to group, interdependence, harmony, and working with the group. Triandis asserts that in collectivistic societies, group goals take precedence over individual goals. collectivistic societies are characterized by subordinating personal goals for the sake of preserving the in-group. Collectivistic societies are characterized by extended primary groups such as the family, neighborhood, or occupational group in which members have diffuse mutual obligations and expectations based on their status or rank. In collectivistic cultures, people are not seen as isolated individuals. People are not seen as isolated individuals. shared and accountability is collective. A person's identity is defined by his or her group memberships.11 98 Triandis points out that while collectivistic cultures stress the importance of the group over the individual, their members tend to belong to fewer groups than do persons in individualistic cultures. Unlike the individualist, the collectivist is emotionally connected to the in-group. A collectivist's values and beliefs are consistent with his or her in-groups may last a lifetime. In many collectivistic cultures, the primary value is harmony with others. Triandis observes that because group harmony is so highly valued, obedience to and compliance with in-group pressures is routine. One's behavior is guided more by shame than by personal guilt. A collectivist who stands out from the group disrupts the harmony and may be punished. Most collectivistic cultures value social reciprocity, obligation, dependence, and obedience. But by far, the primary value stressed by many collectivism in China Pengfei Song My name is Pengfei Song, and I'm a 25-year-old guy from China. I was raised in Qiqihaer, a city located in the northeast part of the country. Before I came to the United States to get my bachelor's degree, I had received my education in China from kindergarten through the first 2 years in university. As far as I can recall, the idea of collectivism was introduced to me when I was in elementary school. I was told that serving your country and people should be your priority, regardless of what you want to do in the future. The reason for this is that we, as Chinese, believe that individuals, to a large extent, cannot have a peaceful life without the prosperity of our nation. Put in another way, our nation is defined as the big family, whereas each household is a small family within that big family. As a result, it is very common for the Chinese people to emphasize group goals over individual goals and to think more in terms of "we." Dating back to a sports meeting in my high school, I remember that I signed up to compete in the 200meter race with other students from other classes in my grade. I decided to do it not because I had the ability to win, but because my class needed someone to represent it, and I wanted to be that I was not just myself; I stood for all my classmates. So if I failed, my class failed. Fortunately, I won the competition, which meant victory for the whole class. So the repute apparently belonged to my class, not to me. 100 Individualism Versus Collectivism? Although they sound as though they individualism and collectivism? Although they sound as though they sound as though they sound as though they individualism? Deschamps, and Dario Páez point out that the degree of individualism or collectivism within someone may be triggered by the social context and one's social relations. They suggest that individualistic relations are motivated in particular situations, such as in business relationships, whereas with family members, the relationships, such as with one's spouse, parent, neighbor, or coworker.14 So Who's an Individualistic attitudes in different types of relationships, such as with one's spouse, parent, neighbor, or coworker.14 So Who's an Individualistic attitudes in different types of relationships, such as with one's spouse, parent, neighbor, or coworker.14 So Who's an Individualistic attitudes in different types of relationships, such as with one's spouse, parent, neighbor, or coworker.14 So Who's an Individualistic attitudes in different types of relationships, such as with one's spouse, parent, neighbor, or coworker.14 So Who's an Individualistic attitudes in different types of relationships, such as with one's spouse, parent, neighbor, or coworker.14 So Who's an Individualistic attitudes in different types of relationships, such as with one's spouse, parent, neighbor, or coworker.14 So Who's an Individualistic attitudes in different types of relationships, such as with one's spouse, parent, neighbor, or coworker.14 So Who's an Individualistic attitudes in different types of relationships, such as with one's spouse, parent, neighbor, or coworker.14 So Who's an Individualistic attitudes in different types of relationships, spouse, parent, neighbor, or coworker.14 So Who's an Individualistic attitudes in different types of relationships, spouse, parent, neighbor, or coworker.14 So Who's an Individualistic attitudes in different types of relationships, spouse, parent, neighbor, or coworker.14 So Who's an Individualistic attitudes in different types of relationships, spouse, parent, neighbor, or coworker.14 So Who's an Individualistic attitudes in different types of relationships, spouse, neighbor, or coworker.14 So Who's an Individualistic attitudes in different types of relationships, spouse, neighbor, or coworker.14 So Who's an Individualistic attitudes in different types of relationships, spouse, neighbor, or coworke and Who's a Collectivist? Because there can be considerable within-country variation, labeling a particular country or culture as individualistic or collectivistic is difficult and may lead to overgeneralizations. However, in their landmark analysis of more than 250 research articles on individualistic or collectivistic is difficult and may lead to overgeneralizations. able to draw some conclusions.15 The central focus of this study was to answer the question, Are European Americans are more individualistic and less collectivistic than other groups? In general, the answer was yes, European Americans are more individualistic and less collectivistic than other groups? European Americans were more individualistic than all but 12. European Americans were generally lower in collectivism as well. There were exceptions, though: U.S. citizens were higher in collectivism than were people in New Zealand, France, Singapore, Tanzania, Egypt, Costa Rica, and Venezuela. Oyserman et al. noted that one of the most remarkable findings was that U.S. citizens were slightly more collectivistic than Japanese, and no difference was observed between the former and Koreans on collectivistic measures. However, in her recent research, Toshi Imada found that stories in U.S. textbooks highlight themes of individualism, such as self-direction and achievement, whereas Japanese stories highlight themes of collectivism, such as conformity and group harmony. Her study also found cultural differences in story characteristics (e.g., the narrator, attribution of the outcome, picture content) that are related to individualism and collectivism. were simultaneously lower in individualism and higher in collectivism than were U.S. citizens, there was notable variety within East Asian countries regarding individualism but lowest in collectivism. For example, Chinese were highest in individualism but lowest in collectivism. Koreans were between Chinese 101 and Japanese on these measures. This may be because South Korean culture has unique features and found fischer and a number of his colleagues examined 11 countries and found that among these countries, the United States ranked highest in overall individualism.17 Overall Individualism 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. United States Germany India Lebanon New Zealand Peru Brazil Taiwan Saudi Arabia United Kingdom Argentina 102 AN INTERCULTURAL CONVERSATION: Individualistic Cultures To be sure, one's individualistic or collectivistic disposition will affect communication. In the
following exchange, Mr. Patterson, a U.S. manager working in Korea, is meeting with his scenario, Mr. Patterson reports to Mr. Wyman about some changes he has made within several of his sales teams. Later, Park Young Sam, their Korean counterpart, enters the dialogue.18 Mr. Patterson: Good morning, Mr. Wyman. Thanks for meeting with me this morning. As you know, our division has been doing very well this quarter. In fact, our numbers are up across the board. Mr. Wyman: Yes, I've seen your quarterly reports. Nice job! Mr. Patterson: Thanks. To recognize their hard work, I've made some changes in our sales teams. I've created team leaders in each group, I promoted Choi Mino. All of them have been real leaders. I think this idea will really motivate them. In fact, I met with the groups individually and announced the promotions. Mr. Wyman, and Park Young Sam, a Korean manager, are discussing the poor performance of Mr. Patterson's sales teams. Mr. Wyman: Well, just look at these dismal results. The numbers for this quarter are way down from last quarter. What's happened? Mr. Patterson: I don't know. Ever since I introduced the team leader concept, the groups' productivity has really plummeted. I thought it was a great idea. I guess I chose the wrong people to lead the teams. I'll assign new leaders tomorrow. Park Young Sam: Well ... you may select new leaders if you desire, but the men you chose were all very capable. However, by elevating them, you made them stand out and disrupted the harmony of each group. In Korea, we all work hard for the group ... not just one person Mr. Patterson: I guess I should have just left things as they were. Following their individualistic orientations, Mr. Patterson and Mr. Wyman were perfectly comfortable with the idea of appointing team leaders within the individualistic orientations, Mr. Patterson and Mr. Wyman were perfectly comfortable with the idea of appointing team leaders within the individualistic orientations, Mr. Patterson and Mr. Wyman were perfectly comfortable with the idea of appointing team leaders within the individualistic orientations, Mr. Patterson and Mr. Wyman were perfectly comfortable with the idea of appointing team leaders within the individualistic orientations, Mr. Patterson and Mr. Wyman were perfectly comfortable with the idea of appointing team leaders within the individualistic orientations, Mr. Patterson and Mr. Wyman were perfectly comfortable with the idea of appointing team leaders within the individualistic orientations, Mr. Patterson and Mr. Wyman were perfectly comfortable with the idea of appointing team leaders within the individualistic orientations, Mr. Patterson and Mr. Wyman were perfectly comfortable with the idea of appointing team leaders within the individualistic orientations, Mr. Patterson and Mr. Wyman were perfectly comfortable with the idea of appointing team leaders within the individualistic orientations, Mr. Patterson and Mr. Wyman were perfectly comfortable with the idea of appointing team leaders within the individualistic orientations, Mr. Patterson and Mr. Wyman were perfectly comfortable with the idea of appointing team leaders within the individualistic orientations, Mr. Patterson and Mr. Wyman were perfectly comfortable with the individualistic orientations, Mr. Patterson and Mr. Wyman were perfectly comfortable with the individualistic orientation appe poor performance. In the United States, workers are often motivated by the opportunity for promotion and advancement, as this serves the individualistic cultures, however, workers may be motivated by the opportunity for promotion and Mr. Wyman could have consulted with Mr. Sam prior to making the probably would have advised against it. Patterns of Individualistic, considered individualistic, considered individualistic, considerable regional variation exists. Because of ecological, historical, and institutional practices, the Deep South is the most collectivistic region of the United States. Defeat in the Civil War, the institution of slavery, relative poverty, and the prominence of religion all contribute to the collectivistic tendencies of the South. In addition, the South is the most collectivistic tendencies of tendecies of tendencies of tendecies of tendec the area, is also considered fairly collectivistic. Hawaii, too, has a culture different from the rest of the United States, with about 65% of its population coming from Asian cultures. Hence, much of its culture has collectivistic characteristics, and Hawaii would be considered collectivistic. On the other hand, the Mountain West and Great Plains are thought to be the most individualistic regions in the United States. 19 In their research, Joseph A. Vandello and Dov Cohen created an index designed to measure collectivism in different regions of the United States. percentage of households with grandchildren in them, divorce-to-marriage ratio, percentage of those voting Libertarian over the past four presidential elections, ratio of people living alone, and percentage of self-employed people. Their index showed a general pattern of relative collectivism in the South, particularly in the former slave states, with maximum individualism and collectivism in the Great Plains and Mountain West. Montana was the most collectivistic (see Table 2.1).20 104 The point has been made several times now that variations of individualism and collectivism can be seen within any culture. No culture is purely and entirely individualistic or collectivistic. To account for this phenomenon, Triandis and other cross-cultural researchers distinguish between individualistic or collectivistic. To account for this phenomenon, Triandis and other cross-cultural researchers distinguish between individualism and collectivistic. believe that individualism-collectivism cannot be measured at the cultures may vary considerably. We can, however, measure an individualism-collectivism. Communication Consequences of Individualism- Collectivism A given culture's orientation toward individualism or collectivism has important behavior is guided by one's personal attitudes, social behavior is guided by the group. Along with group membership come prescribed duties and obligations. Among individualists, social behavior is guided by one's personal attitudes, motivations, and other internal processes. To be sure, individualistic cultures value and reward an individual's 105 uniqueness. The United States, for example, is replete with contests and ceremonies that recognize individual accomplishment. People are publicly rewarded for being the most beautiful, thinnest, strongest, fastest, tallest, smartest, youngest, oldest, funniest, or "best" at whatever they aspire to do. Collectivistic cultures, on the other hand, stress harmony and cooperation. Collectivists strive for the approval of the in-group, which is accomplished not by standing out, but by conforming to the group's norm. From the collectivist's perspective, an individual who stands out from the group disrupts harmony. In the United States, "the squeaky wheel gets the grease," but in Japan, "the tallest nail gets hammered down."21 Triandis maintains that a culture's individualistic or collectivistic orientation will likely affect child-rearing practices. In individualistic cultures, child rearing emphasizes independence, exploration, creativity, and self-reliance. Individualistic parents encourage their children to be unique, express themselves, and be independent. The children of individualistic parents understand that they are to leave home once they reach a certain age or education level. In fact, it is thought odd or unusual if Though rank order exists in the individualist's family, decisions are often made democratically. In collectivistic cultures, child rearing emphasizes conformity, obedience, security, and reliability. Collectivistic shome, where rank in the family is often determined by sex and age.22 Collectivists are more conscious of in-group/out-group distinctions than are individualists. According to William B. Gudykunst and his colleagues, individualists tend to initiate and maintain specific friendships based on desirable gualities of the other person. Collectivists form friendships that are determined by their hierarchical role in society. Collectivists tend to apply the same value standards to all, whereas collectivists tend to apply different value standards to members of their in-groups and out-groups. For example collectivists are likely to use the equality norm (i.e., equal distribution of resources) with in-group members.23 Finally, in their exhaustive review of studies, Oyserman, Coon, and Kemmelmeier summarized behavioral traits that have been shown to be associated with individualism and collectivism (see Table 2.2).24 106 Vertical and Horizontal Individualistic cultures, individualistic cultures, for example, link self-reliance with competition, while other individualistic cultures do not. Some collectivistic cultures do not. To account for some of these finer distinctions among individualistic and collectivistic cultures, Triandis and his colleagues differentiate between vertical and horizontal individualism and collectivism. According to Theodore M. Singelis, Harry C. Triandis, Dharm P. S. Bhawuk, and Michele J. Gelfand, horizontal individualism is a cultural orientation in which an autonomous self is valued, but the individualism and collectivism. nevertheless the same as others. Vertical individualism is the cultural orientation in which an autonomous self is also valued, but the self is seen as different from and perhaps unequal to others. Status and competition are important aspects of this orientation. The United States and France are examples of vertical individualism, whereas Sweden and Austria are examples of horizontal individualism.26 Horizontal collectivism is the cultural orientation in which the individual sees the self of others. Equality is expected and
practiced within this orientation. China is probably a good example of horizontal collectivism. Theoretical communism is an example of extreme horizontal collectivism. Vertical collectivism is the cultural orientation in which the individual sees the self as an integral part of the in-group, but the members are different from one another, some having more status than others. The self is interdependent, and inequality within the group is valued. In this orientation, serving and sacrifice are 107 important. Japan, India, and rural traditional Greece are examples of vertical collectivism. horizontal individualism Cultural orientation in which an autonomous self is valued but the self is more or less equal to others vertical individualism Cultural orientation in which an autonomous self is valued and the self is seen as different from and perhaps unequal to others horizontal collectivism Cultural orientation in which the self is seen as a member of an in-group whose members are similar to one another vertical collectivism Cultural orientation in which the individual sees the self as an integral part of the ingroup but the members are different from one another (e.g., status) 108 Self-Assessment 2.1: Individualism and Collectivism Scale Over the past decades, cross-cultural researchers have spent considerable effort developing instruments designed to measure one's relative degree of individualism and collectivism. Researchers at the University of Auckland in New Zealand recently developed the Auckland Individualism and Collectivism Scale.25 Directions: The following are 20 statement, indicate the frequency with which you engage (or not) in the behaviors described—(1) never, (2) rarely, (3) sometimes, (4) usually, or (5) always. For example, if you always discuss job or study-related problems with your parents, you would put a 5 in the blank. Work quickly and record your initial response. 1. I discuss job or study-related problems with my parents. 2. I consult my family before making an important decision. taking a major trip, I consult with most members of my family and many friends. 4. It is important to consult close friends and get their ideas before making a decision. 5. Even when I strongly disagree with my group members, I avoid an argument. _ 6. I hate to disagree with others in my group. _____ 7. In interacting with superiors, I 9. I define myself as a competitive person. 10. I enjoy working in situations involving competition with others. 8. I sacrifice my self-interest for the benefit of my group. 11. Without competition, it is impossible to have a good society. 12. Competition is the law of nature. 13. I consider myself as a 15. I see myself as "my own person." 16. It is important for me to act as an independent person. 17. I take responsibility for my own actions. 18. Being able to take care of myself is a primary concern for me. unique person, separate from others. 14. I enjoy being unique and different from others. 19. I consult with my 20. I prefer to be self-reliant rather than depend on others. Scoring: To compute your collectivism score, sum your responses for Items 1 through 8. Your sum must be between 8 and 40. Higher sums (e.g., > 30) indicate a prevalence for collectivism. To compute your individualism score, sum your responses for Items 1 through 8. Your sum must be between 8 and 40. Higher sums (e.g., > 30) indicate a prevalence for collectivism. To compute your individualism score, sum your responses for Items 1 through 8. Your sum must be between 8 and 40. Higher sums (e.g., > 30) indicate a prevalence for collectivism. superior on work-related matters. Items 9 through 20. Your sum must be between 12 and 60. 109 Higher sums (e.g., > 45) indicate a prevalence for individualism. 110 Self-Assessment 2.2: Measuring Horizontal and Vertical Individualism. 110 Self-Assessment 2.2: Measuring Horizontal and Vertical Individualism. decided spontaneously to go out to dinner at a restaurant. What do you think is the best way to handle the bill? A. B. C. D. Compute each person's charge Split it equally, without regard to who ordered what Split it according to how much each person makes The group leader pays the bill or decides how to split it according to what that person ordered 2. Which of these four book topics are you more likely to find interesting? A. B. C. D. now to enjoy yourself inexpensively 3. When you buy clothing How to make irlends How to succeed in business How to make sure you are meeting your obligations for a major social event, you would be most satisfied if ... A. B. C. D. your friends like it. your parents like it. you like it. 4. When people ask me about myself, I ... A. B. C. D. it is so elegant it will dazzle everyone. talk about my friends and what we like to do. talk about my accomplishments. talk about my Tell my boyfriend/girlfriend that I need my parents' ancestors and their traditions. talk about what makes me unique. 5. Suppose your boyfriend/girlfriend and your parents do not get along very well. What would you do? A. Tell my boyfriend/girlfriend that he/she should make a greater effort to "fit in with my family" B. Remind my boyfriend/girlfriend that my parents and family are very important to me and he/she should submit to their wishes D. financial support and he/she should learn to handle them C. Nothing 6. Suppose you had one word to describe yourself. What would it be? A. B. C. D. Cooperative Competitive C. Dutiful Unique 7. Happiness is attained by ... 111 A. B. C. D. linking with a lot of friendly people. winning in competition. gaining a lot of status in the community. keeping one's privacy. 8. You are at a pizza restaurant with a group of friends. How should you decide what kind of pizza to order? A. B. C. D. We order the most extravagant pizza available I order what I like. Scoring: Indicate the number of times you selected letters A. B. C. and D. The frequency that is the highest represents your general HC. VI. VC. or HI We select the pizza that most people prefer. The leader of the group orders for everyone. Horizontal collectivism (HC) Vertical individualism (VI) Vertical collectivism (VC) Horizontal individualism (HI) SOURCE: This scale for measuring horizontal and vertical individualism and collectivism is adapted from Triandis, H. C., Chen, X. P., & Chan, D. K. S. (1998). Scenarios for the orientation. A. B. C. D. Measurement of Collectivism and Individualism. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 29, 275–289. In a recent comparison of U.S., Thai, and Japanese students on horizontal and vertical individualism and collectivism, Robert M. McCann, James M. Honeycutt, and Shaughan A. Keaton found significant differences among the three groups and within each group. Regarding horizontal individualism, the U.S. students scored higher than the Japanese, who scored higher than the groups on vertical individualism, where we might have expected the U.S. students to score higher than the other groups. Finally, the Japanese scored higher on horizontal and vertical collectivism, then, in order, horizontal collectivism, vertical collectivism, vertical collectivism, and vertical individualism. The Japanese students scored highest on horizontal collectivism, virtually the same on vertical collectivism and horizontal individualism, then lowest on vertical individualism, then are advantages and disadvantages to being an individualism, there are to being a collectivist. Neither approach is "better" than the other; they are simply different orientations. The goal is to recognize and understand the differences, thereby increasing your intercultural differences, thereby increasing your intercultural differences. much. Asian cultures, in particular, are often branded as collectivistic. Recently, the individualism-collectivistic of criticism. In her analysis of the Chinese, Hui-Ching Chang argues that by describing cultures as only collectivistic. cultures, including rich histories, has been ignored. As Chang asserts, Although it is through the lens of the metaphor "collectivism" that we are allowed to focus on group membership and patterns of relationships in Asian cultures, at the same time, we lose sight of other aspects of delicate cultural reasoning that underlie manifested behavior patterns.28 The essence of Chang's argument is that we cannot rely on single metaphorical distinctions such as individualism-collectivism if we really want to accurately describe and ultimately understand other cultures. 113 The Pancultural Self As mentioned earlier, in individualistic cultures, emphasis is placed on individual goals over group goals, values that benefit the self are championed, the self is promoted, and individuals are encouraged to pursue and develop their individual abilities and aptitudes. In these cultures, people are taught to be creative, self-reliant, competitive, and assertive. cultures, group goals take precedence over individual goals, values that serve the in-group are stressed, and people are not seen as isolated individuals but as interdependent with others. In these cultures, the collective self is the most fundamental basis of self-definition. Yet a growing body of literature suggests that the individual self is pancultural that is, that the individual self is more fundamental to self-definition across cultures than is the collective self. Constantine Sedikides and her associates maintain that two factors play a key role here selfenhancement and self-protection. Self-enhancement refers to the idea that people desire to maintain and enhance positive self-views. Self-protection is conceptually the opposite—that is, to minimize negative self-views. communicative situations across cultures.29 According to Sedikides, to preserve self-enhancement, individuals engage three communicative strategies: positivity embracement refers to those communicative strategies: positivity embracement, individuals engage three communicative strategies: positivity embracement refers to
those communicative strategies: positivity embracement, individuals engage three communicative strategies: positivity embracement, individuals engage likely to provide them with positive feedback. When the positive feedback is given, the individual then takes credit for it. For example, when students receive good grades, they assume it was due to their abilities. Favorable construal strategies involve individuals creating self-serving cognitions about the world around them. Sedikides argues that during communication most people compare themselves with others and believe they are better than average on important traits and often interpret ambiguous feedback from others as flattering. When faced with threats, however, individuals reflect on their past successes to counter possible threats. On the other hand, during self-protection communication, the individual proactively prepares for negative feedback. For example, Sedikides asserts that people often self-handicap before potentially evaluative situations to provide an excuse for failure. and discount such feedback. For example, when students perform poorly on an exam, they may attribute it to poor instruction or to the exam being loaded with "trick questions." 30 114 Considerable debate surrounds the idea of whether self-enhancement and self-protection motivation is equally forceful across cultures. Some scholars maintain that collectivistic values are in direct opposition to self-enhancement and self-protection—that the group is primary. Others maintain that self-enhancement and self-protection are universally held across cultures but are practiced differently according to specific cultural norms and values. collectivistic cultures sanction and even endorse self-enhancement and self-protection, but via different means. Collectivism is just another way to promote the self. For example, in individualistic cultures of the West (e.g., Japan, Korea, China), it is accepted and tolerated to expect reciprocity based on seniority. In other words, in both types of cultures, a person's motivations for behavior and self-description tasks, people generate more aspects of their individual self than their collective self, regardless of their cultural individualism or collectivists.—often serves as a means through which to accomplish individual goals. Still others maintain that in collectivistic cultures, individuals may temporarily sacrifice their self-interest for the group as long as they expect to receive rewards from the group eventually (e.g., being perceived as a good team member). Finally, in both individualistic and collectivistic cultures, self-enhancement is sanctioned through upward mobility, status seeking, and general promotions of the self.31 Nao Oyama points out that collectivism has long been used to describe Japanese collectivistic orientation has been decreasing and that many Japanese now have an individualistic orientation. To be sure, collectivism remains as a cultural system in Japan, especially in decision-making in companies or government and in cases of company loyalty or village exclusiveness, but such an expression of collectivism is a false appearance produced by individually oriented people using collectivistic methods for the realization of personal goals. For example, to value hard work to get rich or to study hard to make a name for oneself indicates an individual orientation that depends on a social system. In behavioral terms, obedience to a social system resembles the behavior of persons with a collective orientation, but the real value orientation, but the real value orientation underlying the behavior is individualism and collectivism, at least as practiced and valued in Japan, are not so different.32 As Lowell Gaertner, Constantine Sedikides, and Kenneth Graetz note, 115 Given a choice, however, most persons would opt to stay home rather than go to war, save their hard-earned money rather than go to war, save their hard-earned money rather than go to war, save their hard-earned money rather than pay taxes, and relax in the company of their favorite music than engage in community volunteer work. At the same time, most persons would cherish the protection of the group when attacked individually, seek the financial support of the group when experiencing individual financial troubles, and call on the aid of the community in times of individual financial support of the group when experiencing individual financial troubles, and call on the following intercultural conversation, undergraduate students Gary, Karen, and Quan are discussing their performance on a recent exam. Gary and Karen are from the United States, and Quan is an exchange student from China. Gary got an A on that exam. Quan: That's great! Wow, Gary, you are so smart! Gary: Yeah, I studied my butt off for that exam. That's why I did so well. How did you do, Karen? They taught me good study habits. I can't wait to tell them of my success. I think the instructor is excellent as well. How did you do, Karen? Karen: I got a D. I think the professor sucks, and I think a lot of the questions on that exam were pretty tricky. I think he just wants us to do poorly. Gary: I don't know about that. I worked really hard to do well. I think I've become a pretty good student in the past few years. Maybe you just need to study more. Karen: He just doesn't like me. Quan: I have honored my parents. That is a very good thing in my country. Notice how all three attribute their performance on the exam to different causes, but each can be seen as a dimension of self-enhancement or self-protection. In the conversation, Gary asks Quan to join him and Karen. Quan offers positive feedback to Gary, and Gary attributes his success on the exam to his study habits. Both are examples of positivity embracement. Quan attributes his success to his upbringing and the professor's excellent instruction, but he recognizes that the success is his own and feels good about himself. have or will provide him with positive feedback. This is another example of positivity embracement. Karen, on the other hand, engages in self-protection by suggesting that her poor performance on the exam was not her fault but, rather, was due to poor instruction and tricky exam questions. 117 High- and Low-Context Communication Human communication is dependent on the context in which it occurs. In addition to the verbal and nonverbal codes exchanged between interactants, the salient features of a communicative context includes, among myriad other variables, such features as individualism and collectivism. The physical environment includes the actual geographical location of the interactants (e.g., superior/subordinate, teacher/student, husband/wife). The perceptual environment consists of the attitudes, motivations, and cognitive dispositions of the interactants. Each of these contexts provides a wealth of information to the interactants focus on these contexts while communicating varies considerably from culture to culture. Depending on contextual features present during communication, some persons choose to focus more on the verbal elements, while others actively monitor the nonverbal elements, while others actively monitor the nonverbal elements, while others actively monitor the nonverbal elements of the context. Hall described the former as low context and the latter as high context. Hall described the former as low context and the latter as high context. one in which most of the information is either in the physical context or is internalized in the person, while very little is in the coded, explicit, transmitted part of the message. A lowcontext (LC) communication is just the opposite; i.e., the mass of information is vested in the explicit code.34 Like individualism and collectivism, high-low context is best conceptualized along a cultural continuum (see Figure 2.2). No culture exists exclusively on one end of the continuum. FIGURE 2.2 High- and Low-Context Cultures Hall argued that the environmental, sociorelational, and perceptual contexts have an immense impact on communication High-context cultures generally have restricted code systems. Users of a restricted code system rely more on the contextual elements of the communication is not general in content across individuals, but is specific to particular people, places, and times. Within a high-context transaction, the interactant will look to the physical, sociorelational, and perceptual environment for information. Of particular importance is the social relationship between the interactants, especially their statuses. As Hall noted, high context Cultural orientation in which meanings are gleaned from the physical, social, social, and psychological contexts Twins who have grown up together can and do communicate more economically (HC) than two lawyers in a courtroom during a trial (LC), a mathematician programming a computer, two politicians drafting legislation, two administrators writing a regulation, or a child trying to explain to his mother why he got into a fight.35 Because interactants in a high-context culture know and understand each other and their appropriate roles, words are not necessary to convey meaning. One acts according to one's role. Words and sentences may be collapsed and shortened. In this sense, restricted codes are not unlike local dialects, vernacular, or even jargon used by a welldefined group. Users of restricted codes interpret messages based on their accumulation of shared experiences and expectations. Hall contended that persons communicating in high-context cultures understand that information from the physical, sociorelational, and perceptual environment already exists and need not be codified verbally. Therefore,

high-context communication is fast, proficient, and gratifying. Unlike low-context communication are implicit, and communication involvestanding in high-context communication are implicit. using and interpreting messages that are not explicit, minimizing the content of verbal messages, and being sensitive to the social roles of others. Although there are exceptions, many high-context cultures have collectivistic tendencies, including to Hall, in a low-context transaction, the verbal code is the primary source of information. Low-context cultures generally rely on the verbal code system for creating and interpreting meaning. Information to be shared with others is coded in the verbal message. Although persons in low-context transactions are explicitly outlined. Users of elaborated codes are dependent on words to convey meaning and may become uncomfortable with silence. In low-context transactions, the communicants feel a need to speak. People using low-context communication are expected to communicate in ways that are consistent with their feelings. Hence, lowcontext cultures are individualistic, including Switzerland, includi Germany, Scandinavia, the United States, France, and the United Kingdom.37 low context Cultural orientation in which meanings are encoded in the verbal code Communicate differently, especially with the use of silence. Charles Braithwaite argues that one of the fundamental components of cultural and linguistic competence is knowing how and when to use silence as a communicative exchange, the interactants generally are content with silence because they do not rely on verbal communication as their main source of information. Silence, in fact, communicates mutual understanding. Much of the meaning in communication is expected to be interpreted by the receiver. In communicative exchanges between persons of differing status, the person with lower status may recognize the higher status of the other through silence. Steven Pratt and Lawrence Weider contend that many Native American/American Indian tribes use silence as a way of recognizable Indian knows that neither he nor she nor the others have an obligation to speak and that silence on the part of all conversants is permissible.39 In her book on the contemporary Japanese woman, Sumiko Iwao writes that most Japanese feel that expressing especially personal or intimate details is best done nonverbally or intuitively—that is, without words. Iwao writes, There is an unspoken belief among the Japanese in general that putting deep feelings into words somehow lowers or spoils their value and that understanding attained without words is more precious than that attained through precise articulation. 40 120 Unlike in high-context transactions, silence is uncomfortable. Persons who do not talk are often perceived negatively. When someone is quiet in a low-context transaction, others may suspect that something is amiss. Silence somehow communicates a problem. Low-context cultures typically separate the issue of communication from the person with whom they are interacting. A manager might say to an employee, "Don't take it personally," as he or she reprimands the person. High-context cultures, on the other hand, tend to see the topic of communication as intrinsic to the person. This results in low-context cultures that deliver a direct style of communication, whereas a high-context person prefers indirectness typified by extreme politeness and discretion. 121 AN INTERCULTURAL CONVERSATION: Highand Low-Context Cultures In the following exchange, Mr. Hutchinson is the head of Information Technology within his organization. Mr. Wong is the lead computer programmer. Mr. Wong was born and raised in Malaysia, a high-context culture. The two are discussing when Mr. Wong will put a computer program into production. Note that Mr. Hutchinson's speech is direct and to the point, while Mr. Hutchinson uses 4 times as many words as Mr. Wong.41 Mr. Hutchinson: The program looks good and passed the test run with only minor errors. When do you think you can put it into production? I don't see any production schedule here. The changes need to go into the system by the end of the month. Is that possible? When do you want to go with this? Mr. Wong: Maybe I should review the requirements. Mr. Hutchinson: The errors were minor. Quality Control needs to know when it will go into production. Let's set the production date now. Just tell me when you'll fix the errors. I'll tell QC. Mr. Wong: Perhaps I can e-mail you an estimate. I'll talk to the team. Mr. Hutchinson: Couldn't you just tell me when you'll have them fixed? Here, it's no big deal. (Hands Mr. Wong the program.) Don't they seem like easy fixes? Mr. Wong: (Looks at the program but says nothing—as if not hearing Mr. Hutchinson: Mr. Hutchinson: Mr. Hutchinson: Mr. Hutchinson: Mr. Wong: Yes. Whenever you prefer is fine. (Hands the program back to Mr. Hutchinson) Mr. Hutchinson: I don't need this. (Hands the program back to Mr. Hutchinson) Mr. Hutchinson: Mr. Hutchinson: Mr. Hutchinson: Mr. Hutchinson: I don't need this. (Hands the program back to Mr. Hutchinson) Mr. Hutchinson: Mr. Hutchins that is fine. In the previous dialogue, Mr. Hutchinson misses the hint that Mr. Wong is unable to set a production date. When Mr. Wong indicates that setting a date is difficult and will require some expertise, he is indirectly telling Mr. Hutchinson that he is not in a position to make the decision on his own and would prefer to discuss it with the team. Mr. Wong further signals his discomfort by telling Mr. Hutchinson that he could e-mail him the date. Mr. Hutchinson ignores Mr. Wong's status in the organization and further complicates the issue by handing Mr. Wong simply asks Mr. Hutchinson to set the date for production and agrees to whatever he says. 122 Self-Assessment 2.3: Low- and High-Context Communication styles. The instrument that follows in Self-Assessment 2.3 is an adaptation of Gudykunst's scale.42 At this point in the chapter, you have been given the opportunity to assess your own level of individualism- collectivism and the degree to which your communication style is not better than the other; they are simply different. The goal is for you to have a better understanding of yourself and those persons with different cultural backgrounds. Individualism-collectivism and high/low context are two dominant ways cultures differ. But perhaps what guides cultural behavior more than anything else is the values held by large collectives. Directions: The following are 32 statements regarding how you feel about communicating in different ways. In the blank to the left of each item, indicate the degree (1-9) to which you agree or disagree with each statement. If you are unsure or think that an item does not apply to you, enter a 5 in the blank. Strongly Disagree 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 Strongly Agree _____1. I catch on to what others mean, even when they do not say it directly. 2. I show respect to superiors, even if I dislike them. 3. I use my feelings to determine whether to trust another person. 4. I find silence awkward in conversation. 5. I communicate in an indirect fashion. 6. I use many colorful words when I talk. 7. In an argument, I insist on very precise definitions. 8. I avoid clear-cut expressions of feelings when I communicate with others 9. I am good at figuring out what others think of me. 10. My verbal and nonverbal speech tends to be very dramatic. 11. I listen attentively, even when others are talking in an uninteresting manner. 12. I maintain harmony in my communication with others. 13. Feelings are a _____14. When pressed for an opinion, I respond with an ambiguous statement/position. ______15. I try to adjust myself to the feelings of the person with whom I am communicating. ______16. I actively use a lot of facial expressions when I talk. valuable source of information. 17. My feelings tell me how to act in a given situation. able to distinguish between a sincere invitation and one intended as a gesture of politeness. 123 19. I believe that exaggerating stories makes conversation fun. 20. I orient people through my emotions. 21. I find myself initiating conversations with strangers while waiting in line. 22. As a rule, I openly express my feelings and 23. I feel uncomfortable and awkward in social situations where everybody else is talking except me. 24. I readily reveal personal things about myself. 25. I like to be accurate when I communicate. 26. I can read another person "like a book." 27. I use silence to avoid upsetting others when I communicate. emotions 28. I openly show my disagreement with others. 29. I am a very precise communicator. Scoring: Reverse your score for Items 4, 6, 7, 10, 16, 19, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25 and an extremely open communicator. 30. I can sit with another person, not say anything, and still be comfortable. 31. I think that untalkative people are boring. 32. I am an extremely open communicator. 28, 29, 31, and 32. If your original score was 1, reverse it to a 9; if your original score was a 2, reverse it to an 8; and so on. After reversing the scores indicate low-context communication. Higher scores indicate low-context communication from Gudykunst, Matsumoto, Ting-Toomey, Nishida, Kim, & Heyman, The Influence of Cultural Individualism-Collectivism, Self Construals, and Individual Values on Communication Research, 22, 1996, pp. 510-543. 124 Value Orientations In his seminal book on values, Milton Rokeach argues that the value concept, more than any other, should occupy a central position across all social sciences concerned with human behavior.43 Values affect intercultural communication. When people from different cultures come together to interact, their messages are guided by and reflect their fundamental value orientations. People who strongly value individuality will likely interact differently than will people from different cultures, from which intercultural communication can
proceed. Like culture, values are learned; they are not innate or universal. Rokeach argues that values guide us in the selection and justification of social behavior. Values are the evaluative component of an individual's attitudes and beliefs. Values guide how we think about things in terms of what is right/wrong and correct/incorrect. Values trigger positive or negative emotions. Values are concepts or beliefs that pertain to outcomes and behaviors, guide the selection and evaluation of behaviors, and are rank ordered according to their relative importance to the individual 45 Although any individual probably has a unique set of values, there are also sets of values of U.S. citizens. His list was generated from his personal experiences, U.S. literature and prose, social science research, and studies of criminal behavior in the United States.46 Most of Hsu's values reflect U.S. individualistic tendencies. In addition, they echo our emphasis on equality (discussed later under Power Distance) and our determination to push toward the future. 125 Hsu's Postulates of Basic U.S. Values 1. An individual's most important concerns are self-interest, self-expression, self-improvement, self-expression, self-improvement, self-interest, self-expression, self-improvement, self-interest, self-expression, self-improvement, self-expression, sel by invitation. 3. Because the government exists for the benefit of the individual and not vice versa, all forms of authority, including government, are suspect. Patriotism is good. 4. An individual's success in life depends on acceptance among his or her peers. 5. An individual should believe in or acknowledge God and should belong to an organized church or other religious institution. Religion is good. Any religion is good. Any religion. 6. Men and women are equal. 7. All human beings are equal. 7. All human beings are equal. 8. Progress is good and inevitable. An individual must improve himself or herself (minimize returns); the government must be more efficient to tackle new problems; institutions such as churches must modernize to make themselves more attractive. 9. Being a U.S. citizen is synonymous with being progressive, and the United States—an individualistic, low-context culture—are those of China—a collectivistic, high-context culture. A group of crosscultural researchers calling themselves the Chinese Culture Connection (CCC) constructed a list of 40 dominant Chinese values. The CCC is an international network of social scientists under the direction of Michael Harris Bond, a professor in the Department of Management and Marketing at Hong Kong Polytechnic University. The members of the CCC approached a number of Chinese social scientists and asked each of them to prepare a list of 10 fundamental and basic Chinese values. Although their procedure resulted in considerable overlap, they were able to eliminate redundancy by creating a master list of 40 values. 47 126 The Chinese Value Survey 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31. 32. 33. 34. 35. 36. 37. 38. 39. 40. Filial piety (obedience to parents, respect for parents, honoring of ancestors) Industry (working hard) Tolerance of others Harmony with others Humbleness Loyalty to superiors Observation of rites and social rituals Reciprocation of greetings, favors, and gifts Kindness Knowledge (education) Solidarity with others Moderation, following the middle way Self-culturation Ordering relationships by status and observing this order Sense of righteousness Benevolent authority Keeping oneself disinterested and pure Thrift Persistence Patience Repayment of both the good and evil that another person has caused you A sense of cultural superiority Adaptability Prudence (carefulness) Trustworthiness Having a sense of shame Courtesy Contentedness with one's position in life Being conservative Protecting your "face" A close, intimate a sense of shame Courtesy Contentedness with one's position in life Being conservative Protecting your "face" A close, intimate a sense of shame Courtesy Contentedness with one's position in life Being conservative Protecting your "face" A close, intimate a sense of shame Courtesy Contentedness with one's position in life Being conservative Protecting your "face" A close, intimate a sense of shame Courtesy Contentedness with one's position in life Being conservative Protecting your "face" A close, intimate a sense of shame Courtesy Contentedness with one's position in life Being conservative Protecting your "face" A close, intimate a sense of shame Courtesy Contentedness with one's position in life Being conservative Protecting your "face" A close, intimate a sense of shame Courtesy Contentedness with one's position in life Being conservative Protecting your "face" A close, intimate a sense of shame Courtesy Contentedness with one's position in life Being conservative Protecting your "face" A close, intimate a sense of shame Courtesy Contentedness with one's position in life Being conservative Protecting your "face" A close, intimate a sense of shame Courtesy Contentedness with one's position in life Being conservative Protecting your "face" A close, intimate a sense of shame Courtesy Contentedness with one's position in life Being conservative Protecting your "face" A close, intimate a sense of shame Courtesy Contentedness with one's position in life Being conservative Protecting your "face" A close, intimate a sense of shame Courtesy Contentedness with one of the protecting your "face" A close, intimate a sense of the protecting your "face" A close, intimate a sense friend Chastity in women Having few desires Respect for tradition Wealth In the Chinese Value Survey, Jianxin Zhang and Michael Harris Bond affirmed the dominance of filial piety in Chinese value Survey, Jianxin Zhang and Michael Harris Bond affirmed the dominance of filial piety surpasses all other cultural ethics in Chinese Value Survey, Jianxin Zhang and Michael Harris Bond affirmed the dominance of filial piety surpasses all other cultural ethics in Chinese Value Survey, Jianxin Zhang and Michael Harris Bond affirmed the dominance of filial piety surpasses all other cultural ethics in Chinese Value Survey, Jianxin Zhang and Michael Harris Bond affirmed the dominance of filial piety surpasses all other cultural ethics in Chinese Value Survey, Jianxin Zhang and Michael Harris Bond affirmed the dominance of filial piety surpasses all other cultural ethics in Chinese Value Survey, Jianxin Zhang and Michael Harris Bond affirmed the dominance of filial piety surpasses all other cultural ethics in Chinese Value Survey, Jianxin Zhang and Michael Harris Bond affirmed the dominance of filial piety surpasses all other cultural ethics in Chinese Value Survey, Jianxin Zhang and Michael Harris Bond affirmed the dominance of filial piety surpasses all other cultural ethics in Chinese Value Survey, Jianxin Zhang and Michael Harris Bond affirmed the dominance of filial piety surpasses all other cultural ethics in Chinese Value Survey, Jianxin Zhang and Michael Harris Bond affirmed the dominance of filial piety surpasses all other cultural ethics in Chinese Value Survey, Jianxin Zhang and Michael Harris Bond affirmed the dominance of filial piety surpasses all other cultural ethics in Chinese Value Survey, Jianxin Zhang and Michael Harris Bond affirmed the dominance of filial piety surpasses all other cultural ethics in Chinese Value Survey, Jianxin Zhang and Survey, Jian parents, living or dead, as well as toward their ancestral worship, ensure the continuity of the family line, and conduct themselves in a way 127 that brings honor to and avoids shaming the family name. Zhang and Bond assert that Chinese filial piety extends beyond the limits of one's direct nuclear family. Chinese filial piety prescribes not only absolute parental authority over children but also, by extension, the authority of those senior in rank. Zhang and Bond maintain that Chinese filial piety influences myriad social behaviors—even in modern China, where Western, individualistic culture has been introduced.48 In their research on Chinese values of trust, fidelity, altruism, and unspecified obligations of reciprocity norms are an important source of strategic advantage, giving Chinese corporations resilience and flexibility to cope with change.49 Researchers George Domino and Mo Therese Hannah argue that Chinese told by U.S. children, the Chinese stories demonstrated greater social orientation, greater emphasis on public shame, fewer interpersonal confrontations, more instances of teamwork, more concern for the role of authority, greater preoccupation with moral and ethical rectitude, more expressions, and less economic orientation.50 Schwartz Theory of Basic Values Schwartz, professor emeritus at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem, has studied human values systems for nearly 30 years and developed the Schwartz theory of basic human values. Schwartz theory of basic human values and identifies characteristics common to all values and those that differentiate one value from another. Perhaps the most debated aspect of this theory is characteristics common to all values and those that differentiate one value from another. that Schwartz identifies 10 basic personal values are universal and recognized across cultures. These 10 values are considered universal requirements of human existence: (a) the needs of individuals as biological organisms, (b) the fundamentals of coordinated social interaction, and (c) survival and welfare needs of groups. Schwartz's theory has been tested in a number of studies, and most of them reveal a remarkable consistency in these 10 values across the world's cultures.51 As we have seen in this chapter, scholars from across a wide range of academic disciplines have studied human value systems, and many of them tend to treat values as a way to distinguish and characterize the
uniqueness of a particular culture. Schwartz argues that although his typology of 10 basic human values is universal, individuals and groups may differ significantly in terms of the relative importance of a specific value. Regarding the nature of values, Schwartz outlines six characteristics he believes are true for all values. First, Schwartz points out that values are beliefs linked to affect; that is, people are emotionally connected to values are beliefs linked to affect; that is, people are emotionally connected to values. example, people who value benevolence are prompted to help others in need. Third, values transcend (exceed or go beyond) specific actions and situations. The value of benevolence, for example, will motivate the individual at home, work, school, and so on. Fourth, values transcend (exceed or go beyond) specific actions and situations. good or bad, right or wrong. Fifth, an individual's values are ranked hierarchically. Individuals across cultures order and prioritize their values, sixth, attitudes and behavior are typically motivated and driven by more than one value; that is, multiple values are true for all values, but what distinguishes one value from another is the type of goal or motivation it expresses.52 129 Schwartz's 10 Basic Human Values 1. Self-direction: The defining goal of this value type is independent thought and action. (Freedom, creativity, independence, choosing own goals, curiosity, self-respect) 2. Stimulation: The goal is derived from the need for variety and stimulation to maintain an optimal level of activation. Some of these needs are biological, while others are learned/cultural. (An exciting life, a varied life, daring) 3. Hedonism: The goal here is the need and motivation for pleasure. (Pleasure, enjoying life) 4. Achievement: The goal of this value type is the need and value of personal success and prestige. (Ambition, influence, capability, success, intelligence, self-respect) 5. Power: This value is satisfied by the attainment of social recognition) 6. Security: The goal here is the need for safety, harmony, and the stability of society and relationships. (National security, reciprocation of favors, family security, sense of belonging, social order, health, cleanliness) 7. Conformity: This value is embodied in the restraint of actions, inclinations, and impulses. (Obedience, self-discipline, politeness, honoring of parents and elders) 8. Tradition: This value is characterized by the importance of religious rites, beliefs and norms of behavior that, over time, are valued and passed on by a collective. (Respect for children, devotion, acceptance of one's portion in life, humility, moderation) 9. Benevolence: The goal of this value is the need and motivation for positive interaction and affiliation. (Helpfulness, responsibility, forgiveness, honesty, loyalty, mature love, true friendship) 10. Universalism: The value of understanding, appreciation, tolerance, and protection for the welfare of all people and for nature. (Equality, unity with nature, wisdom, a world at peace) In earlier typologies, Schwartz included an 11th value of spirituality. Here, the defining goal is meaning, coherence, and inner harmony. In a number of studies, however, this value did not appear consistently across cultures. As already mentioned, while individuals and groups may differ in how they rank the 10 values, most studies demonstrate remarkable consistency among cultures and their rankings of these values. Studies by numerous scholars have gathered data from hundreds of diverse geographic, cultural, linguistic, religious, age, gender, and occupational groups from more than 80 countries. These studies show that benevolence, universalism, and self-direction are typically ranked highest, whereas power and stimulation are ranked lowest.53 In trying to explain why these 10 values are pancultural (across cultures), Schwartz points to two factors: (a) human nature and (b) maintaining societies and social function of values is to motivate and control the actions of group members for the sake of the group. Here, two points are critical: First, Schwartz contends that values function as guides for individuals and their social behavior in that they mitigate the necessary and constant monitoring of 130 the individuals and their social behavior in that they mitigate the necessary and constant monitoring of 130 the individual by the group. that thwart the goals of the group. For example, Schwartz maintains that the high ranking of benevolence across cultures stems from the importance of cooperative social relations in the family—where children learn the values of the larger society and cultures stems from the importance of cooperative social relations in the family—where children learn the values of the larger society and cultures stems from the importance of cooperative social relations in the family—where children learn the values of the larger society and cultures stems from the importance of cooperative social relations in the family—where children learn the values of the larger society and culture that surrounds them. motivates positive social interactions, especially among those perceived as different, such as in school, work, or social settings. Self-direction values cultivate creativity and innovation, which satisfy individual needs without necessarily hurting the group. of others. On the other hand, power is in the top 10 because it motivates people to work for group interests, such as seeking out a high-ranking political or religious position whose function is to help the group.54 Kluckhohn and Strodtbeck's Value Orientations In the early 1960s, Florence Kluckhohn and Fred Strodtbeck developed the concept of value orientations. They argued that every culture has universal problems and conditions that must be addressed. For example, every culture must face the issues of child rearing. For a given culture, however, there are a limited number of solutions to these problems. These possible solutions are motivated by the values of the culture. Initially, Kluckhohn and Strodtbeck created five sets of value orientations.55 Several years later, communication researchers John Condon and Fathi Yousef extended the set to a total of 25 value orientations. dominant themes: the self, the family, society, human nature, and the supernatural.56 The Condon and Yousef set of value orientations provides a structure and vocabulary that can be used to compare cultures. Although there are exceptions, many of the values on the left of the continuum are representative of individualistic, low-context cultures, while those on the right are representative of collectivistic, high-context cultures, people develop their self-identity is fostered is influenced by the cultures, people in individualistic societies, such as the United States, tend to view their accomplishments and failures personally. In the United States, a person is seen as a unique individual and strives for independence from others. When individuals lose, they are often left to suffer alone. No one wants to be seen with a loser. Whether on the top or on the bottom the individual experiences intense emotions. 132 Hsu contends that strong emotions are unavoidable because they are concentrated in one individual. The Chinese, however, are interdependent with others, and for them, responsibility and accountability are shared and divided among the group members. If the group wins, everyone in the group wins; there is no "most valuable player," so to speak. Therefore, the intense emotions experienced by winning or failing are tempered and moderated because they are shared.57 The second variation on the self-continuum is age. Western, individualistic, low-context cultures tend to value youth. Nigeria, where it is associated with wisdom. According to Philip R. Harris and Robert T. Moran, in Nigeria the elderly are respected because they have much experience and can pass on family history and tradition.58 The third variation on the self is activity. U.S. citizens identify themselves in terms of their activities, usually professions and one with the universe and oneself.59 The Family Familial relationships differ across cultures. Harris and Moran write that in Nigerian s value their family lineage and may have privileges and responsibilities based on family name. Furthermore, marriage is seen as a way of producing more children to contribute to this lineage. If one's spouse is sterile, it is grounds for divorce. Some ethnic groups in Nigeria also practice polygamy. Wives are often acquired through the payment of a bride price to the bride's parents.60 Positional role behavior within families refers to how strictly roles are prescribed among family members. The Guatemalan Ladinos (a term used to refer to people born through interracial relationships or those who have Spanish and indigenous heritage) define a man's and woman's role within the family quite differently. Mike Keberlein, who grew up in Guatemala argues that machismo is a Spanish concept that deals mainly with how male and female roles are performed in the home. Ladinos view the men as protectors and providers and providers and providers and homemakers. Children are taught early by their mother to recognize their mother be sent to work in the fields. A girl might start household chores at the same age, where she is taught to care for younger children of the house and to cook. Young boys are expected never to cry or show signs of pain, whereas young girls are taught to show emotion whenever appropriate.61 133 Society According to Condon and Yousef, social reciprocity refers to the mutual exchanges people make in their dealings with others. In the United States, a request for a favor (e.g., "Can I borrow your car?") may imply no necessary reciprocity. In other cultures, one is required to return favors and obligations in kind. Equal exchanges are expected and obligatory.62 The second value
orientation, group membership, differs greatly among individualistic cultures. According to Condon and Yousef, members of individualistic cultures tend to join many groups throughout their affiliation with any particular group may be quite brief. The groups, social groups, self-help groups, social groups, to those groups for a lifetime.63 Human Nature The human nature orientation deals with how cultures perceive human character and temperament. In Western countries such as the United States, people are viewed as essentially rational. Children in the United States are taught to "use their heads" when making decisions. U.S. citizens frequently tell their friends to "stop being so emotional," as if being emotional implies some character flaw. Japanese children, on the other hand, are often taught to follow their intuition or to lead with their hearts. Condon and Yousef note that in the United "Don't Worry, Be Happy." Moreover, the Declaration of Independence states that people "are endowed by their creator with certain inalienable rights, that among these are life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness." Other societies and cultures view happiness and sadness as inseparable, as in the yin-yang philosophy of many Asian cultures. A Chinese proverb reads, "If a man's face does not show a little sadness, his thoughts are not too deep." Another one reads, "One should not miss the flavor of being destitute." 64 Nature In the United States, high school students learn about the structure of nature in their biology, geography, and physics classes, among others. Students learn about things they may never actually see, such as the structure of DNA. The models they see are not literal reproductions but, rather, dramatic abstract concepts and constructs. Condon and Yousef maintain that in other cultures, perhaps those with little formal education, what a person knows 134 about nature is learned through direct experience. Many Western cultures view nature as mechanistic, meaning that nature is structured much like a machine or clock. The brain, for example, is explained using computer analogies. Models of DNA look like double helixes. nature to a plant, in that nature is seen as an organic whole that is interdependent with all other natural forces.65 The Supernatural is studied almost scientifically. Scientists study the structure of space and seek, through scientific means, to find the origins of the universe. We send out satellites equipped with printed messages and recordings in a (perhaps vain) attempt to communicate with extraterrestrials. Most Western cultures believe that the order of the cosmos is knowable. Conversely, other cultures view the cosmos with a great deal of fear and uncertainty. Condon and Yousef point to a farmer in Peru who relies on the phases of the seasons to tell him when to plant or harvest his fields. The farmer in Peru who relies are uncertainty and fear. To him, these mysteries are uncertainty and fear. unexplainable.66 The value orientations presented here are neither mutually exclusive nor exhaustive. They are representative of the kinds of values held by cultures and the differences in those values. They are representative of the kinds of values held by cultures and the differences in those values. According to Geert Hofstede, while many cultures declare and even legislate equality for their members, all cultures must deal with the issue of human inequality. A fundamental tenet expressed in the beginning of the Declaration of Independence, the document on which the United States was founded, states that "we hold these truths to be self evident, that all men are created equal." Although some cultures affirm equality for their members, some form of inequality exists in virtually every culture. Inequality fall within the rubric of what Hofstede calls "power distance." In his landmark survey research, Hofstede defined power distance as "the extent to which the less powerful members of institutions and organizations is distributed unequally." 67 Power distance can be seen in families, in bureaucracies, and even in friendships. Inequality of power within organizations is inevitable and desirable in many cases for organizations to function effectively. For example, military organizations are defined by power distance (Figure 2.3). Cultures with a smaller power distance emphasize that inequalities among people should be minimized and that there should be interdependence between less powerful and more powerful and more powerful and familial decisions are reached democratically. According to Hofstede, in small power distance schools, teachers expect a certain amount of initiative and interaction with students. The overall educational process is student oriented. In class, students are expected to ask questions and perhaps even challenge their teachers. In organizations, decentralization is popular, and subordinates engage in participative decision-making. The organizational power distance cultures may change regularly. In fact, workers are expected to try to "climb the ladder of success" to more power ful roles may change regularly. recognize "earned" power—that is, power people deserve by virtue of their drive, hard work, and motivation. Moreover, small power distance cultures tend to resent those whose power is decreed by birth or wealth (i.e., positional power).68 FIGURE 2.3 Small and Large Power Distance Hofstede maintains that in cultures with a larger power distance, inequalities among people are both expected and desired. Less powerful people. In larger power distance cultures, there is a strict hierarchy among family members in which typically the father rules authoritatively, followed by the eldest son and moving down the ladder by age and sex. In educational settings, teachers, especially older teachers, especially centralized, and workers and bosses are treated unequally In many large power distance cultures, Hofstede observed, workers are generally uneducated and superiors are entitled to special privileges and status—in some cultures, by law.69 There appears to be a direct link between power distance and the latitude of the country. In a study conducted at 40 universities in the United States, Peter A. Andersen and his colleagues found a strong correlation between latitude and authoritarianism. Residents in the northern U.S. states were less authoritarianism. Residents in the southern states. The population of a country may be another predictor of power distance. group increases, it becomes unwieldy and difficult to manage informally.70 137 Photos 2.1a, b In many cultures, there is a strict hierarchy among family members. 138 © iStockphoto.com/SolStock Cultures tend to emphasize positional power. Positional power is based on formal authority (e.g., family rank). Persons with positional power distance cultures recognize and respect earned power. Earned power is based on an individual's accomplishments, hard work, and effort. Measuring Power Distance If we know the position of a culture on the power distance scale relative to our own cultures, subordinates are confrontational. Power distance tells us about dependence is limited. Workers in these cultures prefer managers who consult with them in decision-making. Subordinates are generally comfortable approaching and interacting with their superiors. In cultures with large power distances (e.g., Malaysia, Mexico, India), subordinates are considerably dependent on superiors. Communication during conflict In their research, Tom R. Tyler, E. Allan Lind, and Yuen J. Huo found that power distance influences the way people react to third-party authorities. In small power distance cultures placed more value on the quality of their treatment by authorities. In contrast, those with larger power distance values focused more strongly on the favorability of their outcomes. Tyler, Lind, and Huo suggest that the degree to which authorities can gain acceptance for themselves and their decisions through providing dignified, respectful treatment is influenced by the cultural values of the disputants. Specifically, they found that dispute resolution methods, such as mediation, are more likely to be effective among those who have small power distance values.71 In another study, Peter B. Smith, Shaun Dugan, Ark F. Peterson, and Wok Leung examined how managers handled disagreement with their subordinates. Their results showed that the larger the power distance, the more frequent the reports of out-group disagreements; the smaller the power distance, the more likely managers were to ask peers 140 to handle disagreements. The authors conclude that in small power distance cultures, managers minimize status differences during conflict and rely on peers and subordinates to assist in mediating conflict.72 141 Student Voices Across Cultures: Power Distance Ahmed I. Alshaya, and I am from Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. I graduated from St. Norbert College with a major in business administration. Saudi Arabia is a large power distance country for reasonsed from St. Norbert College with a major in business administration. concerned with favorability of outcomes. The culture focuses on the outcomes that do not disturb the harmony of the people. Power distance in Saudi family, because of this hierarchical structure the father is the head of the house. He is the one in charge of the house in all aspects. The father has some responsibilities to his house. For example, the father is responsible for teaching his offspring moral traits. That is, the family must obey the father is responsible for teaching his offspring moral traits. in charge, and usually the family looks to him in making decisions. Moving to a larger scale, society plays a big role in shaping the people of Saudi Arabia. The elderly with respect. For example, at a time of conflict, the elderly will always step in to resolve a problem, and all the parties involved will have to acknowledge the issue and show some respect for
the decision made. The "face" is a concern because, if not properly maintained, it will bring disgrace to the family. The "face" is recognized in many ways. For example, it is present during all social gatherings; the elderly are always treated with respect because the host and his sons must save their "self-face" by making the elderly feel comfortable. 142 In the workplace, Saudi Arabia is considered to be power distance oriented, especially in the relationship between subordinates and their employees. There is a strong hierarchical structure. Employees do not participate in the decision-making role. 143 Self-Assessment 2.4: Power Distance Scale Directions: The following are 10 statements regarding issues we face at work, in the classroom, and at home. Indicate in the blank to the left of each statement, place a 1 in the blank. Work quickly disagree, (2) agree, (3) are unsure, (4) disagree with the statement. For example, if you strongly agree, (2) agree, (3) are unsure, (4) disagree with the statement. and record your initial response. 1. Within an organization, employees should feel comfortable expressing disagreements to their managers. 2. Within a classroom, students should be allowed to express their points of view toward a subject without being punished by the teacher/professor. 3. At home, children should be allowed to openly disagree with their parents. ____4. The primary purpose of a manager is to monitor the work of the employees to make sure they are doing their jobs appropriately. _____5. Authority is essential for the efficient running of an organization, classroom, or home. ____ 6. At work, people are more productive when they are closely supervised by 10. Managers, teachers, and parents should be considered equal to their workers, 7. In problem-solving situations within organizations, input from employees is important. 8. Generally, employees, students, and children should be seen and not heard. 9. Obedience to managers, teachers, and parents is good. those in charge. students, and children. Scoring: For Items 4, 5, 6, 8, and 9, reverse it to a 5. If your original responses for these items, sum your 10 responses. This sum is your power distance score. Lower scores equal smaller power distance. SOURCE: Hofstede, G. J., & Minkov, M. (2010). Cultures and Organizations: Software of the Mind, Third Revised Edition. McGrawHill. © Geert Hofstede B.V. Quoted with permission. Stella Ting-Toomey has examined power distance and the concepts of face and facework in conflict situations. Ting-Toomey and others argue that persons in all cultures have face concerns. Face represents an individual's sense of positive self-image in the context of communication. According to Ting-Toomey, everyone, in all cultures, has face concerns during conflict. Self-face is the concern for one's own image, other-face is concern for another's image, and mutual-face is concern for both parties. Facework is used to manage these face concerns, use more dominating facework, and use less avoiding facework. The provide the set of the s power distance), teachers may hold more power over students than do parents. 144 © iStockphoto.com/Oktay monkeybusinessimages of her research has investigated how power distance affects reactions to messages about alcohol warnings. Anna Perea and Michael D. Slater examined the responses of the response of the responses of the response Mexican American and Anglo young adults to four televised drinking-and-driving warnings. The messages were manipulated into large and small power distance) rated the warnings without the surgeon general as more believable than warnings with the surgeon general; the opposite was true for Latinos (large power distance).74 Student-teacher relationships exist in virtually every culture. Generally, teachers possess more legitimate and expert power than do their students. In an interesting examination of student-teacher relationships in cultures with small (i.e., Britain) and large (i.e., China) power distance, Helen Spencer-Oatey found that Chinese teachers to be interpersonally closer than did the British teachers. Yet the Chinese reported their relationships with their teachers to be interpersonally closer than did the British Moreover, the Chinese students reported that the power differential between them and their teachers was acceptable. Consistent with their value of filial piety, one Chinese students were significantly less accepting of the power differential between them and their teachers, even though that differential was small. One British student reported that teachers "certainly have these powers, but shouldn't have."75 In another interesting study, Bond and his colleagues found that persons in small power distance cultures. In their comparison of Chinese and U.S. students, they found that the Chinese were less critical of an insulter as long as the insulter as long as th In many large power distance cultures, persons of lower status are taught not to give direct eye contact to a person of higher status. In large power distance cultures, when a person of high status hands something to a person of lower status (e.g., a book), the lower status person will often use both hands to receive the item, again recognizing his or her lower status. Peter A. Andersen, Michael Hecht, Gregory D. Hoobler, and Contact. They also suggest that persons of lower power must become skilled at decoding nonverbal behavior and that persons of lower status must show only 146 positive emotions to those of higher status. Moreover, in large power distance cultures, persons of lower status smile more in an effort to appease those of higher status. To 147 AN INTERCULTURAL CONVERSATION Large and Small Power Distance Cultures Different power distance orientations manifest themselves in interaction. In the dialogue that follows, Jim Neuman is a U.S. high school exchange student in Guatemala. Coming from a smaller power distance orientations manifest themselves in interaction. In the dialogue that follows, Jim Neuman is a U.S. high school exchange student in Guatemala. not only acceptable but encouraged. In Guatemala, a larger power distance culture, the classroom is teacher centered. In Mr. Gutierrez's classroom, there is to be treated with deference. Mr. Gutierrez's classroom, there is to be treated with deference. geography. Guatemala is the northernmost country of Central America. (Jim Neuman raises his hand.) To the north, it borders the countries of El Salvador and Honduras. To the west, its natural border is the Pacific Ocean. In the east is another natural border is the Pacific Ocean. In the east is another natural border, the Atlantic Ocean, as well as the country of Belize. Jim Neuman: (Raising his hand and waving it slightly.) Mr. Gutierrez? Mr. Gutierrez: Guatemala is called the "Land of the Eternal Spring." It has all the same kinds of natural land forms as Mexico, but they are—(Jim Neuman: Mr. Gutierrez; Iim, stop interrupting, please. Jim Neuman: Mr. Gutierrez; No! If you continue to disobey, I will punish you! Be quiet! In this dialogue, Jim does not understand Mr. Gutierrez's harsh reprimand. Coming from a small power distance culture, Jim recognizes that teachers have more power than students but does not see their power as absolute. Jim sees himself as an active participant in the class. After all, for most of his life Jim's teachers have encouraged him to speak up in class. Mr. Gutierrez, on the other hand, sees the classroom as his domain, one he rules absolutely. By raising his hand, Jim demonstrates his insolence toward Mr. Gutierrez. To some extent, a certain degree of power distance is essential if cultures are to survive. Legitimate power is a necessity of civil life. Yet independence from power, liberation, and freedom of choice are politically attractive alternatives. Perhaps the ideal situation is one in which individual families operate with internally driven, large power distances, while the wider cultural milieu restricts overbearing, omnipotent, and intimidating governments. 148 Uncertainty Avoidance William Gudykunst and Young Yun Kim state that communicating with someone from an unknown culture can be uncomfortable because such situations are replete with uncertainty is high, anxiety is usually high, and communicating with someone from an unknown culture can be uncomfortable because such situations are replete with uncertainty is high, anxiety is usually high, and communicating with someone from an unknown culture can be uncomfortable because such situations are replete with uncertainty is high, and communicating with someone from an unknown culture can be uncomfortable because such situations are replete with uncertainty is high. some people avoid interacting with people from other cultures. By reducing uncertainty, however, anxiety can be reduced, which, in turn, facilitates effective and successful communication. Although uncertainty is probably a universal feature of initial intercultural communication, one's level of tolerance for uncertainty and ambiguity varies across cultures (see Figure 2.4). In addition, argue Gudykunst and Kim, the communicative strategies for reducing uncertainty also vary across cultures, for example, look to the environmental, sociorelational, and perceptual contexts for information to reduce uncertainty. People in low-context cultures tend to rely on verbal information-seeking strategies, usually by asking lots of questions.78 FIGURE 2.4 Uncertainty-Oriented Cultures Hofstede asserts that although the extent to which an individual experiences uncertainty can be shared culturally. According to Hofstede, tolerance for uncertainty is learned through culture addresses and attempts to avoid or reduce uncertainty. For example, some kinds of technology help a culture manage natural uncertainty (e.g. weather), systems of law are designed to prevent and account for behavioral uncertainty (e.g., death). A culture's technology, law, and
religion are ingrained in the individual through socialization, education, and occupation. Hence, they lead to collective patterns of law are designed to prevent and account for behavioral uncertainty (e.g., death). A culture's technology, law, and religion are ingrained in the individual through socialization. tolerance for ambiguity and uncertainty.79 Uncertainty avoidance is the degree to which the members of a particular culture feel threatened by uncertainty avoidance is the degree to which the members of a particular culture feel threatened by uncertainty. weak or strong uncertainty avoidance orientation. In cultures with a weak uncertainty avoidance orientation, uncertainty is seen as a normal part of life, in which each day is accepted as it comes. The people are comfortable with ambiguity and are guided by a belief that what is different is curious. In school settings, students are comfortable with open-ended learning situations and enjoy classroom discussion. In the workplace, time is needed only as a guide, not as a master. Precision and punctuality are learned because they do not come naturally. Workers are motivated by their 149 achievements and personal esteem or belongingness. There is also a high tolerance for innovative ideas that may conflict with the norm.80 uncertainty avoidance The degree to which members of a particular culture feel threatened by unpredictable, uncertainty in life is a continuous threat that must be fought. Life can be stressful, where a sense of urgency and high anxiety are typical. Hofstede maintains that strong uncertainty-avoidant cultures are guided by the belief that what is different is dangerous. Uncertainty-avoidant cultures are most con in structured environments. The teachers are supposed to have all the right answers. On the job, time is money; punctuality and precision are expected. There is generally resistance to innovative ideas, and workers are motivated by job security.81 A Theory of Uncertainty Orientation Related to Hofstede's concept of uncertainty avoidance is the theory of uncertainty oriented individuals have a weak uncertainty oriented individuals have a strong uncertainty avoidance tendency. Uncertainty-oriented individuals have a strong uncertainty avoidance tendency. persons' preferred method of handling uncertainty is to seek out information and engage in activity that will directly resolve the uncertainty. These people try to understand and discover aspects of the self and the environment about which they are uncertainty. circumvents uncertainty. Given the choice, persons who are certainty oriented will undertake activity that maintains clarity; when confronted with uncertainty (see Figure 2.5). FIGURE 2.5 In Uncertainty orientations 150 Generally, Eastern cultures have a preference for certainty, whereas Western cultures are uncertainty-oriented in Western populations exists because uncertainty oriented for certainty, the self. The more personally relevant or uncertainty the situation, the more uncertainty-oriented persons will be actively engaged in it. Certainty-oriented people, however, are more group oriented, as the group provides a clear standard that can be embraced by the certainty oriented because of their self-oriented and individualistic approaches to life, compared with people in Eastern societies, who, in turn, should be more certainty oriented as a function of their heavy reliance on groups.82 151 In the Intercultural Conversation dialogue that follows, Keiko is confused by Kelly's easygoing attitude toward the evening's plans. Coming from a strong uncertaintyavoidant culture, Keiko would prefer to plan ahead to avoid uncertainty and prepare her script for the evening, Kelly, on the other hand, is perfectly comfortable making plans based on how the evening progresses. Without a plan, how will Keiko know how to act? Although the feelings associated with uncertainty are personal and subjective, they can be shared by whole cultures. Although anxiety creates the same physiological responses in humans, what triggers anxiety and one's level of tolerance for it is learned. A culture's orientation toward uncertainty can be found in its families, schools, and institutions. But uncertainty avoidance ultimately manifests in human interaction. 152 AN INTERCULTURAL CONVERSATION: Weak and Strong Uncertainty avoidance One's uncertainty avoidance orientation may manifest itself in interaction in any number of ways. In the following dialogue, Kelly and Keiko are discussing a dinner invitation. Kelly, from the United States, possesses a relatively weak uncertainty avoidance index, while Keiko, from Japan, comes from a culture with a relatively strong uncertainty avoidance index. Keiko: Hey, Kelly, let's do something tonight. Keiko: Hey, Kelly: I have invited some friends over to my house for dinner tonight, but I don't know if they're coming. Keiko: Well ... as soon as you know if they're coming, let me know. Kelly: I won't know until tonight. Keiko: What time? Kelly: I won't know until they call me. They'll probably call later this afternoon. Keiko: How will you know whether or not to cook enough for everyone? Kelly: Oh, I'll make up something on the spot. I like to cook. I'll whip up something fast. Keiko: But ... what if they don't come? Won't they call and let you know? Kelly: No ... if they don't come, I'll know that something else came up. I'l the other contexts. This chapter has presented the paradox of culture is amorphous; it is shapeless, vague, and nebulous. Most of us are not aware of its influence on an individual's cognitive, affective, and behavioral choices. Over the past few decades, anthropologists, psychologists, psychologists, and sociologists have isolated several dimensions, including the extent to which we place individual goals over those of the group (i.e., individualism) or the degree to which we see ourselves as members of a group first, then as individuals (i.e., collectivism). Another dimension is high-low context, which refers to the extent to which we gather information from the verbal code (i.e., low context). One of the most influential features of our lives is our value orientation. A culture's values guide its decisions as to what is right or wrong, decent or indecent, moral or immoral. Cultures also differ regarding the extent to which people accept and expect that power is distributed unequally (i.e., large power distance) or believe that people are inherently equal (i.e., small power distance). And, finally, cultures differ in the extent to which people accept and tolerate uncertainty and unpredictability in their lives (i.e., strong uncertainty avoidance). These dimensions provide a starting point for our future examination of intercultural communication. 154 edge.sagepub.com/neuliep7e SAGE edge offers a robust online environment featuring and impressive array of free tools and resources for review, study, and further exploration, keeping both instructors and students on the cutting edge of teaching and learning. 155 Discussion Questions 1. Do you feel that you belong to an individualistic or collectivistic culture? Why? What are some of the ways you use high-context communication? What are parents indicative of large or small power distance? 5. If you have no plans for the upcoming weekend, does that make you feel anxious, or are you comfortable with not knowing? Depending on your answer, are you comfortable with not knowing? States, you are probably relatively individualistic, low context, small power distance, and have a weak uncertainty avoidance tendency. Seeing the various dimensions of cultural variability discussed in this chapter, consider the following situations and how you might address them. 1. A Saudi working in the United States wakes up ill. He sends his younger brother to work for him that day. The U.S. employer sends the brother home. What happened? The Saudi has not yet learned that in the United States, relatives usually cannot substitute for each other. Perhaps in other cultures, it is acceptable to
have one's siblings or even friends fill in on the job. If the job is done, what difference does it make? What would you do? How would you handle the situation? 2. You are at a social gathering and meet Dr. Dinesh Mammen, a local physician from India who has been living and practicing medicine in the United States for many years. You meet his wife, who has her bachelor's degree in biology and a master's degree in chemistry. You ask about her career and what she does for a living. Dr. Mammen pauses, smiles, and asserts that she stays home and takes care of his needs. How do you react? Do you think Mrs. Mammen should be following a career path related to her college degrees? 157 Developing Intercultural Communication Competence The major dimensions of cultural variability discussed in this chapter, including individualism-collectivism, high/low context, large/small power distance, value orientations, and weak/strong uncertainty avoidance, represent the language of intercultural communication. Understanding and applying these concepts is at the core of developing intercultural communication competence. 1. Your college or university probably has student groups). Attend one of their meetings and observe how the various dimensions of cultural variability are evident throughout the meeting. 2. As mentioned in Chapter 1, be mindful of your own communicative behaviors Pay attention and note how your communicative behavior is driven by your level of individualism-collectivism. For example, how do you feel when your roommates and friends are unusually quiet? You may even ask them what's wrong. Notice that silence seems wrong to you. That's your low context manifesting itself. 3. Observe how cultural groups different from yours are portrayed in the media, both in the news and in dramas/comedies and so on. Are they portrayed accurately? Are they stereotyped? 4. Go to YouTube and type in one of the dimensions of cultural variability. Watch a video or two of how it is presented. 158 Key Terms collectivism 48 high context 62 horizontal collectivism 54 horizontal individualism 54 individualism 54 individualism 54 low context 62 power distance 75 uncertainty avoidance 82 vertical collectivism 57 vertical individualism 54 159 Culture hides more than it reveals, and strangely enough what it hides, it hides most effectively from its own participants. —Edward T. Hall1 160 3 The Microcultural Context 161 © iStockphoto.com/Leonardo Patrizi 162 Learning Objectives 1. Define and explain the concept of a microculture and the criteria for membership 2. Recount the fundamental assumptions of the muted group theory 3. Provide examples of the various microcultures in the United States Within most cultures, there are groups of people who differ from the general societal culture in some custom, habit, or practice. These groups are sometimes called minorities, subcultures, or co-cultures. In this book, the term microculture is used to refer to those identifiable groups of people who share the set of values. and nonverbal symbol system. In some way, however, the microculture varies from the larger, often dominant cultural milieu. Most microculture vet are bonded together by similar experiences, traits, values, or, in some cases, histories. Hence, the term microculture includes different types of groups that could be classified by age, class, geographic region, sexual preference, disability (e.g., the deaf), ethnicity, race, size, or even occupation. Most people, regardless of culture in a variety of ways, often because of race or ethnicity. In the United States, for example, about 62% of the population is classified as White or Caucasian.2 In this context, Black Americans, Latinos, and Asian Americans might be considered microculturel groups. Microcultures can also differ from the larger culture on account of language or religion. For example, Christians and Jews might be considered microcultural groups. Finally, persons might be classified as members of their behavioral practices. Persons who use drugs are often said to belong to a counter- or drug culture—not because of their race, ethnicity, or religion, but because they use drugs. Members of the lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer/questioning (LGBTQ) community could be considered a microculture because of their sexual orientation. In any culture's context or value system. Indeed, deaf persons, who communicate using sign language, can be considered a microculture. The majority group/s power may be legal, political, economic, or even religious. The group with the most power is considered the dominant or majority group, while the less powerful groups have been known 163 as minority groups. Sociologist Richard Schaefer argues that the term minority group is a misnomer, however, in that it does not refer to the relative size of a group. According to Schaefer, a minority group is a subordinate group whose members have significantly less power and control over their own lives than do members of the dominant or majority group. A classic example is South Africa. From 1948 to 1994, Caucasians, who were greatly outnumbered by Black South Africa. From 1948 to 1994, Caucasians, who were greatly outnumbered by Black South Africa. Europeans, the indigenous people outnumbered the dominant groups. And in parts of the United States, certain ethnic/cultural groups whose members have significantly less power and control over their own lives than do members of the dominant or majority group. subculture is sometimes used to refer to microcultural groups. Like minority group, the term subculture carries negative connotations. By definition, sub- means beneath, below, and inferior. The perspective of this book is that no cultural group is beneath or below any other cultural group. power than) other groups, but such groups should not be considered inferior. Hence, the term microcultural group has been chosen as the most appropriate label for these groups. 164 Microcultural Group Status In many cultures, including the United States, microcultural group status is determined by one's membership in sex, racial, ethnic, or religious groups. Schaefer notes that social scientists generally recognize five characteristics that distinguish microcultural groups from the dominant culture. The first characteristic is that members of the group possess some physical or cultural trait that distinguishes them from others. Two obvious physical properties that distinguish one group from another are skin color and sex. In the United States, for example, Black Americans and women are considered minorities (even though women constitute about 51% of the population). White males are considered the most powerful political and economic group in the United States. Black Americans and women are considered a minority in Brazil, which depended on slave trading much more than did the United States. In fact, Brazil imported 8 times the number of African slaves than were brought to the United States in the mid-1800s.4 Other traits that can distinguish a microcultural group in Jamaica came out of the Rastafarian religious movement. According to Leonard E. Barrett, the Jamaican Rastafarian movement is the largest, most identifiable indigenous group in Jamaica. Many Rastas are recognizable by their dreadlock hair and unique dress habits. Barrett argues that their appearance is the most distinguishing mark of the Rastafarians. 5 Regardless of culture, the dominant group decides, perhaps arbitrarily, on what characteristics afford a group its microcultural status. Such traits vary considerably across cultures. The second distinguishing characteristic is that microcultural group membership is usually not voluntary. cannot choose to be of a certain race, ethnicity, or gender. Although people can choose their religion, most people are born into a religion. Northern Ireland is two-thirds Protestant and one-third Catholic. The Catholics in Northern Ireland, a minority in both numbers and power, complain of inadequate housing and education, low income, and high unemployment. They often blame the result. Pittu D. Laungani notes that a unique feature of India's society is the caste system— that is, a rigid social hierarchy. In India, one is born into a given caste level, and it is virtually impossible to move from one caste level to another.6 The third property that distinguishes a microcultural group from the macroculture is that microculture is that microcultur

group staunchly discourages or even prohibits exogamy (i.e., marrying outside one's own group). Ethnologist Suzan Ilcan of the 165 University of Windsor writes that majority groups believe that endogamy strengthens familial ties, preserves family property through inheritance, and upholds cultural and group traditions. Ilcan's work has focused on marital practices in Turkey, where endogamous marriages are viewed as a family or community affair. According to Ilcan, in the village of Sakli in the northwestern region of Turkey, spousal selection and all aspects of marriage are controlled by certain members of the family. Couples have little to do with the arrangements. Moreover, any meaningful romance between unmarried persons is not valued. Love and mutual attraction are expected to come after marriage and, even then, are not considered necessities. In Sakli, people are considered suitable marriage partners based on the compatibility of their families. elements of a marriage.7 For some groups in Pakistan, China, India, and Laos, among others, endogamous marriages are often arranged. The fourth characteristic that distinguishes a microcultural group from the dominant group is that the group members are aware of their subordinate status. particular culture, some microcultural groups become very cohesive. In many cultures, microcultural group members may prefer to live in the same neighborhoods and socialize among themselves. Finally, perhaps the most disturbing aspect of microcultural group membership is that such groups often experience unequal treatment from the dominant group in the form of segregation and discrimination.8 166 Muted Microcultural Groups Another type of power that most microcultural groups lack is linguistic power—that is, the power of language. In all cultures, language is the vehicle for representing and expressing experiences and perceptions of subordinate microcultural groups are often different from those of the dominant cultural group. For example, microcultural group does. Historically in the United States, for example, women and Black Americans could not vote or join the armed services. And only since 1994 have Black South Africans been allowed to vote in their country; for decades, they had no legal voice or representation.9 In many cultures, the subordinate microcultural groups do not contribute to the construction of the language of the dominant group. In this sense, the language of the dominant group are constructed as a construction of the language of the dominant group. may not provide the words and symbols representative of the microcultural group's perceptions and experiences. Thus, because such groups are forced to communicate within the dominant mode of expression, they become "muted." In essence, the language of the dominant mode of expression, they become "muted." microcultural group's subordination. This idea is known as the muted group theory is that microcultural groups experience difficulty expressing themselves fluently within the dominant mode of expression; that is, they may not speak the same language as the dominant group, so "micro-macro" interaction is difficult. However, because microcultural groups must communicate within the dominant group, however. In fact, the dominant cultural group experiences more difficulty than microcultural groups in understanding those groups' communication because the dominant group often considers the communication style of a microcultural group substandard or inferior and rejects it as a legitimate form of communication. muted group theory Microcultural groups are forced to express themselves (e.g., speak, write) within the dominant mode of expression in two ways. Some will refuse to live by the standards set forth by the dominant group and will try to change the dominant mode of expression. In the United States, for example, the replacement of words such as chairman with simply chair or mailman with simply chair or mailman with simply chair are not understood or used by the dominant group. They use their own language to express their unique experiences. Weber argues that sometimes the language of subordinate groups serves as a political statement that the microcultural groups have not relinquished or abandoned their political or social identity. The groups' ability to sustain a living language indicates that the members have control over a certain aspect of their lives and are determined to preserve their culture's adjustment to the world.11 168 Microcultures in the United States. The formation of microcultures is often aspect of their lives and are determined to preserve their culture. As Weber notes, one's language is a model of that culture's adjustment to the world.11 168 Microcultures in the United States. the result of immigration, annexation, or colonization.12 In this chapter, six U.S. microcultures will be explored, with particular attention paid to the communication of each and how it differs from the dominant macroculture. The first microculture attention paid to the communication of each and how it differs from the largest microcultural group in the United States. The second group consists of Black Americans. This group was selected because it represents perhaps the most powerful microcultural group in the United States. And although Black Americans. remain socially disenfranchised by many in the dominant culture. The third microcultural group to be discussed is Asian Americans. Asian Americans now represent the fastest growing microculture in the United States, Native Americans/American Indians represent about 2% of the overall population. The fifth group is Arab Americans. In 2000, for the first time in its history, the U.S. Census Bureau officially classified persons in the United States who had Arab ancestry. Nearly 3.6 million U.S. citizens trace their roots to an Arab country. The sixth and final microculture to be discussed is the LGBTQ community. A debate continues over the rights of LGBTQ persons and whether they have a unique communication system. Hispanic/Latino Defined by the U.S. government as a person of Cuban, Mexican, Puerto Rican, South or Central American, or other Spanish culture or origin, regardless of race Hispanics/Latinos Hispanics/Latinos are the largest microcultural group in the United States. According to the 2014 U.S. Census, just over 55 million people—about 17% of the U.S. population— are of Hispanic/Latino population/Latino population. has increased nearly ninefold—from 6.3 million in 1960 to 55.3 million in 2014—and is projected to grow to 119 million by 2060. Stepler and Brown also note that the share of the Hispanic/Latino population that is foreign born began declining after 2000. Among all Hispanics/Latinos, the share that was born in another country was 34.9% in 2014, down from about 40% earlier in the 2000s.14 Recall from Chapter 1 that the U.S. government distinguishes between race and Hispanic/Latinos are not considered a racial group. Specifically, the government defines 169 Hispanic or Latino as "a person of Cuban, Mexican Puerto Rican, South or Central American, or other Spanish culture or origin regardless of race." Stepler and Brown report that in 2014, 64% of the Hispanic/Latino? Given the previous discussion, the term Hispanic/Latino? Given the term Hispanic is confusing to many. Ned Crouch argues that the labeled the term Hispanic/Latino? Given the term Hispanic/Latino? Given the previous discussion, the term Hispanic/Latino? Given the previous discussion, the term Hispanic/Latino? Given the previous discussion of the Hispanic/Latino? Given the term Hispanic/Latino? Given the previous discussion of the Hispanic/Latino? Given the previous discussion of the Hispanic/Latino? Given the previous discussion of the Hispanic is a cultural reference, a way of identifying people that is neither racial nor geographic. According to Crouch, persons who consider themselves Hispanic/Latino may be Black, as in the Dominican Republic; White, as in Argentina; or of mixed racial heritage, as in Mexico. Crouch argues that Hispanic is a cultural reference to people from any Spanishspeaking country except Spain (where people insist that they are Spanish, not Hispanic). In addition, Crouch argues that the term Latino is a cultural reference more or less interchangeable with Hispanic, although some may disagree. 16 The various groups represented under the Hispanic/Latino label include Mexicans, Puerto Ricans, Cubans, Central and South Americans, and Dominicans.17 Like other microcultural groups, Hispanics/Latinos are concentrated in certain geographical areas in the United States. In fact, nearly half of all Hispanics/Latinos live in California and New York. On the other hand, many states have small Hispanic/Latino populations, such as Alabama, Kentucky, Maine, Mississippi, New Hampshire, North Dakota, South Dakota, South Dakota, Vermont, and West Virginia.18 In addition to its overall population trends, the Hispanic/Latino population has unique demographics compared with the rest of the United States. Photo 3.1 Family is a central group among Hispanics/Latinos. 170 © iStockphoto.com/monkeybusinessimages Cultural Values and Communication of Hispanic/Latino microculture is united by values, language, and religion. Consultants Anne Marie Pajewski and Luis Enriquez argue that in Hispanic/Latino society the family or group needs take precedence over individual needs and that Hispanics/Latinos seem collectivistic across a variety of contexts, including academics. According to Pajewski and Enriquez, in school settings Hispanic/Latino students tend to be competitive and individualistic. When Hispanic/Latino students work in groups, not everyone is expected to do an equal share; a group member who does not work is not sanctioned. In a White group, however, each student is expected to do his or her share.19 Perhaps nowhere is the Hispanic/Latino group orientation more prevalent than in the family, or
familia.20 Commitment to the family is a dominant cultural value among virtually all Hispanics/Latinos. Indeed, Crouch argues that the group bonding process begins the minute Mexican children's room—not their own, separate little pink or blue nursery. Their families tend to congregate in one large room. They are taught to play nicely with each other. Toys are toys and are 171 played with by all the children. They are not owned by boy number one or girl number three. In Anglo culture, the more we misbehave with our siblings, the more attention we get.... But beyond the conflicting pressures of adolescence, we seem to emerge as individualists, ... unlike the Mexicans, who believe that the more they conform, the more they will all prosper.21 Hispanics/Latinos are thought to be a very religious microculture, but that appears to be changing. According to a recent Pew Research Center survey also reports that the number of Hispanics/Latinos leaving the Catholic Church is rising significantly. In fact, about 25% of Hispanics/Latinos who are religiously unaffiliated. The Pew Research Center suggests that the percentage of Hispanics/Latinos who are Catholic has been in decline for at least the last few decades. For example, in 2010, polling by the Pew Research Center found that over two thirds of Hispanics/Latinos has declined considerably over the past few years. Moreover, according to the Pew Research Center, the Hispanics/Latinos who have left the Catholic Church tend to move in two directions. Many have become born-again or evangelical Protestants, a group that has a very high level of religious commitment. The other Hispanics/Latinos have become religiously unaffiliated. In fact, many indicate that they have no particular religion or are atheist or agnostic. Interestingly, these survey results indicate that unaffiliated Hispanics/Latinos resemble the religiously unaffiliated segment of the general U.S. public.22 In their communication modes, Hispanics/Latinos are also group oriented. They are exceptionally concerned about any behavior that would upset the harmony of their household, church, or esemble the religiously unaffiliated segment of the general U.S. public.22 In their communication modes, Hispanics/Latinos are also group oriented. workplace. Hispanics/Latinos value harmony and shatter the familial spirit.23 Hispanics/Latinos generally practice high power distance communication. Spanish is replete with words and phrases that communicate hierarchy (e.g., proper titles, salutations, and honorifics) and emphasize the idea that some people hold superior positions over others. Crouch asserts that Hispanics/Latinos may be confused by U.S. citizens' casual and informal communication style.24 Stereotypes of Hispanics/Latinos In most cultures, microculture has been the target of several unfortunate stereotypes. Perhaps the most common, and the most hotly debated, stereotype 172 about Hispanics/Latinos revolves around the construct of male gender identity called machismo. Machismo centers on the notion of masculinity, male superiority, and dominance in the traditional patriarchal Hispanic/Latino society. Stereotypical characteristics associated with macho males include aggressiveness, violence, dominance and supremacy over women, infidelity, and emotional insensitivity.25 Manuel Roman, a Puerto Rican psychiatrist, argues that the concept of machismo is power based. He says, Men are physically more muscular. It has to do with dominance, autocracy, having power over others. A macho man is somebody who is expected to be sexually knowledgeable and aggressive with women, and to be fearless in his interactions with other males.26 To be sure, scholars disagree about the uniqueness of machismo in Hispanic/Latino culture. Counseling psychologist J. Manuel Casas and his associates argue that machismo has never been a uniquely Hispanic/Latino phenomenon. Instead, they argue that many of the traits associated with machismo can be found in virtually every culture. They note, however, that differences may exist in how the equivalent of the machismo construct is defined across cultures. 27 In other words, many cultures may associate male gender identity with aggressiveness, male supremacy, infidelity, and so on. Although there has not been a substantial amount of research conducted on the machismo-infidelity-is not unique to Hispanic/Latino males. University of Chicago sociologists Robert Michael, John Gagnon, Edward Laumann, and Gina Kolata—authors of the widely publicized Sex in America study —found that the infidelity rate among Hispanics/Latinos in the United States is about the same as for the general U.S. population. In fact, in the Pew Research Center survey mentioned previously, the majority of Hispanics/Latinos reject traditional views of gender roles. The overwhelming majority of Hispanics/Latinos indicate that a marriage in which both the husband and wife hold jobs and care for the children is preferable to a traditional arrangement that sees the husband as the financial provider and the wife as caretaker of the house and children. Moreover, over 60% of Hispanics/Latinos reject the idea that the husband should have the final say in family matters. On the other hand, just over one third indicate the husbands should have the final say.28 U.S. media, especially advertisers, have been particularly culpable in the dissemination of Hispanics/Latinos, and particularly Mexicans, is that of the ruthless bandito. This image has been depicted in all sorts of media, from movie Westerns to a famous advertising 173 campaign for its brand of corn chips. In 1967, Frito-Lay Corporation launched this advertising advertising 173 campaign for its brand of corn chips. persona was replete with a thick Spanish accent, a long handlebar mustache, a sombrero, and a pair of six-shooters. In the ads, the bandito was described as "cunning, clever, and sly." Contemporary ads for Taco Bell encourage taco lovers to "Run for the Border!" in an apparent reference to the immigration issue. Interestingly, in what advertisers call a crossover commercial, a Miller Lite beer advertisement features boxing champion Carlos Palomino encouraging viewers to "Drink Miller Lite, but don't drink the water."29 The influences of the Hispanic/Latino microculture in the United States are growing. Now more than ever, Hispanics/Latinos are noticed by the dominant culture. We see Hispanic/Latino characters in television and movies. Hispanic/Latino cuisine is popular across the country. Although their unemployment rates are high and their incomes are low, as a microcultural group Hispanics/Latinos are increasing their political and economic power. Soon, their voices will not be muted. Black Americans According to Schaefer the history of Black Americans in the United States dates as far back as the history of Euro-Americans (persons of European descent). Black people arrived in Jamestown as indentured servants. At that time, their children were born free people. By the 1660s, however, the British colonies passed laws making Africans slaves for life.30 Photo 3.2 Family relations play a pivotal role in Black people in the United States has varied over the centuries and actually declined until the 1940s, primarily because White immigration (mostly from Europe) far outdistanced their population growth. In 1790, Black people represented a little more than 19% of the total population of the United States. That percentage declined to 9.7% in 1910.31 In 2010, the U.S. Census Bureau estimated that there were approximately 46 million Black Americans in the United States, which is about 14% of the total U.S. population. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, nearly 60% of all Black Americans live in just 10 states. Currently, Black Americans are the secondlargest microcultural group in the United States. Currently, Black Americans and Hispanics/Latinos compose nearly 32% of the U.S. population. Unlike the rapid and disproportionate growth of the Hispanic/Latino population growth remains relatively stable.32 Black Americans made great progress in the 20th and 21st centuries, due mostly to the civil rights movement. Although significant gaps remain between Black Americans and Caucasians in such areas as income, education, employment, and housing, Black Americans have come a long way in the past 70 years. In 2014, the median household income among Black Americans was \$35,000, yet the poverty rate for their demographic was 26%. Politically, the number of Black American elected officials has increased nearly 300% since 175 1972. Schaefer notes also that an interesting phenomenon is developing: An ever-growing proportion of the Black population is foreign-born. Since 1984, the percentage of Black people in the United States born outside the country (mostly in the Caribbean) has almost doubled.33 Black American Communication The term Ebonics (from the combination of the words ebony and phonics) was first coined in 1973 and refers to a grammatically complex speech pattern used by many Black Americans. Ebonics, or Black Americans. Ebonics, or Black Americans. linguists recognize that this speech pattern developed as a result of contact between slaves and Europeans; a new languages were that these languages were spoken on the western coast of Africa as early as the 1500s.34 Ebonics From the terms ebony and phonics, a grammatically robust and rich Africa One of the primary ways members of cultural groups define themselves and establish ingroup identities is through verbal and nonverbal language—that is, through conversation. Language is the foundation of individual and group construction. In a study published in 2009, researchers at Stanford University explored the meanings of racial identity as both related to membership in a racial group and as a fluid and dynamic disposition maintained by students in the local school context. After interviewing students in different meanings of Black American
racial identity and that these meanings were linked to academic achievement and engagement. Their findings also demonstrated that both high-achieving and low-achieving students considered language patterns to be important for their racial identity. 35 According to John Rickford, a professor of linguistics at Stanford University, Ebonics pronunciation includes features such as the omission of the final consonant in words such as past (i.e., bat), and the pronunciation of the vowel in words such as the omission of the inal consonant in words such as the omission of the standard (i.e., bat), and the pronunciation of the standard (i.e., bat) or f (i.e., bat), and the pronunciation of the standard (i.e., bat) or f (i.e., bat) notes that these kinds of pronunciation occur in vernacular White English as well, emphasizing that they are systematic and the result of regular grammatical errors. 36 In addition to its phonological and syntactic elements, Black language includes other 176 communication dimensions that distinguish it from other languages and mark its speakers as members of a unique group. Thomas Kochman argues that Black American expression is "more modest and emotionally restrained." 37 Stereotypes of Black Americans In 1987, filmmaker Marlon Riggs produced, directed, and distributed Ethnic Notions, a multi-award-winning documentary tracing the depiction of Black Americans throughout U.S. history. This film remains a standard in many high school and college classrooms and has been watched by millions of people in the United States and abroad. The film chronicles the stereotypes that triggered powerful and lasting prejudices against Black Americans. It graphically shows that throughout U.S. history, in literature, children's books, music, cartoons, television shows, advertisements, and films, Black Americans have been portrayed as Uncle Toms, Sambos, obese Mammies, Coons, savage Brutes, and wideeyed Pickaninnies. These dehumanizing stereotypes saturated popular culture for over 200 years. In the end, the film suggests that these images send a powerful message that (1) Black is ugly, (2) Black people descended from savages, (3) Black people are preoccupied with their in-born rhythm and musical talent and are indifferent to poverty, and (4) they take pride in and enjoy providing service to White people.38 Asian Americans are now the fastest growing microcultural group in the United States. According to a 2013 Pew Research Center report, Asian Americans are the highest-income, best-educated and fastest-growing racial group in the United States. public with their lives, finances and the direction of the country, and they place more value than other Americans do on marriage, parenthood, hard work and career success.39 In 1965, Asian Americans accounted for less than 1% of the total U.S. population, totaling just over 18 million. And contrary to popular belief, Asians are the largest group of new immigrants to the United States. For example, in 2000 about 60% of new immigrants to the United States were Hispanic/Latino, while about 20% were Asian. Asian Americans are a diverse microcultural group. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, Asian refers to a person having origins in any of the original peoples of the Far East, Southeast Asia, or the Indian subcontinent.40 In 2014, 21% of U.S. Asians were born in 177 the United States, while 79% were foreign born, the majority of which were chinese (24%), followed by Indian (20%), Filipino (17%), Vietnamese (10%), Korean (9%), and Japanese (5%). More than 60% of Asian American adults who have emigrated from abroad are college educated, which is twice the amount of any other non-Asian groups in 2014, 62% of U.S. Asians reported that they speak English "very well," and the median household income among Asian Americans in 2014 was \$74,000, the highest among all racial groups in the United States, including Caucasians.41 Photo 3.3 Asian Americans are the fastest-growing racial group in the United States. © iStockphoto.com/imtmphoto Compared with Black Americans and Hispanics/Latinos (discussed earlier), Asian Americans do not see discrimination against their group as a major problem.42 Specifically, only 13% of poll respondents see discrimination as a problem, whereas 48% see it as only a minor problem, and 35% say discrimination is not an issue with their group. Bryan Kim and his colleagues have conducted a number of studies on Asian Americans, and they caution against a "homogenized" view of Asian Americans that distorts important differences among the various Asian American ethnic groups. For example, Kim notes that the per-capita income among these groups differs considerably, with Japanese earning the least. Education levels 178 differ as well; for instance, far more Japanese and Chinese Americans hold college degrees American acculturation into U.S. culture affects behavioral adherence to the values held by Asian Americans, including collectivism, conforming to norms, emotional self-control, family recognition through achievement, filial piety, and humility.45 In subsequent work, Kim's research group found that while most Asian Americans relate and attribute similar meaning to these six values, individual groups differ in their level of adherence to them—that is, the extent to which the values are reflected in group members' behavior. In one study comparing Chinese, Japanese, Korean, and Filipino groups, Kim and his colleagues found that Chinese, Japanese, and Koreans indicated greater behavioral adherence to the six values than did the other three groups. 46 Asian American Values and Communication Styles Recall from Chapter 2 that cultures tend to prefer (along a continuum) either high- or lowcontext communication. Thus, to the extent that collectivistic cultures often prefer high-context communication. Thus, to the extent that Asian Americans value collectivism, we would expect them to prefer an indirect communication style, in which the speaker's intentions are hidden or only hinted at during interaction. The use of ambiguity and vagueness is also characteristic of an indirect style.47 Park and Kim also studied the relationship between cultural values and communication styles among Asian American and European American college students. In their study, they found that European American students preferred a more open style of communication style. Interestingly, they found no differences between the two groups in preferences for a contentious, dramatic interpersonal sensitivity, or inferring meaning style of communication. But they found that as adherence to the Asian American values Collectivism: The importance of thinking about one's group before oneself; considering to norms: The importance of conforming to norms: The importance of self-control: The importance of having the ability to control one's emotional problems; understanding yet not openly expressing parental love Family recognition through achievement: The importance of not bringing shame to the family by avoiding occupational failures and by achieving academically Filial piety: The importance of taking care of one's parents when parents are unable to take care of themselves; not placing parents in retirement homes; recognizing that elders have more wisdom than younger people Humility: The importance of being boastful, and having modesty SOURCE: These value definitions are taken directly from Kim, B. S. K., Yang, P. H., Atkinson, D. R., Wolfe, M. M., & Hong, S. (2001). Cultural Value Similarities and Differences Among Asian American Ethnic Groups. Cultural Value Similarities and Differences Among Asian American Ethnic Groups. Cultural Value Similarities and Differences Among Asian American Ethnic Groups. Cultural Value Similarities and Differences Among Asian American Ethnic Groups. Cultural Value Similarities and Differences Among Asian American Ethnic Groups. Cultural Value Similarities and Differences Among Asian American Ethnic Groups. Cultural Value Similarities and Differences Among Asian American Ethnic Groups. Cultural Value Similarities and Differences Among Asian Questionnaire (FEQ) to a group of Asian American and European American submissive communicative behaviors within families, which can be further classified as positive (e.g., happy, affectionate) and/or negative (e.g., sad, angry). They found that European Americans were more likely to engage in both positive and negative dominant and submissive family expressiveness than were Asian Americans: The Model Minority Unlike the negative and often brutal stereotypes of Hispanic/Latino and Black Americans, Asian Americans are often referred to as the model minority. Research has consistently shown that Black Americans, Hispanics/Latinos, and Native Americans, Hispanics/Latinos, Hi seen as cold, impersonal, unsocial, and unlikable. Hence, Asian Americans are stereotyped as competent (e.g., ambitious, hardworking, intelligent, mathematical, obedient, self-disciplined, serious, traditional) but cold (e.g., ambitious, hardworking, intelligent, mathematical, obedient, self-disciplined, serious, traditional) but cold (e.g., antisocial, cunning, deceitful, narrow-minded, nerdy, pushy, selfish, shy). competent but cold (i.e., unsociable) renders Asian Americans as targets of prejudice accompanied with envy and anxiety—hence, the tendency to disparage, fear, and discriminate against them. Ironically, perceptions and stereotypes of Asian Americans trigger reluctant cooperation and active 180 harm.50 Monica Lin and her colleagues developed a scale that measures anti-Asian Americans, Asian Americans, Asian Americans, and Hispanics/Latinos In combination, Black Americans, Asian Americans, Asian Americans, and Hispanics/Latinos In combination, Black Americans, Asian previous paragraphs, we reviewed the fundamental values associated with these microcultural groups and their corresponding communication styles. Candia Elliot of Diversity Training Associates in
Portland, Oregon; R. Jerry Adams of the Office of Multicultural Health in the Department of Human Resources of the State of Oregon have put together a summary of the normative communication styles and values of Black Americans, and Hispanics/Latinos. This summary is based on their review of the literature associated with these microcultures, as well as focus group interviews with members of these microcultural groups. Their work was, in part, funded by the U.S. Office of Minority Affairs. The authors argue that many of these communication when they are wrongly assumed to be based on an individual's personality rather than a culturally learned style. See Table 3.1 for an abridged version of their summary.52 181 Native American/American Indians According to the 2010 census, just over 5 million people, or just under 2% of the American/American Indians According to the 2010 census, just over 5 million people, or just under 2% of the American/American Indians According to the 2010 census, just over 5 million people, or just under 2% of the American/American Indians According to the 2010 census, just over 5 million people, or just under 2% of the American Indians According to the 2010 census, just over 5 million people, or just under 2% of the American Indians According to the 2010 census, just over 5 million people, or just under 2% of the American Indians According to the 2010 census, just over 5 million people, or just under 2% of the American Indians According to the 2010 census, just over 5 million people, or just under 2% of the American Indians According to the 2010 census, just over 5 million people, or just under 2% of the American Indians According to the 2010 census, just over 5 million people, or just under 2% of the American Indians According to the 2010 census, just over 5 million people, or just under 2% of the American Indians According to the 2010 census, just over 5 million people, or just under 2% of the American Indians According to the 2010 census, just over 5 million people, or just under 2% of the American Indians According to the 2010 census, just over 5 million people, or just under 2% of the American Indians According to the 2010 census, just over 5 million people, or just under 2% of the American Indians According to the 2010 census, just over 5 million people, or just under 2% of the American Indians According to the 2010 census, just over 5 million people, or just under 2% of the American Indians According to the 2010 census, just over 5 million people to the 2010 census, just over 5 million people to the 2010 census, just over 5 million people to the 2010 census, just over 5 million people to the 2010 censu Indians (NCAI), there are 562 federally recognized Indian Nations, sometimes referred to as tribes, nations, bands, pueblos, or communities. The NCAI points out that the U.S. Constitution recognizes that Indian Nations are sovereign. In 2010, the largest tribal groups were Cherokee and Navajo. States with the highest proportion of Native Americans/American Indians and Alaska Natives include Alaska, Oklahoma, and New Mexico.53 Jens Manuel Krogstad, writing for the Pew Research Center, reports that the median age of a Native American/American Indian is 31 years, compared to the median age of 43 years for White people. The high school dropout rate among Native Americans/American Indians is 11%, compared to 5% for Caucasians. Seventeen percent of Native Americans/American Indians is 26%, more than double that for Caucasians or Asian Americans, but about equal to that of Black Americans and Hispanics/Latinos.54 182 Photo 3.4 Tradition continues to play an important role among Native American Stereotypes (SAAAS) Directions: The following are a number of statements with which you will agree or disagree. There are absolutely no right or wrong answers. Use the specified scale (0 = strongly disagree; 3 = slightly disagree; 3 = slightly disagree; 3 = strongly disagree; 4 = moderately disagree; 5 = strongly disagree; 4 = moderately disagree; 4 = moderately disagree; 5 = strongly disagree; 4 = moderately disagree; 4 = moderately disagree; 5 = strongly disagree 1. Asian Americans seem to be striving to become number one. 2. Asian Americans commit less time to socializing than others do. 3. In order to get ahead of others, Asian Americans can be overly competitive. _____ 4. Asian Americans do not usually like to be the center of attention at social gatherings. _____ Americans have a mentality that stresses gain of economic power. 6. Asian Americans can sometimes be regarded as acting too smart. 7. Asian Americans put high priority on their social lives. 8. Asian Americans do not interact with others smoothly in social situations. 9. As a group, Asian Americans are not constantly in 11. Asian Americans tend to have less fun compared to other social groups. 12. A lot of Asian Americans can be described as working all of the time. 10. When it comes to education, Asian Americans aim to achieve too much. The majority of Asian Americans tend to be shy and quiet. 15. Asian Americans know how to have fun and can be pretty relaxed. 16. Most Asian Americans are not very vocal. 17. Asian Americans are a group not obsessed with competition. 18. Asian Americans spend a lot of time at social gatherings. Americans are not very "street smart." 19. Oftentimes, Asian Americans think 21. Asian Americans are not as social as other groups of people. 22. Asian Americans are motivated to obtain too much power in our society. 20. Asian Americans enjoy a disproportionate amount of economic success. 23. Most Asian Americans function well in social situations. they are smarter than everyone else is. _ 25. Asian Americans rarely initiate social events or gatherings. 184 Scoring: To score the instrument, reverse your score for Items 7, 9, 15, 17, 18, and 23 (i.e., 0 = 5, 1 = 4, 2 = 3, 3 = 2, 4 = 1, and 5 = 0). After reversing your score for these six items, Many Asian Americans always seem to compare their own achievements to other people's. sum your responses to the 25 items. Total scores must range from 0 to 125. Higher scores (75–125) indicate a higher level of anti-Asian American Stereotypes. SOURCE: Lin, M., Kwan, V. S. Y., Cheung, A., & Fiske, S. T. (2005). Stereotypes. Sources (75–125) indicate a higher level of anti-Asian American Stereotypes. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 31, 34–47. (scale is on p. 46). Who is eligible for recognition as a member of a Native American/American Indian tribe can be confusing. The NCAI states that individual tribes determine their own criteria for tribal citizenship. Thus, as Stephanie Siek of CNN points out, the rules for establishing tribal membership can vary considerably from tribe to tribe. Many tribes use an individual's pedigree as a means of defining membership according to the percentage of pure blood belonging to that tribe. For example, Siek notes that a person with one grandparent belonging to one tribe and three grandparents not belonging to that tribe would be considered to have a blood quantum of one quarter. She points out that the minimum amount of blood quantum required for one tribe might be as little as one thirty-second (equivalent to one full-blooded tribal parent).55 According to the NCAI, Native Americans/American Indians suffer from illness at higher rates than other U.S. citizens. The tuberculosis rate among Native Americans/American Indians is 6 times the U.S. average According to the American Diabetes Association, the likelihood of a Native American/American Indian to have diabetes is 2.2 times higher compared to non-Hispanic White individuals, and 95% have type 2 rather than type 1 diabetes. Both the gonorrhea and chlamydia rate among Native Americans/American Indians is nearly 5 times greater than among White people. The NCAI reports that Native American/American Indian youth have the highest rate of suicide among all ethnic groups in the United States, and it is the second-leading cause of death for Native American/American Indian youth have the highest rate of suicide among all ethnic groups in the United States, and it is the second-leading cause of death for Native American/American Indian youth have the highest rate of suicide among all ethnic groups in the United States, and it is the second-leading cause of death for Native American/American Indian youth have the highest rate of suicide among all ethnic groups in the United States, and it is the second-leading cause of death for Native American Indian youth have the highest rate of suicide among all ethnic groups in the United States, and it is the second-leading cause of death for Native American Indian youth have the highest rate of suicide among all ethnic groups in the United States, and it is the second-leading cause of death for Native American Indian youth have the highest rate of suicide among all ethnic groups in the United States, and it is the second-leading cause of death for Native American Indian youth have the highest rate of suicide among all ethnic groups in the United States, and it is the second-leading cause of death for Native American Indian youth have the highest rate of suicide among all ethnic groups in the United States, and it is the second-leading cause of death for Native American Indian youth have the highest rate of suicide among all ethnic groups in the United States, and it is the second-leading cause of death for Native American Indian youth have the highest rate of suicide among all ethnic groups in the united States, and the second death for Native American Indian youth have the highest rate of suicide among all ethnic groups in the united States, and the second death for Native American Indian youth have the second death for Native American Indian youth have the second death for Native American Indian youth hav Pratt note that the U.S. government coined the term Native American during the civil rights movement of the 1960s. They note that most Native
Americans refer to themselves by their tribal affiliation first, and then as an American Indian. 57 Communication Patterns of Native Americans/American Indians Pratt and Pratt, both of the University of Central Oklahoma, have written extensively about Native American/American Indian communication patterns. They are careful to point out that modes of communication vary among tribal affiliations, but core 185 communication styles are practiced among those who identify as Native American/American Indians. They maintain that ways of speaking specific to Native Americans/American Indians, collectivism is a key communicative Americans/American Indians. component. Native Americans/American Indians cultivate the idea of identity that "we" is more important than "me" and that maintaining intergroup harmony and not disrupting the relational orientation with others is paramount. Pratt and Pratt assert that the conversational constraints for Native Americans/American Indians are relationally oriented, whereas for individualists (e.g., Caucasians) they are task oriented. Silence is another important dimension of Native American/American Indians that is ofter experienced by low-context cultures. They maintain that Native Americans/American Indians feel no need to fill silence with unnecessary talk. Pratt and Pratt explain: Native Americans/Americans generally do not interrupt. Interrupting another's talk is seen as an individualist act; putting oneself above another, or even as a show of aggression. To let someone speak is considered to be culturally competent. To not respond to every single comment or question is also designed to communicate unity and harmony. During conversations, Native Americans/American Indians will sit or stand at the same level as others. Positioning the body outward during talk, rather than toward each other, and sitting or standing side by side is common. Likewise, eye contact is usually indirect and at a minimum. Spatial arrangements in church or tribal meetings are usually circular to minimize any power differential, as opposed to "sitting at the head of the table."60 Finally, time is another major dimension of Native American/American Indian communication, the context rules, not the clock or schedules, which, by definition, deny context. Pratt discuss the concept of event-over-time, whereas individualists focus on time-over-event. Event-over-time is the practice that the communicative event—for example, church or tribal meeting.61 186 Stereotypes of Native Americans/American Indians Professor Debra Merskin, of the University of Oregon, writes the following about Native American/American Indians comes from product packages, advertising, and mass media portrayals, what would you conclude about the physical, emotional, and intellectual characteristics of indigenous North Americans? Bloodthirsty savages? Children of nature? Indian princesses? Defilers of White virgins? These are a few of the persistent stereotypes that appear in the mass media, particularly in advertising and as brands that features images and attributes of Native Americans.62 Merskin maintains that images on packages, in advertisements, on television, in films, and as sports mascots are very often the only Native Americans/American Indians seen by non-Native Americans/American Indians. Americans/American Indians, perceptions of Native Americans/American Indians are likely to come from textbooks, parents, teachers, movies, television programs, cartoons, songs, commercials, art, product logos, and the media, but not Native Americans/American Indians themselves.63 (For a representative list, see the Examples of Products and Services box.) Merskin points out that such stereotypical representations of Native American/American Indians.64 187 Examples of Products and Services Using Native American/American Indians.64 187 Examples of Products and Services Using Native American/American Indians.64 187 Examples of Products and Services Using Native American/American Indians.64 187 Examples of Products and Services Using Native American/American Indians.64 187 Examples of Products and Services Using Native American/American Indians.64 187 Examples of Products and Services Using Native American/American Indians.64 187 Examples of Products and Services Using Native American/American Indians.64 187 Examples of Products and Services Using Native American/American Indians.64 187 Examples of Products and Services Using Native American/American Indians.64 187 Examples of Products and Services Using Native American/American Indians.64 187 Examples of Products and Services Using Native American/American Indians.64 187 Examples of Products and Services Using Native American/American Indians.64 187 Examples of Products and Services Using Native American/American Indians.64 187 Examples of Products and Services Using Native American/American Indians.64 187 Examples of Products and Services Using Native American/American Indians.64 187 Examples of Products and Services Using Native American/American Indians.64 187 Examples of Products and Services Using Native American/American Indians.64 187 Examples of Products and Services Using Native American/American Apache helicopter Apache Rib doormats Apache Web server Big Chief sugar Black Hawk helicopter Calumet baking powder Cherokee brand clothing Cherokee brand clothing Cherokee brand clothing Cherokee brand O'Lakes products Minnetonka moccasins Mohave clothing Mohawk Carpet Mills Mutual of Omaha Narragansett beer Oneida silverware Pontiac Aztek Red Man chewing tobacco Red Man snuff Seneca juice Smokin' Joes cigars Sue Bee honey Tomahawk missile Tomahawk mulcher Tootsie Roll "Savage Chief" figure Toyota Tacoma Umpqua Dairy Winnebago SOURCE: Merskin, D (2014). How Many More Indians? An Argument for a Representational Ethics of Native Americans. Journal of Communication Inquiry, 38(3), 184–203. Arab Americans in a precarious state. Arab American groups (such as the American-Arab Anti-Discrimination Committee) report numerous attacks on people from these various cultural and ethnic 188 groups since September 11, 2001. Hundreds of people have been beaten, killed, threatened, ridiculed, and harassed because they were thought to be Arab and somehow associated with those who attacked the United States. But of all the microcultural groups discussed in this chapter thus far, Arab Americans are one of the most ethnically, racially, and religiously diverse groups in the country. In fact, to classify them into one group is impossible. The U.S. Census Bureau classifies Arabs as White. Recall that in 2000 for the first time in its history, the U.S. Census Bureau officially classified persons in the United States who had Arab ancestry. According to the Kensus Bureau, people with ancestries or areas of the world were classified as Arab. The Arab American Institute Foundation indicates that nearly 3.6 million U.S. citizens trace their roots to an Arab country. The largest number of recent Arab immigrants to the United Sates in the past decade are from Iraq, Somalia, and Egypt. Arab Americans are found in every state, but more than two thirds of them live in just 10 states: California, Michigan, New York, Florida, Texas, New Jersey, Illinois, Ohio, Pennsylvania and Virginia. Metropolitan Los Angeles, Detroit, and New York are home to one third of the population. Nearly 90% of Arab American adults carry a high school diploma. Approximately 50% of U.S. citizens at large. Nearly 20% of Arab Americans have a postgraduate degree, which is nearly twice the national average. Approximately two thirds of Arab American adults are in the labor force, with nearly 75% employed in managerial, professional, technical, sales, or administrative fields.65 To be sure, the Arab American adults are in the labor force, with nearly 75% employed in managerial, professional, technical, sales, or administrative fields.65 To be sure, the Arab American adults are in the labor force, with nearly 75% employed in managerial, professional, technical, sales, or administrative fields.65 To be sure, the Arab American adults are in the labor force, with nearly 75% employed in managerial, professional, technical, sales, or administrative fields.65 To be sure, the Arab American adults are in the labor force, with nearly 75% employed in managerial, professional, technical, sales, or administrative fields.65 To be sure, the Arab American adults are in the labor force, with nearly 75% employed in managerial, professional, technical, sales, or administrative fields.65 To be sure, the Arab American adults are in the labor force, with nearly 75% employed in managerial, professional, technical, sales, or administrative fields.65 To be sure, the Arab American adults are in the labor force, with nearly 75% employed in managerial, professional, technical, sales, or administrative fields.65 To be sure, the Arab American adults are in the labor force, with nearly 75% employed in managerial, professional, technical, sales, or administrative fields.65 To be sure, the Arab American adults are in the labor force, with nearly 75% employed in managerial, professional, technical, sales, or administrative fields.65 To be sure, the Arab American adults are in the labor force, with nearly 75% employed in managerial, professional, technical, sales, or administrative fields.65 To be sure, the Arab American adults are in the labor force, with nearly 75% employed in the adults are in the labor force, with nearly 75% employed in the adults are in the labor force, with nearly Muslim. But Helen Hatab Samhan, board member of the Arab Americans is one of their most defining characteristics. Most people do not know, for
example, that the majority of Arab Americans descend from mostly Christian immigrants. In fact, roughly two thirds of the Arab population identifies with one or more Christian sects. To be sure, however, Samhan notes that since the 1950s, Arab Muslims have represented the fastest-growing segment of the Arab population identifies with one or more Christian sects. creates some challenges for this group. For example, Muslims in America have religious traditions and practices that differ considerably from those of other religions in the United States. Many interpretations of Islam emphasize the importance of modesty, reject interfaith marriage, and object to typical standards of dating or gender integration Other religious practices such as the five-times-daily prayers, the month-long fast at Ramadan, beards for men, and the wearing of the hijab (head cover) for women render Muslims more visible than other microcultural groups and, thus, open to stereotypes.66 Photo 3.5 Arab Americans are one of the most diverse groups in the United States. 189 © iStockphoto.com/Xavier Arnau Communication Patterns of Arab Americans Ellen Kussman Feghali and Chuck Braithwaite have studied Arab communication is paramount to understanding Arab American culture. They write the following: Native speakers can confirm that it is nearly impossible to find a conversation without religious expressions across Arabic dialects. The more useful, reflecting the color, liveliness, and essence of the local culture.67 Feghali and Braithwaite also note that a failure to understand the fine distinction of these Arabic religious phrases in conversation (which might sound similar to "bless you" after someone sneezes) can lead to significant misunderstandings and misjudgments. Inshallah, or "God willing" is perhaps the most common religious expression used in conversation. They point out that the literal meaning of Inshallah, or "God willing" is perhaps the most common religious expression used in conversation. that one does not plan to carry out future events or happenings without God's will. What good is a plan if God does not decree it? Feghali and Braithwaite also note that Inshallah 190 can be used to evade or postpone an event.68 Rhonda Zaharna, a professor in the School of Communication at American University and a former senior Fulbright Scholar, has also written about Arab communication. In her work, Zaharna points out that repetition—that is, to repeat something over and over again or to be wordy or verbose—is common among Arabs. She writes that to find a string of descriptive phrases or words all referring to one phenomenon is not uncommon. She also notes that Arabic speakers use metaphors that may seem peculiar to many in the United States. Zaharna suggests that where other Americans may use distinct facts and figures to make a point, an Arabic speaker may use one strong, vivid example to convey a point. Arabic speakers also tend to use descriptive adjectives and adverbs. Although this seems contradictory, Zaharna and others have noted that Arab speakers tend to be high context, hence they prefer indirect, vague, or ambiguous statements. This stems from the function of language as a means of promoting social harmony. Any direct question or answer could expose the other to a public loss of face.69 Stereotypes of Arab Americans are subject to misleading and brutal stereotypes. Jack Shaheen has dedicated his career to identifying and contesting damaging stereotypes of Arabs in U.S. media. Shaheen is the author of several books but is best known for his award-winning book Reel Bad Arabs: How Hollywood Vilifies a People (2001), which was made into a film by the same name in 2006. In his book (and the film), Shaheen points out that of the 1,000 films he analyzed that depict Arab characters, 12 were positive depictions, 52 were neutral portrayals of Arabs, and 936 were negative. Shaheen notes that Arab characters are frequently portrayed as evil terrorists, causing mayhem and myriad attacks. They are portrayed as the naïve, shallow, or silly character who pursues lust and extravagance. Then there is the Bedouin Arab, living in a tent with his camel nearby. Finally, there is the variable of the state of the stat arrogant, neurotic Arab, repressive of women, and incapable of emotion or romance.70 Despite the challenges, Samhan notes that Arab Americans contributions to U.S. culture. She points out that the Lebanese-born poet/artist Kahlil Gibran is widely read and appreciated by U.S. readers, and author William Peter Blatty (The Exorcist), children's author Naomi Shihab Nye, and Edward Said are also well known. The late White House press corps leader Helen Thomas and consumer advocate and former presidential candidate Ralph Nader are internationally known. In the field of entertainment, both past and present, Arab Americans are represented by the actor/comic Danny Thomas, actresses Kathy Najimy and Teri Hatcher, and actor Tony Shalhoub; singers Paul Anka, Frank Zappa, and Paula Abdul; and Casey Kasem, who was "America's Top 40" disc jockey. Well-known sports figures include Doug Flutie, Brandon Saad, and 191 Jeff George. Such business stars as J. M. Haggar, the clothing manufacturer, and Paul Orfalea, founder of Kinko's photocopy stores, are among the many Arabs who have made significant contributions to U.S. culture.71 Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, and queer/questioning (LGBTQ) is the most difficult to define and characterize. To be sure, LGBTQ issues are some of the most controversial and most politically contested areas of cultural diversity facing us today. Of the five characteristics that define microcultural groups presented at the beginning of this chapter, LGBTQ groups seem to meet all of them, but microcultural drou not definitively. First, LGBTQ groups have a distinguishing cultural trait—that is, their sexual or gender orientation. Beyond that, however, members of the LGBTQ microculture belong to myriad other demographic, educational, and social groups. Moreover, members of the LGBTQ microculture belong to myriad other demographic, educational, and social groups. dress. LGBTQ persons can be of any sex, race, ethnicity, nationality, occupation, or other demographic group. LGBTQ Persons who consider themselves lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or queer/questioning Second, membership in this microculture appears to be involuntary—although some might disagree. In their recent book on LGBTQ studies, Deborah Meem, Michelle Gibson, and Jonathan Alexander point out that for more than a century scientists and scholars have sought to identify the factors that make certain people feel sexual desire for someone of the same sex.72 Meem and her colleagues chronicle decades of research that has tried to answer the question of whether one's sexual orientation is innate or learned. Some research suggests that it is learned, while other research points to an innate hypothesis. Meem and her colleagues point out that both sides have strengths and weaknesses in their arguments. They conclude with this: Methodological weaknesses have been perceived in both biological and psychological research, not the least of which is that both still assume heterosexuality as the default position. We can see heteronormativity at work in scientific inquiry; researchers look for a "gay gene," but why do they not attempt to isolate a "straight gene"? Presumably, only the nonnormative—the queer—needs to be explained, while the normative goes unremarked as obviously and unquestionably natural.73 So while the question of whether one's sexuality is innate or learned goes unanswered, most 192 members of the LGBTQ microculture maintain that their membership is involuntary. In a recent survey of sexual behavior in the United States, scholars at the Center for Sexual Health Promotion at Indiana University surveyed nearly 6,000 individuals ranging in age from 14 to 94 years old. They found that among adolescent males, 96% indicated they were gay or lesbian, bisexual, or other. Among adolescent females, just over 90% indicated they were heterosexual, while just under 10% reported that they were gay or lesbian, bisexual, or other. Among adult males, just over 92% reported being heterosexual, while just under 7% indicated being gay or lesbian, bisexual, or other. Among adult women, 93% reported being heterosexual, while just under 7% indicated being gay or lesbian, bisexual, or other. Among adult males, just over 92% reported being heterosexual, while just under 7% indicated being gay or lesbian, bisexual, or other. who is gay or lesbian, bisexual, transgender, or queer is fraught with difficulty. For example, does a person consider him or herself gay or lesbian after just one same-sex encounters? Three? Or what about the person who has had no same-sex encounters? Three? Or what about the person who has had no same-sex encounters? Three? Or what about the person who has had no same-sex encounters? members tend to live in certain geographical regions of the United States, primarily large urban areas. For example, the 10 U.S. cities with the largest percentage of LGBTQ people are now a widely recognized and accepted microculture in the United States. 193 © iStockphoto.com/David Henderson City and Proportion of LGBTQ Inhabitants 194 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. San Francisco 15.4% Seattle 12.9% Atlanta 12.8% Minneapolis 12.5% Boston 12.3% Sacramento 9.8% Portland 8.8% Denver 8.2% Washington, DC 8.1% Orlando 7.7% The third characteristic defining microcultural groups is endogamy. In a landmark opinion, a divided Supreme Court ruled on June 26, 2015, that states cannot ban same-sex marriage nationwide. Prior to this ruling, addressing endogamy among LGBTQs in the United States. The fourth and fifth defining characteristics of microcultures are their awareness of their subordination and is treated unequally, even legally. For example, Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 was
the landmark piece of legislation signed by President Lyndon B. Johnson that legally banned discrimination against Black Americans and women, including segregation based on race and/or sex. The act banned racial segregation in schools, in the workplace, and by those facilities that serve the general public. But as Julie Gedro points out in her review of the legal environment for LGBTQs, noticeably absent from the Civil Rights Act is any mention of sexual orientation. Gedro highlights that there are no federal prohibitions against employment discriminate in the workplace based on sexual orientation. More recent legislation, titled the Employment Non-Discrimination Act, would prohibit employees at least 11 times—in 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 19 2002, 2003, 2009, 2011, and 2013. In April 2013, the bill passed in the Senate but died (i.e., was not brought to a vote) in the House of Representatives. In the United States, protections for LGBTQ workers vary state by state. They may also discriminate on the basis of gender identity in 33 states. Yet Gedro identifies no fewer than 30 other countries across the globe that prohibit discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation. 76 In addition to the lack of federal prohibition against the equal rights of LGBTQs. 195 Not only do many of these groups actively try to subordinate LGBTQ groups, but they also advocate violence against LGBTQ persons and strive for their complete eradication. In 2010, the Southern Poverty Law Center asserted that LGBTQs are the groups, many of which are religiously oriented, whose primary goal was to thwart LGBTQ progress and equal rights. For example, Abiding Truth Ministries of Springfield, Massachusetts, sponsors an international anti-LGBTQ campaign. The founder, Scott Lively, coauthored the book The Pink Swastika: Homosexuality in the Nazi Party, which accuses homosexuality in the Nazi Party and is widely cited by gay bashers. Lively has taken his anti-LGBTQ rhetoric to eastern Europe, Africa, and Russia. Americans for Truth About Homosexuality was formed in 1996 by Peter LaBarbera, who reorganized it in 2006 as a much more serious and influential, if often vicious, operation. The Christian Anti-Defamation Commission (CADC) is focused on the evils of homosexuality and has called the idea of allowing gays to serve openly in the military "evil." The CADC opposes hate crimes legislation and has written that "homosexuals have turned away from humbly worshipping the true and living God and his transcendent moral order in order to make an idol out of their sexual perversion and chaos."77 Gayspeak: Communication of the LGBTQ Microculture In 1981, Gayspeak: Gay Male/Lesbian Communication was published. The book was the first scholarly volume devoted to gay and lesbian community. But the assertion that the LGBTQ microculture communicates in ways that distinguish it as a unique linguistic community is misleading because the LGBTQ community is so demographically diverse. For example, in one essay in Gayspeak, Joseph Hayes asserts that gayspeak serves three functions: (1) It is a language that protects against detection of one's homosexual status, (2) it facilitates the expression of gay and lesbian roles within gay culture, and (3) it is a vehicle for political identity and activism. While these claims seem reasonable and certainly may apply to LGBTQ communication, they are not unique to this microculture. Any number of demographic, political, or social groups use language in this way.79 Unfortunate stereotypes abound that gay men speak like heterosexual women and lesbians sound like heterosexual men. The classic stereotypes that gay men speak with overly careful pronunciation, a high and rapidly changing pitch, a breathy tone, and use of sexual and erotic references, and that lesbians use a lower pitch than do heterosexual women is not supported by any sound, empirical research. To be sure, virtually all legitimate scholarly research in this area rejects these stereotypes. Andrea Sims notes that a distinctive communication style unique to LGBTQ has not been identified because the LGBTQ microculture does not represent a single unified or delineable social or demographic group.80 196 On the other hand, some scholars have argued that members of the LGBTQ community may, at times, use a specialized vocabulary, particularly when interacting among themselves. For example, in 1972 The Queen's Vernacular: A Gay Lexicon was published, and it is thought to be the first published dictionary of gay slang.81 Examples from the book include the terms in the book are outdated and no longer used or recognized by LGBTQ groups. In his well-known 1996 book Word's Out: Gay Men's English, William Leap argues that gay men's speech is a gendered approach to communication is subtle, due to the stigmatized nature of being gay. Leap maintains that much gay communication as a language of risk. He also points to several functions of gay men's speech, including a language of desire, release of shame (from parents, society, religious institutions), cooperation, and a format for display (e.g., compliments, flirting, etc.).82 So scholars from across a variety of academic disciplines disagree about whether the phenomenon of gayspeak exists. The communication patterns of the LGBTQ microculture are only beginning to be identified and understood. But, as Sims notes, the topic is a growing and promising area of research.83 197 Student Voices Across Cultures: The LGBTQ Microculture Stephen Rupsch Living as an openly gay man and working as a professor for a Catholic college has been an illuminating experience. Before I arrived here in the Midwest to take a teaching position, I lived most of my adult life on the West Coast and in larger cities, such as San Francisco. I also work in the theatre world historically has been a refuge for all sorts of people from fringe groups. I am also 50 and have been out since I was 17. I know what direct silencing. This is what I now call soft discrimination. Example 1: I am speaking with a colleague about minor personal matters. My colleague tells me all about his or her husband or wife, his or her kids, and his or her parents but never asks about my partner, Brett (whom he or she has met). I wouldn't use this as an example if it did not happen frequently. Example 2: I meet a new colleague at a college social function. We have some polite conversation about general life on and off campus. As soon as that person realizes that I am gay, he or she says something like, "I have a friend who is gay" or "I love gay people." I know when people do this, they are trying to connect with me, but seriously, making a big deal about my gayness just makes 198 me feel as though they are not really OK with it. Again, this has happened several times. Example 3: My partner, Brett, and I are grocery shopping. Brett loves big, beautiful rings and wears them often. I hear a man behind me make a strange, "disgusted" sound and turn to see him staring at Brett's ring, then at Brett, and then at me. I turn (probably rolling my eyes) and hear the sound of someone spitting and turn again to see the "loogie" at our feet and the man huffing away. And yes, this has happened a few times. What is happening when you teach your class about a play with a gay character, but none of the students can look at you when you are speaking about it? I direct plays for a living and teach acting. I know that you can convey a lot of meaning with very subtle actions. Maybe it is involuntary, but maybe sometimes it's not. And sometimes it's not. And sometimes it's not. And sometimes it's not. who differ in some significant way from the general societal culture. In this book, the term microculture is used to refer to those identifiable groups of people who share a set of values, beliefs, and behaviors and who possess a common history and a verbal and nonverbal symbol system that is similar to the dominant culture but varies in some way, perhaps subtly. Microcultures can be different from the larger culture in a variety of ways, most
often because of race, ethnicity, language, or behavior. But one's age group or occupation might also render one a member of a microcultural group. In this chapter, Hispanics/Latinos, Black Americans, Asian Americans, Asian Americans, And LGBTQ people were profiled as microcultural groups in the United States. Like many microcultural groups, Hispanics/Latinos experience lower incomes, higher poverty, and higher unemployment rates that do White people. The U.S. government estimates that the Hispanic/Latino population will continue to grow through the first half of the 21st century. By then, their economic influence will be significant. As an economic, political, and socially powerful group, Black Americans have maintained an important part of their history—that is, their language and in their daily communication with others, for some in the form of Ebonics. Asian Americans have become a powerful "model minority" microcultural group in the United States. They are the best educated and fastest growing racial group in the country, and they also have the highest average income. Native American/American Indians number just over 5 million people, or just under 2% of the U.S. population, and identify themselves as Native American/Americ American Indians (NCAI), there are 562 federally recognized Indian Nations, sometimes referred to as tribes, nations, bands, pueblos, or communities. Arab Americans are one of the most ethnically, racially, and religiously diverse groups in the country. In fact, to classify them into one group is impossible. Finally, the LGBTQ group was highlighted. This group is the most difficult to define and characterize. To be sure, LGBTQ issues are some of the most controversial and most politically contested areas of cultural diversity facing us today. Of the five characteristics that define microcultural groups presented at the beginning of this chapter, LGBTQ people seem to meet all of them, but not definitively. Although each microcultural group portrayed in this chapter is obviously different from the others, they all share at least one major feature—that is, language. Each group, while adapting and accommodating to the dominant cultural surroundings, has successfully preserved a part of its original culture through communication. The verbal and nonverbal 200 language of its cultural group maintains its heritage and allows its people to pass along their ancestry for future generations. 201 edge.sagepub.com/neuliep7e SAGE edge offers a robust online environment featuring an impressive array of free tools and resources for review, study, and further exploration, keeping both instructors and students on the cutting edge of teaching and learning. 202 Discussion Questions 1. To what microcultural groups do you know that is a members? 4. What are some of the common stereotypes in your community of microcultural groups in becoming fully engaged in the culture? 203 Ethical Issues and Microcultures 1. There has been considerable debate among U.S. citizens, and even among members of the U.S. Congress and Senate, that English should be

declared the official language of the United States and that members of the previously profiled microcultures should be required to speak English, especially when engaged in civil and legal affairs. What do you think? Should English be the official language of the United States? 2. Some people argue that most members of the discussed microcultures are not doing enough to assimilate, take in, absorb, and become a part of U.S. cultures hold on to the traditions and customs of their native cultures? 204 Developing Intercultural Communication Competence 1. Becoming interculturally competent requires that you approach and initiate communication with persons from your culture that differ in some way. Microcultural groups of persons who are very much a part of the dominant culture, but differ in some way. Microcultural groups represent those groups of persons who are very much a part of the dominant culture, but differ in some way. them as a medium to expand your knowledge and appreciate, rather than avoid, cultural differences. This does not mean you must accept and follow all of the practices that differ from yours, but that you respect, understand, and appreciate them. 2. Many colleges and universities have clubs, associations, and/or societies whose membership is designed for and made up of persons who belong to microcultural groups. Attend one of their meetings. Oftentimes, membership is not restricted to actually belonging to the microculture. 205 Key Terms Ebonics 97 Hispanic/Latino 92 LGBTQ 109 minority groups 90 muted group theory 92 206 For those of us who live in/between, being required, on the one hand, to cast off our culturel selves in order to don the worldview and ethos of an alien culture, on the other hand to cast off the influences of the alien culture as a means of purification is more than a personal dilemma; it is always and most of all a condition of living in/between. —Richard Morris1 207 4 The Environmental Context © iStockphoto.com/Nikada 208 Learning Objectives 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. Compare and contrast high- and low-load environment Discuss the relationship between culture and the built environment Discuss the relationship between culture and the natural environment Discuss the relationship between culture and the built environment Discuss the relationship between culture and the built environment Discuss the relationship between culture and the built environment Discuss the relationship between culture and the built environment Discuss the relationship between culture and the built environment Discuss the relationship between culture and the built environment Discuss the relationship between culture and the built environment Discuss the relationship between culture and the built environment Discuss the relationship between culture and the built environment Discuss the relationship between culture and the built environment Discuss the relationship between culture and the built environment Discuss the relationship between culture and the built environment Discuss the relationship between culture and the built environment Discuss the relationship between culture and the built environment Discuss the relationship between culture and the built environment Discuss the relationship between culture and the built environment Discuss the relationship between culture and the built environment Discuss the relationship between culture and the built environment Discuss the relationship between culture and the built environment Discuss the relationship between culture and the built environment Discuss the relationship between culture and the built environment Discuss the relationship between culture and the built environment Discuss the relationship between culture and the built environment Discuss the relationship between culture and the built environment Discuss the relationship between culture and the built environment Discuss the relationship between culture and the built environment Discuss the relationship between culture contrast cultural preferences for privacy Compare and contrast monochronic time orientations Consider the following two scenarios: 2 SCENARIO #1: It is early evening, and you are alone in your dorm room. You're sitting on your bed, reading a chapter in your excellently written intercultural communication textbook. Some quiet music that helps you concentrate is playing in the background. The room is guiet, as is the rest of the dormitory. Most of your friends are studying in their rooms as well. SCENARIO #2: You are walking through the international terminal of a major airport. The terminal is crowded with people rushing to and from the various ticket counters and speaking in languages you have never heard. Announcements are coming in over the airport intercom system in two languages. The airport is very noisy, with people conversing, yelling, and laughing. You can hear the various jets landing and taking off as you rush to your gate. The lights are bright. How would you compare these two environments? How might your verbal and nonverbal communication differ in each scenario? Would you feel and react the same in Scenario #2? All human communication occurs within a natural/physical, built, and perceptual environment. The environmental context refers to the actual natural/physical environment, which is the geographical place or location where communication occurs. This includes the terrestrial environment, such as architecture, landscaping, and housing. The environmental context also refers to how humans perceive and think about the natural and built environments. This perceptual environment is culturally learned. For example, people who were raised and live in International Falls, Minnesota, think nothing of a snowstorm that produces 12 inches or more of snow. To people born and raised in Phoenix, Arizona, such weather is unthinkable 209 natural/physical environment The actual geographical space or territory in which the communication. The purpose of this chapter is to explore the environmental context of intercultural communication. In the contextual model of intercultural communication presented in Chapter 1, the environmental context is the third-largest circle surrounding the interactants. Recall that in the model the cultural and microcultural contexts encircle the environmental context. How humans perceive the physical, built, and perceptual environmental contexts encircle the environmental context. by their culture and microculture. Furthermore, like the cultural and microcultural contexts, the influence of the physical, built, and perceptual environment around us is influenced by our individual psychological perceptions, which, in turn, are shaped by culture. People from all cultures project their mental perceptions onto the physical and built environment is subject to cultural interpretation. As Stein notes, far from being a passive component of culture, the environment is an active ingredient of the human experience.3 In addition, the environment has a considerable impact on our communication. As we move from one physical location to another, our verbal and nonverbal messages adapt accordingly. The types of messages we send and receive in the classroom differ significantly from those we exchange in our dorm rooms or when we are shopping in the mall. As the environment changes, our messages change. And we do this without much thought. In this chapter, several aspects of the natural, built, and perceptual environment will be discussed, including the information load of an environment; the relationship between cultures and their natural environment; the built dimension of the physical environment; cross-cultural comparisons of housing; dimensions of privacy, including monochronic time orientations. Each of these environmental dimensions affects how we communicate with others, and each varies considerably across cultures. 210 Environments and Information Load Albert Mehrabian is well known for his work in environmental psychology. According to Mehrabian, there are a number of ways to compare one environmental psychology. is, the amount of information contained or perceived in the environment per some unit of time. According to Mehrabian, the more information rate has a high load, whereas one with a low information rate has a low load. Mehrabian and his colleagues developed a list of adjectives to describe the load of any given environment (see Table 4.1).4 information rate The amount of information rate low load A situation with a high information rate 211 212 An environment containing several of the left-column adjectives has a high load, whereas one that can be described with the right-column terms. In comparing Scenario #1, including simple, small-scale, sparse, uncrowded, homogeneous, symmetrical, still, patterned, and probable. On the other hand, Scenario #2 could be described using several of the left-column terms, such as varied, complex, large-scale, contrasting, dense, surprising, heterogeneous, crowded, asymmetrical, moving, random, and improbable. Based on this comparison, Scenario #1 has a low information load, and Scenario #2 has a high information load.5 Mehrabian argues that, to some extent, information load, the higher the uncertainty, especially in novel and complex environments. The more familiar we are with a situation, the less uncertainty we experience. Of all the environmental factors, people are perhaps the greatest source of uncertainty. This is especially true of strangers, including people from different cultures. Hence, a crowded room of strangers with people from a different culture (especially in their environment), the information load probably will be high.6 Mehrabian contends that the information load of a particular environment can affect people's feelings in three ways: arousal-nonarousal, pleasure-displeasure, and dominance- submissiveness.7 The arousal dimension refers to your level of stimulation and excitability. Pleasure refers to your
degree of happiness and satisfaction. Dominance refers to your feelings of control or command of the situation. These emotional responses cause us either to approach or to avoid the environment. Generally, lower load environments produce less negative arousal, are more pleasant, and are controllable. Hence, we're likely to approach these kinds of environments. Conversely, the heavily loaded environments produce anxiety (e.g., negative arousal), are unpleasant, and are less controllable. We may be more likely to avoid such situations.8 Consider Scenario #1 and scenario choose one of the two scenarios, which would you choose? Of the two, which produces the most positive arousal, which one is more pleasant, and which is more controllable? Likewise, how do you feel about approaching or avoiding communication situations may be highly loaded, you may prefer to avoid them. 213 Culture and the Natural Environment Jon T. Lang, emeritus professor of architecture at the University of New South Wales in Sydney, Australia, has written extensively about the relationship between people, their culture, and their environment. Lang explains that the natural, or terrestrial, environment includes the physical geography of the Earth, its climate, and its natural processes. The terrestrial environment is valued differently by different people. A culture's relationship with nature is culture bound. According to Knopf, culture influences how much people value nature and the symbols they use to communicate about it. Aivilik Inuit, notes Knopf, have more than a dozen different terms to describe the winds and various snow conditions. People perceive and create symbols of their environment per se that generates affect but, rather, the verbal and nonverbal symbols we use to communicate about nature. terrestrial environment The physical geography of the Earth Moreover, how a culture values and treats the natural environment The physical geography of the Earth Moreover, how a culture values and treats the natural environment can change quickly, especially if that culture experiences shifts in religion or dramatic advances in science and technology. The United States in the 20th and 21st centuries, for example, witnessed immense changes in how we treat the natural environment. Recycling plastic water bottles, glass containers, cardboard boxes, and paper was unheard of just 30 years ago. Unleaded gasoline is also a relatively new phenomenon. Just 30 years ago, most automobiles averaged about 15 miles per gallon of gas. In June 2013, the International Energy Agency reported that in the United States, a switch from coal to gas in power generation helped reduce energy-related carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions by 200 million metric tons, bringing them back to the level of the mid-1990s. Because of scientific and technological progress, we now see the environment as something we can control and dominate.10 Although all cultures exist within specific terrestrial contexts, unique features of the terrestrial environment exist in every culture. Gravity, for example, exists everywhere on Earth; however, oceans, lakes, streams, mountain ranges, deserts, valleys, trees, and forms of vegetation vary considerably across cultures. Indonesia, for example, is composed of more than 13,000 islands covering more than 40,000 species of flowering plants, including 5,000 species of orchids.11 The country of Laos in Southeast Asia has a monsoonal climate. wherein heavy rainfall sometimes averages 90 inches a year.12 Conversely, in some areas of south Yemen, which lies in the southwest corner of the Arabian peninsula, only 4 inches of rain fall in a given year. Some northern and eastern sections of the country in the world (i.e., geographically), with a land mass of more than 6.5 million square miles and dramatic climate extremes. Northern Siberia, for example, is covered with permafrost, where snow drifts can reach as high as 60 feet and little vegetation is found.14 Norway's land mass is just more than 100,000 square miles—slightly larger than Arizona—and only 3% of the land is usable as farmland.15 As the physical environment of a culture varies, so will the vocabulary of the culture. Cultures with different environment. Lang asserts that the natural environment of any culture influences life in that culture. Physical and climatic aspects of the environment can restrict the kinds of activities that occur.16 In many cultures, the pace of daily activities reflects the natural climate of the area. A quick example may help illuminate this point. In some southern European countries (e.g., Spain, southern Italy) during the warm-weather season, many shop owners close their stores from about midday until late afternoon. They do this to avoid working through the hottest part of the day, when the temperatures can rise above 100 degrees Fahrenheit, because most of the stores in these countries are not air-conditioned. Visitors from other countries, particularly the United States, may interpret this custom as laziness on the part of the shop owners, but in reality, they are simply adjusting to the conditions of their natural climate. Worldviews of the Natural Environment Harvard University sociologist Florence Kluckhohn says that cultures can be described as having one of three orientations toward nature, depending on what their members believe: that people are subjugated to nature, that they are an inherent part of nature, or that they are dominant over nature affects how people within that culture's orientation toward nature is a helpful step in becoming a competent intercultural communicator. Irwin Altman and Martin Chemers argue that in cultures where nature is viewed as supreme, people believe they are at the mercy of an omnipotent nature. According to Altman and Chemers, in such cultures where nature is perceived as a dominant and unmanageable power. In Biblical times, and even more recently, the natural environment and nature were viewed as threatening and dangerous. Altman and Chemers note that Western fairy tales are replete with references to such environmental features as "dark forests," where danger lurks around every corner.18 In her research on East India, Rebecca Jo Bishop found that many East Indians believe that the elements of the natural environment dictate the health and well-being of the people. Indeed, astrologers play an important role in India, as the people believe that nothing in nature is accidental, and the universe and all living 215 components conform to a fundamental order over which they have no control.19 Kluckhohn claims that many cultures attempt a balancing act with nature and try to live in harmony with it. Indeed, argue Altman and Chemers, in these types of cultures, the natural events repeat themselves in consistent patterns. People and environment are viewed as one, changing together in what Altman and Chemers describe as a timeless mutual relationship.20 Reick and Ogura hold that in many Eastern societies, nature is perceived as an ally that people draw on for spiritual support. The people of the island nation of Sri Lanka, which sits 20 miles off the southern coast of India, attempt this alliance with nature. According to Reick and Ogura, the source of their belief has its roots in Buddhism, which professes equality among all living things.21 The Japanese are well known for their attempts to harmonize with nature, which can be seen in Japanese are well known for their attempts to harmonize with nature and the seen in Japanese are well known for the seen in Japanese seasons). Kluckhohn's third orientation is seen in many Western societies, where people believe that nature is something to be controlled, domesticated, and subjugated.22 According to Altman and Chemers, some scholars attribute this view to Judeo-Christian doctrine, in which God, who is seen as the creator of the universe, is said to have put humans on Earth to do His will. People are not just part of the environment, like trees, plants, or animals, but are of divine origin. Such philosophies have led to a separation between humans and the environment. When the scientific revolution developed, nature was seen as mechanistic, further separating people from nature. Through technology buildings, and modern agricultural methods, humans were able to dominate their natural setting to their liking. In the United States, people separate themselves from nature and believe they have the power, even the right, to dominate it in just about any way they can. Many of our proudest achievements (e.g., moon landings, dams, indoor sports facilities) are based on conquering or exploiting nature. As in other countries where European settlement has occurred, the environment is seen as an entity to be conquered. Much of Australia's economic activity is based on the extraction of natural resources such as timber, minerals, natural gas, and oil.23 Natural Disasters as Cultural and Social Events A culture's relationship with nature can be seen in how the culture deals with natural disasters. Whether they be droughts, tornadoes, hurricanes, tsunamis, floods, or earthquakes, natural disasters occur in all cultures. How people manage such disasters is shaped by the culture and its view of nature. Moreover, many times when natural disasters strike, people from all over the world come together to help. Understanding the stricken culture's relationship with nature can facilitate communication among those directly affected and those offering aid and comfort. The Red Cross, for example, has people stationed around the world to help in times of need. Natural disasters provide an opportunity for intercultural communication. 216 Although natural disasters are triggered by natural events (e.g., tsunamis, earthquakes, floods, etc.), the effects of these disasters vary considerably across cultures because they take place within particular social and cultural systems of laws and values. Hence, an individual's
vulnerability to a disaster is grounded in the social system and hierarchy within which that individual exists. In this sense, natural disasters are as much social phenomena as they are natural ones. In her extensive review of literature in this area, Sarah Fisher maintains that women are disproportionately affected by disasters because of the unequal power distance between men and women that is evident in many cultures.24 To be sure, Fisher argues that other social factors also come into play, including one's age, ethnicity, social class standing, and disability. Even within sex groups, some women are disproportionately affected, such as widows, women of low income, and those belonging to marginalized groups. Fisher points out that in most cultures, women take on responsibilities—such as reproductive health needs and caring for children—for which the burden becomes heavier following a natural disaster. Of particular concern is violence against women following a natural disaster. against women increases in the wake of natural disasters. Much of this evidence is based on the reported increase of calls to violence intervention centers located in disaster-stricken areas. Fisher also notes that factors such as the considerable economic loss suffered by disaster-stricken areas. increase in violence against women in such situations. Fisher also points out the many factors that may increase violence against women, including the idea that the daily routines of families are upset and, thus, the responsibilities for income generation and the typical household jobs may shift across sexes. Most families are upset and, thus, the responsibilities for income generation and the typical household jobs may shift across sexes. needs that create strain and stress in relationships. Extreme economic hardship may lead some women to sexual exploitation, often with men in positions of authority, such as police officers, members of disasters differently. Women, she argues, are more likely to communicate grief with others and seek assistance from other women, whereas men are more likely to communicate grief with others and seek assistance from other women and children vulnerable to sexual violence. Fisher points to research that indicates women are often assaulted when using such facilities. To aid women in these hard times, Fisher calls for implementation of a gender-based violence prevention and response program in government and humanitarian programs.25 On March 11, 2011, a massive earthquake occurred off the coast of Tohoku, Japan. Considered the most powerful earthquake ever to hit Japan and the fifth-most powerful earthquake in recorded history, it triggered a devastating tsunami that killed nearly 16,000 217 Japanese and injured many thousands more. damaged. The resulting tsunami caused nuclear accidents (i.e., meltdowns) at three reactors in the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant. But the Japanese response to the disaster befuddled many around the world. Under the headline, "Japan Faces Potential Nuclear Disaster as Radiation Levels Rise," the March 14, 2011, edition of the New York Times reported that "Japan's nuclear crisis verged toward catastrophe on Tuesday after an explosion damaged the vessel containing the nuclear core at one reactor and a fire at another spewed large amounts of radioactive material into the air." 26 Yet such dramatic headlines were markedly absent from Japanese media. Likewise, official statements from the Japanese government were ostensibly and comparatively calm and understated. Alexander Liss, who lived in Japan for many years, wrote for Australia's Business Insider: The Japanese cultural traits.... The concepts of gaman (enduring deprivation and making sacrifices), ganbare (trying your best, no matter how difficult a situation), and shoganai (it can't be helped) are common themes running through Japanese language and social customs, which combine to create a sense of determination that is often interpreted in the West as fatalism. This approach leads (and has led) to perseverance in difficult conditions, whether it is the long working hours of a traditional Japanese corporate environment or, on a macro level, the country's impressive rebuilding effort in the aftermath of World War II.27 Unlike the looting and crime that accompany the aftermath of World War II.27 Unlike the looting and crime that accompany the aftermath of World War II.27 Unlike the looting and crime that accompany the aftermath of World War II.27 Unlike the looting and crime that accompany the aftermath of World War II.27 Unlike the looting and crime that accompany the aftermath of World War II.27 Unlike the looting and crime that accompany the aftermath of World War II.27 Unlike the looting and crime that accompany the aftermath of World War II.27 Unlike the looting and crime that accompany the aftermath of World War II.27 Unlike the looting and crime that accompany the aftermath of World War II.27 Unlike the looting and crime that accompany the aftermath of World War II.27 Unlike the looting and crime that accompany the aftermath of World War II.27 Unlike the looting and crime that accompany the aftermath of World War II.27 Unlike the looting and crime that accompany the aftermath of World War II.27 Unlike the looting and crime that accompany the aftermath of World War II.27 Unlike the looting and crime that accompany the aftermath of World War II.27 Unlike the looting and crime that accompany the aftermath of World War II.27 Unlike the looting accompany the aftermath of World War II.27 Unlike the looting accompany the aftermath of World War II.27 Unlike the looting accompany the aftermath of World War II.27 Unlike the looting accompany the aftermath of World War II.27 Unlike the looting accompany the aftermath of World War II.27 Unlike the looting accompany the aftermath of World War II.27 Unlike the looting accompany the aftermath of World War II.27 Unlike the looting accompany the aftermath of World War II.27 Unlike the looting accompany the aftermath of World War II.27 Unlike the loot Marnie Hunter reports that such responses did not occur in Japan. She maintains that the Japanese's primary motive is to be responsible to the community, rather than to the individual. In her reporting of the tsunami, Hunter interviewed Gregory Pflugfelder, director of the Donald Keene Center of Japanese Culture at Columbia University, who asserted that social order and discipline are so enforced in ordinary times that I think it's very easy for Japanese to kind of continue in the group and from the gr relationship with nature can be seen in how it deals with natural disasters. Whether they be droughts, tornadoes, hurricanes, tsunamis, floods, or earthquakes, natural disasters is largely shaped by their culture's dominant value orientations. 218 The Built Environment Lang explains that the built environment of any culture consists mainly of adaptations to the terrestrial environment, including architecture, housing, lighting, and landscaping. The built environment affects the interaction between people and the natural environment. Moreover, many of these changes are specifically designed to facilitate or restrict human interaction. The built environment is not random; it is an intentionally designed pattern of spatial relationships between objects and people, and people and people. human communication between people, and it varies considerably across cultures.29 built environment Adaptations to the terrestrial environment, including architecture, housing, lighting, and landscaping Lang notes that, while sometimes designed for purely aesthetic reasons, the built environment is typically structured for specific activities. Classrooms, for example, are designed for a particular kind of communication. The size of the room, the positioning of the blackboards, and so on are all fashioned to facilitate interaction between the teacher and students. Culture affects how the built environment is designed. influences and directs the way activities are carried out, how the family is structured, how gender roles are played, attitudes toward privacy, and the overall process of social interaction. Moreover, says Rapoport, how the built environment is planned and constructed reflects the values, motivations, and resources of the culture wherein it exists. The environment when moving from one place to another is a major predictor of human communication patterns. People are more likely to communicate with one another is facilitated by the built environment than they are in environments where such access is restricted.31 Anthropologist Edward Hall has identified three fundamental types of layout patterns in built environments: fixed-feature space, and informal space. Fixed-feature space, and informal space, and informal space includes that which is movable (usually within fixed219 feature space), and so on. Semifixed-feature space includes that which is movable (usually within fixed219 feature space), and so on. such as furniture. Informal space is perceptual and varies according to the movement of the interactants. Informal space and is usually outside the awareness of the people interactants communicate; it is not stated space and is usually outside the awareness of the people interactants. semifixed-feature space Space bounded by movable objects, such as furniture informal space of the interactants The variability of fixed, semifixed, or informal space bounded by the movement of the interactants The variability of fixed, semifixed at all According to Lang, these kinds of environments are called adaptable or flexible. For example, in an adaptable fixed-features such as a gymnasium, many kinds of activities—such as a gymnasium, many kinds of semifixed-feature space, changes are made to accommodate certain kinds of activities. Some schools and office buildings, for example, have portable walls. Informal (or dynamic) space between people is controlled,
regulated, and managed by the nature of the relationship between the interactants.33 In addition to classifying fixed, semifixed, and informal space, Hall also developed a fourlevel classification of social distances. Hall argues that the physical environment guides behavior and the way people define the findings of ethologists (i.e., people who study animal behavior) who observed the various distances animals maintain in their environments. Hall maintains that spatial distance between people is a vehicle for communication, much like sight, sound, smell, and touch. As distance between interactants increases, the available visual, auditory, olfactic, thermal, and kinesthetic information decreases. As distance increases, the privacy of the individual increases, but the privacy of the individual increases, but the privacy of the individual increases, but the privacy of the individual increases. As distance increases, but the privacy of the individual increases, but the privacy of the individual increases, but the privacy of the individual increases. touching. This distance allows for much visual, auditory, and olfactic sensation. In the United States, 220 intimate distance is 9 to 18 inches and is usually reserved for highly personal distance between interactants is literally about the length of one human arm. Social-consultative distance is the spacing people practice at casual gatherings and in working situations. In the United States, this distance extends from 12 feet and beyond. There is little olfactic sensation at this distance.35 To be sure, intimate, personal, social, and public distances wary by culture, and Hall's classifications may not be universal. Hall argues that other factors, such as the relationship between interactants or external environmental factors, may influence distances between people. In addition, the built environment plays a key role in how space is used. Smaller, more confined spaces, insists Hall, increase interaction distances, whereas larger environments motivate people to adopt smaller distances. cultures (e.g., Arabs, Latin Americans, southern Europeans) tend to use closer interaction distances than do people from low-contact cultures. People in the United States, for instance, prefer greater distances between themselves and others than do people from low-contact cultures. large physical distances when they communicate. Conversely, people from warm-weather climates tend to use small physical distances. Northern Europeans (e.g., England, Germany, Scandinavia) are said to have larger personal-space "bubbles" than do southern Europeans (e.g., England, Germany, Scandinavia) are said to have larger personal-space "bubbles" than do southern Europeans (e.g., England, Germany, Scandinavia) are said to have larger personal-space "bubbles" than do southern Europeans (e.g., England, Germany, Scandinavia) are said to have larger personal-space "bubbles" than do southern Europeans (e.g., England, Germany, Scandinavia) are said to have larger personal-space "bubbles" than do southern Europeans (e.g., England, Germany, Scandinavia) are said to have larger personal-space "bubbles" than do southern Europeans (e.g., England, Germany, Scandinavia) are said to have larger personal-space "bubbles" than do southern Europeans (e.g., England, Germany, Scandinavia) are said to have larger personal-space "bubbles" than do southern Europeans (e.g., England, Germany, Scandinavia) are said to have larger personal-space "bubbles" than do southern Europeans (e.g., England, Germany, Scandinavia) are said to have larger personal-space "bubbles" than do southern Europeans (e.g., England, Germany, Scandinavia) are said to have larger personal-space "bubbles" than do southern Europeans (e.g., England, Germany, Scandinavia) are said to have larger personal-space "bubbles" than do southern Europeans (e.g., England, Germany, Scandinavia) are said to have larger personal-space "bubbles" than do southern Europeans (e.g., England, Germany, Scandinavia) are said to have larger personal-space "bubbles" than do southern Europeans (e.g., England, Germany, Scandinavia) are said to have larger personal-space "bubbles" than do southern Europeans (e.g., England, Germany, Scandinavia) are said to have larger personal-space "bubbles" than do southern Europeans (e.g., England, Germany, Scandinavia) are said to have larger personalclose enough to smell each other's breath. John Aiello has reviewed several studies and has found that Indonesians use less space than do Australians and are more likely to initiate a conversation with a stranger. Other studies comparing U.S. culture with other cultures have found no differences; that is, they have found common spatial behavior patterns. U.S., Australian, British, and South African young adults placed figures representing mental patients at about the same distances apart. In a comparable study, U.S., British, Scottish, Swedish, and Pakistani subjects similarly rated different seating distances for intimacy level. In an interesting study, it was found that when bilingual Japanese subjects spoke in their native tongue, they sat farther from a samesex, same-nationality confederate than did both U.S. citizens and bilingual Venezuelans. But when all subjects spoke English, the three groups approximated the U.S. seating pattern. 37 221 Photo 4.1 The architecture of different cultures affects the interaction between people and the natural environment. AP Photo/CHINATOPIX 222 Cross-Cultural Comparisons of Housing The use of space is an integral part of every human being's communication. Decisions about where and when to perform our daily activities are based on spatial patterns that are learned culturally. We become so accustomed to our spatial definitions and boundaries that many of us experience anxiety when forced to interact in novel or unusual environments. Heathcote maintains that a home expresses a symbolic social communication dimension that defines one's creative expression and style, as well as represents the social network and social class of its owner.38 Sixsmith suggests that a home is composed of three main structures, including the personal home, which is an extension; the social home, which is an extension; the social structure and architectural style.39 How we organize space within the home environment says much about our culture —its values and way of life. Perhaps more than any other aspect of the built environment, the home presents a particularly rich source of information about a culture's perception and use of space. Photo 4.2 Would you stay in a capsule hotel? Koichi Kamoshida/Staff/Getty 223 Japanese Housing The population density of 84 persons per square mile-about 10 times that of the United States, which has a population density of 84 persons per square mile. In Tokyo, the population density is 36,000 people per square mile. space, housing becomes a central issue for Japanese families. In the past 150 years, much has been written in the West about Japanese housing. Many of the traditional Japanese homes, where most daily activities occurred in one room, have been replaced by Western-style homes and high-rises, where space is defined by walls.40 But a relatively new housing phenomenon has emerged in the past 20 years: kyosho jutaku, also called microhomes or ultrasmall homes. Some of these microhomes are built on lots literally the size of a parking space—some homes as tiny as 300 square feet. For comparison, the average size of a dormitory room in the United States is around 150 to 170 square feet. Thus, a Japanese home includes a bath, kitchen, living room, bedrooms, and the like.41 In a report about microhomes, National Public Radica is around 150 to 170 square feet. correspondent Lucy Craft discovered that Japanese microhomes conserve space by eliminating many features of conventional homes, such as hallways, entranceways, and closets. A bathroom, writes Craft, may be separated from the rest of the home by only a curtain. She reports that furniture can be folded into the walls. In Japan, vertical space, rather than horizontal space, has become the focus. Often, microhomes are asymmetrical and seem unbalanced so they can fit into compact places. Persons in the United States measure the size of a home in terms of floor space, but Japanese microhomes are capsule hotels. A capsule hotel is a type of hotel developed in Japan that features a large number of extremely small rooms (i.e., capsules) intended to provide inexpensive and basic overnight accommodation for guests not requiring the services offered by more conventional hotels. A typical room size is roughly 6 ft 7 in by 3 ft 3 in by 4 ft 1 in. Houses of various types and styles can be found side by side in contemporary Japanese author and scholar of traditional single-family homes.43 Atsushi Ueda, a Japanese author and modernism.44 Tetsuro Yoshida and modernism.44 Tetsuro Yoshida and scholar of traditional single-family homes.43 Atsushi Ueda, a Japanese author and modernism.44 Tetsuro Yoshida and modernism.44 Tetsuro Yoshida and scholar of traditional single-family homes.43 Atsushi Ueda, a Japanese author and scholar of traditional single-family homes.43 Atsushi Ueda, a Japanese author and modernism.44 Tetsuro Yoshida and modernism.44 Tetsuro one of Japan's leading contemporary architects, writes that because the Japanese home is a detached house with a garden. Yoshida states that among traditional 224 Japanese, the house and garden are intimately related. 45 Since ancient times, the Japanese garden has been considered more a work of art than a simple plot of land where flowers and vegetables are cultivated. The Japanese garden is treated as a painting or sculpture to be appreciated from some distance (see Photo 4.3). Yoshida describes the Japanese garden as a quiet monochrome compared with the colorful European or American garden.46 In contrast, writes Ueda, one of the most visible features of the modern
Japanese home is a car or a garage.47 Photo 4.3 A traditional Japanese garden. © iStockphoto.com/fotoVoyager In traditional Japanese homes, writes Ueda, rooms are separated by shoji or fusuma, which are opaque sliding screens. A shoji panel is usually made of cedar lattice, with translucent paper stretched over it. Shoji panels are lightweight and easy to slide open or closed with one finger. The purpose, and major advantage, of shoji is that they can be removed easily to convert the entire floor of a house into a single, open room. The traditional Japanese house is fundamentally a one-room home partitioned by shoji. Because of the versatility of shoji, the plan of a house is flexible and the divisions of the rooms easily changed. According to Ueda, this is the major characteristic of space allocation in the Japanese home. 48 Ueda alleges that, regardless of how Westernized they may have become, the Japanese home and of sitting on the floor. The Japanese home and of sitting on the floor. The Japanese have not abandoned the customs of taking off their shoes before entering a house and of sitting on the floor. The Japanese have not abandoned the customs of taking off their shoes before entering a house and of sitting on the floor. raised floor and was developed out of a need to maintain sanitary conditions. Even contemporary homes have a raised floor. According to Ueda, the size of a room in a Japanese home is measured by its number of tatami mats. The tatami mats or sleeps. The principal room in a traditional Japanese home is the reception room, also called the sitting room. An average-sized room uses eight to 10 mats. The room usually faces the garden and incorporates an alcove, called the tokonoma. According to Ueda, the tokonoma is a recess arranged with staggered shelves, artistic ornaments, hanging scrolls, and perhaps a flower arrangement. It is the most sacred place in the home, holding a sort of spiritual or moral significance. The space immediately in front of the tokonoma is the most honored place in a traditional Japanese home. 49 Two rooms not often discussed but of primary importance in the Japanese home are the kitchen and bathroom. The kitchen, even in modern Japanese homes, is the wife's domain. The kitchen is a private place. In both traditional and contemporary Japanese homes, the bath is of utmost importance and is thought of as a place of recuperation and solitude. The bathroom, which is separate from the toilet, consists of two distinct areas, one for bathing and another for soaking. Initially, the Japanese will wash with soap under a shower next to the bathtub. Following the shower, they will crouch in the tub, which is designed for sitting, not lying. The water is warmed and sometimes scented with flowers or lemons. The purpose of the tub is to relax and soothe the body. This water is kept for several days and used by all family members. Japanese house is a thing of the past. However, the contemporary Japanese house, he writes, is "nervously confessing its own insecurity." 50 Though dramatic changes have occurred in Japan in the past 50 years, much of the psychology driving the design of the traditional Japanese house still lives in the contemporary population. American Navajo housing. In her pioneering work, Kent compared the use of space across three U.S. co-cultural groups: Native American/American Indian Navajos, Hispanic Americans, and Euro-Americans, and Euro-Americ Americans. Traditional Navajo families speak mostly Navajo and live in aboriginal dwellings called hogans. Hogans typically consist of three large converging support posts. The hogan is covered with earth. In addition to the hogan, the Navajo camp may consist of a wooden ramada, a wood-chip storage area, a horse corral, and small fields of corn. The hogan is occupied in the summer months. Water is hauled in from tribal wells. There is no outhouse. Some camps will have a sweat house.52 226 FIGURE 4.1 Navajo Hogan and Ramadaa is used for storage during the summer months. 227 In her research, Kent discovered that the space inside the hogan was used differently by the occupants according to their sex. The men stayed almost exclusively in one half of the hogan, while the women and children, but the food was consumed in the half occupied by the men.53 Kent writes that in contrast to the sex-defined spaces inside the hogan, both sexes of all ages performed activities at the same activity area outside the hogan, but different types of activities were performed in the same location, including stripping hides, weaving, butchering, and washing. An individual's mood and the season seemed to be the only factors affecting the activity areas of the Navajo camp.54 Kent observed that the use of space within the ramada differed significantly from that in the hogan. The men were active in all parts of the ramada. The women and children also used most of the ramada without restriction. Chairs and beds were used by each family member, regardless of sex or age. Kent also observed that the Navajos seemed to place little emphasis on the differences between the sexes and did not prescribe a traditional division of labor, as seen in many Euro-American homes. Moreover, asserts Kent, the Navajos had only a few sex-specific activity areas or sex-specific activity areas or artifacts. In fact, the only sex-specific activity areas or artifacts. In fact, the only sex-specific activity areas or artifacts. In fact, the only sex-specific activity areas or sex-specific activity areas or artifacts. although identical activities of daily living occurred in both. Kent speculates that the reason for this is that the hogan is perceived as a sacred dwelling, whereas the ramada is not. According to Kent, the hogan is perceived as a sacred dwelling of this is that the circular hogan symbolizes the circular cosmos, whereas the rectangular ramada and out-of-doors do not. The round, sacred hogan is divided into the same male and female areas as is the round, sacred cosmos.55 Muslim Homes In their review of the design of Muslim homes. Othman, Aird, and Buys note that traditional Islamic teachings prescribe guidelines that have direct applications for the design of Muslim homes. Othman and his colleagues point out that privacy, modesty, and hospitality are central to the design of Muslim homes. They assert that in traditional Muslim homes there are four layers of privacy between neighbors, privacy between neighbors, privacy between family members inside the home, and individual privacy, and olfactic (smell) privacy. Visual privacy is a central concern to ensure the privacy of female members of the family. This is accomplished by the strategic placement of entrance door is placed away from the main street and does not face opposite neighbors. Acoustical privacy is accomplished by 229 constructing thick walls and dividing the home into three main zones, specifically male zones, and service zones that may be linked via courtyards. Olfactic privacy is achieved by using incense that covers the spread of odors from the kitchen. Modesty in Muslim homes is divided into physical modesty and inner modesty. Physical modesty is achieved by designing a home with private spaces for religious activities and education. Hospitality refers to the generous reception and entertainment of guests, visitors, or even strangers within the Muslim home Othman and his colleagues point out that Muslim homes will be designed for public hospitality, semipublic hospitality, and private hospitality. Public hospitality is typically achieved by reserving the public spaces in the home for entertaining male guests. Semipublic space is reserved for female family members entertaining and meeting with their female friends and relatives. Private hospitality space is reserved for family members and immediate family relatives. Othman and his colleagues conclude their analysis of Muslim home is a place for personal and family privacy, a modest place for religious activities at home while showing humility to the outside, and a place for extending hospitality for family and the larger society.57 230 Privacy Most social scientists agree that human beings. At the same time, however, human beings cannot tolerate extended physical contact with other humans and need privacy.58 According to Alan Westin, these same competing needs are found in the animals individual human and other times seek individual seclusion.59 Although the need for privacy is innate and universal, the degree to which an individual human feels the need for seclusion varies considerably across cultures. For example, U.S. citizens value privacy so much that they have made it law. Junior high school students learn that Article 4 of the Bill of Rights of the Constitution of the United States guarantees every citizen the right to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects against unreasonable searches and seizures. U.S. citizens literally believe that they have a right to privacy at some times and companionship at others. In this sense, privacy is culture bound and is considered a learned response to particular social situations. Irwin Altman argues that privacy is a "boundary control" process whereby people sometimes close themselves accessible to others and sometimes make themselves accessible to others. The behavioral and environmental strategies people use to accomplish this process are defined by culture.60 Photo 4.4 People of all cultures seek privacy at some times and companionship at others. 231 James W. Neuliep Westin maintains that privacy is a necessary condition for acceptable social behavior. In some circumstances, privacy is literally required in order not to violate cultural norms. Most cultures specify (sometimes legally) that certain behaviors must be enacted in private. Such rules and norms vary from culture to culture.61 Even within cultures, microcultural groups have different perceptions of privacy. In the United
States, for example, Black American opinions and policy preferences regarding privacy differ from those of the White majority. In comparison with Whites, Black Americans are more concerned with and believe others are more concerned with invasions of privacy. 62 Lang states that privacy is closely linked to the built environment because it can be designed or maneuvered in a number of ways to promote, encourage, or restrict communication with others.63 In his seminal work on privacy. Westin identified four types of privacy: (a) solitude, or the state of being free from observation of others; (b) intimacy, or the state of being with another person but free from the outside world; (c) anonymity, or the state in which a person employs psychological barriers to control unwanted intrusion.64 These different forms of privacy serve different purposes including personal autonomy, 232 release of emotions, self-evaluation, and communication. Westin argues that the type and degree of privacy desired depends on the type and degree of privacy desired depends on the type and the individual's personality traits. such as the United States, where the nuclear family resides in a walled house that provides for privacy, sexual intercourse is likely to occur within the household. In cultures where the extended family lives together in a communal dwelling without clear spatial divisions, sexual intercourse is likely to occur within the household. In cultures where the extended family lives together in a communal dwelling without clear spatial divisions, sexual intercourse is likely to occur within the household. on Westin's four categories of privacy, Darhl Pedersen identified six types of privacy: (a) reserve, (b) isolation, (c) solitude, (d) intimacy with friends, and (f) anonymity. Reserve indicates an unwillingness to be with others, especially strangers. Isolation is defined as total separation and detachment from others. an absence from others. Intimacy with family is being alone with members of one's own family. Intimacy with friends is being alone with friends is being alone with friends. Anonymity is a desire to go unnoticed in a crowd. With the exception of isolation and solitude, these types of privacy are independent; that is, one type of privacy does not necessarily go with another. A privacy profile may be expected to be unique for a particular person or culture.66 Perceptions of Privacy in the United States about privacy have changed dramatically in the past decade or so. Clay Calvert, a professor who holds degrees in communication and law, argues that U.S. citizens live in a "voyeur nation." A voyeur is one who seeks sexual stimulation by visual means or is a prying observer of sordid or scandalous material. Calvert argues that the U.S. public has access to information not originally intended for public consumption, made available via television and the Internet in what he calls "mediated voyeurism-that is, unrehearsed and unscripted moments of actual events filmed by a video camera or smartphone. Nearly half of all U.S. citizens own smartphones. NBC reporter Emily Feldman notes that much has changed since the terror attacks on the World Trade Center in New York City on September 11, 2001, when just 1% of Americans learned of the attacks from the Internet and/or cell phones. Since then, social media and the prevalence of smartphones, now used by nearly 150 million U.S. citizens, have given news organizations, law enforcement officials, and the public more information to work with as a crisis unfolds. Feldman notes that within hours of the Boston Marathon in April 2013, news organizations relied heavily on reports from bystanders who captured on their smartphones some of the first images from the horrific 233 scene.69 The second type of mediated voyeurism, in which some actual event is dramatized for the benefit of the viewer. The television show America's Most Wanted is an example of reconstructed voyeurism. The third type, tell-all/show-all voyeurism, is typical of television shows such as Jerry Springer. Finally, the fourth type is sexual voyeurism, characterized by explicit Internet sites where hidden cameras are placed in bathrooms, bedrooms, up women's skirts, and so on. Calvert maintains that the U.S. voyeur mentality has serious implications for an individual's constitutional right to privacy. Frankly, Calvert is not particularly sanguine (optimistic) about the future of privacy rights in the United States. 234 Self-Assessment 4.1: Dimensions of Privacy preferred by individuals. This questionnaire has been used in cross-cultural comparisons. It contains 30 statements regarding the "privacy sphere" and is presented in each statement by marking whether it (1) never, (2) rarely, (3) occasionally, (4) sometimes, (5) often, or (6) usually applies. Scoring procedures are outlined following the scale. 1. I sometimes need to be alone and away from anyone. 2. I would be reluctant to engage in a prolonged conversation with someone I had just met. 3. I like to go on vacation with just my family. 4. I like my friends to sympathize with me and to cheer me up when I am depressed. 5. I have to be encouraged to put on a stunt at a party, even when others are doing the same sort of things. 6. I want my thoughts and ideas to be known by others. 7. I'd like to work on a farm all by myself for a summer. 8. I like to be the center of attention in my group. 9. I like living in an apartment house because it prevents me from being alone. 12. I like to be alone at home where it is peaceful and quiet. 10. I do not like to be disturbed when I am at home, engaged in a family activity. 11. It would be fun to be alone on a high mountain peak, surveying the scene below. 16. At parties, I am more likely to sit by myself than to join the crowd. be at home with nobody else around. 14. I have a special person that I can confide in. 15. I like to attend meetings if I do not know others. 17. I would like to have a mountain cabin where my family and I could be alone together. 18. I like my friends to fuss over me when I am sick. 19. I like other people to notice me when I am in public. 20. It pleases me when my accomplishments obtain recognition from my friends. 21. I reserve displays of physical affection for a select few friends and family. 22. I'd be happy living all alone in a cabin in the woods. 23. Sometimes I 28. I like to meet new people. like to be alone where I cannot be observed by anyone. 235 24. I tell my problems only to my family. 25. My personal relations with people are cool and distant. 26. I think I'd like the kind of work a forest ranger does. 27. I prefer doing things with only my family. 29. Whenever 30. I like being in a room by myself. Scoring: Reverse your score for Items 6, 8, 9, 15, 19, and 28. For example, if your original response was a 4, reverse it to a 2, and so on. Then follow the directions that follow: Add your responses to Items 1, 12, 13, 23, and possible. I avoid being in a crowd. 30. This total represents your "Solitude" score. Add your responses to Items 2, 16, 25, 28, and 20. This represents your "Intimacy With Friends" score. Add your responses to Items 7, 9, 11, 22, and 26. This represents your "Intimacy With Friends" score. Add your responses to Items 7, 9, 11, 22, and 26. This represents your "Intimacy With Friends" score. Add your responses to Items 7, 9, 11, 22, and 26. This represents your "Intimacy With Friends" score. Add your responses to Items 7, 9, 11, 22, and 26. This represents your "Intimacy With Friends" score. Add your responses to Items 7, 9, 11, 22, and 26. This represents your "Intimacy With Friends" score. Add your responses to Items 7, 9, 11, 22, and 26. This represents your "Intimacy With Friends" score. Add your responses to Items 7, 9, 11, 22, and 26. This represents your "Intimacy With Friends" score. Add your responses to Items 7, 9, 11, 22, and 26. This represents your "Intimacy With Friends" score. Add your responses to Items 7, 9, 11, 22, and 26. This represents your "Intimacy With Friends" score. Add your responses to Items 7, 9, 11, 22, and 26. This represents your "Intimacy With Friends" score. Add your responses to Items 7, 9, 11, 22, and 26. This represents your "Intimacy With Friends" score. Add your responses to Items 7, 9, 11, 22, and 26. This represents your "Intimacy With Friends" score. Add your responses to Items 7, 9, 11, 22, and 26. This represents your "Intimacy With Friends" score. Add your responses to Items 7, 9, 11, 22, and 26. This represents your "Intimacy With Friends" score. Add your responses to Items 7, 9, 11, 22, and 26. This represents your "Intimacy With Friends" score. Add your responses to Items 7, 9, 11, 22, and 26. This represents your "Intimacy With Friends" score. Add your responses to Items responses to Items 3, 10, 17, 24, and 27. This represents your "Intimacy With Family" score. Add your responses to Items 5, 6, 8, 15, and 21. This represents your "Anonymity" score. Scores of 20 or higher on these subscales indicate a greater preference for the specific type of privacy. from Pedersen, D. M. (1979). Dimensions of Privacy. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 48, 1291–1297. © Perceptual and Motor Skills, 1979. Different groups of people throughout the United States have different concerns about privacy. Many college students, for example, are concerned about what types of information and how much their college or university can disclose about them. The 1974 Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act, also known as the Buckley Amendment, forbids colleges from disclosing academic records without student approval. In October 1998, the U.S. Congress passed an amendment to the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act that allows colleges and universities to inform parents any time a student under the age of 21 violates drug or alcohol laws. The privacy act still prohibits colleges and universities from releasing students' grades, but many colleges and
universities from releasing students. when they have notified their parents. The new laws also allow colleges to disclose information regarding any violent crimes committed by students, and the new amendment now permits a college to release a student's grades to a court.70 Black Americans seem to have different views on privacy compared with Whites. For example, Oscar Gandy reports that educated Black Americans extend broad privacy, it is because they sense that a loss of control over personal information renders them more susceptible to discrimination, especially in terms of employment, insurance, and credit. According to Gandy, Black Americans are most concerned about privacy issues as they relate to relationships between individuals and the government, but not more so than other groups (e.g., Whites).71 Cross-Cultural Variations on Privacy Pedersen's privacy questionnaire can be useful in determining the privacy preferences of a variety of culture's preferences about privacy can help you determine when and should take place. For example, if you know when and how a culture's preferences about privacy can help you determine when and should take place. persons from that culture. Invasions of privacy are negatively perceived across cultures and will be interpreted as a sign of incompetent communication. Darhl Pedersen and Shelia Frances found three consistent trends in the privacy choices of people across various regions of the United States. People from the mountain states tend to score higher than do those on the West Coast in their preference for isolation, anonymity, and solitude. in the mountain states in their high preference for anonymity. Those on the West Coast score lower than all others on solitude.72 A culture's definition and perception of privacy are often based on its history and dominant cultural values. In her studies of Chinese culture, Orna Naftali traces the history of privacy in China.73 She notes that in traditional Chinese culture, the basic unit of privacy was held only within the social hierarchy of the family, where higher ranked individuals enjoyed privacy from the lower ranked, but not vice versa. Furthermore, children were seen as the private property of the higher ranked family members. Naftali also notes that in traditional Chinese culture, the term private carried negative connotations and was associated with selfishness and immorality. During the socialist revolution in the mid-20th century, individual privacy was disdained. Private property was banned, and the desire for personal privacy, including one's own physical private space, was despised by the people. But these cultures enjoy, for the past 30 years or so, the Chinese people have witnessed a return of a relative private and personal social life. Couples are allowed to have only one child each. Although that policy began to be formally phased out in 2015, that was quite an imposition of privacy. Naftali notes that with the 237 recent reduction of socialist-era welfare policies and the introduction of market reforms, the Chinese government is shifting its focus from reliance on the self. Given the one-child policy, privacy has become a major focal point in child rearing. In fact, in 1992 China enacted the Law of Protection of Minors, which, among other things, legislated a child's right to privacy. dramatic changes in education and housing. Urban Chinese houses now provide children with private spaces. In public areas, too, distinct areas are partitioned for children. This is a dramatic change from when there were few or no doors between rooms in traditional Chinese houses. space. In her study of urban Chinese homes, Naftali observed that most children had a room of their own.74 In a related study, Shengming Tang and Xiaoping Dong explored parents' and children's perceptions of privacy in China.75 They maintain that unlike most Western cultures— where privacy is achieved primarily by means of space manipulation -in China, privacy is often defined in abstract ethical terms. But they agree that privacy issues have changed dramatically in China. In their study, Tang and Dong examined privacy issues would include children being allowed to close the door when in a room with a group of friends. Physical privacy would include parents refraining from hugging or kissing their teenage children when in public if the children do not like it. Mental privacy areas. Their results showed that parents and children were consistent (with each other) in their ratings. Overall, both parents and children felt that they deserved this privacy, and Chinese parents respected their children's privacy—although, interestingly, fathers rated these privacy areas higher than did mothers. The lowest rated areas were those of mental privacy. Rated lowest of these were Internet, letter, and e-mail privacy. Tang and Dong conclude that Chinese children have strong desires for privacy, and their parents generally respect those desires. They note that the concept of privacy once associated mainly with Western cultures seems to exist in China as well.76 Ahmet Rustemli and Dogan Kokdemir administered Pedersen's privacy questionnaire to Turkish students, and the results of their study are comparable to those for U.S. citizens. The overall preferences for solitude, isolation, anonymity, and intimacy with family members. The Turkish subjects demonstrated a lower preference for reserve and intimacy with family members. The Turkish subjects preferred intimacy with friends over intimacy with family. This may be due to the family structure in Turkish family, the children develop an intimate and dependent, but restricted, relationship with their parents. That is, the children become dependent on their parents but have limited intimate communication with them. Thus, issues of personal identity and intimacy are directed toward peers rather than parents.77 In referring to a paper delivered by Clifford Geertz, Westin describes the living arrangements of the people living in Java. According to Westin, the Javanese live in small, bamboo-walled houses that have no interior walls or doors. Except for the bathroom, there are no truly private areas. Westin claims that because the Javanese have no physical privacy, they have developed a kind of psychological privacy in their everyday behaviors and communication. They speak softly, conceal their feelings, are emotionally restrained, and are indirect in their verbal and nonverbal communication.78 The built environment is only one way a culture defines its communication with others. The way a particular culture defines its communication with others. distorted view of the privacy regulation system of any culture. Online Privacy Across Cultures Kenneth Farrall of New York University writes, "Globally, privacy is under threat. To many in academia, there is little hope in preserving active domains of seclusion, secrecy or anonymity within an increasingly risk-averse, surveillance-networked, global society."79 Sociologist Sherry Turkle argues that there is a tension in U.S. culture between the individual's desire for and right to privacy and the invasion of personal information about people is stored electronically, it is relatively easy to gain access to it, including virtually all of one's financial and medical data. According to Carole Lane, a paid Internet searcher, In a few hours, sitting at my computer, beginning with no more than your name and address, I can find out what you do for a living, the names and ages of your spouses and children, what kind of car you drive, the value of your house and how much taxes you pay on it.81 In June 2013, the Washington Post reported that the U.S. National Security Agency and the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) were tapping directly into the central computer servers of nine U.S. Internet companies (e.g., Google), extracting audio and video chats, photographs, e-mails, documents, and connection logs that would enable security officials to track U.S. citizens and foreigners.82 The program, code-named PRISM, was not known to the public until the Washington Post published its article. The purpose of the program, code-named PRISM, was not known to the public until the Washington Post published its article. the government of spying, while others believed it was a necessary step in protecting the United States from future terrorist attacks. Recall from Chapter 1 the idea that technological advances in areas such as the Internet and cell phones have decentralized information across the globe—meaning that more people than ever before have access to more information. Recall that in India, more people have cell phones than there are people in the United States. Because so many people have access to information privacy is becoming a salient issue in our informational village. Recently, Hichang Cho, Milagros Rivera-Sánchez, and Sun Sun Lim conducted a multinational study of online privacy.83 Cho and his colleagues argue that the overwhelming majority of consumers are concerned about their privacy issues, they point out that female Internet users are more concerned about their privacy than are male users. Older and better educated persons are also more concerned about privacy, presumably because they are more aware of privacy concerns. Some studies show that as Internet usage increases, privacy concerns decrease; other research shows the opposite. These authors hypothesize that two dimensions of cultural variability discussed in Chapter 2 may have implications for online private life, while those from collectivistic cultures more easily accept groups' and organizations' intrusion into their private lives Power distance, too, may affect privacy concerns. Cho and his colleagues argue that persons from large power distance cultures and those from large power distance cultures and those from large power distance cultures and those from large power distance cultures argue that persons from large power argue
that persons from large power distance cultures argue that persons f privacy. They selected five cities for their study, including Seoul, Singapore, and Bangalore (India), which were chosen because the authors assumed that these are collectivistic and small power distance. They also measured the age sex, and education level of respondents in these cultures. Their results showed that older, female Internet users were more concerned with online privacy. Regarding the cultural variables of individualism and power distance, respondents from Sydney and New York—presumably individualistic cities—did report more concerns about online privacy than did respondents from Seoul, Singapore, and Bangalore. Power distance did not play a role, however. The authors reason that individualists desire a private life away from the collective, whereas 240 collectivists (and those in high-context cultures) prefer indirect communication and, thus, do not seek explicit details about privacy protection. In 2014, EMC Corporation (logo is EMC2) surveyed 15,000 respondents in 15 countries to study perceptions and attitudes about data privacy and the willingness to trade privacy for convenience and benefits online. EMC is a U.S. corporation that

sells data storage, information security, and cloud computing products that facilitate businesses in storing, managing, protecting, and analyzing their data. The results of their privacy for more benefits and convenience online. But these results vary considerably by country and region. For example, in India, over 60% of Survey respondents said they were willing to trade their online privacy for better online privacy for better online services, compared to only 36% of Germans.84 In another question, respondents were asked if they believed their government institutions were working to protect their individual privacy Interestingly, respondents from India who were most willing to trade their online privacy for better online privacy issues are occurring acrossed their government institutions are working to protect their privacy issues are occurring acrossed their government was working to protect their privacy for better online privacy issues are occurring acrossed to be a second to be a secon the globe. Writing in the New York Times, SeWoong Koo, the editor-in-chief of Korea Exposé, a news website specializing in the Korean Peninsula, reports that Korea's three central telecommunication companies have been disclosing their subscribers' personal information to law enforcement agencies whenever a request is made, without requesting a warrant or informing their customers. According to Koo, SK Telecom, a wireless South Korean telecommunications operator, along with KT and LGPlus, the other two mobile network operators, disclosed the names, addresses, and resident registration numbers of over 6 million phone numbers in the first half of 2014. Koo also reports that this case represents a trend in privacy invasions since Park Geun-hye is the first woman to be elected president of South Korea. Critics contend that online surveillance under Geun-hye has reached epidemic proportions. Koo notes that initially Geun-hye's surveillance program was thought to be motivated by a desire to reduce crime, social instability, and pro-North Korean online activities. Policing the Internet, monitoring private chats, installing closedcircuit television cameras, and collecting information on telecommunication users are all and the initially closedcircuit television cameras, and collecting information on telecommunication users are all and the initial closedcircuit television cameras, and collecting information on telecommunication users are all and the initial closedcircuit television cameras, and collecting information on telecommunication users are all and the initial closedcircuit television cameras, and collecting information on telecommunication users are all and the initial closedcircuit television cameras, and collecting information on telecommunication users are all and the initial closedcircuit television cameras, and collecting information on telecommunication users are all and the initial closedcircuit television cameras, and collecting information users are all and the initial closedcircuit television cameras, and collecting information on telecommunication users are all and the initial closedcircuit television cameras, and collecting information users are all and the initial closedcircuit television cameras, and collecting television cameras, and collect part of Geun-hye's plan. In its 2015–2016 annual report, Amnesty International writes that North Koreans continued to suffer violations of almost every aspect of their human rights.87 In the fourth year of Kim Jong-un's rule, authorities continued to suffer violations of almost every aspect of their human rights.87 In the fourth year of Kim Jong-un's rule, authorities continued to suffer violations of almost every aspect of their human rights.87 In the fourth year of Kim Jong-un's rule, authorities continued to suffer violations of almost every aspect of their human rights.87 In the fourth year of Kim Jong-un's rule, authorities continued to suffer violations of almost every aspect of their human rights.87 In the fourth year of Kim Jong-un's rule, authorities continued to suffer violations of almost every aspect of their human rights.87 In the fourth year of Kim Jong-un's rule, authorities continued to suffer violations of almost every aspect of their human rights.87 In the fourth year of Kim Jong-un's rule, authorities continued to suffer violations of almost every aspect of their human rights.87 In the fourth year of Kim Jong-un's rule, authorities continued to suffer violations of almost every aspect of their human rights.87 In the fourth year of Kim Jong-un's rule, authorities continued to suffer violations of almost every aspect of their human rights.87 In the fourth year of Kim Jong-un's rule, authorities continued to suffer violations of almost every aspect of their human rights.87 In the fourth year of Kim Jong-un's rule, authorities continued to suffer violations of almost every aspect of their human rights.87 In the fourth year of Kim Jong-un's rule, authorities continued to suffer violations of almost every aspect of their human rights.87 In the fourth year of Kim Jong-un's rule, authorities continued to suffer violations of almost every aspect of their human rights.87 In the fourth year of Kim Jong-un's rule, authorities continued to suffer violations of almost every aspect of their human rights.87 International reports that of the 3 million domestic mobile services and access to the Internet. Only tourists and foreign residents are allowed to make international calls or access the Internet using smartphones. North Korean does have a computer network available, but it only provides online access to domestic websites and domestic e-mail and is not widely accessible to most North Koreans. According to the report, North Koreans who made international calls using smuggled mobile phones experienced frequent jamming of lines and the wiretapping of their 242 conversations. Moreover, those North Koreans whose conversations were overheard could be arrested if they were calling someone in South Korea. Writing for the International Association of Privacy Professionals (IAPP), Hogan Lovells notes that just over 40% of China's 1.3 billion citizens (i.e., over 500 million people) use the Internet.88 Lovells reports that China's e-commerce market value now surpasses that of the United States. This renders China's online marketplace due to concerns about online privacy and security In recognizing those concerns, the Chinese government recently passed a number of laws and regulations, ensuring online privacy and regulations prescribed that Chinese organizations collecting personal electronic information must do the following: Publish policies regarding their data practices Inform individuals of the purpose, method, and scope of data collection Obtain consent prior to collecting personal electronic information Implement measures to protect individuals' personal electronic information Implement measures to protect individuals' personal electronic information Implement measures to protect individuals' personal electronic information against theft, loss, and damage Refrain from selling or illegally disclosing personal electronic information Take immediate remedial measures if personal electronic information is compromised Refrain from sending commercial electronic communications to a recipient's landline, mobile phone, or e-mail address without consent It is clear that online privacy varies considerably across cultures. Recently, Blase Ur and Yang Wang surveyed the literature on cross-cultural privacy issues and proposed a set of criteria that online services should address in order to provide users from diverse backgrounds and cultures reasonable online privacy protections. In constructing their framework (see Ur and Wang's Privacy Framework box), Ur and Wang considered three central issues regarding online privacy and culture. First are cultural norms. For example, as Ur and Wang note, the definition of privacy differs across cultures and especially how it is manifest online. Photo sharing, the use of pseudonyms, and network structure vary considerably in online use across cultures. also vary across cultures. Ur and Wang note that at least 40 countries have recently enacted data protection laws, many of which different cultures have more addressed. As already shown, persons from different cultures have recently enacted data protections are addressed. As already shown, persons from different cultures have recently enacted data protections are addressed. As already shown, persons from different cultures have more addressed. As already shown, persons from different cultures have more addressed. As already shown, persons from different cultures have more addressed. As already shown, persons from different cultures have more addressed. As already shown, persons from different cultures have more addressed. As already shown, persons from different cultures have more addressed. As already shown, persons from different cultures have more addressed. As already shown, persons from different cultures have more addressed. As already shown, persons from different cultures have more addressed. As already shown, persons from different cultures have more addressed. As already shown, persons from different cultures have more addressed. As already shown, persons from different cultures have more addressed. As already shown, persons from different cultures have more addressed. As already shown, persons from different cultures have more addressed. As already shown, persons from different cultures have more addressed. As already shown, persons from different cultures have more addressed. As already shown, persons from different cultures have more addressed. As already shown, persons from different cultures have more addressed. As already shown, persons from different cultures have more addressed. As already shown, persons from different cultures have more addressed. As already shown, persons from
different cultures have more addressed. As already shown, persons from different cultures have more addressed. As already shown, persons from different cultures have more addressed. As already shown, persons from diffe in India felt that their government was protecting their online privacy, whereas only 17% of Japanese felt that way.89 243 Ur and Wang's Privacy Framework Cultural Norms Is there a clear conception of privacy? If so, what is it? What is considered sensitive or private content? What constitutes offensive content? Is it preferable, essential, or undesired that users from a particular culture be able to use pseudonyms? With whom does a user expect posts will be shared? What is the structure of his or her network? For what types of information cause a user distress? In what cases does information cause a user distress? In what cases does information cause a user distress? sharing photos of others and of the user himself or herself? Legal Issues Are people required by the law to provide their real identities to use the service? What data-protection steps are legally required, and what is the legal definition of personal data? What level of access must users have to their data? In which jurisdictions is the social networking provider liable for its actions? Can government agencies request user information from the service providers? Under what conditions? User Expectations Is privacy-critical information communicated clearly in the user's language? Do users expect that they can limit their audience by communicating in a certain language or lexicon? What localized social networks have set precedents that drive users' privacy expectations? How are social media and pop culture? What are expectations around surveillance by the social networking provider, the government, and third parties? SOURCE: Ur, B., & Wang, Y. (2013). A Cross-Cultural Framework for Protecting User Privacy in Online Social Media. Retrieved from 244 Monochronic Versus Polychronic Time Orientation In addition to its physical and spatial components, the built environment also contains a perceptual-temporal feature. Human communication occurs in a physical space and perceptual time. Hall is well known for his discussion of time across cultures. Hall asserts, Time talks. It speaks more plainly than words. The message it conveys comes through loud and clear. Because it is manipulated less consciously, it is subject to less distortion than the spoken language. It can shout truth where words lie.90 Like other components of the environment, the perception and use of time are cultural. Unlike other elements of the environment. Regarding time, Hall categorizes cultures as either monochronic or polychronic. Monochronic- and polychronicoriented cultures organize time and space differently. According to Hall, people with a monochronic time (or P-time) orientation see time as much less tangible and stress multiple activities with little emphasis on scheduling. P-time cultures allow the natural context (instead of a clock) to guide behaviors. P-time cultures stress involvement of people and the completion of tasks as opposed to a strict adherence to schedules. Cultural temporal orientation that stresses the compartmentalization and segmentation of measurable units of time polychronic time orientation that stresses the involvement of people and completion of tasks as opposed to strict adherence to schedules; time not seen as measurable In M-time cultures, such as the United States, time is thought of as almost physical, like something you can touch and hold in your hand. Time is treated like money. We talk of saving, spending, wasting, and losing time. Hall argues that for M-time people, time is linear and compartmentalized into discrete, quantifiable, and measurable units (e.g., minutes, hours, days, weeks, years). The schedule is paramount in M-time cultures— scheduling dictates just about every day. In many ways, scheduling is like a computer program, specifying what actions will be performed in one place at one time, so in Mtime cultures, people are concerned with doing only one activity at a time. When stressed or trying to multitask, M-timers can be heard exclaiming, "I can do only one thing at a time!" 92 245 Hall explains that by its very nature, scheduling segments people from one another and dictates how they conduct their relationships. Because time is viewed as so valuable, people with the most power and prestige are given the most time (and space) and are allowed more flexibility and less accountability with their time. Physicians, for example, are routinely late for appointments without sanction. Late or "no-show" patients, on the other hand, may pay heavily for their inconsideration of the physician's time. Hall maintains that although an M-time orientation is learned and completely arbitrary, it becomes so ingrained in people that they have no other way of thinking about their world. At an early age, children are taught the importance of time, scheduling, and promptness. Moreover, they are often punished if they fail to adhere. A child learns when to eat, nap, and play. In schools, subjects are taught at certain times of the day for a specific duration. Through compartmentalizing and segmenting time, a person's day is completely planned and scheduled—including sleep, work, leisure, and even sex. Hall notes that tardiness and missed appointments are a source of extreme anxiety for many Mtimers. Hall points out that perhaps the most important consequence of M-time is that it denies the natural context and progression of human communication. Rather than completing an assignment or finishing a conversation, scheduling forces people into an artificial pattern and sequence of behavior. and will not be perceived and attended to and permits only a limited number of events within a given time period. Many M-time cultures are low context, including the United States, Germany, Scandinavia, Canada, France, and most of northern Europe.93 To the M-time person, the shortest—and most efficient—distance between Point A and Point B is a straight line. 246 Student Voices Across Cultures: Monochronic Time in Germany Sebastian Friedemann My name is Sebastian Friedemann. I'm from Düsseldorf, Germany, and I earned my master's degree in sports, media, and communication at the German Sports University in Cologne. Between 2008 and 2009, I was an exchange student at St. Norbert College in De Pere, WI. Being a German exchange student showed me how important it is to have an understanding of what different perceptions of time mean in nonverbal communication. I, for my part—like most people in Germany—feel strongly committed to a monochronic perception of time. Always being on time respecting deadlines, and doing one task after another, for example, are important to me and also affect my daily schedule, both at university and in my private life. Apart from being overly punctual in private or business meetings, a good example to point out the perception of time for most Germans is the public transportation system. Since many people commute to work, they count on the punctuality of the public transportation system. People usually get mad quickly if a train or bus is 5 minutes late because they see time as a precious resource. Especially when dealing with members of different cultures, one should keep in mind that differences in the perception of time might predominate While Germany and the United States share a more monochronic perception of time, I realized on a trip to Spain that the people there are committed to more polychronic time systems. Nobody seems to care if the bus is on time or 20 minutes late, which is the result of a less formal perception of time. On the other hand, Hall argues that in P-time cultures, schedules are less important and are frequently broken. P-time people can do many things at once, and relationships take 247 priority over schedules. P-timers are often distractible and tolerant of interruptions. In Ptime cultures, time is not thought of as tangible, and a person may be engaged in several activities in the same space with several people simultaneously. Although P-timers obviously can read a clock and understand the idea of a schedule, they are more interested in completing the task at hand than leaving it because of some predetermined, and artificial, schedule. Hall contends that people in P-time cultures are not slaves to schedules and are frequently late for appointments or may not show up at all. The guiding principle behind P-time cultures is that the natural context, in the present, guides behavior. Many African and Middle Eastern countries.94 To a P-time person, getting from Point A to Point B may take some time including a few diversions and interruptions, but Point B will eventually be reached. Photo 4.5 In monochronic cultures, schedules deny the natural contexts. © iStockphoto.com/Rawpixel Ltd Consequences of Monochronic cultures, schedules deny the natural contexts. timer has a specific area set aside for specific activities, and, generally, these are the only activities allowed in that area. In M-time office buildings, for example, people have their own private offices in which to conduct their business. Likewise, in P-time 248 cultures, where multiple activities allowed in that area. same place. Keberlein describes the inside of the municipal building in a small Guatemalan town. The building has no interior walls to cordon off people from one another; instead, it is one large room where all the town's municipal needs are handled. seems to be interacting with two or three people simultaneously. No lines are formed, and people compete for the mayor's attention. The mayor probably is a very important and informed person in this town.95 Hall has observed that many P-time cultures feature a defined centralization of bureaucratic control. This is because the leaders interact with many people, and the people are informed because
they interact with one another. Polychronic people tend to be well informed of one another's business. Hall argues that their involvement with one another's business. Hall argues that their involvement with one another's business. similar contrast can be seen in the homes of M-time and P-time cultures. In most Mtime cultures, the home is carefully planned and organized. It is compartmentalized into individual rooms, each with its specific purpose (e.g., kitchen, dining room, bedroom, laundry room, bathroom). Many P-time homes, on the other hand, may be defined by one large living area. In traditional Japanese homes, for example, the main living area is a single room where the entire family eats, sleeps, and Krueger explain that the Masai, a nomadic culture of Kenya, do not compartmentalize time into seconds, minutes, and hours but, instead, schedule specific periods of the day around the rising and setting sun and the feeding of their cattle. The typical Masai day begins just prior to sunrise, when the cattle go to the river to drink. This period is called "the red blood period" because of the sunrise. The afternoon consists of a period of time when "the shadows lower themselves." The evening begins when the cattle return from the river.97 According to Vandehey and her colleagues, the Masai belong to age sets. One's age set determines his or her privileges and responsibilities. Instead of a calendar, the Masai measure time periods by seasons and months, the duration of which is determined by rainfall. Although the Masai compartmentalize time into months, they are not restricted to any set time. For example, a particular month lasts as long as the rains continue. A new month does not begin until the rains continue. have ceased.98 (See Table 4.2 for a review of the characteristics of monochronic cultures.) 249 250 An Intercultural Conversation: Monochronic cultures.) 249 250 An Intercultural Conversation: Monochronic cultures.) 249 250 An Intercultural Conversation: Monochronic cultures.) scheduled to meet with his Saudi counterpart, Abdul Arami. In the following scenario, Mr. Bersik and his training team arrived in Saudi Arabia 3 days ago for a scheduled appointment with Mr. Arami. Mr. Bersik and his training team. Finally, a call to Mr. Bersik's hotel room indicates that Mr. Arami is prepared to meet with him. When he arrives at the location, Mr. Bersik is asked to wait outside Mr. Arami's office at a quick pace. The hallways of this building are a hustle and bustle of activity, with people shuffling in and out of many rooms. Finally, after several hours, Mr. Bersik is called in to meet Mr. Arami. 99 Mr. Bersik: Ah, Mr. Arami: Excuse me ... (Takes the maiting for days. Did you forget our appointment? Mr. Arami: Excuse me ... (Takes the .. phone call and speaks in Arabic. After several minutes, he concludes the phone conversation.) Yes, now ... everything is fine? Mr. Bersik: Well, actually, I've got a small problem. You see, the computer equipment you ordered ... (A staff person enters the room and hands Mr. Arami something to sign.) Mr. Arami: Oh, excuse me. (Signs the document.) Yes, now, everything is fine? Mr. Bersik: As I was saying ... all the computer equipment you ordered is just sitting on a ship in the dock. I need your help in getting it unloaded. I mean, it's been there for 2 weeks! Mr. Arami: Hmmm. I see ... this is no problem. Mr. Bersik: Well, if it sits in the heat much longer it could be damaged. Could I get you to sign a work order to have it unloaded by Friday? Mr. Arami: There is no need for that. The job will get done. Mr. Bersik: Well, could we do it then? My people are here now, and they're waiting to begin training. Mr. Arami: There is no great rush. We have lived for many generations without this equipment. We can wait a few more weeks, if necessary. This is not a problem. (Two men enter the room and begin a conversation with Mr. Arami will sign any kind of many generations without this equipment. We can wait a few more weeks, if necessary. This is not a problem. work order for Mr. Bersik. Within the context of the Saudi culture, Mr. Bersik's behavior is inappropriate. His emphasis on deadlines is perceived by Mr. Arami, Mr. Bersik is in too much of a hurry. In the future, Mr. Bersik must learn that the Saudis' perception of time is very diff erent from his own. Mr. Bersik is monochronic, whereas Mr. Arami is 251 polychronic. When he does business in Saudi Arabia, Mr. Bersik must understand the temporal feature of the culture. (See Self-Assessment 4.2 for a questionnaire to determine your time orientation.)100 252 Self-Assessment 4.2: Id/or polychronic time orientation. In the blank before each item, indicate the degree to which yes (2) and yes Assessing Time Orientation Directions: The following is a scale created by Charles Phipps, designed to measure one's monochronic and/or polychronic time orientation. In the blank before each item, indicate the degree to which you (1) strongly agree, (2) agree, (3) are neutral, (4) disagree, or (5) strongly disagree with the statement. There are no right or wrong answers, and many of the statements are similar; this is by design. Work quickly and record your first impression. 3. When I work on a project around the house, it doesn't bother me to stop in the middle of one job to pick up another job that needs to be done. 4. I like to finish one task before going on to another task. conversations at a time. 6. I tend to concentrate on one job before moving on to another task. 7. The easiest way for me to function is to organize my day with activities with a schedule. different church matters to discuss. 12. I am mildly irritated when someone in a meeting 9. I like doing several tasks at one time. 10. I am frustrated when I have to start on a task without first finishing a previous one. 11. In trying to solve problems, I find it stimulating to think about several different problems at the same time. rather than one student at a time. wants to bring up a personal topic that is unrelated to the purpose of the meeting. 13. In school, I prefer studying one subject to completion before going on to the next subject. 14. I'm hesitant to focus my attention on only one thing because I may miss something equally important. 15. I usually need to pay attention to only one task at a time to finish it. Scoring: For Items 2, 3, 5, 8, 9, and 11, reverse your responses (e.g., 5 = 1, 4 = 2, 3 = 3, 2 = 4, and 1 = 5). Once you have reversed your responses to those six items, sum the scores of approximately 30 and below indicate a monochronic orientation. Scores of approximately 42 and above indicate a polychronic orientation. SOURCE: This scale was developed by Charles A. Phipps as a part of his senior honors thesis, titled The Measurement of Monochronic and Anglos, Abilene Christian University, 1987. Used with permission. 253 In addition to the technical differences between monochronic and polychronic time orientations, many cultures have unique proverbs or adages that express their chronemic orientations.101 254 Cultural Proverbs About Time is money; save time; don't waste time. England: He who hesitates is lost; strike while the iron is hot; a stitch in time saves nine. Switzerland: Time is everything. Israel: If you want your dreams to come true, don't oversleep. Germany: Time and opportunity are in no man's sleeve. Polychronic Cultures: Time is the master of those who have no other master. India: Patience is the most beautiful prayer. Africa (Rwanda): A ripe melon falls by itself. Mexico: There is more time than life. China: With time and patience, a mulberry leaf will become a silk gown. 255 Chapter Summary The relationship between humans and their environment is complex. By its very nature, the environment is complex. By processed is strongly influenced by cultures and can dramatically affect communication. In this chapter, we saw that cultures vary considerably in how they view the natural environment and their needs These cultures try to coexist with nature peacefully. Still others see nature as a slave, humans are in constant interaction with their environment. As the contextual model of intercultural communication shows, all human communication exists within some kind of environment. Most human communication occurs within a built environment is specifically designed either to restrict or facilitate human interaction. How a culture designs its built environment is specifically designed either to restrict or facilitate human interaction. manage the built and home environments leads one to a better understanding of cultures and to being a more competent intercultural communicator. This chapter also discussed issues of privacy. People of all cultures have an innate inclination to affiliate and communicate with other human beings. At the same time, however, people cannot tolerate extended physical contact with others and have a need for privacy. The degree to which one senses privacy is learned and varies from culture. Moreover, the issue of online privacy has become a significant concern across the globe. Finally, this chapter described two orientations toward the perceptual environmental variable of time involvement of people and completion of tasks as opposed to a strict adherence to artificial schedules. The natural, built, and perceptual aspects of the environment are pervasive influences on how people communicate with one another. Moreover, our perceptions of the environment are largely molded by our culture. 256 edge.sagepub.com/neuliep7e SAGE edge offers a robust online environment featuring and impressive array of free tools and resources for review, study, and further exploration, keeping both instructors and students on the cutting edge of teaching and learning. 257 Discussion Questions 1. How does the information load of your classrooms change throughout the semester? 2. How does your perception of time (i.e., monochronic or polychronic) affect your
daily life? Your study schedule? Your schedule? Your schedule? Your study schedule? Your schedule? Y what you see, hear, taste, touch, and smell? Give examples. 258 Ethics and the Environmental Context 1. Upon graduation, you've decided to spend a year in the Peace Corps. Your first assignment is to southern provinces of Sri Lanka, where a flood has left thousands homeless. Arriving at the humanitarian aid station, you are stunned to find men assaulting displaced women. No one seems to be helping. Do you intervene? 2. You've just finished a semester at college, where your grades were not as good as you would have liked. When you arrive home for break, you learn that your college has mailed your grades were not as good as you would have liked. college to mail your grades home? 3. You work for a nonprofit group that brings aid to underdeveloped countries across the world. Presently, you are in South America helping a small tribe built at only one location, above a well, and this will require that your team cut down several trees. The locals ask that you not cut down the trees because they hold spiritual value for them. What will you do? 259 Developing Intercultural Communication Competence 1. Most readers of this book are probably from monochronic-oriented cultures. planned and/or prescribed schedule (perhaps during a break from classes). Rather than being concerned about what time it is, allow the communicative context to govern your activities. Engage in activities for as long as they need, not according to your daily planner/schedule. 2. Take note of how the built environment around you is reflective of your culture's monospatial or polyspatial orientation. If from a monospatial orientation, make note of how the built environment is compartmentalized, that each room is designed for one kind of activity for a specified period of time (e.g., a class, a dinner, or a meeting). 260 Key Terms built environment 124 fixed-feature space 124 high load 119 informal space 125 information rate 119 low load 119 monochronic time orientation 142 natural/physical environment 120 261 Environment 120 Discuss cross-cultural differences in perception 2. Define and discuss racial and ethnic stereotypes across cultures 3. Define and discuss the nature of ethnocentrism and racism Think back to when you were in the second grade. You were about 7 years old then; it was quite a few years ago. Who was your second-grade teacher? Do you remember his or her name? You probably do. Now, think back to last week. What did you have for dinner on Tuesday night? Can't remember? After so many years, you can recall the name of your second-grade teacher almost immediately, but you can't remember? After so many years, you can recall the name of your second-grade teacher almost immediately, but you can't remember? second-grade teacher's name in a matter of seconds when you can't recall Tuesday night's dinner choice no matter how hard you try? Consider the following at the same college as Jim in the United States. 264 Jim: Ya know, Olga, in my history class today, we were discussing America's involvement in the war in Afghanistan. The professor showed us news reports of people starving and dying, and it's just so depressing. Olga: Yes, I know, it's a terrible thing. My country has been fought over and suppressed for a long time. We gained our independence from Russia for a short time between 1917 and 1920, but that was followed by ruthless Soviet rule that, Jim? And then World War II, when the German and Soviet armies killed some 7 million of my people. So in the 20th century alone, over 15 million people died, some say for no good reason. Jim: I had no idea. I can't imagine how that makes you feel. Olga: Right, I understand. Our history gives my people a whole different perspective on life and how we see and live our lives. It's almost impossible not to carry a little sadness in our hearts. Jim: My generation has never experienced anything like that. It's very confusing. Whenever two people come together and interact, as Jim and Olga did, they process great quantities of information. In addition to the cultural, microcultural, and environmental contexts influencing their interaction, Jim and Olga both bring with them their unique perceptual (i.e., psychological) experiences, which affect their observations of the world around them. In this chapter, the perceptual context refers to how the human brain takes in, stores, and recalls information. In their brief conversation, Jim and Olga encode, decode, and interpret a vast amount of information about themselves, their cultures' histories, current events, their surroundings, and each other. Because they come from different cultures, they see the world differently. Their interpretations of world events differ dramatically. Whenever two people come together and communicate, they do so within a cultural context (Chapter 2), a microcultural context (Chapter 3), and an environmental context (Chapter 4). And each person brings to the cultural, microcultural, and environmental contexts their own unique psychological perspective. So intercultural communication is a universal human phenomenon, it is influenced by one's culture, and touch provides the data from which we see, hear, smell, taste, and touch is subjective and biased. You might enjoy the smell of hamburgers being grilled, but your Hindu friend from India is repulsed by that same smell. Why? Because our perception of the world is subjective and biased due to the influence of our cultural, microcultural, and environmental contexts. Dutch sociologist Geert Hofstede argues that culture is the software of the mind.2 Think of the human brain as a computer where information is entered, stored, and recalled. As you sit at your computer, you type in information (i.e., input data). After a while, you decide to take a break from writing and you hit the "save" button, which stores the information (i.e., open the saved file) and continue writing. The human brain works in the same way. Via our senses, we take in information (i.e., we see, hear, smell, taste, and feel it). We then store the information in memory and recall it later. Who was your second-grade teacher? All human brains, regardless of culture, take in, store, and recall information. Without the brain's ability to take in, store, and recall information, human communication would be impossible. Following Hofstede's analogy, culture (and microculture) represents the computer's (i.e., the brain's) software. In 265 other words, exactly how we take in information, store it, and recall it is based on our culture—that is, our software. We are born human. All normal human brains are naturally equipped to take in, store, and retrieve information. But we become cultural. Culture teaches and conditions the human brain exactly how to take in, store, and retrieve information. But we become cultural or objective processors of information. Some of our subjectivity is based on the simple physiological differences among humans. No two humans are biologically exactly alike, for example. Many people suffer from astigmatism, an eye disorder in which the cornea (the clear tissue covering the front of the eye) is abnormally curved, causing out-of-focus vision. People are born with astigmatism. It is not cultural. Some of our subjectivity is based on culture. To a degree, culture teaches us what looks bad and is not at all related to whether we have astigmatism. Therefore, if you grew up in the United States, you probably enjoy the smell of a hamburger grilling. If you grew up in India, you may be repulsed by that smell. Or if you were raised in a Hindu community in the United States (i.e., a microculture), you may still find the smell of a grilling hamburger disgusting. This chapter is about the mental activities of the individual that constitute the perceptual context of intercultural communication. In addition to the cultural, microcultural, and environmental contexts, the perceptual contexts, the perceptual contexts are interdependent influences that combine in a complex formula and ultimately define our interactions with others. The first part of this chapter introduces the idea of culture and cognition and outlines a model of human information processing. The second part of the chapter explains a common form of information processing called categorization. The third part of the chapter explains a common form of information processing called categorization. chapter focuses on two attitudinal dimensions of information processing: stereotyping and ethnocentrism. The overall purpose of the chapter is to explain how the human mind processes information, especially intercultural communication, especially intercultural communication. The Aleutian Inuit of western Alaska and the Marakwet tribe in western Kenya think about different things because of the extreme different cultures think different cultures think different cultures think about their life experiences. The life experiences of a Guatemalan Ladino are markedly different from those of a Ukrainian. Few people question that the content of thought for people in different cultures varies. What is open to speculation, however, is whether higher mental processes, such as perception and remembering, differ across cultures. How much do the cultural, microcultural, and environmental contexts affect how the human brain processes information? The Geography of Thought Richard Nisbett, a distinguished professor at the University of Michigan, has researched and written extensively about how humans in those distinct geographical areas perceive the world. Specifically, his focus is on how Asians (Eastern and Westerners think differently because of foundations of Eastern and Western philosophies professed 2,500 years ago by the ancient Greeks and Chinese and C Professor Nisbett compares the Confucian-influenced Chinese thinking of the collective against the Greek-Western orientation,
without much regard for the context in which they existed. Individuals are unique, with distinct attributes and goals. Confucian-driven Chinese philosophies emphasized fluctuation, holism, interdependence, and harmony. All things (e.g., people and objects) are to be understood in terms of their relationships with others, their groupness—including the environment in which they exist. Nisbett contrasts the Western tendency to classify objects into discrete categories based on their similarity with the Eastern preference to classify objects into categories based on their relationships. Likewise, Ross and Wang contend that because U.S. citizens, as individualists, are accustomed to a relatively organized environment with distinctive objects in the foreground (e.g., trees, cars, buildings) they attend to specific objects in the scene. Conversely, Japanese, as collectivists, tend to focus more on the holistic background context of the scene and the relationships among the objects. 3 Photo 5.1 How would you describe this photograph? 267 Jupiterimages/Photos.com/Thinkstock Describe what you see in the photo to the left. When Nisbett asked U.S. students to describe the photos, most of them referred to the fish. When Japanese students described it, they referred to the photos in the next photo sequence. Your task is to group two of the three photos together. Which two photos do you think go together? Nisbett found that U.S. children tend to group the cow and the chicken together, as a relationship, because the grass. Obviously, you can see that Nisbett is tapping into the individualism and collectivism 268 dimension of cultural variability discussed in Chapter 2. The essential point here is that these orientations affect the way humans process information. We are not objective or neutral processors; we are biased. One way is not necessarily better than the other, but it is important to understand that not all humans think the same way. Photo 5.2 Which two photographs go together? 269 270 © iStockphoto.com/pidjoe © iStockphoto.com/George Clerk Brand X Pictures/Stockbyte/Thinkstock A Model of Human Information is entered, stored, and retrieved in a sequence of stages, in which each stage involves performing a specific operation on the information. During Stage 1, the input stage, information is taken in via the senses. In Stage 2, the storage stage, information is recalled. For people to communicate with one another, in any culture, they are required to take in, store, and recall information. Although these stages are probably universal, culture influences the specific strategies and styles of processing information in each stage. Stage #1: Input/Sensation The first stage of information in through the senses. This is called perception. Margaret Matlin defines perception as the mental interpretation of external stimul via sensation.5 According to Matlin, at any given point in time, especially during human communication, human beings are bombarded with their eyes, auditory stimuli with their skin (see Figure 5.1). perception The mental interpretation of external stimuli via sensation FIGURE 5.1 Human Information Processor 271 SOURCE: Adapted from Matlin, M. (2013). Cognition (8th Ed.). Hoboken, NJ: Wiley. sensation FIGURE 5.1 Human Information Processor 271 SOURCE: Adapted from Matlin, M. (2013). from the cultural, microcultural, and environmental contexts. Once taken in through the senses and prior to being stored in memory, the stimuli are passed through at least three perceptual filters: physiological, sociological, sociological, and psychological, sociological, and psychological, sociological, sociol bias incoming stimuli FIGURE 5.2 Sensation and Perceptual Filters 272 SOURCE: Adapted from Goss, B. (1989). The Psychology of Human Communication. Prospect Heights, IL: Waveland. Physiological filters include the natural or genetic differences in how one sees, hears, smells, tastes, or feels. For example, eyesight varies considerably from person to person. Many people are required to wear eyeglasses to accommodate their poor visual sensation. As most people age, they lose some of their hearing. Research has shown that as people age, they also lose some of their hearing. Research has shown that as people age, they also lose some of their hearing. physiologically different from your closest friend's. Perhaps you are nearsighted and need glasses to see clearly but your best friend does not. Sociological filters represent demographic data and one's membership in groups, including one's culture, microculture, and hometown, for example. The groups to which people belong influence how they perceive incoming stimuli. For example, many college-aged persons in the United States enjoy listening to rap music, a form of auditory stimuli. Few octogenarians (persons in their 80s) enjoy listening to such music, however. When a Democratic president gives a speech, Democratic president gives a speech. to which you belong (e.g., sex, age, education, political, etc.) affect how you process information. Psychological filters include the attitudes, beliefs about what is right or wrong filter the perception of incoming stimuli. If someone has a negative attitude about a professor, he or she may perceive that professor's class as especially boring or uninformative. If people believe that abortion is immoral, then they may perceive an abortion, auditory, tactile, olfactic, and taste stimuli, our sensations and perceptions of those stimuli will differ considerably, especially if we come from different cultures. Once again, we are biased processors. Cross-Cultural Differences in Sensation and Perception In addition to the physiological, sociological, sociological filters, culture also affects one's ability to perceive incoming information. John Berry and his associates outline four explanations for cross-cultural differences in the perception of sensory stimuli: (a) conditions, (c) genetic differences, and (d) cultural dif conducted a cross-cultural study on auditory acuity (i.e., sharpness). In their comparison of Kalahari Bushmen, Danish, and U.S. subjects, they found less hearing loss in the Kalahari sample than in the Danish or U.S. samples, particularly among older subjects. Reuning and Wortley attributed their findings to low levels of ambient noise in 273 the Kalahari Desert region. They also cited other studies that found a slower loss of hearing in nonindustrialized societies, has a negative effect on hearing. 8 In his study, C. H. Wyndham attributed an indirect environmental factor—specifically, poor nutrition—as the reason for slower dark adaptation among Black South African miners than among Caucasians. Wyndham suggests that many of the Black mine workers might suffer from forms of liver ailments, which in turn could be associated with nutritional deficiencies in early childhood.9 Berry and his associates argue that genetic factors seem to account for red-green color blindness, taste blindness, and the "alcoholic flush" phenomenon. Many studies have demonstrated that the frequency of red-green color blindness is much lower in nonCaucasian groups than among Caucasians. About 30% of all Caucasians are taste blind to certain substances that taste bitter to Africans and Native Americans/American Indians. The alcoholic drinks, is much more common in Asian populations than in Caucasians.10 Socialization and enculturation with the environment play a role in sensory stimulation. Children are taught to pay attention to certain kinds of stimuli. Africans, for example, tend to excel in visual tasks. Cultural groups are taught to favor some sensory modality to favor some sensory modality tasks. over the others.11 sensory receptors Eyes, ears, nose, mouth, and skin Marshall Segall and his colleagues have conducted an extensive body of cross-cultural research on perception.12 Segall believes that repeated experience with certain objects affects how those objects are perceived. His study involved the perception of optical illusions. Almost 2,000 subjects from 14 non-Western cultures (mostly in Africa) and three Western cultures participated in the study. Each participated in the study. Each participated in the study. hypothesis that explains vulnerability to illusions is called the carpentered-world hypothesis. According to Segall and the carpentered-world hypothesis, there is a learned tendency among those raised in an environment shaped by carpentered-world hypothesis. rectangular figures seen in perspective. In most urbanized places, rooms and buildings are typically rectangular, with lots of right angles. They are everywhere! The windows, the corner of your desk, the 274 doorway, and where the walls meet the ceiling all form right angles. Being surrounded by such an environment, we learn to depend on depth cues based on a linear perspective more than would people who live in a noncarpentered (i.e., less built) environments. For example, rural, isolated Zulus live in a noncarpentered world, with round huts and round doors. the United States would, but instead tend to plow in curves. carpentered-world hypothesis Learned tendency of those living in industrialized cultures to interpret nonrectangular figures as rectangles in perspective Segall uses the Sander parallelogram to explain the carpentered-world hypothesis (see Figure 5.3). For people living in carpentered societies, the diagonal line bisecting the larger, left-side parallelogram appears to be considerably longer than the one bisecting the smaller, right-side parallelogram—but they are, in fact, the same length. In other words, the apparently longer portion of the figure may be interpreted as the corner of a room receding in depth because the wings of the illusion act as linear perspective cues.13 FIGURE 5.3 Sander Parallelogram Stage #2: Storage/Memory Once information das been sensed and passed through the perceptual filters, it is processed into memory. Memory involves maintaining
information over time.14 Memory is required for virtually all human communication. Without the ability to store information over time, we could not communicate with others. Imagine not being able to remember your own name or recognize your family members. Without memory, you could not read this book, nor would you be able to construct a single spoken sentence! The importance of memory is why many cultures regard it as the most crucial component of human intelligence. Generally, cognitive psychologists recognize two types of memory, is brief, immediate memory for information you are processing at the current moment. Working 275 memory coordinates ongoing mental activities, and information is constantly being handled, combined, and transformed. It holds both new and old information is constantly being handled, combined, and transformed. It holds both new and old information is constantly being handled, combined, and transformed. It holds both new and old information is constantly being handled, combined, and transformed. information is simply lost.16 The amount of information stored in short-term memory is limited to seven items, plus or minus two. In what is now considered a classic article, Miller coined the phrase "The magical number seven, plus or minus two" in referring to the storage capacity of short-term memory. 17 short-term memory, Matlin notes that working memory is relatively fragile and that, unless rehearsed and repeated, information held in working memory is easily lost within about 30 seconds. Although working memory is temporary, limited, and fragile, it is essential for human communication. In any culture, most communication held in working memory is easily lost within about 30 seconds. information for short periods of time. In any culture, the ability to finish a spoken or written sentence is contingent on remembering its first words.18 Individuals' working memory may be affected by differences in their writing systems, however. In his work, Nader Tavassoli found that memory for the presentation order of written words was better for native English speakers than for native Chinese speakers. This may be because English words rely to a greater degree on sounds than on visual features, which are emphasized in written Chinese. For example, in English the letters in the alphabet represent sounds. In contrast, Chinese characters represent meanings (what is called a logographic script). There appear to be fundamental processing differences between reading alphabetic and logographic scripts. Whereas reading logographs, as Chinese speakers do, as English speakers do, is dominated by phonological cognitive processes. Readers of Chinese logographs also appear to attend more to visual features of complete written words. For example, compared with readers of alphabetic English words, readers of alphabetic English words, readers of alphabetic English words. and long-term memory is the duration of storage. Unlike working memory, information in long-term memory may be held for a lifetime. People may be able to recall events that took place 40 or 50 years ago. A second major difference between the two stores is their capacity. Whereas the working short-term store may be limited to seven items, plus or minus two, the storage capacity in long-term memory is 276 virtually unlimited. Another difference between the two memories is their method of forgetting. Information in working memory seems to be lost due to decay; that is, if the information is not used, it simply fades away. Although long-term memories also decay, the long-term store seems most disrupted by interference; that is, other information gets in the way of stored information.20 long-term memory loss due to lack of use Many cognitive psychologists distinguish between three types of long-term memory: episodic or autobiographical memory, semantic memory, and procedural memory, and procedural memory, refers to that type of stored information pertaining to the unique experiences of the individual. Episodic memory is autobiographical. One's birthday, second-grade teacher, first date, and so on are different for each person and are representative of the type of information stored in episodic long-term memory. episodic long-term memory A component of long-term memory in which private individual memories are stored Qi Wang of Cornell University has studied the relationship between culture and autobiographical memory for over a decade. Wang and her colleagues argue that to preserve one's culture, people must be able to remember the values, customs, rituals, and history of their unique cultural group.22 Remember from Chapter 2 that in individualistic cultures, such as the United States, an independent and unique self is promoted. In many East Asian cultures, such as the United States, an independent self that focuses on one's interconnectedness with others is advocated. In her research, Wang points out that such differences affect the content of autobiographical memory because the relationship between memory and self is built into the culture. In fact, in one study Wang found that compared with Caucasian Americans, who recalled their earliest autobiographical memories from as young as 3.5 years of age, Asians and Asian Americans, who recalled their earliest autobiographical memories from as young as 3.5 years of age, Asians and Asian Americans, who recalled their earliest autobiographical memories from as young as 3.5 years of age, Asians and Asian Americans, who recalled their earliest autobiographical memories from as young as 3.5 years of age, Asians and Asian Americans, who recalled their earliest autobiographical memories from as young as 3.5 years of age, Asians and Asian Americans, who recalled their earliest autobiographical memories from as young as 3.5 years of age, Asians and Asian Americans, who recalled their earliest autobiographical memories from as young as 3.5 years of age, Asians and Asian Americans, and Asian Americans and Asian American asked U.S. and Chinese college students to report their earliest childhood memory. Wang found that the descriptions provided by U.S. citizens were mostly individually focused and self-assured, while the descriptions provided by U.S. citizens were mostly individually focused and self-assured. the earlier establishment of an autobiographical history that is elaborate, specific, emotionally charged, and self-focused. On the other hand, a collectivistic self is associated with the later establishment of an autobiographical memory 277 that is brief, general, emotionally unexpressive, and relationship centered. Extant research by Wang found that cultural differences affect the content of autobiographical memory. Individualists tend to recall personal experiences and emotions, such as personal successes or failures, individual fears and hopes, whereas collectivists recall memories focused on group activities, such as family outings or school activities.23 Semantic long-term memory preserves a person's general conceptual information, world knowledge, and language abilities unrelated to an individual's experiences. Semantic memory allows humans to communicate with language. How to spell words, count, and construct sentences would be information preserved in semantic long-term memory. In a very interesting study, Ramanand Durga found that bilingual individuals take significantly more time to process verbal information such as how to read and write and the meanings of words are stored Procedural memory refers to one's knowledge about how to do something manually. For example, remembering how to ride a bike and how to send an e-mail message to a friend are accomplished via procedural memory. 25 Although persons from different cultures certainly perform different manual tasks, very little research has investigated specific crosscultural differences in procedural memory. Stage #3: Recall/Retrieval Once information has been stored, it is relatively useless unless it can be recalled in an instant. Other times, however, recently stored information seems very difficult to recall. Most adults can easily recall the name of their second-grade teacher but cannot remember what they had for dinner 3 nights ago. Moreover, the quality of human information retrieval is typically approximate rather than literal. People retain global memories of conversations or events rather than literal. forgetting is memory failure —the inability to recall or recognize stored information. Although most of us regret it when we forget something, cognitive psychologists now treat long-term memory forgetting as an asset rather than a liability because it is a useful way to prevent long-term memory from getting cluttered with information that is not being used. Forgetting often follows what is called a "negatively accelerated curve." Most forgetting occurs rapidly and then levels off over time. Other researchers contend that information is never really forgotten; people just lose access to it.26 Matlin points out that we might forget something for many reasons, including decay, interference, arousal, trauma, and depth of processing. Forgetting that is due to decay occurs when a memory is not rehearsed or used over time. Eventually, the information intrudes on stored information. Sometimes, forgetting occurs when old memories interfere with the storage of new information. Other times, forgetting occurs when new information disrupts previously stored "old" memories. Matlin devised a mini-experiment that demonstrates the effect of interference Eorgetting Mini-Experiment: Present the following word list to a friend or roommate: River Door Cat Plate Book Card Test Tree Ball Road Allow a few moments for your friend to recite orally a list of 10 vegetables. When he or she finished, ask him or her to recall the words on the list he or she read. See how many he or she recalls and with what degree of accuracy. Repeat the experiment with another friend, but this
time delete the vegetable list exercise. Compare their results. Theoretically, the vegetable word list exercise should interfere with your friend's ability to store the word list in memory. Negative arousal, or anxiety, is also thought to interfere with the retrieval of information from long-term memory.28 Persons suffering from anxiety prohibits them from performing well on exams. According to Matlin, another form of forgetting may be due to repression. This occurs when people actively, but unconsciously, forget unpleasant material. Similarly, pleasant material may be processed more easily than unpleasant. Refer to the interference forgetting mini-experiment. After your friend completes the experiment, make a note of the first few and the last few vegetables on the list. Chances are those vegetables listed first are the ones your friend enjoys while those he or she dislikes.29 Matlin suggests that the effort requires deeper cognitive processing may be easier to recall than information that requires minimal effort to encode. Likewise, the contextual cues present during the gathering and storing of information, called encoding specificity, the contextual cues present during the gathering and storing of information may serve as cues for the recall of stored information. 30 Cross-Cultural Differences in Memory and Retrieval Several studies indicate that culture affects information retrieval. In addition to culture, age and education are two other variables strongly linked to recall. When many people reach a certain age, however, their memory skills deteriorate. Likewise, educated persons seem to employ different kinds of memory strategies. Barbara Rogoff claims that to the extent that cultures have different memory skills. Persons educated in industrialized cultures have been taught 280 to remember disconnected bits of information, such as names and dates. These persons may be more likely than nonschooled individuals to use memory strategies that facilitate the organization of unrelated items. FIGURE 5.4 Age and Recall Are Curvilinearly Related Moreover, Rogoff asserts that schooled individuals are more likely to classify similar objects together, whereas nonschooled persons classify objects based on their functional similarity. For example, in many memory tests, persons are presented with lists of unrelated pieces of information, not unlike the word list presented in the interference forgetting miniexperiment. This type of information, not unlike the word list presented with lists of unrelated pieces of information, not unlike the word list presented with lists of unrelated pieces of information may be remembered better if the person employs some sort of mnemonic (pronounced "neh-monic," meaning a technique for improving memory) device such as rehearsing or classifying. Nonschooled persons, including preschool children, generally do not employ mnemonic strategies and have difficulty with such tasks.31 With contextually organized information, however, fewer memory differences are observed between schooled and nonschooled persons. In one study reported by Rogoff, for example, Guatemalan Mayan and U.S. 9-year-olds watched an experimenter place 20 miniature objects such as cars, animals, furniture, people, and household items into a panorama model of a town. The 20 items were then asked to reconstruct the panorama using as many of the original 20 items as they could remember. The Mayan children performed slightly better than the U.S. children used rehearsal strategies, such as rehearsing the names of the objects, typical for remembering unrelated bits of information. This type of strategy may be inappropriate for remembering spatially oriented, contextual information.32 In related research, Makoto Yoshida, Clea Fernandez, and James Stigler explored Japanese and U.S. fourth and sixth graders watched either a Japanese or English version of the lesson and then were tested for recognition of teacher statements, but U.S. students were equally successful at recognizing relevant or irrelevant to the content of the lesson. statements than did the Japanese.33 Michael Cole and John Gay assert that a culture's language and literacy rate may affect recall. Some evidence indicates that nonliterate societies, there may be no written language to facilitate memory. Imagine studying for an examination for which you have no notes! Cole and Gay note that in nonliterate societies, people must store information in memory rather than on a piece of paper. Likewise, the histories of nonliterate societies must be stored in the memories of their people rather than on a piece of paper. Millsom, two groups of university students, one group from Ghana and the other from New York, were read several stories aloud and then asked to recall them. Generally, the Ghanaian students showed better recall of the stories aloud and then asked to recall them. of stories about people performing common activities.35 In a study by Richard Jackson Harris, Lawrence Schoen, and Deana Hensley, college students from America and Mexico read three brief stories of everyday activities. There were two versions of the same story, consistent with either a U.S. or a Mexican cultural script. The students read each version. Researchers administered memory tests immediately after the story, 30 minutes after they read the story, and 1 week after they read the story, and 1 week after they read the story, and 1 week after they read the story research reviewed by In-mao Liu indicates that Chinese persons may have superior memory. For example, in word pair association memory tasks, Chinese college students usually attain perfect recall within two or three trials compared with students about the relationship between culture and memory should be made with some degree of caution. Although several studies indicate a connection between culture and memory, these studies may actually be revealing differences in other factors that affect recall, such as socialization or education. Because cultures differ in so many ways, it is difficult to pinpoint culture as the sole mechanism for memory ability. Categorization and Mental Economy Humans are constantly bombarded by so many stimuli simultaneously that they cannot possibly process all of it. To manage the enormous quantities of information, humans tend 282 to engage in mental economy strategies. One such strategy is called categorization. Categorization consists of grouping, sorting, or classifying objects, events, or living things into identifiable groups or compartments based on the belief that the categorization and that it is a necessary part of everyday life. Categorization is an essential cognitive mechanism by which humans organize and manage the natural and social world that categorization. She has argued that categorization. She has argued that categorization is an essential cognitive mechanism by which humans organize and manage the natural and social world that surrounds them.38 Eleanor Rosch is well known for her work on categorization. consists of a virtually infinite number of discriminably different stimuli. Since no organisms is the cutting up of the environment into classifications by which nonidentical stimuli can be treated as equivalent. 39 Although people categorize just about everything (e.g., plants, cars, events), intercultural communication researchers are interested in the ways people categories help us make attributions about others. Moreover, categories help us make attributions about others are useful because the accuracy of predictions about others. about the behavior of others and help us recall and recognize information. Understanding categorization is particularly important for intercultural communication because whenever we interact with someone from a different culture, we are faced with high levels of uncertainty and unfamiliar stimuli to process.40 According to Richard Brislin, people often form categories on the basis of perceived conspicuous differences. According to Brislin, these types of categories are formed quickly during initial interaction with someone from a differences. According to Brislin, these types of categories are formed quickly during initial interaction with someone from a differences. language, or occupation. These differences help classify others as members of in-groups and out-group bias. David Wilder has argued that intergroup bias and out-group bias and out-group bias and out-group bias. prejudice are unavoidable by-products of categorization. Moreover, Wilder maintains that such bias may have nothing to do with irrational thought processes but is a consequence of people's attempts to organize and simplify their environment (i.e., categorization).42 Likewise, Bernadette Park and Charles Judd point out that it is probably impossible to eliminate in-group/out-group categorization and may not be possible for human beings to think of one another as "individuals" or as "all one and the 283 same." They maintain that most social categorization schemes are correlated with ethnicity and form the basis for intergroup hostilities.43 To be sure, Charles Ridley and Carrie Hill maintain that

categorization is the primary cognitive process associated with racism.44 Although people in all cultures, especially the Chinese, create holistic categories and that Westerners create analytic categories. Unsworth and her colleagues point out that holistic categories, on the other hand, are characterized by the separation of an object from its context; similarity among objects or people is used to differentiate them into distinct groups, and predictions about people are made based on these categories. 45 284 Stereotyping By constructing categories, the human mind processes information more efficiently. Once created, categories are the basis of prejudgment, such as stereotyping. Considered a subset of categorization, stereotyping involves members of one group attributions typically carry a positive or negative evaluation. In this sense, stereotyping involves members of one group attributions typically carry a positive or negative evaluation. refer to membership in social categories—such as sex, race, age, or profession—that are believed to be associated with certain traits and behaviors. In the United States, race and gender groups are often stereotyped. Richard Schaefer defines stereotyped as exaggerated images of the characteristics of a particular group held by prejudiced people who hold ill feelings toward that group. Gudykunst and Kim define stereotypes as cognitive representations of another group that influence one's feelings about the group. They argue that stereotypes as cognitive representations of another group that influence one's feelings about the group. membership in groups Photo 5.3 What stereotypical traits might be associated with this young woman? 285 © iStockphoto.com/Kuzma Although it is hard to admit, we all stereotypical traits might be associated with this young woman? 286 © iStockphoto.com/Kuzma Although it is hard to admit, we all stereotypical traits might be associated with this young woman? 286 © iStockphoto.com/Kuzma Although it is hard to admit, we all stereotypical traits might be associated with this young woman? 286 © iStockphoto.com/Kuzma Although it is hard to admit, we all stereotypical traits might be associated with the stereotypical traits might be associated wit information-processing strategy. Donald Taylor and Lana Porter maintain that stereotyping should be seen as a normal information-processing tool that is especially useful in diverse societies.47 The difficulty arises when stereotypes carry a negative traits to an entire group of people when, in reality few members of the group actually possess such traits. In this way, stereotypes and brejudice, and discrimination (see the photos on this page). Racial and ethnic study of racial and ethnic stereotypes in the United States began in the 1930s with a classic study conducted by David Katz and Kenneth Braly. In their study, college students were presented with a list of 84 adjectives (e.g., lazy, ignorant, arrogant, intelligent, etc.) and were asked to indicate which traits were characteristic of 10 ethnic groups: U.S. citizens, Black people Chinese, English, Germans, Italians, Irish, Japanese, Jews, and Turks. The results of their study showed that the college students consistent for Black people and Jews. 287 Student Voices Across Cultures: Stereotypes of Pageant Women Amanda Garrity Amanda Garrity Many are aware of the inescapable shadow of stereotypes that follows a pageant girl, no matter what spotlight she stands in. Typical stereotypes. As a blonde, unrealistically thin, vain, and remarkably unintelligent. As a blonde, unrealistically thin, vain, and remarkably unintelligent. However, these misconceptions only give me the satisfying challenge of proving the true image and beauty of my pageant world. Like most stereotypes, those surrounding women who participate in pageants are limiting and inaccurate, especially when those who hold the stereotypes use media outlets to spread their distorted perceptions. Often, pageants are seen as a pedestal for young women to display their sex appeal, where beauty is equated with vanity and shallowness. Those experienced in the world of pageants at the age of 13, not in hopes of having people dote on my prettiness but to gain confidence and to accept and expresses. myself. After competing and winning my first title, however, these stereotypes began to loom over me and shook the very confidence I was seeking. When I would glare negatively, tell my parents that they should be ashamed of themselves, and avoid me. At school, false 288 allegations were made that I had developed an eating disorder, and friends stopped sending me invitations to join them in my favorite activities: four-wheeling, soccer, and others. As fast as the crown was placed on my head, the perceptions of me changed. With these stereotypes weighing on me, there were times I actually believed it would be easier to become the person they saw me as instead of spending myself. There was a short time when I started to believe that these stereotypes may be true. Only when I realized where and how these stereotypes may be true. gave in by becoming who they thought I was, did I begin to recognize and promote the positive effects pageants can have on young women and to debunk the distortions. Through my experiences with pageant organizations, I was able to attend the college of my choice (after receiving many academic scholarships) and graduate debt free. By featuring stage competitions, such as evening gown, swimsuit, talent, and onstage questions, pageant organizations emphasize the importance of taking care of yourself, leading a healthy lifestyle, promoting and sharing your talents, and forming strong personal opinions about world events. to be accomplished and successful. Because of pageants, I have the confidence to stay true to who I really am. Whether I'm camping with my family and not wearing any makeup for weeks at a time, leaving my heels in the closet to lace up my sneakers for a day full of dirty course riding, or standing on the grandest stage of all at Miss America, I can stand tall, knowing that because of pageants, I am proud of who I have become. In their original study, Katz and Braly found a high level of consistency in the adjectives respondents associated with the Black stereotype (Table 5.1). Moreover, the adjectives respondents associated with the Black stereotype (Table 5.1). replicated Katz and Braly's stereotype checklist method using the same 84 adjectives as in the original study—G. M. Gilbert in 1950 and L. Gordon in 1969 and 1982. Patricia Devine and Andrew Elliot replicated the research in 1950.48 289 U.S. Stereotypes Canadian psychologists Taylor and Porter examined some of the socially desirable aspects of stereotyping and allege that the negative connotation associated with stereotypes of Black Americans, which have been particularly brutal and negative. Second, Taylor and Porter suggest that the essence of the political doctrine in the United States is modeled after the "melting-pot" metaphor, wherein the peoples of all the differences are boiled away and a single culture remains—American." Because stereotyping, by definition, recognizes and highlights differences among groups, it directly conflicts with 290 the melting-pot image. Third, Taylor and Porter suggest that psychologists studying interpersonal attracted to and like others. The more we perceive people to be similar to us, the more likely we are to be attracted to and like them. Hence, stereotypes that emphasize group differences essentially block the potential for intergroup friendships. Given these trends, Taylor and Porter assert that there are compelling reasons why U.S. citizens view stereotyping as a destructive social force. This, they argue, has led to an enormous investment in human and financial resources to rid people of their stereotypes. 49 Taylor and Porter recognize that people in countries different cultural contexts, in which it is perfectly acceptable to categorize people into groups. In Europe and Canada, for example, people presume that society is culturally diverse and are proud of their various group memberships. Taylor and Porter suggest that this philosophy contrasts sharply with the U.S. melting-pot metaphor, which emphasizes cultural diversity is symbolized through metaphors that are very different from the melting pot, such as a mosaic or montage—that is, an assortment of people in the same place but not necessarily blending together. Taylor and Porter claim that a fundamental feature of any pluralistic society is that ethnic attitudes are a part of all cultures, and no one can avoid learning them.50 In fact, research conducted by Ashton Trice and Kimberly Rush indicates that stereotypes are well established in children's memories long before they acquire the intellectual ability to question or evaluate them. In their study of U.S. 4-year-olds, Trice and Rush found that boys were significantly more likely to accept male-stereotyped occupations (e.g., police officer, truck driver, house builder) than female-stereotyped occupations (e.g., teacher, nurse, secretary). Likewise, girls were significantly more likely to accept female-stereotyped occupations (e.g., teacher, nurse, secretary). Translators (FAST) program at the University of Tampere in Finland. In one of its reference papers is a list of stereotypes of U.S. citizens held by Spanish high school students who had never been to the United States or had any U.S. friends (see the U.S. Stereotypes Held by Spanish High School Students box).52 291 U.S. Stereotypes Held by Spanish High School Students box).52 291 U.S. Stereotypes Held by Spanish High School Students box).52 291 U.S. Stereotypes Held by Spanish High School Students box).52 291 U.S. Stereotypes Held by Spanish High School Students Physical Appearance and Dress Most U.S. they are used as movie stars. Men in the United States have muscular builds; they resemble Arnold Schwarzenegger or
Sylvester Stallone. U.S. men like to wear short, sleeveless T-shirts to show off their physiques. U.S. women are either unusually thin, never of normal build. Work and Leisure U.S. eitizens spend almost all day at work; they have very little free time. Although they are punctual and efficient in their jobs, U.S. citizens don't consider their work important; family comes first. The first two things a U.S. citizen wants to discuss are salary and age. The two favorite leisure time activities in the United States are movies and rodeos. Home Life Most U.S. citizens live either in skyscrapers or on farms. In big cities, everyone has a large car like a Cadillac, but outside of cities, people usually travel on horseback. U.S. citizens divorce repeatedly and have very complicated private lives. In marriages in the United States, the wife always dominates. U.S. cities are so dangerous that a person has a good chance of being killed in the street; therefore, U.S. men either know kung fu or carry a gun. Food U.S. citizens eat almost nothing but hamburgers, hot dogs, popcorn, and Coke. U.S. citizens generally eat fast food Monday through Saturday, but never on Sunday. U.S. breakfasts are huge. A typical one might consist of eggs, toast, bacon, and pancakes with peanut butter. Communication and Social Interaction U.S. citizens speak very quickly and very loudly. They use their hands a lot, often gesturing in an exaggerated way when they talk. Their stremely difficult to understand because people speak as if they are chewing gum. The typical U.S. citizen is very rude, often putting his feet on a desk or table and frequently belching in public. He yawns a lot, never trying to hide it. In international affairs as in personal life, U.S. citizens do whatever they want and don't care what other people think. 292 Student Voices Across Cultures: Stereotypes of U.S. Americans Morgan Leah Johnson Morgan Leah Johnson Whether the purpose is academic, service, or leisure oriented, I have always eagerly sought travel to Spanishspeaking locations to help master my second language. In the past few years, I have been fortunate enough to travel to Spanishspeaking locations to help master my second language. internalizes various beliefs, values, attitudes, and ways of life. Naturally, as a stranger enters their environment, members of each community immediately stereotype me. Most frequently, I am categorized as a young, White, American female. By young, they mean I am naïve, with little work ethic; by White, affluent; by American, culturally ignorant, demanding, and more focused on financial gain than family tradition; by female, dainty and in need of male assistance. Generally speaking, I am not offended by the stereotypes assigned to me, as our conversations ultimately refute many of them. For example, although young, I have faced many life obstacles, including domestic violence, divorce, poverty, the death of a parent, and health challenges—all of which taught me a great deal about life. I understand the usefulness of stereotypes to provide comfort in an unfamiliar situation; however, if we overlook the opportunities to teach others about who we are as individuals, life-changing experiences will be lost and negative stereotypes will persist. One specific instance of stereotyping, among many, happened to me while in Ecuador for an internship. I was at a family reunion of the hostess with whom I was living, and throughout the day, I found myself 293 isolated; everyone in the family interacted among themselves, not with me. I felt like a child looking in on a perfectly choreographed ballet—wanting to join but fearing I did not possess sufficient skills. At the time, I did not realize that I was being stereotyped by her extended family. Based on their observations that I was being stereotyped by her extended family. the topic of my impending return home surfaced. Someone asked me what I loved most about Ecuador. I replied that it was the strength and importance of family, then went on to relate characteristics of their family to mine. As soon as my desire to see my family again became apparent, the discussion shifted to their notions about the values and traditions of the American family: how kids get "kicked out" at 18 years of age, how families move very far away from one another. I told them guite a different story of family: that I live at home when I am not away at college, how my extended family lives within 30 miles of everyone, and how my mom helped me prepare for my trip. Throughout the night, we dispelled stereotypes by participating in mutual self-disclosure. For example, an aunt brought out a personalized calendar with pictures of her family, and I still consider them part of mine. Media Influence on Stereotypes So how did the Spanish high school students acquire such stereotypes. In their stereotypes of the United States, the Spanish students mention Arnold Schwarzenegger and Sylvester Stallone, two well-known film actors. To be sure, stereotypes are acquired and learned in many ways. There probably is not a single factor responsible for the development of any stereotype. But one theory, called cultivation theory, developed by George Gerbner and his colleagues proposes that long-term exposure to media, especially television. "cultivates" in viewers a perception of social reality that is reflective of the concept of "cultivation" to describe the independent contribution television. viewing makes to viewer conceptions of social reality.... When we talk about the "independent contribution" of television viewing, we mean that the development (in some) and the maintenance (in others) of some set of outlooks or beliefs can be traced to steady, cumulative exposure to the world of television.54 Although a complete description of cultivation theory is beyond the scope of this chapter, the central thesis of the theory is that the images, characters, news accounts, and especially the stories (e.g., situation comedies, reality shows, dramas, etc.) portrayed on television, whether accurate or not, become the social reality that viewers believe is correct and representative of their society. Gerbner refers to this as symbolic reality and contends that this process begins early in life. He observes that before they can read or even speak, 294 children watch television, much of it designed specifically for them. But Gerbner is careful to point out that viewers are not passive receivers in the cultivation process. Viewers make their desires known, and the producers of television programming are sensitive to them and appeal to them. So the cultivation process is a dynamic, interdependent one that evolves and adapts with each new generation of viewers.55 So what does this have to do with stereotypes? Once again, heavy viewers of television believe that the real world is similar to the world they see on television. But as Mary Beth Oliver asserts, the real world and the television world may be remarkably different. For example, Oliver points out that television tends to overrepresent the pervasiveness of violence in society, and heavy viewers of television world may be remarkably different. the perception of the people associated with the violence— that is, the victims, criminals, and law enforcement officers—is also distorted. Television affects how they are perceived in reality.56 A number of researchers applying cultivation theory have studied microcultural groups in the United States and how they are depicted on television, as well as the resulting stereotypes associated with them. Three specific groups studied include Black Americans, Hispanics/Latinos, and Asian Americans (recall that these microcultural groups were profiled in Chapter 3). Ron Tamborini and his colleagues have traced the history of Black American and Hispanic microcultural group representation on fictional television, but when they were, during the early years of television in the 1940s and '50s, Black Americans were rarely seen on television, but when they were, they were presented as "overweight domestic servants" and "lazy simpletons." From the late 1950s through the 1980s, the frequency of Black American images in fictional television increased and began to take on professional and intellectual roles. Tracing the history of Hispanics/Latinos, Tamborini and his colleagues observed that they were often portrayed as drug dealers, gangsters, or buffoons who lacked intelligence and ability to speak English. Yet in their 2007 analysis of more than 100 action/adventure, cartoon, courtroom drama, family drama, medical drama, reality-based, science fiction, sitcom, and soap opera programs, Tamborini's research found that "on both a comparative and an absolute basis, the portrayals of Black people and Latinos were found to be very similar to those of their White counterparts in the roles they held and attributes associated with them."58 Oliver notes, however, that compared with fictional television, in contemporary news and reality entertainment White people, Black Americans, and Hispanics/Latinos are portrayed differently. In her analysis of news accounts and reality-based programs (e.g., America's Most Wanted), Oliver found that the majority of Black and Latino characters were portrayed as criminal suspects rather than police. She also found that when confronting Black and Latino suspects, White police officers were more likely to employ 295 force than when confronting White suspects. 59 Asian Americans, too, have been stereotyped in television. But unlike the negative stereotyped in television. described as the model minority, portrayed on television as noncontroversial, polite, and hardworking.60 Yuko Kawai of Tokai University in Japan has studied stereotypes of Asian Americans today."61 Traits associated with the Asian American model minority stereotype include close family ties; academic success; whitecollar, high-wage employment; law-abiding conduct; discipline; and
accountability. Kawai maintains that the model minority stereotype portrays Asian Americans as possessing these traits despite their racial background, which some then use as evidence to deny U.S. institutional racism. Moreover, depicting Asian Americans as the model minority also contrasts with the negative stereotypes of Black Americans and Hispanics/Latinos, Kawai notes that the early stereotype of Asian Americans was quite negative. Referred to as the Yellow Peril, this stereotype of Asian Americans dates back to the late 19th and early 20th centuries, when Asians immigrating to the United States were perceived to be an economic, political, and military threat to mainstream White America. Kawai points to the contradiction of these two stereotypes. Asian Americans are stereotyped as the model minority when they outperform white people.62 Consistent with the propositions of cultivation theory, the Yellow Peril stereotype was perpetuated in the media by way of Dr. Fu Manchu, an intellectual but murderous fictional character introduced in a series of novels by British author Sax Rohmer. Writing for the South China Morning Post, Canadian reporter Ian Young describes the Fu Manchu character as one of the most racist characters in history, featured extensively in cinema, television, radio, comic strips, and comic books for nearly 100 years. Young references the 1932 film The Mask of Fu Manchu, in which the villain tells his followers to "kill the White men and take their women."63 So what are the implications of cultivation theory and media representations of microcultural groups? To the extent media portray Black Americans, Asian Americans, and Hispanics/Latinos in stereotypical rather than accurate ways, such stereotyping serves to validate, accentuate, and perpetuate hostile, and sometimes benevolent, racism. 296 Stereotype Content Model For the past 10 years, professors Amy Cuddy and Susan Fiske have embarked on an extensive and extremely productive program of theory and research on stereotypes. They have created a model called the Stereotype Content Model (SCM) that explains how and why people stereotypes are based on social content of those stereotypes. Cuddy and Fiske maintain that the model is applicable across cultures and have presented convincing data to support their claims.64 Stereotype Content Model A model that proposes that all stereotypes are based on social perceptions of warmth and competence At the core of the SCM is that individuals' social perceptions (i.e., stereotypes) about others are based on two judgments: warmth and competence. The model posits that people ask two questions upon encountering out-group members: Do they intend to harm me? and Are they capable of harming me? In the SCM, judgments of warmth and competence stem from two appraisals: (a) the potential harm or benefit of the target can effectively enact that intent. Judgments of warmth are based on social perceptions of honesty, trustworthiness, friendliness, sincerity, and the like. Judgments of competence are based on social perceptions of skillfulness, knowledge, intelligence, confidence, and so on. When stereotyping, competitors lack warmth, while low-status people are core dimensions in all stereotypes, judgments of warmth seem to be primary; that is, warmth is judged before competence, probably because judgments of warmth determine approach-avoidance tendencies (e.g., Is this person safe?). However, according to the SCM, when a person scene judgments of warmth determine approach-avoidance tendencies (e.g., Is this person safe?). as either high or low on warmth and either high or low on competence (Table 5.2). 297 Cuddy and Fiske agree that some stereotypes and are universal across cultures. The SCM model posits that some groups, perhaps many, will receive ambivalent judgments—that is, positive on one dimension and negative on another. Some groups may be perceived as warm but not competent, while others may be perceived as warm and competent, while others may be perceived as warm but not competent. The SCM proposes that warmth and competence judgments elicit one of four unique emotional responses; admiration, envy, contempt, or pity. In addition to these four emotional responses, judgments of warmth and competence elicit active behavioral responses. predicts passive behaviors (Table 5.3). 298 For example, admired groups (i.e., competent and warm) elicit both kinds of harm—active attack and passive neglect. The ambivalent combinations are more unpredictable. For out-group but then, during social or economic duress, attack and loot those same stores. According to the SCM, the origins of perceived warmth and competence stem from larger social structures seen in all cultures, specifically competition and status. In all cultures, specifically competence stem from larger social structures seen in all cultures, specifically competition and status. cultures, groups are ranked within some social hierarchy (e.g., microcultures). Noncompetitive groups are seen as competent, while low-status groups are seen as incompetent. SCM posits a direct link between the larger social structures, stereotypes, prejudice, and discrimination. In testing the SCM, Cuddy and Fiske and their associates have surveyed diverse samples of people from across the United States. Participants in their studies were asked to rate a variety of social groups, nationality, race, ethnicity socioeconomic status, religion, 299 and gender groups. Participants were not asked to rate the groups according to their own perceptions but, rather, according to their saw these groups. Some of the results are presented in Table 5.4. The authors of the SCM claim its universality across cultures and have tested the model in several cross-cultural studies. In one study, respondents from 17 European countries completed a survey similar to the one completed by U.S. respondents. The results were as European respondents to rate social groups in Hong Kong. These researchers recognize that any number of cultural differences could challenge their claim of the universal principles of stereotyping. For example, as we have seen in this chapter, culture affects basic cognitive processes, especially categorization. Culture shapes the ideologies that seem to justify prejudice and discrimination against some groups (e.g., microcultures). As we saw in Chapter 2, cultural values vary considerably. Cuddy and Fiske point out that some societies might not value competence and warmth as strongly as do other cultures. Finally, a culture's political climate influences how people perceive outgroups. In the United States, for example, Cuddy and Fiske note that political correctness might lead some to grant out-groups a positive stereotype. To be sure, Cuddy and Fiske and their colleagues continue their program research on the SCM. They have produced considerable evidence that social perceptions of warmth and competence, driven by the social structures of competition and status, operate as universal dimensions of stereotypes. Targets perceived as warm and competence are stereotype? In addition to Gerbner's cultivation theory and the logic provided by the SCM already discussed there are other explanations as to why stereotyping is so common and universal, 301 none of which relate to prejudice. One explanation is called the out-group as less diverse and more stereotypical than the members of that group see themselves. We have a tendency to see out-group members as highly similar (i.e., homogeneous) yet view ourselves and our in-group members as unique and individual. For example, students are alike. Yet neither professors nor students see themselves as "just like" all the others The out-group homogeneity effect has been observed across a wide variety of differences in the perceived variability of groups. The effect is a theoretically important one because differences in the perceived variability of groups can cause differences in the perceived variability of groups. The effect is a theoretically important one because differences in the perceived variability of groups can cause differences in the perceived variability of groups. because ingroups and out-group as not interact much, they may be unable to develop accurate representations of each other.66 out-group as highly similar while seeing the members of the in-group as highly similar while
seeing the members of the in-group as highly similar while seeing the members of the proposed by David Hamilton and Robert Gifford, is called the illusory correlation principle. Hamilton and Gifford argue that negative behaviors are numerically rare—these behaviors become disproportionately memorable, leading to later impressions are numerically rare. that microcultural group members are responsible for more than their fair share of undesirable behavior. Hamilton and Gifford point out that no prior expectation regarding the rare groups is necessary to produce the effects, just the unique memorability of rare-group/rare-behavior pairings due to their relative infrequency. Recently, Jane Risen, Thomas Gilovich, and David Dunning of Cornell University found evidence of one-shot illusory correlations—in which a single instance of unusual behavior. As Taylor and Porter explain, when two objects that are unfamiliar or unusual in some way are observed to be connected on some occasion, we have the tendency to believe that they are always connected. For example, if we observe an out-group members and felonies become associated in our minds. Then the next time we see a member of the same out-group, we will stereotype him or her as a felon. The correlation between the out-group members and felonies is illusory or unreal because the out-group is now linked to felonies on account of only one or relatively few observed occurrences.67 have a tendency to believe they are always linked (or correlated) Neither the out-group homogeneity effect nor the illusory correlation-processing strategies that are a part of everyone's normal cognitive repertoire. The problem is that they may lead to negative attitudes and subsequent prejudice. If people understand their information-processing functions and are informed of these kinds of strategies, then they can become mindful of the process and ward off any potentially held by groups of people. First, he argues that stereotypes may arise out of real conditions. For example, a disproportionate number of people from a particular racial or ethnic groups stereotypes is their role in self-fulfilling prophecies. The dominant group in a particular culture may construct social or legal obstacles, making it hard for members of the stereotyped group to act differently from the stereotype, although forced, validates the stereotype in the minds of the dominant group. For example, members of a subordinate stereotyped group may have difficulty obtaining high-paying, prestigious employment because members of the dominant group refuse to hire them. Hence, they accept low-paying, less prestigious jobs and reinforce the stereotype.68 Henri Tajfel contends that another reason why people retain stereotypes is that they help us develop and maintain a positive self-esteem. Our memberships in various groups constitute a major aspect of our self-concept. Our social identities are essentially made up of our group memberships (e.g., husband, professor, colleague, friend, counsel). Tajfel asserts that our sense of esteem is nourished when we differentiate our in-groups from out-groups, usually by assigning traits (i.e., stereotypes) that are favorable to the in-group and negative for the out-group. Typically, we differentiate our in-groups on the basis of power, ancestry, religion, language, culture, race, or a whole host of other variables.69 According to Claude Steele and Joshua Aronson, some members of stereotyped groups actually start to believe the stereotype They call this phenomenon stereotype threat. Stereotype threat occurs when we sense that some aspect of our self (e.g., our behavior, physical characteristics, or social condition) seems to match the stereotype, making it appear valid. Steele and Aronson believe that stereotype threat is experienced essentially as a self-evaluative threat. threat When a stereotype group believes the stereotype, along with a person to whom the stereotype can be applied and a performance that might confirm the applicability of the stereotype to the person, stereotype threat can emerge. Specifically, stereotype threat is the fear or anxiety people feel when 303 performing in some area in which their group is stereotype threat occurs because individuals are afraid of the implications of confirming the stereotype held by others. In their research on stereotype threat, Steele and Aronson varied the stereotype vulnerability of Black Americans taking a difficult verbal test. In one condition, they were told that the test was simply a problem-solving activity not designed to measure ability. Their results showed that Black Americans performed worse than White people when the test was presented as a measure of their intellectual ability. Steele and Aronson theorize that stereotype threat inhibits efficient informationally, matching the performance of White people, when the test was presented as a measure of their intellectual ability. processing in much the same way as other evaluative pressures do. Stereotypethreatened persons alternate their attention between trying to accomplish the task at hand and trying to accomplish the task at hand accuracy. including women performing poorly on math exams, elderly people on cognitive tests, and people from low socioeconomic backgrounds on measures of intelligence. Stereotype threat is not limited to stigmatized groups, however. In recent related research, Cynthia Frantz and her colleagues demonstrated that the threat of appearing racist led Whitematized groups, however. In recent related research, Cynthia Frantz and her colleagues demonstrated that the threat of appearing racist led Whitematized groups, however. students to perform poorly on a test that measures racism—that is, they received scores indicating that they were racist.70 In their recent research, Jenessa Shapiro and Steven Neuberg claim that stereotype is true of me?), one's group membership (What if this stereotypical?), one's in-group members (What if out-group members (What if out-group members (What if out-group members), one's employed by out-group members (What if my in-group members), one's in-group members (What if my in-group members) are not group members (What if out-group members), one's in-group members (What if out-group members) are not group members). sees me as stereotypical?), and one's in-group's standing as perceived by in-group members (What if my in-group sees our group as stereotype, Shapiro and Neuberg note that women have been observed to underperform on quantitative tasks in comparison with men when stereotypes about women's math abilities are made explicit but not when these stereotypes are presented as irrelevant to the task. Similarly, Latinos performed less well on tests labeled as predictive of intelligence. But Shapiro and Neuberg maintain that stereotype threat is situational and can emerge in any situation in which negative stereotypes about one's group membership are perceived to apply. They point out that membership in a group with relatively low status (e.g., microcultural groups) is not a prerequisite for the experience of stereotype threat. For example, White men may experience stereotype threat in math 304 performance when Asians' superior mathematical ability is made salient.72 Stereotypes and Expectations Although evidence suggests that stereotyping may be independent of one's attitudes about others. Human perception is not necessarily accurate and honest. Perception is influenced by one's needs, wishes, and expectations. In many cases, people perceive what they expect to perceive, regardless of reality. Figure 5.5 is a popular illustration of how expectations affect perception. Most read the words in the triangle as "Paris in the spring," failing to notice the duplication of the word the. By definition, stereotyping is categorizing people according to some easily identifiable characteristic and then attributing to them qualities or behaviors believed to be typical of members of that classification. In this sense, stereotyping leads to expectations that, in turn, affect our social perception of others. In what is now considered a classic experiment, Jerome Bruner and Cecile Goodman found that persons of lower socioeconomic status tended to accentuate the size of coins.73 FIGURE 5.5 How Many The's Are in This Graphic? In related research, Birt Duncan found that perceptions of an ambiguously aggressive act are strongly influenced by racial stereotypes. In his study, White male college students coded the behaviors observed in what they thought was a live conversation displayed on a television monitor. The conversation ended with an ambiguous shove. About half the students witnessed a Black actor shove another person, whereas the other half observed the identical act by a White actor. Of the 48 observers, 35 coded the shove as violent behavior when it was performed by a Black actor, yet only six of the 48 observers coded the identical act as violent behavior when it was performed by a White actor. Duncan argued that 305 because of stereotypes associating Black perpetrator than to those viewing a White one.74 The results of Duncan's study. were replicated by H. Andrew Sagar and Janet Schofield, who studied Black and White sixth graders. The students were performed by Black and White students actors as more mean and threatening than when the identical acts were performed by White actors. Sagar and Schofield argue that stereotypes create expectations and conclude that "in the existing social order, the stereotype is all too real. To activate it, the person engaging in an ambiguous behavior need only be black."75 306 An Intercultural Conversation: Stereotype is all too real. To activate it, the person engaging in an ambiguous behavior need only be black."75 306 An Intercultural Conversation: Stereotype is all too real. semester at a U.S. university. Jim is a student at the same university. Jim was born and raised in Milwaukee. Jim and Akira
are meeting for the first time. What follows is an excerpt from their initial interaction. Jim: Hi. (Thinks to himself—Wow, he's pretty tall.) Jim: I'm Jim. Are you a student here? (Thinks to himself—He probably a math major.) Akira: Yes. (Thinks to himself—He probably wonders if I drive a Honda. I wonder if he realizes how many Americans are unemployed because of all the imported Japanese cars.) Akira: Yes, I am. (Thinks to himself—What will he ask me now? These Americans are so impolite.) Jim: Yeah? That's cool. How do you like it here a lot. (Thinks to himself—He must love it here a lot. (Thinks to himself—I'd better not here before?) Akira: Yes, I am. (Thinks to himself—I'd better not here a lot.) Jim: Yeah? That's cool. How do you like it here a lot. (Thinks to himself—I'd better not here a lot.) Jim: Yeah? That's cool. How do you like it here a lot. (Thinks to himself—I'd better not here a lot.) Jim: Yeah? tell him that the food here is horrible. It might upset him.) In this brief exchange, both Jim and Akira engage in categorization and stereotyping. Initially, Jim categorization and stereotyping. Initially, Jim categorization and stereotyping. Initially, Jim categorization and stereotyping. illusory correlation principle ("He's probably a math major"), and he tries to enhance his in-group's self-esteem ("I wonder if he realizes how many Americans are unemployed because of all the imported Japanese cars"). Akira categorizes and stereotypes Jim in much the same way. 307 Ethnocentrism One of the central concepts in understanding outgroup attitudes and intergroup relations is ethnocentrism. William Graham Sumner originally defined ethnocentrism as "the technical name for this view of things in which one's own group is the center of everything, and all others are scaled and rated with reference to it."76 Many scholars maintain that ethnocentricity is a natural condition and that most people of the world do not like foreigners and openly display feelings of hostility and fear toward them.77 At the core of ethnocentrism, asserts Segall, is the tendency for any people to put their own groups.78 As Dutch sociologist Hofstede argues, ethnocentrism is to a people what egocentrism is to an individual.79 The term comes from the Greek words ethnos, which refers to nation, and kentron, which refers to center.80 James Neuliep and James McCroskey assert, however, that the term can also be applied to an ethnic or microcultural group within a country Similar views can be held based on religion (e.g., Judaism is the only true religion) or a region of origin (e.g., Texans are the only real Americans).81 Ethnocentric persons hold attitudes and behaviors toward in-groups that are different from their attitudes and behaviors toward out-groups. persons are biased in favor of the in-group, often at the expense of the outgroup. Although to be a negative trait, it fosters ingroup survival, solidarity, conformity, cooperation, loyalty, and effectiveness. In his seminal work, Daniel Levinson argued that ethnocentrism is based on a pervasive and rigid in-group-outgroup distinction; it involves stereotyped, negative imagery and hostile attitudes regarding out-groups, and a hierarchical, authoritarian view of group interaction in which in-groups are rightly dominant, out-groups subordinate.82 In related research, Donald Taylor and Vaishna Jaggi introduced a phenomenon called ethnocentric attributional bias. In his research, Thomas Pettigrew referred to this phenomenon as the ultimate attribute such behavior to some internal disposition possessed by the out-group member (e.g., he's a thief). Yet when people perceive what they regard as a positive act performed by members of an out-group, they attribute such behavior to situational factors (e.g., she got lucky). Conversely, when people perceive what they regard 308 as some negative act performed by a member of an in-group, they attribute such behavior to situational factors (e.g., she's so smart).83 ethnocentric attributions for an in-group, they attribute such behavior to dispositional factors (e.g., she's so smart).83 ethnocentric attributions for an in-group, they attribute such behavior to dispositional factors (e.g., she's so smart).83 ethnocentric attributions for an in-group, they attribute such behavior to dispositional factors (e.g., she's so smart).83 ethnocentric attributions for an in-group, they attribute such behavior to dispositional factors (e.g., she's so smart).83 ethnocentric attributions for an in-group, they attribute such behavior to dispositional factors (e.g., she's so smart).83 ethnocentric attributions for an in-group, they attribute such behavior to dispositional factors (e.g., she's so smart).83 ethnocentric attributions for an in-group, they attribute such behavior to dispositional factors (e.g., she's so smart).83 ethnocentric attribute such behavior to dispositional factors (e.g., she's so smart).83 ethnocentric attribute such behavior to dispositional factors (e.g., she's so smart).83 ethnocentric attribute such behavior to dispositional factors (e.g., she's so smart).83 ethnocentric attribute such behavior to dispositional factors (e.g., she's so smart).83 ethnocentric attribute such behavior to dispositional factors (e.g., she's so smart).83 ethnocentric attribute such behavior to dispositional factors (e.g., she's so smart).83 ethnocentric attribute such behavior to dispositional factors (e.g., she's so smart).83 ethnocentric attribute such behavior to dispositional factors (e.g., she's so smart).83 ethnocentric attribute such behavior to dispositional factors (e.g., she's so smart).83 ethnocentric attribute such behavior to dispositional factors (e.g., she's so smart).83 ethnocentric attribute such behavior to dispositional factors (e.g., she's so smart).83 ethnocentric attribute such behavior to dispositional factors (e.g., she's so smart).83 ethnocentric attribute such the positive behavior of the in-group while making external attributions for its negative behavior; also called the ultimate attribution error A Contemporary Conceptualization of Ethnocentrism Neuliep and McCroskey have offered a contemporary conceptualization of ethnocentrism. We argue that ethnocentrism should be viewed along a continuum that everyone is, to some extent, ethnocentric. As newborns, humans are entirely, and naturally, egocentric. Eventually, we develop an awareness of others around us. By age 2 or 3, we engage in social perspective-taking of those most central to us. These people, our biological or adopted families, are the center of our universe. As we become socialized, we observe that our families and that this culmination of people constitutes some form of neighborhood, clan, tribe, community, city, society, and finally culture. By the time we realize that we are a part of some much larger whole, we are officially enculturated and ethnocentric.84 Ethnocentrism is essentially descriptive, not necessarily pejorative. On one end of the continuum, ethnocentrism may serve a valuable function when one's central group is under actual attack or threat of attack. Ethnocentrism forms the basis for patriotism and the willingness to sacrifice for one's central group. On the other end of the continuum, the tendency for people to see their own way as the only right way can be dangerous and lead to pathological forms of ethnocentrism that result in prejudice, discrimination, and even ethnic cleansing (see Figure 5.6).85 FIGURE 5.6 Ethnocentrism that result in prejudice, discrimination, and even ethnic cleansing (see Figure 5.6).85 FIGURE 5.6 Ethnocentrism that result in prejudice, discrimination, and even ethnic cleansing (see Figure 5.6).85 FIGURE 5.6 Ethnocentrism that result in prejudice, discrimination, and even ethnic cleansing (see Figure 5.6).85 FIGURE 5.6 Ethnocentrism that result in prejudice, discrimination, and even ethnic cleansing (see Figure 5.6).85 FIGURE 5.6 Ethnocentrism that result in prejudice, discrimination, and even ethnic cleansing (see Figure 5.6).85 FIGURE 5.6 Ethnocentrism that result in prejudice, discrimination, and even ethnic cleansing (see Figure 5.6).85 FIGURE 5.6 Ethnocentrism that result in prejudice, discrimination, and even ethnic cleansing (see Figure 5.6).85 FIGURE 5.6 Ethnocentrism that result in prejudice, discrimination, and even ethnic cleansing (see Figure 5.6).85 FIGURE 5.6 Ethnocentrism that result in prejudice, discrimination, and even ethnic cleansing (see Figure 5.6).85 FIGURE 5.6 Ethnocentrism that result in prejudice, discrimination, and even ethnic cleansing (see Figure 5.6).85 FIGURE 5.6 Ethnocentrism that result in prejudice, discrimination, and even ethnic cleansing (see Figure 5.6).85 FIGURE 5.6 Ethnocentrism that result in prejudice, discrimination, and even ethnic cleansing (see Figure 5.6).85 FIGURE 5.6 Ethnocentrism that result in prejudice, discrimination, and even ethnic cleansing (see Figure 5.6).85 FIGURE 5.6 Ethnocentrism that result in prejudice, discrimination, and even ethnic cleansing (see Figure 5.6).85 FIGURE 5.6 Ethnocentrism that result in prejudice, discrimination, and even ethnic cleansing (see Figure 5.6).85 FIGURE 5.6 Ethnocentrism that result in prejudice, discrimination, and even ethnic cleansing (see Figure 5.6).85 FIGURE 5.6 Ethnocentrism that result in prejudice vantage point. That is, our culture is the standard by which we evaluate other cultures and the people from those cultures. Most deviations from that standard are viewed negatively and will be used as evidence of the inferiority of people from those cultures. Most deviations from that standard by which we evaluate other cultures and the people from the other cultures. Ethnocentrism negatively influences intercultural communication. Gudykunst points out that one's cultural orientation acts as a filter for processing incoming and outgoing verbal and nonverbal messages. To this extent, all intercultural exchanges are necessarily, to a greater
or lesser degree, charged with ethnocentrism.86 Indeed, most crosscultural researchers recognize that human communication is replete with cultural noise that interferes with the transmission of information. Shijie Guan points out that ethnocentrism leads to "self-centered dialogue" in which interactants use their own cultural standards to evaluate and communicate with others.87 Gudykunst and Kim assert that high levels of ethnocentrism are dysfunctional with respect to intercultural communication and expand on Fred Peng's concept of ethnocentric attitudes are reflected in linguistic diversity and create communicative distance between interactants that manifests itself in the expressions, idioms, and words of the speakers.89 Lukens claims that ethnocentric speech results in three types of communicated in speech patterns, such as talking loudly and slowly to a nonnative speaker of the language including exaggerated pronunciation and simplification. The communicative distance of indifference is also communicated in such expressions as "Jew them down," "top of the totem pole," and "the blind leading the blind." The distance of avoid contact with persons from other cultures through the use of in-group jargon or slang that members of other cultures and is communicated through ethnophaulisms such as nigger, nip, chink, and so on.91 Neuliep and McCroskey contend that ethnocentrism acts as a perceptual filter that affects not only the perceptions of verbal and nonverbal messages but also perceptions of their source. For the most part, we tend to initiate and maintain communication with those to whom we are attracted. When we interact with someone from another culture, however, our perceptions of their source. affected by our degree of ethnocentrism. Moreover, many studies indicate that perceived similarity is related to attracted to that perceive someone as similar to out-groups. Specifically, ethnocentrics perceived similarity is related to attracted to that perceive someone as similar to out-groups. themselves as superior to out-groups (e.g., ethnic/racial groups). Hence, when interacting with people from a different culture or ethnicity, high ethnocentrics are likely to perceive out-group members. Judgment of another's credibility is also affected by ethnocentrics are likely to be credible to the degree that they are perceived to be informed, qualified, 310 trained, intelligent, trustworthy, and so on. However, because they see themselves as less competent, less honest, less trustworthy, and so on.92 Ethnocentrics tend to judge out-group members as less competent, less honest, less trustworthy, and so on.94 Ethnocentrics tend to judge out-group members as less competent, less honest, less trustworthy, and so on.94 Ethnocentrics tend to judge out-group members as less competent, less honest, less trustworthy, and so on.94 Ethnocentrics tend to judge out-group members as less competent, less honest, less trustworthy, and so on.94 Ethnocentrics tend to judge out-group members as less competent, less honest, less trustworthy, and so on.94 Ethnocentrics tend to judge out-group members as less competent, less honest, less trustworthy, and so on.94 Ethnocentrics tend to judge out-group members as less competent, less honest, less trustworthy, and so on.94 Ethnocentrics tend to judge out-group members as less competent, less honest, less trustworthy, and so on.94 Ethnocentrics tend to judge out-group members as less competent, less honest, less trustworthy, and so on.94 Ethnocentrics tend to judge out-group members as less competent, less honest, less trustworthy, and so on.94 Ethnocentrics tend to judge out-group members as less competent, less honest, less trustworthy, and so on.94 Ethnocentrics tend to judge out-group members as less competent, less honest, less trustworthy, and so on.94 Ethnocentrics tend to judge out-group members as less competent, less honest, less trustworthy, and so on.94 Ethnocentrics tend to judge out-group members as less competent, less honest, less trustworthy, and so on.94 Ethnocentrics tend to judge out-group members as less competent, less honest manifest in any social context, including organizational environments in which persons of different cultural backgrounds interact in the workplace. In their research, Neuliep, Hintz, and McCroskey investigated the effects of ethnocentrism in an employment interview. In their study, U.S. students watched a videotape of a Korean national student being interviewed for a job in the financial aid office of her U.S. college. The students then completed measures of ethnocentrism, interpersonal attraction, and credibility, and they were asked to give a hiring recommendation. Their results showed that ethnocentrism was negatively and significantly correlated with perceptions of social attraction. competence, character, and hiring recommendations.93 Their results are consistent with Theresa House, who reports that cultural or ethnic similarity, or both, between interviewee and interviewee (e.g., culture or ethnicity). This effect may be enhanced by ethnocentrism.94 In additional research, Neuliep, Hintz, and McCroskey investigated the effects of ethnocentrism on manager-subordinate communication. In this study, participants watched a video of an Asian student manager reprimanding a White student worker. A different group of participants watched a nearly identical video of a White student manager reprimanding the same White student worker (the same scripts were used for both videos). After watching the video, each group of participants completed measures of ethnocentrism, interpersonal attraction, credibility, attitudes about the manager, and managerial effectiveness. For the group of participants who watched the Asian student manager reprimanding the White student worker, ethnocentrism was negatively and significantly correlated with perceptions of the manager. For the gr who watched the White student manager, there were no significant correlations between ethnocentrism and any of the other variables.95 The implications of these results are significant. In an increasingly diverse workplace, managers and subordinates of different cultures and ethnicities are likely to find themselves interacting together. To the extent that such interactants are ethnocentric, interpersonal perceptions and communication will be influenced negatively. In addition to providing leadership functions, one of the primary functions of management in any organization is performance appraisal of subordinates are of different cultures or ethnicities, subordinate ethnocentrism may interfere with the interpretation of managerial appraisals. If 311 ethnocentric subordinates perceive managers of different cultures/ethnicities to be less attractive, less competent, and less credible, they may be less likely to accept their appraisal and any of the recommendations contained therein. Moreover, the position of an effective manager is one that fosters a certain level of obedience and comply with them. As Neuliep, Hintz, and McCroskey found, in manager-subordinate transactions, ethnocentrism interferes with perceptions—that is, ethnocentric subordinates as less attractive or credible or attractive. Other research has found that the consequences of racial or ethnic differences between managers and subordinates are most clearly evident in performance appraisals. For example, in two separate studies Frank Landy and James Farr, and Kurt Kraiger and Kevin Ford found that Black American and White managers consistently gave more positive appraisals to members of their own race. Racism Although the terms racism and ethnocentrism refers to the degree to which one sees his or her culture as superior and the standard by which other racial groups are necessarily inferior. To be ethnocentric but not racist is possible. To be racist and not ethnocentric is unlikely. In other words, you may believe that your race is superior. However, if you believe that your race is superior, chances are good that you also believe your culture is superior. There is a biological component at the core of racist ideology that does not exist in the concept of ethnocentrism. Racist ideology is a belief in the moral or intellectual superiority is biological rather than social, it cannot be conditioned by culture or education. However, racist ideology asserts that racial- biological superiority does, in fact, translate into cultures. Just as racism is rooted in biology, ethnocentrism is rooted in ethnicity and culture. Racial groups are social constructs that are perceived to be biological. Ethnic groups can be conceived around blood, common history, nationality, religion, or even geographic region. In addition to their conceptual differences, racism and ethnocentrism have different origins. As mentioned previously, many scholars believe that ethnocentrism is a universal phenomenon that reflects a biologically rooted survival instinct experienced, to some 312 degree, by all people in all cultures. Hence, it is thought that ethnocentrism is innately human—that is, we are born ethnocentric. Racism, on the other hand, is not universal and is thought to be learned. This begs the question, Why would anyone teach others to be racist? One argument, espoused by scholars from across a wide variety of disciplines, is that racism is the by-product of ignorance, fear, and hate. Although slavery is not unique to the United States, in U.S. history racism is often associated with the forced immigration and enslavement of Africans. Because the U.S. Constitution was predicated on the tenet that "all men are created equal," slavery presented a moral dilemma for the country. The enslavement and brutal treatment of Africans was a blatant violation of any sort of equality creed. But if White people could define Black people as biologically inferior, then they could justify slavery and practice it with a clear conscience.97 Hence, the
institutionalization of a flagrantly racist system of unequal treatment was established. Many political scientists offer a socioeconomic-political explanation of the causes of racism, frequently called the frustration-aggression hypothesis. During times of social, economic, or political stress (e.g., depressed economy, mass immigration), the dominant cultural group often will place blame on subordinate racial groups. Racism becomes a way of releasing the stress and frustration associated with difficult social, economic, or political times. In these situations, the dominant group often will act out its frustration against the subordinate racial group via prejudice, and discrimination.98 Racism, stereotypes are often linked. When a racial group is labeled inferior, stereotypes are often negative, people become prejudiced toward the racial group and discriminate against it. Prejudice determines how people feel or think about a particular group, and discrimination is the behavioral outcome—that is, action against that group. 313 Chapter Summary The purpose of this chapter was to describe and explain the perceptual context of intercultural communication, which refers to the human ability to take in, store, and retrieve information. Whenever two people come together and interact, they process immense amounts of information. Whenever two people come together and communicate, they do so within a cultural context, and an environmental context, and an environmental context. psychological perspective. So humans are not objective processors of information but, rather, biased processors. In this chapter, we have seen that the three stages of information-processing strategies that facilitate the input, storage, and retrieval of information are categorization and stereotyping. Although stereotyping is a natural cognitive process, it can lead to misrepresentations of others, which may lead to prejudice and discrimination. Finally, the chapter ends with a discussion of ethnocentrism is the cognitive process, it can lead to misrepresentations of others, which may le own group as the basis for judging other groups. Like stereotyping, it is a natural cognitive process, but it, too, can lead to prejudice and discrimination. 314 edge.sagepub.com/neuliep7e SAGE edge offers a robust online environment featuring an impressive array of free tools and resources for review, study, and further exploration, keeping both instructors and students on the cutting edge of teaching and learning. 315 Discussion Questions 1. What are your initial impressions when you actually meet someone from a different culture, what do you remember about him or her? 3. Although difficult to admit, what are some of the stereotypes you hold about people from other cultures? 4. What are some of the stereotypes others hold about you and your own cultural group? 5. Go back to Chapter 1 and review your score on the GENE (Generalized Ethnocentrism) Scale. Are you ethnocentric? Why or why not? 316 Ethics and the Perceptual Context 1. You are having a party at your dorm/apartment tonight. What groups of people will you not invite because of the stereotypes you hold regarding those groups? Be honest. 2. For each of the groups listed here, offer three terms that describe them: Football players Cheerleaders Philosophy majors Math majors Biology professors Communication majors Sorority sisters To what extent do you think that the terms you used to describe these groups were accurate? Or are they stereotypes? What are the stereotypes? What are the stereotypes? What are the stereotypes associated with the groups to which you belong? 3. The author of this book was once at a restaurant in France and asked the waiter how an item on the menu was prepared. The waiter responded in a gruff tone, "Well, you're an American. You know everything, so how would you like it prepared?" How would you explain the waiter's response? 317 Developing Intercultural communication is a group phenomenon experienced by individuals. Whenever we interact with people from different cultures, especially early in our relationships, we carry with us assumptions and impressions (i.e., stereotypes) of them. Simply put, we cannot not stereotypes) of them. Simply put, we cannot not stereotypes) of them. first steps in developing intercultural competence is first, recognize that you stereotype, and second, begin to manage it. Make note that your stereotypes are often incorrect. 2. This chapter is about how the human brain takes in, stores, and retrieves information and how culture affects that process. Another step toward developing intercultural competence is to understand that humans are biased processors of information. We are not objective processors. Once you understand that people from differently than do you, you can begin to adjust and adapt your communication, which leads to competence. 3. Keep in mind that developing intercultural communication competence does not mean you surrender your culture's values, beliefs, and behaviors. Recall from this chapter that everyone is, to some degree, ethnocentric. Liking and supporting your group is not wrong. But keep in mind that adherence to your culture's values, beliefs, and behaviors does not mean that you thwart another group's values, beliefs, and behaviors. On the other hand, there may be times when you will need to/should criticize another culture's values, beliefs, and behavior (i.e., dowry deaths). To find the balance is to become interculturally competent. 318 Key Terms carpentered-world hypothesis 160 categorization 166 decay 161 episodic long-term memory 162 ethnocentric attributional bias 183 illusory correlation principle 178 interference 163 long-term memory 160 out-group homogeneity effect 178 perceptual filters 157 semantic long-term memory 162 sensation 157 sensory receptors 160 short-term memory 161 Stereotype threat 179 stereotypes 167 319 It seems to me that we have sound reasons for thus considering theoretical knowledge as more objective than immediate experience. --Michael Polyani1 320 6 The Sociorelational Context © iStockphoto.com/Kupico 321 Learning Objectives 1. 2. 3. 4. Compare and contrast the nature of group membership and group behavior across cultures Define and discuss the concept of role relationships across cultures Compare and contrast sex and gender roles across cultures All human beings, regardless of cultures (such as the United States) promote individuality and independence, our survival depends on our interdependency and cooperation, the initiation of group hunting methods, a system of distributing work, and our ability to share knowledge were what empowered our human ancestors to survive when other related species, such as Neanderthals, became extinct. 2 As mentioned in Chapter 1, intercultural communication is a group phenomenon experienced by individuals, but as members of different cultural groups. Think about your own communication experiences with strangers from different cultures. When you meet a stranger from a different culture, do you see that person as an individual or as a member of a culture transfers, within our own culture—when we meet strangers, we typically see them in terms of the groups to which they belong (e.g., sex, race, age). In fact, there is no other way to describe a stranger than by the groups to which he or she belongs. 3 The sociorelational context, then, refers to how group memberships affect communication. Whenever people from different cultures come together to interact, their verbal and nonverbal messages are defined by, and filtered through, their group memberships. The social relationship they develop is significantly influenced by the groups, the nature of group membership and group behavior, especially group communication, differs considerably across cultures. The number of social groups to which a person belongs, the length of association with those groups vary from one culture to the next. Our membership in groups represents the sociorelational context of intercultural communication. 322 sociorelational context Group membership and the roles one assumes within a culture; the role relationship between interactants (e.g., brother/sister), defined by verbal and nonverbal messages The purpose of this chapter is to explore the idea of groupness and how social groups vary across cultures. The first part of this chapter focuses on definitional aspects of groups. The second part of the chapter looks at family groups, and the final part of the chapter examines gender groups. 323 Dimensions of Group Variability Membership and Nonmembership Groups. For individuals in any culture, we all belong to groups. For individuals in any culture, there are those groups to which they belong, called membership groups. For individuals in any culture, we all belong to groups to which they do not belong, called nonmembership groups. There are two classes of membership groups include one's age, race, sex, and biological family groups. Obviously, we cannot choose our age, race, or sex. Although we may choose to interact or not with our biological family groups are those to belong. Examples of voluntary membership groups are those to belong. Examples of voluntary membership groups are those to belong. -among others.4 membership groups Groups to which people belong and in which there is regular interaction among members who perceive themselves as membership groups Groups to which people belong and have no choice but to belong, such as sex, race, and age groups Voluntary and involuntary nonmembership groups are those groups to which people do not belong. Some people may want to belong to a group but are ineligible for membership in a group but choose not to join. The distinction is important because people who are eligible to join a group but choose not to may be more likely than ineligible nonmembers may also differ regarding their ability and motivation to become members. For example, some nonmembers may
aspire to membership, some may be indifferent, and others may be motivated to remain unaffiliated. voluntary membership groups to which people belong by choice, such as a political party or service organization voluntary nonmembership groups to which people do not belong by choice 324 involuntary nonmembership groups to which people belong by choice 324 involuntary nonmembership groups to which people do not belong by choice 324 involuntary nonmembership groups to which people belong by choice 324 involuntary nonmembership groups to which people belong by choice 324 involuntary nonmembership groups to which people belong by choice 324 involuntary nonmembership groups to which people belong by choice 324 involuntary nonmembership groups to which people belong by choice 324 involuntary nonmembership groups to which people belong by choice 324 involuntary nonmembership groups to which people belong by choice 324 involuntary nonmembership groups to which people belong by choice 324 involuntary nonmembership groups to which people belong by choice 324 involuntary nonmembership groups to which people belong by choice 324 involuntary nonmembership groups to which people belong by choice 324 involuntary nonmembership groups to which people belong by choice 324 involuntary nonmembership groups to which people belong by choice 324 involuntary nonmembership groups to which people belong by choice 324 involuntary nonmembership groups to which people belong by choice 324 involuntary nonmembership groups to which people belong by choice 324 involuntary nonmembership groups to which people belong by choice 324 involuntary nonmembership groups to which people belong by choice 324 involuntary nonmembership groups to which people belong by choice 324 involuntary nonmembership groups to which people belong by choice 324 involuntary nonmembership groups to which people belong by choice 324 involuntary nonmembership groups to which people belong by choice 324 involuntary nonmembership groups to which people belong by choice 324 involuntary nonmembership Groups to which people do not belong because of ineligibility out-group A group whose attributes are dissimilar from those of an in-group and that opposes the realization of in-group and that opposes the realization and that opp

Laungani notes that since one is born into a given caste, it is virtually impossible to move from one caste to another. Usually, members of the lowest, are Brahmins, Kshatriyas, Vaisyas, and Sudras. As mentioned, a native person is born into a caste and cannot leave : until the beginning of his or her next lifetime. Hence, one's caste level is an involuntary membership group, and a person is ineligible for membership in any other caste.5 In-Groups and Out-Groups In 1906, sociologist William Graham Sumner introduced the concepts of in-group and out-group when he wrote, A differentiation arises between ourselves, the we-group, or in-group, and everybody else, or the others-group, out-group, and everybody else, or the others-group, is one of war and plunder, except as agreements have modified it.... The relation of comradeship and peace, order, law, government and industry, to each other. in the we-group and that of hostility and war towards the others-group are correlative to each other.6 Based on Sumner's thesis, an in-group represents a special class of membership group characterized by a potent internal cohesiveness among its members and a sometimes intense hostility toward out-groups. To be sure, however, earnest loyalty to one's membership group does not necessarily mean that you will feel hostility toward nonmembership groups. Sumner's dichotomy of in-group/out-group animosity can be seen in cases of extreme nationalism. Nationalists are members of a group, usually ethnic or religious, who believe that their group should dominate and rule a political entity such as a state or nationalist state, then, is dominated by an ethnic or religious group. The symbols and laws of a nationalist state are reflective of the particular ethnic or religious in character and may take extreme measures to see that they are.7 Harry Triandis defines an in-group, or one that opposes the accomplishment of the in-group or one that opposes the accomplishment of the in-group or one that opposes the accomplishment of the in-group or one that opposes the accomplishment of the in-group or one that opposes the accomplishment of the in-group or one that opposes the accomplishment of the in-group or one that opposes the accomplishment of the in-group or one that opposes the accomplishment of the in-group or one that opposes the accomplishment of the in-group or one that opposes the accomplishment of the in-group of its members. that to be classified as an out-group, the group must be perceived as threatening in some way to the in-group and must be relatively stable, impenetrable, and dissimilar. An important point articulated by Triandis is that persons can be perceived as in-group members in one context and as out-group members in another.8 For example, a White American might view a Black American as a member of an out-group within the boundaries of the United States but see the same person as an ingroup member while visiting a foreign country. Furthermore, definitions of in-groups and out-groups differ widely across cultures. In Greece, for example, family and close friends are considered in-groups members, whereas other Greeks are considered out-group members. in-group as threatening Marilynn Brewer and Donald Campbell assert that the tendency to distinguish between ingroups and out-groups is universal.9 As mentioned in Chapter 5, when we meet someone from a different culture for the first time, we immediately categorize that person as an ingroup and out-group members are typically biased in favor of the in-group members. Attributions about in-group members are typically biased in favor of the in-group members are typically biased in favor of the in-group members. biases function to promote, enhance, protect, and maintain that in-group solidarity and justify the exploitation of out-groups. Henri Tajfel and John Turner argue that the mere presence of an out-group is sufficient to provoke intergroup competition or discriminatory responses from the in-group. Moreover, the magnitude of in-group biases. That is, the more different the groups appear (e.g., in sex, race, religion, status), the greater the extent of in-group biases. That is, the more different the groups appear (e.g., in sex, race, religion, status), the greater the extent of in-group biases. internal cohesiveness among their members and an intense hostility toward out-groups. 326 © iStockphoto.com/Heather Shimmin Richard Schaefer points out that in-group virtues and out-group virtues an virtues), yet when the very same behaviors are practiced by the out-group, they are seen as unacceptable (i.e., out-group vices).11 Reference group is a group to which we may or may not belong but with which we identify in some important way. A reference group possesses some quality to which we aspire and, hence, serves as a "reference" for our decisions about matters of which we know very little or for which we are faced with decisions or behavior. From time to time, we are faced with decisions about matters of which we are faced with decisions about matters of which we need direction. We may not know how to feel about a particular political issue or which way to vote in an upcoming referendum. In these kinds of situations, we often look to teachers for guidance. In this way, teachers are a reference group for students. We use the group's position on an issue as a reference, standard, or barometer in developing our own attitudes. Reference groups can be membership or nonmembership or nonmembership and positive. For example, in deciding for which political candidate to cast your vote, you may look to your 327 political party (i.e., a membership group) for guidance. A law student for example, might view lawyers or judges as a reference group but does not yet belong to that group. Usually, though not necessarily, voluntary membership out-groups are seen as negative reference groups. reference group but does not yet belong to which a person may or may not belong but with which the person identifies in some way in terms of values and goals Rodney Napier and Matti Gershenfeld point out that reference groups serve two functions. For example, when professors return examinations, students try to see their peers' grades in an effort to determine their own relative standing. If a student fails an examination but learns that most of the class also failed, then she or he will not feel so bad. A failing grade has less impact if several other students failed as well. Individuals also use reference groups to establish the norms and standards to which they conform. For example, many students on college campuses dress according to how other students dress. Our reference groups influence our self-concept, our self-esteem, and our relationships with others. For example, in most cultures children look to their elders as a positive reference group. The elders of the Masai culture of southern Kenya are an important reference group. Social power in Masai culture is based on age; this is called a gerontocracy, wisdom, insight, and sound judgment stem from age and are highly respected. The elders have moral and political authority, and the younger Masai age groups look to them for advice and direction. Through their agebased level in the hierarchy, the elders are the central reference group of their culture.13 328 Role Relationships Whenever we join a group—that is, one's rank. Any group role exists in relation to some other role in that group. In fact, roles cannot exist in isolation; they are always related to some other role. You cannot be a student without a father. You cannot be a son without a father. You cannot be a student without a father. Roles prescribe with whom, about what, and how to interact With all roles, in all groups, certain behaviors are expected. A role, then, can be defined as one's relative position in a group with an expected to behave in certain ways, usually according to some set of standards or norms established by the group. In your role as student, for example, you are expected to attend class, write papers, and complete examinations, among other behaviors. Within groups, roles are hierarchically organized, in that some roles have more influence and prestige than others. In this sense, our role in a group represents our relative position or rank in the group.14 There are two types of roles in most cultures: formal and informal.15 Formal and informal.15 Formal roles have well-defined, and often contractual, behavioral expectations associated with them. the United States all have clearly prescribed sets of behaviors they are expected to enact by virtue of their roles. Most fraternities, sororities, and academic organizations have constitutions or charters that specifically spell out the roles of their roles. allegiance to the group and pledging a faithful effort to follow the expectations prescribed. Violations of such prescriptions are often subject to negative evaluation and even removal from the role. An important point about formal roles and their prescriptions vary across cultures. Informal roles are learned informal roles, the behavioral expectations associated with informal roles, the behavioral expectations associated with informal roles. Unlike formal roles, the behavioral expectations associated with informal roles are learned informal roles. boyfriend or girlfriend, for example, is learned through experience. What it means to be a son or daughter in your family may be quite different from what it means to be a son or daughter in the family of your next-door neighbor. Informal roles and their prescriptions vary across and within cultures. 329 Roles and communication are integrally linked. Roles prescribe (1) with whom, (2) about what, and (3) how to communicate include teachers, students, resident hall assistants, librarians, and so on. If not for your role as college student, you probably would not have communicated with any of the people with whom you have already communicated
today. In addition to prescribing with whom you communicate, or your role prescribes the topics and assignments. Finally, your role defines how you communicate, or your style of communication. When you are interacting with your professors about class-related topics, you probably engage in a more formal communication pattern than when you interact with other students. Although your most defining role now, the total combination of all your roles (e.g., brother, sister, boyfriend, girlfriend, coworker, son, daughter) defines your social identity. Your social identity is how the society around you sees you and hierarchically ranks you. person's membership in groups Because the nature and prevalence of formal and informal roles vary so much across cultures, the roles we assume in our culturel, national, ethnic, demographic, and various other in-groups. Because roles and communication are so closely related, they must be considered cross-cultural; that is, roles vary significantly across cultures. For example, although there are probably teachers and students in every culture, what it means to be a teacher or student in the United States might differ remarkably from what it means in China or Japan William Gudykunst and Young Kim argue that there are at least four dimensions on which roles vary across cultures: the degree of personalness, formality, hierarchy, and deviation from the ideal role enactment.17 According to Gudykunst and Kim, roles can vary from personal to impersonal. intimate, whereas others are distant. The degree of formality between roles varies from formal to informal. In some cases, our role relationships are prim and proper, whereas others are casual and relaxed. The degree of hierarchy refers to how strictly roles are ranked. In some cases, our role relationships are prim and proper, whereas others are casual and relaxed. student and teacher, whereas in others, the difference may be guite loose and flexible. The degree of deviation allowed refers to how much a person is permitted to deviate from the prescribed role expectation without significant negative sanction. The roles of teacher and student in the United States and Korea illustrate how Gudykunst 330 and Kim's four dimensions can be applied. In Korea, an old adage states, "One should not step even on the shadow of one's teachers, has been a guiding tenet in Korea is based on Confucianism, whose central axiom is obedience to superiors. At just about any type of social gathering, who greets whom first, who sits first, who sits first, and so on are of utmost importance. In Korea, special care must be taken not to upset the social hierarchy is communicated via special vocabularies. These vocabularies consist of particular terms and phrases for addressing one who is superior, equal, or of lower status.18 In the United States, most students respect their teachers but do not recognize strict adherence to formality and hierarchy to the degree seen in Korea. In Korea, the student/teacher role relationship is guite proper and formal and the communication is scripted. Similarly, the difference in rank between Korean students and teachers is strict and recognized. On the other hand, the student/teacher role relationship in the United States is more personal and usually allows for more deviation from the ideal role prescription. Students and teachers can interact informally and may even engage in activities outside the formal classroom. In the four role dimensions outlined by Gudykunst and Kim, we can see where the student/teacher relationship might fall in both cultures (see Figure 6.1). In most cases, the student/teacher relationship in Korea is less personal, more formal, and more hierarchical, with less room for deviation from the ideal role enactment than in the United States. FIGURE 6.1 Four Dimensions of Cross-Cultural Role Variation Photo 6.2 How does your communication style shift when interacting with persons in different roles? 331 © iStockphoto.com/Rich Legg 332 AN INTERCULTURAL CONVERSATION: Student-Teacher Role Positions Because roles prescribe with whom, about what, and how people communicate, differences in the four role dimensions manifest in communication. In the following dialogue, there are two cross-cultural interactions between a student and a teacher. Note the differences in formality and personalness and the degree to which the students recognize the hierarchical difference between a student and a teacher. In each case, the student wishes to speak to the teacher. In each case, the student and a teacher in the United States. Scenario A Jeff: (Approaches Dr. Neuliep's office unannounced.) Hey, Dr. N., how's it goin'? Dr. Neuliep: Hey Jeff, what's up? Jeff: (Steps into the office.) I thought I would stop by an' see if I could talk to ya about my paper assignment. Dr. Neuliep: Sure, c'mon in, have a seat. What are you thinking about? Jeff: Well ... I'm kinda havin' some trouble comin' up with a topic. Do ya have any ideas? Dr. Neuliep: I suggest doing something that's interesting to you; otherwise, the assignment might bore you to death. Stay away from topics that have very little research associated with them. Also ... you might not ever have thought of yourself. Jeff: Yeah ... that's a good idea. If I find somethin', can I stop by and show it to ya before I get started? Dr. Neuliep: Sure, just stop by or leave a message on my voice mail. Jeff: OK, yeah. OK, well ... thanks a lot. Dr. Neuliep: Sure. Scenario B Mino: (Approaches Dr. Choi's office and knocks on the door.) Good morning, Professor Choi. Dr. Choi: Hello, Mino. Hence for my appointment. Dr. Choi: Yes. Mino: May I come in? Dr. Choi: Yes. Mino: Thank you (enters Dr. Choi: Yes, what have you decided? Mino: I would like to research the natural resources of northern India, if that is acceptable. Dr. Choi: Yes, that topic is fine. Mino: Thank you. Thank you for seeing me this morning. Dr. Choi: Yes, you are welcome. Good day. The conversation between Jeff and Dr. Neuliep is generally smooth and coordinated. The situation is informal enough that Jeff shows up unannounced, without an appointment. Jeff uses informal dialect (e.g., havin', ya) and refers to Dr. Neuliep as "Dr. N.," demonstrating a degree of personalness. Dr. Neuliep invites Jeff to sit down, reducing the hierarchical distance between them. Generally, their conversation, though perfectly respectful, is informal. In Scenario B, Mino has set up an appointment with Dr. Choi prior to the meeting, shows up at the appointed time, and asks permission to enter the office. The conversation is rather formal and impersonal. Mino does not engage in any slang or informal vocabulary, does not sit down, and keeps the conversation as short as possible. Unlike Jeff, Mino is prepared to state his choice of subject. To ask the professor for a suggestion, as Jeff did in Scenario A, would be impertinent in Korean culture, where responsibility rests entirely on the student. Role Differentiation and Stratification As mentioned earlier, whenever we assume a role in a group, that role represents our rank or relative position within the group. On a much larger scale, our roles are ranked by our culture as well. Some roles are at the top of the hierarchy, while others are at the bottom. In the United States, for example, physicians and attorneys are high-ranked roles, whereas used-car salespeople are low-ranked occupational roles. The rank ordering of roles into a hierarchical vertical status structure Social stratification. stratification varies across cultures, and not all roles are valued the same. The complexity of the role hierarchy varies from culture to culture, too. Some cultures, and not all roles are valued the same. The complexity of the role hierarchy varies from culture to culture, too. such as family, social, and occupational roles. A highly differentiated culture may make numerous role distinctions, such as corporate roles (e.g., pope, cardinal, bishop, priest, parishioner), educational roles (e.g., superintendent, principal, teacher, senior, junior, sophomore, freshman), and military roles (e.g., general, colonel, major, captain, lieutenant), among others. According to cross-cultural researcher John Berry and his colleagues, social stratification exists in a culture with a highly differentiated role hierarchy that is organized in a vertical status structure. As mentioned, most cultures have some form of role hierarchy that distinguishes between high- and low-ranking roles. Berry maintains that cultures tend to have less role differentiation and stratification, whereas industrialized urban cultures are typically quite differentiated and stratified. Sedentary agricultural societies fall somewhere in the middle.19 Although it is impossible to generalize across every culture, many collectivistic, highcontext, and large power distance cultures possess a relatively strict hierarchical role stratification compared with low-context, individualistic, small power distance cultures. Recall from Chapter 2 that, generally, individualistic, small power distance cultures, on the other hand, see people not as individuals but as members of groups. In these cultures, one's level in the role stratification hierarchy often is based on one's membership in sex, age, family, and occupation groups. In such cultures, one person is almost always more powerful than another. Mike Keberlein points out that the Guatemalan Ladino stratification is vertically shaped, like a pyramid, with the wealthy at the top and the poor at the bottom. Belonging to an upper class means owning a home and having land to work and rent. Members of the upper class have their own social in-groups, such as the International Rotary Club, to which only they are also the ones who attend church most often. The upper class, however, establishes the rules for what is considered socially acceptable. Interestingly, according to Keberlein, the lower-class Ladinos practice the values of
the culture more than any other social stratification is the caste system of social stratification is the caste system. code of conduct. A person's social status depends on the caste to which he or she belongs. Although deemed illegal 50 years ago, the caste system still operates in many parts of India today, especially in rural areas. Although the system still operates in many parts of the caste system still operates in many parts of the caste system still operates in many parts of subcastes, there are four or five generally recognized levels. At the top of the caste system still operates in many parts of subcastes, there are four or five generally recognized levels. are the Brahmins. These are priests or seers who possess spiritual power. Next are the Kshatriyas—that is, warriors and rulers. The third level is the Sudras, whose main function is to serve the needs of the upper three levels. The Sudras are further subdivided into touchables and untouchables. The touchable Sudras engage in occupations that are considered by the upper three to be demeaning, such as barber, hairdresser, cleaner, water carrier, and so on. The untouchables—or as Gandhi termed them, the Harijan, meaning "children of God"—are workers who take on the lowest of job roles, which are considered to be spiritually polluting, such as sweepers, butchers, potters, and so on. Also outside the system are tribal groups (called adivasis) with unique ethnic, linguistic, and cultural histories.21 Because roles prescribe with others, 335 communicate with others, 335 communicate with a rigid social stratification system is very predictable. Verbal and nonverbal messages are prescribed according to one's role and rank in the social hierarchy. Accepted forms of address, vocabulary, nonverbal behavior such as eye contact, and social manners are defined for practically every social situation. When two strangers interact for the first time, much uncertainty is reduced simply by recognizing role differences. They need only to know each other's roles to communicate appropriately. Although not exclusively, many of these cultures profess equality and minimize role stratification. In the United States, for example, children are taught that they are equal to everyone else. In fact, in many of these cultures, equality is legislated. Although role differences are recognized and respected, these cultures believe that a person. Hence, knowing one's role does not reduce as much uncertainty as it would in a high-context, large power distance cultures, formality is pervasive. © iStockphoto.com/urbancow 336 Understanding a culture's role differentiation and stratification is important for communication because special vocabularies exist for different roles. Donald Klopf and James McCroskey note, for example, that in Korea, the terms a husband will use to refer to his wife vary depending on with whom he is interacting. She is his cho when he is speaking to someone of higher rank, his chip saram when speaking to an equal, or his ago omoni when speaking to a person of lower rank or status.22 337 AN INTERCULTURAL CONVERSATION: CrossCultural Role Positions In cultures such as the United States, Canada, and Australia, among others, people are accustomed to treating everyone else as equal, regardless of sex, age, occupation, and so on. This can lead to misunderstandings when interacting with people who, in their culture's role hierarchy, are accorded special privileges. In the following cross-cultural interaction, Mr. Mammen, an East Indian living in the United States, has taken his wife and family to a nice restaurant. When he arrives at the restaurant, he expects to be seated even though he has not made dinner reservations. Because of his social standing, he assumes that he will be accommodated.23 Because of his cultural role position, in Mr. Mammen's native culture, he probably would have been seated in the restaurant even though he did not have a reservation. In the United States, however, one's occupational role will not ensure any special favors outside of that occupation. The host of this restaurant is simply following his culture's way of treating everyone—that is, equally. As shown in the illustration that follows, this leads to conflict and misunderstanding. Mr. Mammen: Yes, my wife and family and I are here for dinner. Host: Certainly, your name please? Mr. Mammen: I don't see your name on our reservation listing. Mr. Mammen: I don't see your name on our reservation, but I can make them now. Host: I'm sorry, but this evening's dinner reservation listing. Mr. Mammen: I don't see your name on our reservation listing. Mr. Mammen: I don't see your name on our reservation list is completely full. Mr. Mammen: I don't see your name on our reservation listing. Mr. Mammen: I don't see your name on our reservation listing. Mr. Mammen: I don't see your name on our reservation listing. Mr. Mammen: I don't see your name on our reservation list is completely full. Mr. Mammen: I don't see your name on our reservation list is completely full. Communication problems often result when persons from different cultures do not understand or recognize the role differences between cultures. Many international exchange students may find U.S. teachers' use of humor quite strange because teachers in their cultures would never act in such a way. Family Groups 338 All human beings, regardless of culture, belong to a family. A child's biological or adoptive family is the first and probably most significant socialization influence on that child. has on its children differ notably across cultures. Two terms related to the family structure, which are sometimes confused, are patriarchy and matriarchy and matriarchy and matriarchy refers to a social system (e.g., familial, political) in which the father, or eldest male, is head of the clan or family unit and descent is traced through the male line. In patriarchal societies, males wield power disproportionately compared with women. To be sure, notes Allan Johnson, this does not mean all men are powerful roles are held predominantly by men and the less powerful roles by women.24 Matriarchy, on the other hand, is often incorrectly thought to mean the opposite of patriarchies. She argues that they are not the opposite of patriarchies, with women ruling over men, but rather are characterized by being equality based and need oriented. According to Göttner-Abendroth, in matriarchal cultures the natural differences between men and women are acknowledged and respected, but they are not used to create social hierarchies, as in patriarchal societies. Instead, argues GöttnerAbendroth, men and women are acknowledged and respected, but they are not used to create social hierarchies, as in patriarchal societies. to meet societal needs. In such a milieu, writes Göttner-Abendroth, social life is organized in a way that is based on the economic, social, and political needs of the people, inclusive of men and women. Göttner-Abendroth (and others) maintain that societies based on the incorrect use of the term matriarchy—that is, societies ruled by women—have never existed. But matriarchal societies based on the contemporary conceptualization of matriarchy as essentially egalitarian have existed in various cultures throughout history.25 The nuclear family consists of the father, mother, and children. The extended family consists of the nuclear family consists of the father, mother existed in various cultures throughout history.25 The nuclear family consists of the father, mother existed in various cultures throughout history.25 The nuclear family consists of the father, mother existed in various cultures throughout history.25 The nuclear family consists of the father existed in various cultures throughout history.25 The nuclear family consists of the father existed in various cultures throughout history.25 The nuclear family consists of the father existed in various cultures throughout history.25 The nuclear family consists of the father existed in various cultures throughout history.25 The nuclear family consists of the father existed in various cultures throughout history.25 The nuclear family consists of the father existed in various cultures throughout history.25 The nuclear family consists of the father existed in various cultures throughout history.25 The nuclear family consists of the father existed in various cultures throughout history.25 The nuclear family consists of the father existed in various cultures throughout history.25 The nuclear family consists of the father existed in various cultures throughout history.25 The nuclear family consists of the father existed in various cultures throughout history.25 The nuclear family consists of the father existed in various cultures throughout history.25 The nuclear family consists of the father existed in various cultures throughout history.25 The nuclear family consists of the father existed in various cultures through the fa cousins, and in-laws. As a unit, the nuclear family is prevalent in most low-context, individualistic, small power distance cultures such as the United States, Canada, and northern European cultures such as the United States, Canada, and throughout families are common in Central and South America, Africa, the Middle East, and throughout families are common in Central and South America, Africa, the Middle East, and throughout families are common in Central and South America, Africa, the Middle East, and throughout families are common in Central and South America, Africa, the Middle East, and throughout families are common in Central and South America, Africa, the Middle East, and throughout families are common in Central and South America, Africa, the Middle East, and throughout families are common in Central and South America, Africa, the Middle East, and throughout families are common in Central and South America, Africa, the Middle East, and throughout families are common in Central and
South America, Africa, the Middle East, and throughout families are common in Central and South America, Africa, the Middle East, and throughout families are common in Central and South America, Africa, the Middle East, and throughout families are common in Central and South America, Africa, the Middle East, and throughout families are common in Central and South America, Africa, the Middle East, and throughout families are common in Central and South America, Africa, the Middle East, and throughout families are common in Central and South America, and throughout families are common families are common in Central and South America, and throughout families are common familie Asia, although trends are changing even in these countries. There are clearly exceptions to the list, such as African American and Latino families in the United States, who have a cultural pattern of a strong extended family interaction and kinship networks. 339 © iStockphoto.com/Catherine Yeulet In collectivistic cultures, families are generally cohesive and well integrated. Familial relations are clearly group take precedence over individual family members. Familial role prescriptions are clearly defined not only within the family but also in the larger culturel context. In individualistic cultures, on the other hand, there is less emphasis on individual development. Family decisions may be more participative than in collectivistic cultures. Familial role prescriptions are open and may vary considerably across the cultural context.26 Hmong In the Hmong culture of Laos, the most important sociocultural groups are the family and the clan, both of which are headed by men (i.e., patriarchal). According to Katie Thao, the Hmong clan system combines social, political, economic, and religious dimensions and is the primary guide for Hmong behavior. Within a clan, each person has certain obligations to others. When one shares with fellow clan members, the act is returned. Clan members of the same generation (but of different biological, it is so close that marriages between members of the same clan are seen as almost incestuous, leading to the Hmong practice of clan exogamy.27 In most cultures, the family group is the most valued social structure. 340 Korea Familial role prescriptions, however, differ widely across cultures. For example, two important variables in understanding Korean family structure are family structure. Sungiong Paik, there are only about 250 family names in South Korea and North Korea. In fact, more than half the population uses one of five family names in Korea is Kim. Of all Koreans, 15% use Pak, 5% use Chong. In comparison, in many other countries, such as the United States, literally hundreds of family names are used.28 Many Koreans believe that because of their common family names, they are descended from a common family names, they are descended from a common family names with head offices in Seoul and hundreds of branch offices throughout the country. They publish newsletters, award scholarships, and sponsor sporting events. Paik argues that taejonghoe exercise great influence on Korean social life. For example, one's social status is often determined by membership in a specific family name lineage. Moreover, Paik asserts that many politicians try to use their family names to gain political influence.29 As in many cultures, the family is the foundation of Korean society, family roles and society, family roles and social interaction were governed by patriarchal Confucianism. Hye-On Kim and Siegfried Hoppe-Graff maintain that since the 14th century, patriarchal Confucianism has been the dominant social force in Korea. They point out that Confucianism imposes a rigid hierarchy and his authority is based on the power derived from his status (as male). His relation to his children is emotionally distant and authority based on her emotional competence and who is intimate and affectionate with her children. In traditional Confucian families, children are socialized to conform to traditional gender stereotypes and are segregated by sex. Following the father, the eldest son has the highest social status in the family. Communication in Confucian familial relationships requires the use of a restricted code. In the United States, when speaking to a brother or sister or an aunt or uncle, English speakers use the generic terms brother, sister, aunt, or uncle. Linguistically, one's age or status is irrelevant. In Korean language (i.e., Hangul), the relationship term used to refer to a familial rank, which is based on the sender's familial rank, which is based on the sender's familial rank. iStockphoto.com/imtmphoto As in many cultures, however, family life in Korea is changing. Diane Levande, John Herrick, and Kyu-Taik Sung maintain that while filial piety (i.e., loyalty and obedience to parents, the elderly) remains a central value among Koreans, familial relationships between parents and children are changing from duty and obligation to intergenerational affection. Levande, Herrick, and Sung argue that Korean families are moving away from the authoritarian and reciprocal patterns of mutual support between generations.32 Kim and Hoppe-Graff agree, noting that urban families in Korea typically include family members from only two generations, that marriages are generally no longer arranged, and that the father's role is based on his ability to provide for the family because of her involvement with the children's education. In addition more women are employed now than before. The employment rate for South Korean women (ages 15–64) has increased from 9% in 1960 to just over 53% in 2011.33 Israel compared with the cultures discussed already, Israel is relatively young, having been 342 established as a state in 1948. Like the other cultures profiled here, Israel is considered a family-centered society. According to the Israeli Central Bureau of Statistics, family in Israel is defined as "two or more persons who share the same household and are related to one another as husband and wife, or as an unmarried couple, and the unmarried couple, an point out that Israel is unique in that a strong family orientation is a formal part of Israeli social policy. They point out that throughout much of Israeli social policy. They point out that throughout much of Israeli social policy. two state programs provide housing assistance for families with children, and various child support and child health programs have been established for families.35 There are about 1.73 million families as Jewish and 16% as Arab Israelis (these numbers do not include the occupied territories). Among the Jewish population, the average 2.9 children per household. More than half of Israeli families comprise two parents and children under the age of 17. Although the majority of Israeli couples are married (i.e., 97%), recent years have seen a sizable increase in the number of single-parent families. Since 2000, there has been a 63% increase in the number of single-parent families. 2010, about 400,000 were households without a family unit—that is, persons living alone.36 Mosuo The Mosuo are one of China's microcultural nationalities. They have a population of about 400,000 and live between the Yongning basin of Ninglang County in Sichuan Province. In recent years, the Mosuo have become the focus of national and international attention (much of it distorted) because they follow the matriineal family principle of descent, are thought to be matriarchal, and practice zou hun—sometimes called "walking" or "visiting" matriages. To be sure, controversy surrounds the Mosuo because of the exaggerated claims made by popular media and attempts by others, including the Chinese government, to attract tourist dollars to this economically underdeveloped region of China. Two scholars who have tried to accurately describe the Mosuo family structure are Professor Tiplut Nongbri of Jawaharla Nehru University and Professor Eileen Rose Walsh of Skidmore College.37 Walsh argues that while Mosuo society is matrilineal, in that many household heads are women, nearly one third of households are headed by men. She also argues that the term household head is misunderstood because the Mosuo believe that all adults, both men and women, should have a say in decision-making. 343 Perhaps one of the most intriguing dimensions of the Mosuo family structure is the idea of the "walking" or "visiting" marriage. Nongbri writes that in Mosuo culture, the primary function of marriage is to satisfy the individual's emotional and biological families rather than with each other, while raising their offspring. In fact, the father does not take any responsibility for the children. The terms walking marriage or visiting the mother only at night, engaging in sexual relations with her, and leaving early in the morning. Nongbri emphasizes that essentially no social or economic commitments are attached to the relationship. Any and all offspring are in the custody of the mother. 38 According to Nongbri, the biological father plays no part in rearing the children. And, she observes, the Mosuo house to the relationship. contains little or no physical or symbolic representations of the father. Moreover, the verbal code of Mosuo culture contains no words for paternal relatives, such as the father's brother, fat address for the father and other paternal relatives are borrowed from other Chinese dialects or the terms used for the mother's relatives are applied to them. Nongbri is careful to point out that although women benefit from having full rights over their children and practice marital and sexual independence, such power is restricted to domestic contexts. Within the public/political context of Mosuo culture, power is unequally distributed to men.39 Kenya is a multi-ethnic state in the Great Lakes region of East Africa, situated on the equator on Africa's east coast. Kenya is home to nearly 46 million people. The two major
official languages are Swahili and English. The country has at least 40 different ethnic African groups, including Kikuyu, Luhya, Luo, Kalenjin, Kamba, Kisii, Meru, and other African Asian, European, and Arab groups. Just over 80% of Kenyans are Christian, with approximately 12% Muslim. The life expectancy is 64 years, but this may be inaccurate due to excessive mortality due to AIDS, which results in lower life expectancy. HIV/AIDS is one of Kenya's most significant public health challenges. Hence, HIV/AIDS plays a significant role in family life in Kenya and has conducted a number of surveys of Kenyans, specifically focusing on familial issues. When reporting his results, Professor Mburugu is careful to point out that Kenya is a land of contrasts given its diverse ethnic population, so his results should be interpreted without overgeneralizing. Mburugu asserts that while contemporary Kenyan society is in transition, traditional Kenya is a land of contrasts given its diverse ethnic population. (the practice of tracing descent through the father's line), patriarchy (a family that is controlled by a man or a group of men), and polygyny (the practice of having more than one wife or female mate at a time).41 Mburugu notes that an important part of marriage in Kenya is the phenomenon of bridewealth, in which money or some form of payment is the phenomenon of bridewealth, in which money or some form of payment is the phenomenon of bridewealth, in which money or some form of payment is the phenomenon of bridewealth. passed from the groom's family to the bride. In Mburugu's study, 90% of respondents indicated that some form of bridewealth was being paid, which came in the form of money, cows, goats, sheep, clothing, sugar, beer, honey, milk, bread, and even salt. declined considerably over the past few years and that divorce and cohabitation among Kenyans, especially urban Kenyans, is on the rise. In fact, divorce would be higher were it not for the prohibitive legal costs associated with the process. Indeed, the number of children affected directly by divorce has risen over the past few decades, from about 82,000 in 1997 to 208,000 in 2011. The Kenya newspaper Daily Nation reports that as many as 30% of all births in Kenya in 2010 were to unmarried parents living at the same address, many of whom were cohabiting. Yet cohabiting couples are more likely to split up, and one in three cohabiting parents separate before their child's fifth birthday, compared to about one in 10 who are married.42 Another familial phenomenon widely practiced in Kenya is hypergamy, which is when a woman marries a man of higher status than her, although this is certainly not unique to Kenya. Mburugu notes that high-status urban women may find it difficult to marry because they may desire to be free of the patriarchal control, they may fear losing face by marrying a man of lower status, or they may be too old to compete with younger women who have yet to establish their high-status credibility.43 Violence and abuse, 40% report sexual abuse, 64% report verbal abuse, and 54% report emotional abuse. Moreover, 43% claimed the abuse was ongoing, while 53% reported that the abuse of women is not just by their husbands or partners, but is often committed by their mothers and fathersin-law.44 Sex and Gender Groups One group to which every human being belongs, regardless of culture, is determined by biological sex. Biological differences between males and females are universally recognized. But like any other role, one's sex role, or gender, is a set of expectations about how one should behave. 345 sex role A prescribed set of behaviors assigned to different sexes The terms are not synonymous. Bem has done considerable research on sex roles. Like others, Bem recognizes that sex refers to the biological and anatomical classifications of males and females. Gender, on the other hand, is a social and symbolic creation we learn through enculturation. That is, most cultures establish norms and expectations that they assign people on the basis of their biological sex. According to Bem, our sex role orientation (i.e., gender) is based on the extent to which we internalize our culture's sex; masculinity and femininity. People are born into a sex group but learn to become masculine or feminine. The meaning of gender stems from the particular culture's value system In most cases, there is a high correlation between biological sex and sex role orientation. In other words, most people assume the gender roles their culture prescribes. We are taught by our parents, teachers, and peers how we should behave, based on our culture's standards. Boys are taught to be masculine (however the culture defines it), and girls are expected to act feminine, they are saying that the majority of people taking on this role should be male (for the former) and female (for the latter). Gender, then, can be defined as the behavioral, cultural, and psychological traits typically associated with one's sex. To be sure, as Bem notes, this is not to say that a person of a certain sex cannot fulfill the other role but, rather, that the characteristics of the person assuming the role are associated with that sex. Indeed, some people possess both feminine and masculine traits. These persons may be classified as androgynous, a term that combines andro, meaning male/masculine, and gyne, meaning woman.45 The value associated with masculinity and femininity seems to vary across cultures (see Table 6.1). In his seminal cross-cultural survey of more than 50 countries, Dutch sociologist Geert Hofstede asked participants to rate the value of masculinity. According to Hofstede, masculinity stands for a society in which social gender roles are clearly distinct. In masculine cultures, men are conditioned to be assertive, tough, and focused on material success. In these same cultures, women are conditioned to be modest, tender, and concerned with the quality of life. Hofstede argues that feminine-oriented cultures see sex role expectations, they are often negatively sanctioned. Some researchers have argued that collectivistic cultures are more traditional than individualistic cultures and are more likely to punish persons who violate cultures stress interdependence, 347 prescribe clear role expectations, and value conforming to the needs of the group. Violating one's role prescription (including sex role) disrupts the harmony of the group. Individualistic cultures, on the other hand, value independence, self-expression, and the pursuit of individualistic cultures. Therefore, when an individualistic cultures a cultural sex role expectation, it is likely to be tolerated more in an individualistic culture than in a collectivistic culture.47 348 Student Voices Across Cultures: Studying Abroad in Italy Abbie Hausman I'm Abbie Hausman I'm a graphic design major and Spanish minor from southeastern Wisconsin, and during my spring semester junior year, I studied abroad in Florence, Italy Despite how wonderful the overall experience proved to be, one thing I grew quite tired of is how differently Americans (especially students) are treated while in Florence as opposed to other Europeans. Typically, an attitude comes from Italians when speaking with or even nonverbally interacting with American students, a lack of patience is visible, and young American women are often in another situation on top of those. The city is packed with students, and it is typical of Italians to keep to themselves rather than converse deeply with strangers of other cultures, somewhat unlike what I have experienced here in the United States. ignoring American students in the city, as they frequently acted annoyed when they came into contact with students. We would try to speak to a local in what little Italian we could manage and, knowing we were foreign students, the local would often look at us annoyed and in perfect English respond with quite an attitude of reluctance to help us. This obviously didn't happen all the time, as there were plenty of very friendly Italians willing to cater to all the visitors. But aside from seeming irritated with us, a clear lack of patience was typically visual in cases like this as well, something we didn't see with all Italians since it sometimes seemed as if they had all day to get something done. But often if a young American was asking for something, many Italians would be in a hurry or unable to help. One has her own interesting place in society as a woman in Italy as well. Italy has been known for its "outgoing" men and dramatic romanticism for ages, and that part does not change if you're an Italian 349 woman or an American. Young American women are frequently targets of jeers or hisses and more physical contact than we may be used to in the United States, given our spatial boundaries. Here in the United States, a woman doesn't typically expect a catcall walking down the street in very modest clothing in the middle of the day, whereas in Italy that is completely normal. Though I often found it a bit annoying, I was not bothered by it nearly as much as some of my peers were, simply because most of these men move on almost instantly if they are ignored. However, from what I noticed, the Italians in touristy areas also tend to pick out the American women simply because we react to it, making us much more fun to interact with, I'm sure, whereas many Italian women don't give these men the time of day. Gender Stereotypes In most cultures, men and women carry out different sex roles, yet there is remarkable consistency in how cultures view the roles of men and women. Beginning in 1990, John Williams and Deborah Best, professors of psychology at Wake Forest University, conducted a series of cross-cultural studies investigating gender stereotypes. Williams and Best asked university students in more than 30 countries to consider a list of 300 adjectives and to indicate whether in their culture the adjectives
were more frequently associated with men, with women, or equally with both. The responses of the participants in each country were tallied to determine the frequency with which each adjectives are presented in Table 6.2. 350 The countries surveyed included Canada, Finland, England, Italy, Pakistan, Malaysia, Nigeria, Singapore, India, Japan, the Netherlands, Venezuela, Germany, the United States, New Zealand, Peru, Australia, South Africa, and Brazil. Williams and Best also scored each of the adjectives in terms of its affective meaning (i.e., its favorability, strength, and activity). They found that the characteristics associated with men were generally stronger (e.g., robust) than those associated with women but that the adjectives were equally favorable for both men and women. Williams and Best also concluded that the male stereotypes were more favorable than the female stereotypes in 351 certain countries, such as Japan, South Africa, and Nigeria, whereas the female stereotypes were more differentiated in Protestant countries than in Catholic countries.48 In a related study, Williams and Best surveyed 5- and 8-year-old children in 25 countries. In this study, the children were shown silhouettes of a man and a woman and were asked to select between the silhouettes which ones were described in brief stories. For example, children were asked to select "the person who gets into the most fights" or "the person who cries a lot." Their results indicate that the children in each culture showed at least a beginning knowledge of the adult stereotypes. The stories most frequently associated with the male figures were those involving the strong, aggressive, and cruel characteristics, whereas the stories associated with women were emotional, weak, and softhearted. Pakistani children seemed to show the most developed gender stereotypes, and Brazilian children.49 352 Sex and Gender Roles Across Cultures The variability of sex roles across cultures is dramatic. But many anthropologists and some feminist writers contend that although the customs and practices with which women's subordination is expressed differ from culture to culture, the secondary status of women across the globe is one of the few universal cross-cultural truisms. No book or chapte could possibly describe all the sex role differences between males and females across all cultures. The discussion that follows is a selection of cultures and their sex roles, with special attention given to women's roles. Japan Sumiko Iwao has written a fascinating book portraying the role of women in contemporary Japan. Iwao notes that the "kimono clad, bamboo parasol-toting, bowing female walking three paces behind her husband" is still seen in some parts of Japanese women have undergone dramatic changes in the past 30 years. The postwar Japanese Constitution stipulates that all Japanese are equal under the law and outlaws discrimination on the basis of sex. To be sure, however, most private and political organizations that compose the dominant Japanese women enjoy more freedom today than perhaps ever in their history. Yet as Miyoko Ui and Yutaka Matsui note in their 2008 survey of Japanese adults' sex role attitudes, a close look at Japanese society shows very few women in parliament or corporate management positions. Ui and Matsui found that more women than men, carry out household duties and child rearing. In their survey of Japanese adults, Ui and Matsui found that more women than men have egalitarian (i.e., democratic or classless) attitudes toward sex roles, and more men than women have sexist attitudes. Ui and Matsui also point out that such attitudes toward sex roles, whereas older age groups prefer more traditional (i.e., male-dominant) sex roles. Photo 6.6 Single Japanese women enjoy more freedom today than perhaps ever in their history. 353 © iStockphoto.com/TAGSTOCK1 354 To be sure, Iwao remarks that although today's Japanese women are much more outspoken and direct than their mothers, even modern Japanese women are much more outspoken and direct than their mothers, even modern Japanese women are much more outspoken and direct than their mothers, even modern Japanese women are much more outspoken and direct than their mothers, even modern Japanese women are much more outspoken and direct than their mothers, even modern Japanese women are much more outspoken and direct than their mothers, even modern Japanese women are much more outspoken and direct than their mothers, even modern Japanese women are much more outspoken and direct than their mothers, even modern Japanese women are much more outspoken and direct than their mothers, even modern Japanese women are much more outspoken and direct than their mothers, even modern Japanese women are much more outspoken and direct than their mothers, even modern Japanese women are much more outspoken and direct than their mothers, even modern Japanese women are much more outspoken and direct than their mothers, even modern Japanese women are much more outspoken and direct than their mothers, even modern Japanese women are much more outspoken and direct the second are much more outspoken and direct the second are much more outspoken. passivity. Moreover, when asked, many Japanese women acknowledge their fate as a subordinate group. Even the modern Japanese women would find unendurable. Following their collectivistic histories, most Japanese women continue to sacrifice personal goals for the sake of the harmony of the family. Because they have fewer opportunities than men, maintaining interpersonal harmony is of utmost concern for Japanese women.50 India A Reuters poll conducted in 2011 asked more than 200 women's rights experts from five continents to rank the world's countries according to six key risks to women: sexual violence, nonsexual violence, cultural or religious factors, discrimination, lack of access to resources, and trafficking. The results showed that India was the fourth-most dangerous country on the planet for women.51 In his extensive writing on his native India, Laungani indicates that the socialization processes for boys and girls in India differ significantly. To be sure, writes Laungani, boys are privileged—so much so that in impoverished homes, of which there are many, a larger share of food is given to the male children, and they are also fed first by family members. Laungani notes that the birth of a male child is considered a blessing because it ensures the continuation of the family name. Male children are seen as an economic asset and, when married, bring to their family a nice dowry (i.e., obligatory gift from the bride's family, usually money). The birth of a daughter, on the other hand, is seen as a burden. A daughter is seen as an economic liability, and she never really possesses an identity of her own. Laungani has observed that while boys are pampered and accorded privileges, girls are raised on a strict regime. Girls are made aware of the role they are to play, where "verily is virtue and virginity venerated." 52 Margot Duley has also written about women in India. According to Duley, the Preamble of the Indian Constitution guarantees all citizens "equality of status." Unfortunately, writes Duley, legal equality remains elusive for most India is primarily economically based. Most women work in agricultural jobs, but in the past few decades, India has developed a commercial market economy with capitalintensive production. Although laws favoring women's rights have been passed, they have not had much impact. For example, there are no uniform statutes governing marriage and inheritance laws. In most areas, purity and chastity of women are strongly emphasized. Nearly half of all young women marry by the age of 17. The Dowry 355 Prohibition Act of 1961 is largely ignored. The practice of giving a dowry has actually spread in recent years because of the overwhelming pressure on girls to marry. The phenomenon of dowry is a financial arrangement (e.g., currency, goods, or land) a woman brings to the marriage via her family. Dr. Rochona Majumdar, a professor of media studies at the University of Chicago and an expert on India, writes that in India a dowry represents a kind of coercive social standing and reputation of the bride's father. She argues that there is intense pressure on the bride's family, from the groom's family and Indian society in general, to provide an ample dowry to the groom's family. Majumdar notes that failure to meet dowry demands often results in unbelievable punishment for the bride, oftentimes death. death every 90 minutes. In a typical case, the bride is handcuffed to a stove, doused in kerosene, and lit on fire in an effort to make the murder appear accidental. Women also have less access to education than do men, and the women's literacy rate (25%) is less than half that among men. Only 13% of women enroll in high school. Duley writes that because of the large dowries required at marriage, daughters receive poor nutrition and health care and less love and nurturance than their brothers. Essentially, women are seen as an economic liability. In some areas, female feticide, the act of aborting a fetus because it is female, is widely practiced. The Reuters poll indicates that as many as 50 million Indian girls have gone missing over the past century (i.e., an average of 500,000 per year) due to female infanticide and feticide.53 China In X. M. Wang's 1993 monograph, "The Storm of a Divorce," the husband of a female student in China says to his wife, "You're such a good person. You'd be an excellent wife if you weren't studying for your doctorate."54 In a survey of 10,000 Chinese urban men and women, 92% of the men indicated that they wanted a wife who would be aggressive in her career, yet 96% of men desired a virtuous wife who would be aggressive in her career, yet 96% of men desired a virtuous wife who would be aggressive in her career, yet 96% of men desired a virtuous
wife who would be aggressive in her career, yet 96% of men desired a virtuous wife who would be aggressive in her career, yet 96% of men desired a virtuous wife who would be aggressive in her career, yet 96% of men desired a virtuous wife who would be aggressive in her career, yet 96% of men desired a virtuous wife who would be aggressive in her career, yet 96% of men desired a virtuous wife who would be aggressive in her career, yet 96% of men desired a virtuous wife who would be aggressive in her career, yet 96% of men desired a virtuous wife who would be aggressive in her career, yet 96% of men desired a virtuous wife who would be aggressive in her career, yet 96% of men desired a virtuous wife who would be aggressive in her career, yet 96% of men desired a virtuous wife who would be aggressive in her career, yet 96% of men desired a virtuous wife who would be aggressive in her career, yet 96% of men desired a virtuous wife who would be aggressive in her career, yet 96% of men desired a virtuous wife who would be aggressive in her career, yet 96% of men desired a virtuous wife who would be aggressive in her career, yet 96% of men desired a virtuous wife who would be aggressive in her career, yet 96% of men desired a virtuous wife who would be aggressive in her career, yet 96% of men desired a virtuous wife who would be aggressive in her career, yet 96% of men desired a virtuous wife who would be aggressive in her career, yet 96% of men desired a virtuous wife who would be aggressive in her career, yet 96% of men desired a virtuous wife who would be aggressive in her career, yet 96% of men desired a virtuous wife who would be aggressive in her career, yet 96% of men desire equal division of labor as important for the development of equality between men and women.55 The husbands' sentiments are typical of China's long history China has been a feudal society in which the Chinese were under the dictatorial rule of an emperor. About 100 years ago, the Chinese overthrew that system, and about 60 years ago, they established the People's Republic. Since then, the Chinese women's rights. Zhao notes, for example, that the 356 1954 Constitution stipulated the freedom of marriage and stated that every individual has the right to decide his or her own marriage. Moreover, asserts Zhao, the 1950 Marriage Law abolished all feudal forms of marriages. Zhao laments, however, that while the Chinese government has enacted several laws and policies that equate women and men legally and socially, they are of little use in abolishing the long-standing preference of males over females. 56 In her research. Delia Davin notes that such expectations are greater for women than for men. Chinese women, are considered more vulnerable and less capable of dealing with the outside world than are men. Davin reports that women are seen as needing the protection and supervision of their families to preserve their virginity and marriageability.57 Within marriages, Ellen Efron Pimentel points out, the division of household chores has changed in contemporary Chinese culture. According to Pimentel, fewer young women, take on the entire load of domestic work. Ironically, these same Chinese women are increasingly dissatisfied with the division of labor and less happy in their marriages. Pimentel suggests that the push for women's equality in the family has produced a backlash among men, such that younger Chinese men and women seem to be growing further apart. Because marriage equality is linked to separation and divorce, the communication between Chinese men and women within their homes is extremely important. Moreover, notes Pimentel, the road to women's liberation in China remains a long one. Asking women to achieve their own equality in a male-defined, male-Mexico Celina Melgoza Marguez was born in a small town in Guanajuato, Mexico. In addition to her parents, she has four sisters and five brothers. Recently, Marguez published a book about the role of women in Mexico.59 In it, she profiles the status of women and the advances they have made in contemporary Mexico. In terms of population, Mexico has about 110 million people, of which women hold a slight majority. Marquez maintains that the marital status of Mexican people represents the rights and responsibilities of men and women because it reflects the population's social levels. More Mexican people represents the rights and responsibilities of men and women because it reflects the population's social levels. divorce. Historically, the average marrying age of the population was 16 years old for men. 357 The increase in age results from Mexicans pursuing higher education. Marquez says that a Mexican woman's childbearing rate is related to her educational, social, and economic conditions. For example, Marguez notes that in 1976, an average of 5.7 children were born per woman. In 1986, the rate decreased to 3.8; in 1995, to 2.8; and in 2007, to 2.4. Today, Mexican women are more educated than ever. In the past 25 years, the literacy rate of the Mexican population has increased noticeably. In 2004, the literacy rate among men was 92%, and among women, it was 89%. Marquez maintains that males and females used to have specific and separate roles. Traditionally, men were the providers, and women did the domestic work. During the past few years, however, the roles of men and women have changed noticeably. For example, 35 years ago, 17% of women age 12 years and older worked outside the home. By 1995, that number had increased to 35%. Today, about 42% of Mexican women are in the labor force.60 Although women now contribute much in the work world, they also contribute at home within the family. Nearly 93% of women age 12 years and older do domestic work. But, Marguez argues, men and women often work together to maintain the family. As a result, some men do domestic activities while some women work outside the home. household. Few women hold this position. Authority in Mexican society has been held by the men. Men are in charge of the family direction, and women take this responsibility only when the men have left home.61 Israel is the only country in the world with a compulsory military service requirement for women and where women constitute a third of all soldiers and just over half of military officers. To be sure, the Israeli Declaration of Independence guarantees equal rights to all Israeli citizens, regardless of religion, race, or sex.62 But consider the following sequence of events as described by New York Times reporters Ethan Bronner and Isabel Kershner: In the three months since the Israeli Health Ministry awarded a prize to a pediatrics professor for her book on hereditary diseases common to Jews, her experience at the awards ceremony has become a rallying cry. The professor, Channa Maayan, knew that the acting health minister, who is ultra-Orthodox, and other religious people would be in attendance. So she wore a long-sleeve top and a long skirt. But that was hardly enough. Not only did Dr. Maayan and her husband have to accept the award for her because women were not permitted on stage.63 358 Bronner and Kershner describe similar instances, including the barring of women from speaking at Israeli professional organizations; a case in which ultra-Orthodox men spat on an 8-year-old girl whom they considered immodestly dressed; and the case that had ultraOrthodox protesters carry posters depicting the Jerusalem police chief as Adolf Hitler because he had instructed public bus drivers, whose buses allowed mixed-sex seating, to drive through ultra-Orthodox neighborhoods. Also, in some Jerusalem neighborhoods vandals routinely black out women's faces on advertising billboards. 64 Bronner and Kershner note that while Israeli women are guaranteed equal rights under Israeli law, certain fundamental religious groups reject such rights—so much so that a new term, hadarat nashim, meaning the exclusion of women, has become common in the Israeli sociopolitical dialogue. At the center of the conflict is Haredi, an ultra-Orthodox Jewish microcultural group of about 1 million Israeli citizens. This group is one of many factions of Orthodox Judaism that reject contemporary, secular Israeli culture. Most male members are physically distinguished by their long black coats, wide-brimmed hats, beards, and side locks. of head covering, such as a scarf, hat, or wig, Regarding the sexes. Haredi prescribe strict rules prohibiting or restricting interaction between men and women, boys and girls. Some men, for example, will not look directly at women other than their wives. Young boys and girls attend separate schools. And while the Israeli Supreme Court ruling has banned sex-segregated seating on buses, many private and public bus lines, especially those that run through traditional neighborhoods, ignore the ban and allow men to sit in the front of the bus and force women to the back. Some Haredi publications will not publish photographs of women. According to a British Broadcasting Corporation report, in 2009 the Haredi newspaper Yated Ne'eman digitally altered photographs of the newly elected Israeli cabinet members and replaced two female ministers with photos of men.65 Saudi Arabia, Eman is the daughter of a Saudi Arabia, Eman is the daughter of a Saudi Arabia blogger. Born in Taif, Saudi Arabia blogger. Born in Taif, Saudi Arabia, Eman Al-Nafjan is a Saudi Arabia, Eman is the daughter of a Saudi Arabia, Eman digitally altered photographs of the newly elected Israeli cabinet members and replaced two female ministers with photos of men.65 Saudi Arabia, Eman digitally altered photographs of the newly elected Israeli cabinet members and replaced two female ministers with photos of men.65 Saudi Arabia, Eman digitally altered photographs of the newly elected Israeli cabinet members and replaced two female ministers with photos of men.65 Saudi Arabia, Eman digitally altered photographs of the newly elected Israeli
cabinet members and replaced two female ministers with photos of men.65 Saudi Arabia, Eman digitally altered photographs of the newly elected Israeli cabinet members and replaced two female ministers with photos of men.65 Saudi Arabia, Eman digitally altered photographs of the newly elected Israeli cabinet members and replaced two female ministers with photos of men.65 Saudi Arabia, Eman digitally altered photographs of the newly elected Israeli cabinet members and replaced two female ministers with photos of men.65 Saudi Arabia, Eman digitally altered photographs digitally in the United States. She is now a professor of linguistics at a university in Saudi Arabia. For nearly a decade, she has been blogging as Saudiwoman, writing about social and cultural issues in Saudi Arabia, specifically focusing on women and sex roles in Saudi Arabia. she writes, "There are many misconceptions in the West about what life is like for women in Saudi Arabia— perhaps as many misconceptions (in the United States) of Saudi women are cultivated by U.S. media. In her analysis of U.S. media portrayals of Saudi women, Smeeta Mishra notes that the overwhelming majority of published articles on Saudi women portray them as 359 oppressed and passive victims of Islamic laws.67 To be sure, Al-Nafjan recognizes that Saudi Arabia is a sex-segregated country, where Saudi cultural traditions mandate sex segregation in both public and private life. Women are not allowed to drive, they must dress in such a way that they are almost completely covered up when they appear in public.68 As Al-Lily notes, Saudi banks and universities have separate entrances for men and women;

restaurants and public transportation are segregated; and unrelated men and women are forbidden from communicating socially, except in professional contexts, where they are expected to be kept to a minimum.69 But Al-Nafjan asserts that most Saudi women live very comfortable lives. She points to the fact that most marriages are arranged such that most women have a respectable husband, that most women have a driver to take them places, and that most women have servants and an extended family that provide financial and cultural developments in Saudi Arabia have created new opportunities for women. For example, in 2005, and that most women have a driver to take them places, and that most women have a driver to take them places. King Abdullah and U.S. President George W. Bush signed an agreement that has allowed thousands of Saudi students, both male and female, to study overseas since that time. Lefdahl-Davis and Perrone-McGovern report that in 2015 there were over 17,000 Saudi women in the United States on Saudi government scholarships and that women comprise 22% percent of the total number of Saudi students in the United States.71 Much has been written about Saudi Arabia being a gender-segregated country where, in public, Saudi women are required to wear either head coverings (i.e., hijab) or full body coverings (i.e., burga). But Tawfiq and Paff-Ogle maintain that these restrictive dress conventions for Saudi women do not apply in private settings. Recall from Chapter 4 that Muslim homes are designed for public, semipublic, and private hospitality. Public hospitality is typically achieved by reserving the public spaces in the home for entertaining and meeting with their female friends and relatives. Private hospitality space is reserved for family members and immediate family relatives. Tawfiq and Paff-Ogle point out that Saudi women participate in a wide range of social contexts with other women within the semipublic home places, at gender-segregated schools, workplaces, shopping centers, weddings, and holiday celebrations. In contrast to their public sphere dress, Saudi women's private sphere dress, Saudi women's private sphere dress. Tawfiq and Paff-Ogle observe that Western television programs and films, as well as the Internet, have had a significant influence on Saudi women's dress preferences. Specifically, they note that while traditional dress is valued by many Saudi women, it was largely reserved for holidays and special occasions. On a daily basis, Westernized dress is preferred.72 In December 2015, for the first time in Saudi history, women were allowed to vote. Not 360 only were they allowed to vote, but nearly 1,000 women also ran for office. According to Human Rights Watch, during the election women faced a number of barriers in registration centers, but only one third were for women. Moreover, the voting places for women were often far from where they lived, making it difficult for them to get there because women are not allowed to drive. Saudi women also faced problems proving their identity via residency because women do not own property. Women had to prove their relationship with the property owner, such as through a family identification, but a male guardian usually holds that. Hence, if a woman's male guardian does not want her to vote, he can prevent it.73 361 Student Voices Across Cultures: Women's Roles in Saudi Arabia, I was the first Saudi female at my college. The students, faculty, and staff welcomed me and took into consideration our cultural differences. At the same time, most of the people who first met me would ask questions about a woman's role in Saudi Arabia. They were mostly curious if it was true that women have no voice or opinions. Others would ask if it is hard to move to the United Sates due to the cultures being so different. As the conversations continued, people who first met me would ask if it is hard to move to the United Sates due to the cultures being so different. frequently asked if I wanted to go back to Saudi Arabia or stay in the United States. I saw many surprised faces when they learned that I wanted to finish my schooling first and then go back to Saudi Arabia. I chose that because, in my country, I have respect and my needs will be guaranteed. 362 Unlike the stereotype that women are not respected and cannot make any choices for themselves in Saudi Arabia, I feel I have more respect than what many Westerners think—just as any mother, wife, or daughter in other families. Take the example of my moving to the United States. My family respects that I am a grown adult, and when I decided to study in the United States, they considered my choice and let me come alone. These stereotypes are probably the result of some of Saudi Arabia's laws. It is true that many laws are restrictive toward women, such as driving or checking into a hotel without a male guardian. I cannot travel out of Saudi Arabia. I traveled with my dad the week I got married, but they did not let me go without his permission. However, it is not how it seems. Regarding driving, most families in Saudi Arabia have drivers who drive women wherever they want to go. Otherwise, the father or brothers are more than happy to give rides. Another example would be checking into a hotel. I still remember the day when I traveled to Medina in the western part of Saudi Arabia. The receptionist told me that I could not check in without a male family member and that he was sorry for that. Because of the law, I was informed, I had to wait for my family to arrive before I could check in and get comfortable in the hotel after a long day of travel. Even though there are some restrictions on women, my life is guaranteed. I have a place to live regardless of whether I am working, or poor. Therefore, in any case, I have someone who will be responsible for me with love and a family orientation. Some critics argue that many of the recent advances for women in Saudi Arabia are due to the average Saudi citizen can observe the rest of the world for themselves rather than the distorted version depicted by the religious and political establishment. Eman Al-Nafjan concludes, "As a result, more and more Saudis are thinking for themselves and no longer blindly follow the ultraconservative religious establishment that has controlled the educational, judicial and cultural factions of government since the 1970s." 74 363 Chapter Summary Our lives are inextricably tied to our group memberships and the roles we play. How we see ourselves and how our culture treats us is based on the total accumulation of roles we assume. They represent our social standing in our culture. This chapter has focused on the sociorelational context of intercultural communication— that is, the group memberships we assume. All of us belong to any number of groups. Groups to which we belong are called membership groups. Some of these are voluntary, whereas others are involuntary, whereas others are involuntary, whereas others are involuntary. Voluntary in-groups often serve as a standard by which we judge ourselves. As group members, we assume roles that define with whom, about what, and how we communicate with others. Some of our roles are formal, whereas others are informal. Regardless, roles are a set of expectations that, if violated, are subject to negative sanctions. Roles are a set of expectations that, if violated are subject to negative sanctions. roles. To be competent communicators across cultures, we must understand the expectations of the roles we assume in our native culture may be quite different in other cultures. 364 edge.sagepub.com/neuliep7e SAGE edge offers a robust online environment featuring an impressive array of free tools and resources for review, study, and further exploration, keeping both instructors and students on the cutting edge of teaching and learning. 365 Discussion Questions 1. List the number of groups to which you now belong. Include both voluntary and involuntary groups. 2. How do the groups you listed affect the way you think, feel, and act in your society? 3. What is your role within these groups? 4. How does society perceive the groups to which you belong? Favorably or unfavorably? In other words, by virtue of your membership in these groups? 4. How does society perceive the groups to which you belong? Favorably or unfavorably? In other words, by virtue of your membership in these groups? 4. How does society perceive the groups to which you belong? Favorably or unfavorably? In other words, by virtue of your membership in these groups? Sociorelational Context 1. You are a member of a sales team that is opening accounts in Egypt and Saudi Arabia. You are to send a representative from your company to Egypt and Saudi Arabia. You are to send a representative from your company to Egypt and Saudi Arabia. knows and understands the company products well. Should you send her or Tom Smith, the salesperson who has been with the company for 18 years but isn't exactly the best salesperson? Being male, he would probably have better success in both of these countries. Sending Susan might insult your new partners. What will you do? 2. Mino Choi, a friend of yours from South Korea, is an international student at your college/university. He's a senior and will graduate with you this year. In a recent conversation, you asked Mino what he's going to do after he graduates and returns to Korea. You are curious as to what kind of job and career he will pursue. When you asked Mino what he's going to do after he graduates and returns to Korea. father will make that decision for him. What do you think of that idea? Would you be comfortable having your father make such decisions about your life? 367 Developing Intercultural Communication is that it is a group phenomenon experienced by individuals. Especially during our initial encounters with people from cultures different from our own, we tend to see the Saudi guy rather than Ahmed, or the
Japanese woman rather than Michiko. To an extent, this is fine and appropriate because Ahmed is from Saudi Arabia and Michiko is Japanese, and those data provide us with valuable information by which to reduce uncertainty and interact appropriately. But to become competent interactants and develop meaningful relationships with others, we need to recognize not only the other person's group memberships but also his or her unique individuality. We need to learn how to tailor our messages to that person's cultural and individual identity. 2. With the previous strategy (#1) in your mind, think about your own group memberships and how they affect your verbal and nonverbal communication style. member of a group first; therefore, their impression of you is limited and based on generalizations. So be patient with others as they try to get to know you. Intercultural communication is a two-person process. 3. Be mindful of the variety of roles you play and especially their prescriptions (i.e., with whom, about what, and how you communicate), differ considerably across cultures. So what it means to be a student in another person's culture. Take note of how your vocabulary changes and how your nonverbal communication is adjusted as you shift from your role as student to your role as friend. 368 Key Terms gender 207 in-group 193 involuntary membership groups 192 involuntary membership groups 192 nonmembership groups 192 nonmembership groups 192 nonmembership groups 192 involuntary membership groups 192 involuntary membership groups 192 involuntary membership groups 192 nonmembership groups 192 involuntary membership groups 192 invol sociorelational context 192 voluntary membership groups 192 voluntary nonmembership groups 192 369 Americans of all ages, all conditions, and all dispositions form associations ... associations of a thousand different kinds—religious, moral, serious, futile, extensive, or restricted, enormous, or diminutive. —Alexis de Tocqueville1 370 7 The Verbal Code 371 © iStockphoto.com/shironosov 372 Learning Objectives 1. 2. 3. 4. Explain the relationship between language and culture Explain what makes the structure of the human language and culture Explain what makes the structure of the human language and culture Explain what makes the structure of the human language and culture Explain what makes the structure of the human language and culture Explain what makes the structure of the human language and culture Explain what makes the structure of the human language and culture Explain what makes the structure of the human language and culture Explain what makes the structure of the human language and culture Explain what makes the structure of the human language and culture Explain what makes the structure of the human language and culture Explain what makes the structure of the human language and culture Explain what makes the structure of the human language and culture Explain what makes the structure of the human language and culture Explain what makes the structure of the human language and culture Explain what makes the structure of the human language and culture Explain what makes the structure of the human language and culture Explain what makes the structure of the human language and culture Explain what makes the structure of the human language and culture Explain what makes the structure of the human language and culture Explain what makes the structure of the human language and culture Explain what makes the structure of the human language and culture Explain what makes the structure of the human language and culture Explain what makes the structure of the human language and culture Explain what makes the structure of the human language and culture explain what makes the structure of the human language and culture explain what makes the structure of the human language and culture explain what makes the structure of the human language and culture explain what makes the structure explain what makes the structure explain what makes the structure explain wh versus contextual styles, and elaborate, exacting, and succinct styles of language use across cultures 5. Cogently discuss and compare sex differences in verbal language may be the most distinguishing feature that separates humans from the rest of the living beings on the planet. Our ability to put thoughts into a code to communicate with someone else empowers us beyond imagination. Other living beings are larger, stronger, faster, and smaller, but no other living being has the capacity for language. Because of their capacity for language, humans have become the most powerful living beings on Earth. The purpose of this chapter is to explore the idea of language and how it varies across cultures. This chapter outlines the relationship between language and culture by first exploring the Sapir–Whorf hypothesis. The second part of the chapter outlines the fundamental structure of language, including a discussion of the concept of a universal grammar that applies to all languages. The third part of this chapter looks at universals of language that are shared across cultures, including a look at elaborate and restricted codes and cross-cultural comparisons of language style The fifth part examines sex differences in language among native speakers of Japanese, Mandarin Chinese, and Hindi. Finally, the chapter closes with a look at various dialects across the United States. 373 The Relationship Between Language and Culture Linguist and cultural anthropologist Zdenek Salzmann points out that, historically, anthropologists and linguists often grouped language, culture, and race together as though any one of them automatically implied the other two. Contemporary linguistic anthropologists generally agree, however, that culture, race, and language he or she will speak. As we saw in Chapter 5, however, the language of a particular culture and the thought processes of its people are related.2 Sapir-Whorf Hypothesis In 1929, anthropologist and linguist Edward Sapir published a paper in the journal Language that changed the face of the study of language and culture. Sapir's thesis was that the language of a particular culture directly influences how people think. In the paper, he wrote, The network of cultural patterns of a civilization is indexed in the language which expresses that civilization is indexed in the paper, he wrote, The network of cultural patterns of a civilization is indexed in the language which expresses that civilizatio which has become the medium of expression for their society.3 Sapir continued to argue that the ways people perceive the world around them, including their natural and social environments, are essentially dictated by their language. In fact, Sapir argued that the speakers of different languages see different worlds. one of his students, Benjamin Whorf.4 Whorf was persuaded by Sapir's writings and further developed this line of thought. In 1940, Whorf wrote, The background linguistic system (in other words the grammar) of each language is not merely a reproducing instrument for voicing ideas but rather is itself the shaper of ideas.... We dissect nature along lines laid down by our native languages. Moreover, he continued, No two languages are ever sufficiently similar to be considered as representing the same world with different labels attached.5 374 Like Sapir, Whorf believed that the people who speak different languages are directed to different types of observations; therefore, they are not equivalent as observers and must arrive at somewhat different views of the world.6 Sapir and Whorf's ideas received a great deal of attention and have become well known as the Sapir-Whorf hypothesis. The Sapir-Whorf hypothesis delineates two principles. One is the principle of linguistic determinism, which is articulated in the previous first two quotes and posits that the way one thinks is determined by the language one speaks. Taken at its extreme, this principle means that if we don't have a word for it, then we can't think about it. The second is the principle of linguistic relativity, which is articulated in the third quote by Whorf and asserts that the world's languages are all very differences among languages are reflected in the differences among
languages are reflected in the world's languages are reflected in the differences among languages are reflected in the world's langu and we believe that the world's languages are all very different, then the speakers of two different languages must think very differently. This could render effective and successful intercultural communication extremely difficult, if not impossible. The well-known linguist Steven Pinker maintains that the Sapir–Whorf hypothesis may be overstated and that if the principle of linguistic determinism were valid, speakers of one language would find it impossible, or at least extremely difficult, to think in a particular way that comes naturally to speakers of another reason why Pinker believes that language does not determine thought is because people consistently create new language. forms, a topic we will review in this chapter.8 Today, most linguists, like Pinker, believe that the reason the vocabulary and grammar of a particular languages reflect nonverbal elements of culture. In other words, the geographic, climatic, kinesic, spatial, and proxemic aspects of a culture are emphasized and accented in a culture's language. Salzmann notes, for example, that in Pintupi (one of the aboriginal languages of Australia), there are at least 10 words designating various kinds of holes. Mutara is the hole left by a monitor lizard afternation afternation of a monitor lizard afterna it has broken the surface after hibernation. Moreover, linguists believe that the syntactic features of a language influence how speakers of English use the personal pronoun you whether they are addressing one or several children, adults, old persons, subordinates, or individuals much superior in rank to themselves. Other languages operate differently. When addressing someone, speakers of Dutch, French, German, Italian, Russian, and Spanish must choose between the "familiar" personal pronoun and/or the corresponding verb form. In English, the word teacher refers to a person who teaches, whether it is a man or a woman. In German, Lehrer is the masculine form of teacher and Lehrerin is the feminine form. In this way, speakers of Dutch, French, German, and Italian may be more conscious of the 375 status differences between them and another person because their language requires them to use words designating the power differential.9 Therefore, most linguists now believe that the users of a particular language may emphasize. 376 The Structure Of Human Language Regarding the principle of linguistic relativity, no one is arguing that of the 4,000 or 5,000 languages spoken across the planet, they are all exactly alike. But many linguists believe that they are more alike than they are different. All languages consist of a systematic set of sounds, combined with a set of rules, for the sole purpose of creating meaning and communicating.10 Any and all human languages are made up of a set of sounds. These sounds are represented symbolically in the language's alphabet. In English, for example, there are about 40 sounds represented in an alphabet of 26 letters. The Korean script, called Hangul, consists of 16 consonants—of which there are five basic forms—and 10 vowels. The Hebrew alphabet of 26 letters (five of which have a different form when they appear at the end of a word) consists entirely of consonants. The language is written from right to left without vowels. Thus, the word kelev (i.e., dog) appears as the Hebrew equivalents of, from right to left, k, l, and v. If you are not familiar with Hebrew, it is impossible to know how to pronounce a word from the way it is written.11 Figure 7.1 Kanji Examples languages Systematic sets of sounds, combined with sets of rules, for the sole purpose of communicating The written form of Japanese consists of three major alphabets. A fourth alphabet, called kanji, is a Romanization using English letters. All four alphabets are used simultaneously in any given piece of writing. The first alphabet, called kanji, consists of more than 5,000 borrowed characters from Chinese. The word kanji means "Chinese characters used in written Japanese. Each kanji characters varies. For an example, see the characters used in written Japanese. Each kanji characters used in written Japanese. Ea Figure 7.1. To be able to read a Japanese newspaper, you would need to know and understand at least 2,000 basic characters. Moreover, you would have to learn the different readings or compounds of two or more kanji. There are more than 4,000 kanji compounds. The Japanese also have two phonetic alphabets, called hiragana and katakana. Hiragana consists 377 of 46 characters. These are phonetic sounds that make up the kanji and are used to change verb tenses. The katakana also consists of 46 sounds. The katakana also consists of 46 sounds that make up the kanji and are used to change verb tenses. letters of a language's alphabet are symbols representing sounds, called phonemes are the smallest unit of sound in a language. For example, in English the letter a represents the sound "ah," and the letter a represents the sound "ah," and the letter a represent a the sound "ah," linguists call morphemes, the smallest units of sound that has meaning. When coarticulated, the sounds "ka," "ah," and "tee" create the word/morpheme cat. phonemes Smallest units of sound; combinations of phonemes Smallest units of sound that has meaningful uni sounds and their accompanying alphabet. That is, there is no intrinsic or immanent relationship between the symbol c and the "see" sound, the a and the "see" sound, or the t and the "see" sound, or the t and the "see" sound is completely random and arbitrary. Likewise, there is no natural relationship between the symbol c and the "see" sound is completely random and arbitrary. words, there is no natural or necessary relationship between the word cat and that fuzzy little animal is called gato; in Japanese, neko; and in French, chat. Each of the different languages uses a different set of sounds to refer to the tone. Besides these four basic tones, there are some variations of the tones, such as third-tone sandhi and neutral tone. Here are examples of the four tones (Chinese character, tone, and English translation): 妈 (mā) horse 骂 (mà) to curse somebody 379 Although the letters and the sound systems of any two languages may be different, the function of an alphabet is the same across languages—to symbolize sound. A symbol, then, is an arbitrarily selected and learned stimulus representing something else.13 The ability to represent sounds with behaviors, as when a dog learns to sit when you say "sit." But they can do so only at a very rudimentary level. Animals do not understand the concept of democracy or freedom, for example. Syntax and Universal Grammar, is called syntax. Through syntax, sentences are generated. Through syntax, sound and meaning are connected. Noam Chomsky is perhaps the most recognized linguist in the world. Over the past 60 years, Chomsky has developed a fascinating theory about syntax.14 Chomsky contends that although the 5,000 or so languages spoken in the world today appear to be very different (i.e., linguistic relativity), they are, in fact, remarkably similar. Moreover, Chomsky asserts that the language are actually guite trivial. Chomsky maintains that the language are actually guite trivial. growth. Thus, in essence, Chomsky and others are arguing that language is biological.15 To defend his thesis that language is biological, Chomsky and other linguists claim that every normal child is genetically programmed for human language. Just as humans are programmed to walk upright, so are humans brogrammed with universal grammar. In this sense, language is as much a part of the human brain as the thumb is a part of the human brain. equipped with it. But Chomsky points out that humans are not born knowing any specific language, such as English or Spanish. They are born with universal grammar—that is, a deep-seated set of rules (i.e., syntax) that all the languages of the world follow in some way or another. So English and French, German and Italian are all subsets or variations of human language. universal grammar The idea that all languages share a common rule structure or grammar that is innate to human beings, regardless of culture Humans do, however, learn a specific cultural environment in which a particular language. but because of their cultural environment. So if
your parents had given you up for adoption and you were raised by your adoptive parents in Chinese. The commonalities between different languages (e.g., Chinese and Norwegian) are so striking that Chomsky and other linguists are convinced that the fundamental syntax for all languages is universal and that the particular languages of any given culture are simply dialects or subsets of the universal grammar. For example, all languages the world over rely on word order or inflection to convey meaning. English, for example, relies heavily on word order. "The man is in the car" means something very different from "The car is in the man." English also uses inflection to carry meaning. Inflections are changes to words to indicate grammatical relationships such as number, case, gender, tense, and so on. For example, to indicate the plural of something in English, we add the letter s to the end of a word. A single fuzzy little animal is called a "cat," and two fuzzy little animals are called "cats." The language of the Warlpiri people of Australia relies almost exclusively on inflection. The point is that word order. Latin also relies heavily on inflection. The point is that word order and inflection are a part of all languages, as are many other grammatical rules. As linguist Dan Slobin asserts, In a way [language is] like the human face. A human face is very simple—two eyes, a nose, and a mouth. You can draw a simple different face. Yet each face is obviously a human face is obviously a human face. Languages are the same. Each one is obviously a different language, but they're clearly examples of the same kind of system.17 Lila Gleitman puts forward two additional arguments in favor of the universality of language learning proceeds uniformly among children within and across cultures. Chinese children and Norwegian children learn language at the same time ir their development. All normal children begin to use language at about the same time, across cultures. Pinker has observed that sometime around their first birthday, all babies start to understand and use words. At about 18 months, children's vocabulary, across all cultures, increases at a rate of about one word every 2 hours and continues to grow through adolescence. Also at about 18 months, two-word strings appear, and move about. According to Pinker, by the age of 3 years, a child's vocabulary grows dramatically, and he or she can produce fluent grammatical conversation Such sentences, though quite short, illustrate the child's knowledge and competence of the basic structure of language (e.g., appropriate word order). For example, even though he or she 381 has never had a lesson in English grammar, an English gramma children in normal learning settings begin to use complex sentences.19 Gleitman argues that even in cases in which the learning environment changes, children learn language at essentially the same rate. For example, studies indicate that a child's language learning rate is basically unaffected by differences in mothers' speech. Furthermore, deaf and blind children learn language at the same time and rate as children with normal hearing and sight. Gleitman points out that the vocabulary and syntax of sign languages are essentially the same as those of spoken languages. At about the age of 2, deaf children start to put gestures together into elementary two- and three-gesture sentences. By 5 years of age, they are constructing complex, multigesture sentences. In addition, blind children have little trouble acquiring terms that describe visual experiences. Gleitman suggests that because blind children have little trouble acquiring terms that describe visual experiences. appear in blind children's vocabulary. For example, in response to the command "Look up," blind children raise their hands instead of their heads. When they are told that they can "look but not touch," blind children raise their hands instead of their heads. When they are told that they can "look but not touch," blind children raise their hands instead of their heads. enthusiasm.20 The second point supporting the universality of language argument is that children across cultures arguine many linguistic generalizations that experience, children of all cultures are already equipped with an understanding of the basic structure of any human language. Like walking or growing hair, language is encoded into the genetic makeup of normal-functioning human beings. By the age of 3, for example, children cannot do any number of things, such as tie their own shoes, perform mathematical computations, or spell most words; they can, however, construct meaningful sentences in ways that no one has ever taught them.21 Photo 7.1 Humans have a need to communicate. 382 © iStockphoto.com/shironosov Moreover, as Pinker notes, the sentences children create are grammatically correct. Indeed, asserts Pinker, children never make certain mistakes. For example, a child might ask, "What did you eat your eggs and?" which seems to be a straightforward extension of the statement, "I ate ham and eggs." The interesting point here is that no one has ever taught the child not to end sentences with the word and. Pinker and Chomsky argue that children never make such errors because to do so would violate some principle of universal grammar. Another example by Pinker follows. In this example, a language learner who hears the (a) and (b) sentences could quite sensibly extract a general rule that, when applied to the (c) sentence, would violate some principle of universal grammar. one would say. (a) We expect the bird to fly. (b) We expect the bird is expected to fly. (c) The bird is expected to fly. (d) The bird is expected among contemporary linguists. But these linguists also recognize that all human languages are somewhat different. For example, although virtually all languages rely on some form of word order to construct sentences, the word order may vary across languages is subject-verb- object, as in the sentence, "I watch television." In Japanese, however, the order is subject- objectverb, as in the sentence, "I television watch." In addition, Japanese, like other Asian languages, does not contain a grammatical equivalent to plurality, as found in English.23 The Swahili alphabet lacks the letters c, q, and x but contains a number of its own. The letter dh is pronounced like the "th" of this, and gh like the German ch. Whereas English grammatical inflections occur at the end of a word, in Swahili everything is done at the beginning. Kitabu is the Swahili word for "book," but the and the M-Wa class, used mainly to refer to people (e.g., mtu = man, watu = men; mjinga = fool, wajinga = fools). Thus, "one big book" is vitabu vikubwa viwili. Many languages are read from left to right, as in English. Most languages of the Middle East, however, including Arabic, Hebrew, and Persian, are read from right to left. Korean writing differs considerably from most other languages in that the letters of each syllable are grouped together into clusters, as if the English word seldom were written in one of the following ways: SD SEL E O or D O M LM The point here is that although human languages across the globe have much in common, each is unique in some way.24 Photo 7.2 Language acquisition proceeds at a very early age and uniformly among children across cultures. 384 © iStockphoto.com/Henk Badenhorst All human languages have a set of rules that is used to combine the language's sounds into meaningful units. Complex languages exist even in remote parts of the world, where people have yet to be exposed to modern technology and media. Papua, New Guinea, for example, is home to some of the most linguistically diverse country. Among its population of 3 million people, more than 750 languages are spokenabout one-fifth of the total number of languages spoken on Earth. Some of the languages in this country are spoken by fewer than 1,000 people. In his longitudinal work with the Menya people of Papua, New Guinea, Carl Whitehead has found that the Menya use a language as complex as any other language. He argues that one of the most remarkable features of the Menya language is its verb system. Some Menya verbs, according to Whitehead, can have up to five forms. Languages such as Menya are as highly rule-governed as any other language. In this sense, there is no such thing as a primitive language.25 Universals of Language Another reason why so many linguists believe that all languages are remarkably similar. For example, all languages have a way of naming action (such as the English verb). All human languages have some way of stating the negative (e.g., "It is not raining out"), a way to construct interrogatives, and a way of differentiating between singular and plural.26 According to Salzmann, the uniquely human way of communicating via speech shares several other universal features, regardless of culture, race, and particular lexicon. First, Salzmann notes that all human speech is transmitted via a vocal-auditory channel. Conversely, some sounds produced by animals are not received auditorily (e.g., bees have no ears). An important advantage of the vocal-auditory channel for humans is that the rest of the body is left free to carry on other activities. Second, speech sounds are emitted in all directions from their source of origin, making it possible to determine the location of the source. Functionally, this is important because it enables speakers to communicate without necessarily being face to face—for example, from around corners or in the dark. Third, speech is also interchangeable. We are capable of repeating what others say. This is not true of many animal species. Fifth, human speakers are equipped with complete intrapersonal feedback. As speakers, we can hear ourselves and are capable of monitoring our own messages. Sixth, speech is specialized. Human speakers, we can hear ourselves and are capable of monitoring our own messages. about
something that happened 1,000 years ago or project what we think will happen 1,000 years from now. Eighth, what a person may say can be completely false. Ninth, speech is reflexive. We use language to talk about language in addition to their native tongue.27 Generative Grammar Recall that, according to the Sapir-Whorf hypothesis, human thought is restricted by the vocabulary of one's language. Yet one of the most remarkable features of any language's rule structure (i.e., syntax) is that it allows the speakers to generate sentences that have never before been spoken. Chomsky refers to this aspect of language as its generative grammar. From a finite set of sounds and a finite set of rules, speakers of any language can create an infinite number of sentences, many of which have never before been uttered you and spoke the following sentence: "Tonight, I'm having dinner with Adolf Hitler's widow, then she and I are going fishing on the Mississippi River with John Lennon and Karl Marx." That is probably the first time that sentence has ever been spoken/written, yet you understood it.28 386 generative grammar The idea that from a finite set of rules, a speaker of any language can create or generate an infinite number of sentences, many of which have never before been uttered Many of the sentences you have produced today have never before been spoken by anyone on the planet, yet everyone understood them. Linguist George A. Miller contends that any sentence more than 20 words in length has probably never before been spoken. Miller demonstrates this through an example. According to Miller, suppose it is possible words. Continuing on, assume that the second word someone is going to speak is also one of 10 possible words. At this point, the total number of possible combinations of two-word sentences is 10 to the third power, or 1,000 possible sentences (see Figure 7.2). Moving on to a fourth possible word out of a possible word out of a possible three-word sentences is 10 to the third power, or 1,000 possible sentences is 10 to the third power, or 1,000 possible sentences is 10 to the third power, or 1,000 possible sentences (see Figure 7.2). list of 10 possible words, the number of potential four-word sentences is 10 to the fourth power, or 10,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 possible sentences. The number of possible as the length of the message increases rapidly as the length of the message increases. sentences. Based on this example, Miller alleges that the number of possible sentences in any language is essentially infinite.29 In addition to creating new sentences, people routinely create new words to refer to people, places, and events in their world. The academic journal American Speech, which is published quarterly, devotes to each issue a section titled "Among the New Words," in which the journal's editors highlight new words in the American English lexicon. Consider some of the following entries: 30 Bae [shortening of babe or baby; acronymic expansion of before anyone else is a popular but etymologically unsupported explanation], n. boyfriend, girlfriend, or other loved one, used as an affectionate term of address. Basic, adj. Lacking sophistication, style, appeal, or originality (often in the phrase basic bitch). Budtender, n. Person who legally dispenses marijuana in a shop or clinic. Columbusing, n. Act of appropriation, especially the act of a white person claiming to discover something already known to minority cultures. Conscious Uncoupling, n. Termination of a marriage or romantic relationship, in a manner that is considered positive. Filthy Casual, n. Player of video games with relatively uncomplicated rules or that do not require a significant commitment (usually used to parody hard-core gamers' contempt for less-intense players). God View, n. Perspective in some video games in which the player can view the entire game space as if from above. 387 Lumbersexual, n. Fashionably rugged man who adopts the stereotypical dress and facial hair of a lumberjack. Misogyny + noir Fr "black"], n. Misogyny directed toward black women. Narcissistick [selfie + stick], n. Any hand-held communication device that fosters a self-centered, me-me-me outlook on life. Pairage [pair + marriage], n. Legally recognized same-sex union equivalent to marriage], n. Legally recognized same-sex union equivalent to marriage [pair + marriage], n. Legally recognized same-sex union equivalent to marriage [pair + marriage]. thinking as the principle of linguistic determinism posits, but its syntax actually frees the mind to create new words and sentences never before heard or spoken, yet readily understood. The Gendering of Language: Are Languages Sexist? Most linguists (i.e., those who study language) agree that the Sapir–Whorf Hypothesis is 388 probably overstated especially the principle of linguistic determinism. But most linguists also agree that the language one speaks does affect, to some degree, how one thinks. Regarding the principle of linguistic relativity, although there are remarkable similarities among the 4,000 or 5,000 languages spoken on the planet, they certainly have their differences. Hence, to some degree, how one thinks. some degree the language of a particular culture reflects and shapes the social principles of that culture. For example, there is some evidence that many languages reflect and generate sexist attitudes of the speakers of those languages. Jennifer Prewitt-Freilino and her colleagues point out that there are no languages that do not distinguish between gender at all. But the degree to which they do varies. For example, many languages assign a gender (i.e., masculine or feminine) to all nouns. These are called grammatical gender languages. In Spanish, nouns are either masculine or feminine) to all nouns. These are called grammatical gender (i.e., masculine or feminine) to all nouns. attached to nouns that are feminine, as in la corbata, which is defined as "necktie." This may seem ironic, as dress may be considered feminine and necktie may be considered feminine and necktie may be considered feminine and necktie may be considered feminine and necktie." Also in English, the genderneutral article the is used to modify a noun, as in the dress or the necktie. Some languages are genderless because of their lack of grammatical gender distinctions in language lead to gendered distinctions of the surrounding environment to test whether grammatical gender language. For example, Benjamin Wasserman and Allyson Weseley conducted an experiment to test whether grammatical gender language. English, French, or Spanish. French and Spanish are grammatical gender languages; English is not. They found that students in the English condition expressed less-sexist attitudes than students who completed the surveys in French or Spanish. They also found that the language used affected females more than males. Males also expressed moresexist attitudes than females. Wasserman and Weseley conclude that languages with grammatical gender, natural gender, and have particular impact on females. 32 In another study, Jennifer Prewitt-Freilino and her colleagues examined the differences in gender equality among countries with grammatical gender, natural gender, and genderless languages. Of the 111 countries where gender equality compared to countries where gender equality. In their findings suggested that countries where gender equality. In their findings suggested that countries where gender equality. study of Chinese logographs, Cherng, Chang, and Chen found that Chinese characters with the son radical were rated more positively than characters with the human radical, although both received 389 positive ratings. Chinese characters with the human radical were neutral.33 The research on the effects of language on gender equality continues but as Wasserman and Weseley note, while there have been many advances toward gender equality across the globe, one's language might be an underappreciated or unrecognized influence on the sexist attitudes of its speakers. 34 390 Elaborated and Restricted Codes Although the world's 5,000 or so languages have much in common, the style or fashion in which they are used by the people who speak them differs considerably from culture to culture. In fact, speakers of the same language often use it differently. Some of these differences may be explained by Edward T. Hall's concept of high- and low-context cultures. Persons in high-context cultures generally rely more on their nonverbal code than on their verbal code to communicate, whereas members of low-context cultures rely extensively on the verbal code during communication. Basil Bernstein agrees that the use of linguistic codes is closely related to the social structure of a particular culture. First, Bernstein differentiates between language and speech. Bernstein agrees that language is a system of sounds and syntax that allows speakers to represent their reality and generate an infinite number of sentences. In fact, he argues that all languages are equal in terms of their ability to represent reality. Speech, on the other hand, is at the mercy of the social circumstances wherein it is employed. Bernstein maintains that whereas language symbolizes what is possible to do, speech symbolizes what is actually occurring. The social context of communication sets up the boundaries for the type of speech, even if they speak the same language. The social system delimits the speaker's options in terms of language use. The speech codes, then, are not defined in terms of lexicon or syntax, but by the social structure of the culture.35 Broadly speaking, Bernstein identifies two types of linguistic/speech codes: elaborated and restricted. A restricted code is one wherein the options (not necessarily the vocabulary) are limited as to what the speakers can say or do verbally. A restricted code is considered a status-oriented speech system. The code reinforces the social
system by restricted code is considered a status-oriented speech system. wherein the status of the interactants dictates who says what to whom and how it is said. When interactants of a high-context, collectivistic culture communicate, their words and phrases are strictly prescribed, leaving them little choice about what to say or how to say it. In this way, their code is "restricted" and is highly predictable. restricted code A cultural context wherein the speakers of a language are limited as to what they can say or do verbally; a status-oriented system Bernstein points out that the Chinese, for example, operate with a restricted code but have one of the most complex languages on Earth. speak with a restricted code because the 391 social system (highly status and group oriented) dictates what can be said to whom in a given social circumstance. Restricted codes can be found in what Bernstein calls "closed" communities, such as prison camps and criminal subgroups, but can develop within any social structure in which the individuals share social identifications (e.g., spouses, coworkers). In this way, restricted codes show up in both high- and low-context cultures, although they tend to be more salient across the entire culture in a high-context culture.36 With an elaborated code, speakers can choose from among a variety of linguistic options to communicate. Bernstein argues that speakers using an elaborated code are able, via the social system, to put their thoughts, intents, and goals into an explicit verbal message. Bernstein argues that elaborated code are able, via the social system, to put their thoughts, intents, and goals into an explicit verbal message. or vary widely, as in individualistic cultures. Because the individual speaker is intent is unclear, the speaker has a variety of linguistic options from which to choose. The speaker must expand and elaborate so his or her intentions are clearly communicated. Any language will allow the speaker to do that, but the social system regulates it. The social structure of an elaborated code user is such that considerable flexibility exists in one's role prescription. To communicate his or her intent, the speaker must be given much linguistic latitude. In this way, it is difficult to predict the vocabulary and syntax of a speaker using an elaborated code. Students in the United States, for example, may have no idea what kinds of things a new professor will say on the first day of class. Students in China, on the other hand, can probably predict quite accurately the kinds of messages their professor will send. In the United States, the cultural context in which the speakers of a language have a variety of linguistic options open to them to explicitly communicate their intent via verbal messages 392 Cross-Cultural Communication Styles Although the capacity for language is universal, the language of a particular culture must be learned by its members. Moreover, cultures seem to have a predominant manner, fashion, or style in which they use their language. Communication theorists William Gudykunst and Stella Ting-Toomey argue that at different language but also the various styles of language interaction unique to their culture. Such language style reflects the affective, moral, and aesthetic patterns of a culture. Gudykunst and Ting-Toomey describe four verbal style as its tonal coloring of a message, communicated through shades of tonal qualities. The styles are direct-indirect, elaborate- succinct, personal-contextual, and instrumental-affective. Variations of these styles may exist in any culture, but one style tends to dominate within a given culture. 38 Direct and Indirect Styles According to Gudykunst and Ting-Toomey, cultures differ in the degree to which speakers disclose their intentions through precise and candid verbal communication. Persons using a direct style, interactants assert self-face needs. Such messages clearly articulate the speaker's desires and needs. Direct style, interactants assert self-face needs. context and collectivistic cultures, is one in which the speaker's intentions are hidden or only hinted at during interaction. The use of ambiguity and vagueness is characteristic of an indirect style. In high-context cultures, there is no need to articulate every message. True understanding is implicit, coming not from words but from actions in the environment. Moreover, indirect communication prevents potentially embarrassing moments that might threaten the face of either speakers are hidden or only hinted at during interaction The direct style is preferred in cultures such as the United States, England, Australia, Germany, and Israel, among others. In the United States, we frequently use such phrases as for sure, no question, and without a doubt. We value verbal precision and self-expression. U.S. citizens are encouraged to "speak their minds." We are so direct and candid that we will even announce to an entire room when we are going to use the bathroom, as in, "I'll be right back. I have to use the restroom." Gudykunst and Ting-Toomey allege that Israel is 393 also considered a direct culture, perhaps even more so than the United States. Kevin Fedarko points out that many Israelis use the direct style of dugri (straight talk) that is quintessentially Israeli. Israelis value communication that is simple, direct, and honest. A speaker displaying dugri places substance before style and makes no attempt at pretense or deception. Some have referred to Israelis value frankness and directness in their interaction with others and are especially fond of the use of examples. Along with their direct style is an absence of small talk. Edward Hall and Mildred Reed Hall maintain that in their guest for direct and candid talk, Germans avoid social chitchat. of an indirect style of language is seen in many Asian cultures. Indirectness is valued in these cultures because saving face and maintaining harmony in social relationships are highly valued. Directness threatens both of these goals. According to Gudykunst and Ting-Toomey, Japanese speakers, for example, limit themselves to implicit and even ambiguous use of words such as maybe and perhaps. Children in Japan are taught not to be self-centered, and those who take the initiative are generally not rewarded. Japanese mothers typically use rhetorical questions, tone of voice, and context to express disapproval. Sumiko Iwao argues that there is an unspoken belief among Japanese that verbalizing deep feelings spoils their value. To the Japanese, being understood without words is far more cherished than precise articulation. Iwao asserts that in Japan, interpersonal communication is based on a great deal of guessing and reading between the lines. person thinks and feels without verbal expression is considered a sign of closeness between two persons. In marital relationships, verbal communication is thought to be unnecessary. Iwao calls Japan "a culture of no words." She alleges that this may be due to the high value placed on masculinity. According to Japanese ideals, the most masculine of men is one of few words who does not disclose personal weakness by complaining or does not expose his innermost thoughts and feelings, especially not to his wife.42 To a certain degree, the French are indirect. Hall and Hall argue that the French often indulge in small talk and prefer some mystery in their interaction with others. They maintain that the French will often talk around the point they wish to make 43 394 An Intercultural Conversation: Direct and Indirect modes, for example, are often used out of simple politeness. But direct modes of communication are universal. Indirect modes of communication are universal. the United States, whereas indirect modes are seen in many Asian cultures, such as Japan, Korea, and China. The following dialogue takes place between a young couple who have been dating for a short time. The man is a U.S. student, and the woman is from an Asian culture. Note the misunderstanding that results as a consequence of the use of direct and indirect modes of communication.44 Jim: Ya know, Michiko, I really enjoy the time we spend together. I really like you. I've been so happy since we met. Michiko: Yeah ... Jim: I'm so glad you came to the United States. Do you like it here? What is your favorite thing about us? Michiko: Well, it's pretty big. It's nice here. Jim: You must think something! Michiko: Well, I'd probably have to think about it. Jim: I mean, do you like us? Michiko: Well, I don't really know that many Americans yet. In all likelihood, Jim is not going to get much of a direct answer from Michiko. She continues throughout the dialogue to use rather general answers to Jim's very specific and direct questions about her feelings toward the United States. Michiko might believe that Jim is being far too direct and invading her privacy. Besides, the fact that she has traveled halfway around the world should be proof of her desire to be here, right? There must be something about the United States because she would lose face, as would Jim, being a native. She relies on imprecise and indefinite answers. Elaborate, Exacting, and Succinct Styles According to Gudykunst and Ting-Toomey, the elaborate, exacting style, in which persons say no more or less than is needed; and a succinct style, characterized by the use of concise statements, understatements, and even silence.45 An elaborate style of communication can be seen in many Arab, Middle Eastern, 395 and Afro-American cultures. Many Middle Easterners tend to use metaphors, similes, and adjectives in everyday conversation. Black Americans, too, prefer personalized, often exaggerated, spontaneous styles of interaction. Thomas Kochman writes, elaborate style Manner of speaking in which persons say no more or less than is needed to communicate a point succinct style Manner of concise speaking often accompanied by silence Stylistic self-expression
within Black culture is characterized by dramatic self-promotion of demonstrably capable aspects of self ("If you've got it, flaunt it") or even by less demonstrably positive capabilities ("If you don't have it, flaunt it anyway"), which is all part of Afro-American boasting: the "making of one's noise." As Hollywood Henderson said, "I put a lot of pressure on myself to see if I can play up to my mouth." But exaggeration also serves to characterize (and neutralize the impact of) negative situations, such as poverty ("The soles on my shoes are so thin, I can step on a dime and tell you whether it's heads or tails").46 Generally, European Americans prefer an exacting style of interaction consistent with a "just-the-facts" mentality, popularized by the 1960s television series Dragnet. A succinct style can be found in Japan, China, and some Native American/American Indian (e.g., Apache, Navajo) cultures. These cultures value the use of concise talk and silence. To the Chinese, as a rule, do not value verbal skills. In fact, speaking skills in general are considered immoral. The skilled speaker may be described as having a "flattering mouth," an "oil mouth," or a "honey mouth." Chinese children are taught to be cautious about the use of words. The Chinese say, "One should use the eyes and ears, not the mouth," and "Disaster emanates from careless talk." The Chinese say, "One should use the eyes and ears, not the mouth," and "Disaster emanates from careless talk." watches, and restricts his or her verbal communication.47 The Native American/American Indian tribes of the Navajo and Apache also value the use of silence as a way to deal with ambiguity. Steven Pratt, an actively participating member of the Osage tribe, and Lawrence Weider, a professor of communication, argue, To the real Indian, it appears that White Americans who are strangers to each 396 other may freely engage in conversation in such places as the supermarket checkout line. Commercial airlines provide an even more intense opportunity for easy conversation between strangers. Seatmates often disclose their life histories to each other. In the culture of real Indians, these are extraordinary and improper ways to behave, especially when both parties are real Indians. When real Indians who are strangers to one another pass each other in a public space, wait in line, occupy adjoining seats, and so forth, they take it that it is proper to remain silent at this point is a constituent part of the real Indian's mode of communicating with others, especially other Indians.48 The use of an elaborated, exacting, or succinct style is closely related to Hall's high- and low-context communication and Bernstein's classification of restricted and elaborated codes. speech in which speakers are almost telegraphic. This seems to correlate with a succinct style. Conversely, Bernstein asserts that elaborated codes rely heavily on verbal amplification, with much less emphasis on the nonverbal code or environmental cues. Contextual Styles Gudykunst and Ting-Toomey define the personal style of communication as one that amplifies the individualistic cultures. A personal style relies on the use of first-person pronouns in sentence construction. Person oriented language stresses informality and symmetrical power relationships. For example, English has only one form for the second person—that is, you. Regardless of whether they are speaking to someone of higher, equal, or lower status, English speakers use the same form for the second person. United States, we might say, "It's nice to meet you." If we were to mee Manner of speaking that relies on the use of personal pronouns and stresses informality and symmetrical power relationships Moreover, in the United States, we tend to treat one another with informality and forgo the use of formal titles and strict manners. These cultural attitudes are reflected in our personal verbal style. As John Condon notes, two of the most frequently used words in English are I and you. He points out that for many Americans, it is difficult to talk for any length of time without using pronouns. In Japan, however, there are at least 10 words that 397 might be equivalent to the English I.50 In addition, Craig Storti notes that the Thai language has 12 forms of the pronoun you.51 On the other hand, assert Gudykunst and Ting-Toomey, a contextual style accentuates and highlights one's role identity and status. In cultures that employ a contextual style, the social context dictates word choice, especially personal pronouns. For example, when using the Thai language, one must look carefully at the situation, including the status and intimacy levels among the interactants, to decide what form of pronoun to use. Unlike a personal style, in which pronoun usage is consistent across situations. The correct pronoun form is contingent on the context. of the pronoun you. The decision to use one form over another is based on the context of the interactants.52 To use the familiar form with an unfamiliar form with an unfamiliar interactant would be inappropriate. Germans are well known for their formality and strict use of titles, even among friends. Herr when addressing each other. contextual style Role-centered mode of speaking in which one's choice of messages is influenced by one's relative status in the conversation June Ock Yum maintains that a fundamental function of many East Asian languages is to recognize the social status, degree of intimacy, age, and sex of the interactants. These types of demographics will influence the degree of formality and the use of honorifics in the languages highlight status differences and asymmetrical power relationships. According to Samuel Martin, Korean and Japanese have what he calls two "axes of distinction"—the axis of reference. In the axis of address, the speaker carefully chooses language based on the status role of the other speaker. With the axis of reference, the speaker chooses language based on the status role of the other speaker chooses language based on the status role of the other speaker. friend, a parent, or the president of the United States). In Korean, however, there are at least three different ways to say to eat, depending on the role of the speakers: muka-da (plain), du-shin-da (honorific).53 The Japanese use a contextual style, and their language includes an elaborate system of honorifics. Honorifics are linguistic forms that communicate respect according to one's rank and the rank of those to whom one is interacting is a speaking. Honorifics take the form of suffixes to nouns, adjectives, and verbs. For example, the informal form of the verb to go (iku), is used when speaking to someone with whom one is interacting is a stranger or is older, then the politeness marker, masu, appears, as in ikimasu. If the person with whom one is interacting is socially superior, then the honorific form of the verb to go (irassyaru) is used.54 Hooker notes that one cannot learn to speak 398 Japanese without learning the honorific language forms, including syntax and grammar, for defining one's social status. According to Hooker, through most of Japanese history, learning the language meant experiencing and reinforcing the social class and the social class of the person to whom one was speaking. In addition, Hooker notes, Japanese honorifics are a gendered system. Women's speech tends to be filled with honorifics and a sense of deference (i.e., honor, regard) to males.55 399 An Intercultural Conversation: Personal and Contextual Speaking In the following interaction, Jim is a student at a local university. He was born and raised in the United States. Akira is an exchange student from Japan. Jim and Akira are eating dinner together in a local restaurant. They have known each other for only a short time. Not only is Jim's style of communication overtly personal, but he's also quite direct. Jim: Hey, buddy, what do you think of this American restaurant? I really like it. Akira: Yes, Mr. Jim. This is very nice. Jim: I always prefer restaurants like this, kinda casual but good food. I come here a lot. Do you go out to eat much? Akira: Sure, Japanese restaurants are nice, too. Jim: Yeah, but do you go out to eat much? Akira: Sure, Japanese people like restaurants. Jim: Whenever I come here, I usually order the same thing. It's kinda funny, but since I like it, I figure I may as well eat it. I have a lot of friends that do that. Akira: Sure. Jim: Yeah, I was thinking the other day that since the dorm food sucks so bad, I should go out to eat more often. Akira: Sure. Jim: Yeah, I was thinking the other day that since the dorm food sucks so bad, I should go out to eat more often. his personal experiences and preferences. Jim uses the first person. Akira generally defers to Jim and says little, even addressing him as "Mr. Jim." As a foreigner, Akira probably sees Jim as socially superior and uses a formal title. Moreover, rather than talking about his personal preferences, Akira mentions that Japanese people enjoy restaurants. instrumental style Sender-focused manner of speaking that is goal and outcome oriented. Instrumental style Sender-focused manner of speaking that is goal and outcome oriented. instrumental style as sender based and goal- outcome based. The instrumental speaker uses communication to achieve some goal or outcome. Instrumental style believe that communication should end after some goal has been attained and outcomes can be assessed, such as friends gained, opponents defeated, or some form of self-fulfillment reached.56 Julia Wood reports that men in the United States engage in an instrumental 400 style more often than do U.S. women. U.S. women, on the other hand, use collaborative talk.57 affective style Communication manner in which the process of interaction is emphasized, placing the burden of understanding on both the speaker and the listener; relies heavily on nonverbal cues An affective style of
communication but with

the process. In cultures where an instrumental style predominates, the burden of understanding often rests with the speaker. The speaker. The speaker carefully chooses and organizes his or her messages to be understanding often rests with the speaker. and the listener. Affective speakers carefully watch for the reactions of their listeners. Verbal expressions are insinuated and quite subtle. Affective speakers often operate on an intuitive sense and are nonverbally expressive. Hall and Hall assert that before getting down to business, the French prefer to establish a mood or a feeling, and a certain amount of intuition is required on the listener's part to discover the meaning.58 Condon argues that in the way U.S. citizens like to talk about themselves, Japanese are very conscious of the other person with whom they are interacting; it is an interdependent concern, unlike the U.S. concern for independence. Condon notes, The difference in orientations is apparent when friends who have not seen each other and tell each other and tel begin by thanking the other for some previous favor or gift or letter that was sent. Most often, a reference to the last time they were together is part of this greeting. Thus, they re-establish a particular continuing relationship.59 Samuel Martin contends that the Japanese language has a complex array of polite formulas, or stock phrases, that have a leveling effect in just about any social situation. Martin argues that foreigners traveling in Japan can increase their effectiveness if they memorize these 20 or 30 polite formulas. Martin states that, to some extent, Japanese conversation is all formula and no content.60 The affective style of the Japanese is perhaps best reflected in Haiku poetry. Haiku is a short form of poetry popular in Japan. Haiku poems always deal with some aspect of the seasons. Japanese Haiku poets are required to communicate a vivid impression using only 17 Japanese characters. The Haiku poet is concise while simultaneously communicate a vivid impression using Haiku. One of the most popular Haiku poets was Basho, who lived some 300 years ago but whose poetry is still used as the definitive model for contemporary 401 Haiku poets. 402 An Intercultural Conversation: Instrumental and Affective Speaking In the following dialogue, Mr. Benton has traveled to China to introduce Mr. Yeh-Ching to a new operating system. Mr. Benton is coming from a culture that values an instrumental style of speaking, so he wants to get right down to business. Mr. Yeh-Ching are meeting at a local restaurant in Beijing.65 Mr. Benton: Ah Mr. Yeh-Ching. I've been waiting a while. Had you forgotten about our meeting? Mr. Yeh-Ching: Good morning, Jerry. It is so nice to see you. Mr. Benton: Well ... I'm glad you're finally here. I have all the material you need to see about the new computers we're installing. Here's our plan ... Mr. Yeh-Ching: Jerry, have you seen much of our city? Mr. Benton: Well ... I really don't have much time for sightseeing. This isn't a vacation, ya know. Business, can go together. Mr. Benton: I'd love to, but ya know ... business is business. Mr. Yeh-Ching: Can I arrange a tour for you? My staff would be delighted to get to meet you. Mr. Benton: No, thanks, but I'd like to show you something. Look at these new configurations for the computers we're installing. Now ... notice that— Mr. Yeh-Ching: Here is a menu. This restaurant has some very interesting Chinese dishes that I would like for you to try. Mr. Benton: Oh, I grabbed a bite to eat at the Hilton. Go ahead and eat, though. I can show you the production schedule. Chances are pretty good that Mr. Yeh-Ching will not buy Mr. Benton's new computer system. To an affective speaker such as Mr. Yeh-Ching, Mr. Benton is too concerned about his business and not concerned enough about the personal side of business—that is, relationships. Affective speakers are sometimes suspicious of people who refuse to get to know each other before striking a deal. Waterjar cracks: I lie awake This icy night. Lightning: 403 Heron's cry Stabs the darkness. Sick on a journey: Over parched fields Dreams wander on.61 Chinese communication is also said to be more affective than instrumental. Carl Becker argues that Chinese communication and asserts that the Chinese emphasize a process and receiver orientation. According to Confucian philosophy, the primary function of communication is to initiate, develop, and maintain social relationships. Yum states that in Chinese view communication as a never-ending interpretive process. During a conversation, Chinese do not calculate what they give or receive. To do so, states Yum, would be to think about immediate personal profits, which conflicts with the Confucian notion of mutual faithfulness. planned and orchestrated.63 Echoing Yum's seminal work, Stowell contends that Chinese is a listener-responsible language, as is English. In a listener-responsible language, the listener is required to construct the meaning based on his or her relationship with the speaker. as an interdependent process whereby both speaker and listener are active participants who, together, create meaning.64 404 Gendered Language goes beyond communicating useful information about the interactants, including their social status and the context in which they are communicating (e.g., formal-informal). The members of any speech community conform to the prescribed rules of their specific language or dialect to establish their social identity. In addition, the language used by men and women differs considerably across most, if not all, cultures. And through language, one's sex and gender are communicated. As we saw in Chapter 6, sex roles vary dramatically across cultures. Recall also from Chapter 6 that sex roles prescribe with whom, about what, and how we communicate with others. For example, in his classic research, Gerry Philipsen studied male communication styles in Teamsterville, a White, blue-collar, low-income neighborhood in the Near South Side of Chicago. Based on his observations, Philipsen found that presenting oneself as a man in Teamsterville requires an implicit understanding of the communication rules of the particular speech community of real men. Real men in Teamsterville requires an implicit understanding of the communication rules of the particular speech community of real men in Teamsterville engage in a variety of communicative strategies that signal their membership in the group. Specifically, they do not rely on speech as their primary mode of self-expression. Speaking is only allowed in situations, speaking is restricted when the communicants are unequal, as in cases when a man is interacting with someone of higher or lower status. Moreover, speaking is discouraged when a man must assert his power or influence over others, as in cases when he is responding to an insult to himself or his wife/girlfriend, disciplining children, or asserting himself in political or economic discussions. In these types of contexts, nonverbal communication is preferred. In some cases, men may be silent, but in others, they may react physically, as when responding to an insult. Keep in mind that this research was conducted in a specific geographical location near Chicago and probably is not generalizable to all men across the United States.66 Perhaps more so than in the United States, sex roles in Japan are clearly defined and are reflected in the communication of Japanese men and women. Japanese men and women. Japanese is used in daily interaction differs considerably between men and women. The Japanese speaker must be mindful of his or her place in the Japanese social hierarchy, as well as that of the person with whom he or she is interacting. The specific verbs, adjectives, pronouns, and nouns one uses reflect the status of both the source and the receiver of the message. And all these vary considerably according to the sex of the source and receiver. 405 According to Hillary Brass, Japanese Women's Language (JWL) is a clearly defined subset of Japanese men. Brass maintains that joseego and danseego are considered opposites. She notes that while the verbal communication of men and women will vary in any culture, especially for Japanese women. Thus, if a Japanese women wants to be seen as physically attractive, she must engage the proper joseego. Youthfulness, too, is a desirable trait for Japanese women may appear younger than they are. For example, she points out that by altering the use of the pronoun shi to si, a woman may sound as if she has a lisp and, thus, may sound younger. Brass asserts that in doing this, a woman "linguistically reduces her age." Brass also observes that sentence-final particles (i.e., words that occur at the end of a sentence and do not carry much meaning) are also used in JWL. Similar to tag questions in English (e.g., "That's a nice picture, don't you think?"), sentence-final particles tend to be used more by Japanese men because, as Brass notes, women do not want to be perceived as opinionated or pushy. Brass points out that the sentence-final particles Japanese women tend to use are wa, na no, yo ne, and no ne, which require a response from the receiver, such as an agreement or confirmation. Japanese men will use ze, yo, day o, da, and dane, which are perceived as confident and final and typically do not require a response. Brass is careful to point out that some Japanese women do not use JWL, including proponents of women's liberation in Japan and many schoolgirls. But one group of Japanese speakers who use a variant of JWL called one kotoba ("older sister speech") includes members of the gay community of Japanese men.67 There are nearly 1 billion native speakers of Mandarin Chinese, more than for any other language on the planet. As for any other language on the planet. and women. Marjorie Chan, a professor at The Ohio State University, has written extensively about Asian languages and
specifically about Chinese men and women differ in their pronunciation of standard Mandarin Chinese. Women, she notes, are more sensitive than men to proper pronunciation because it may elevate their perceived status in the social hierarchy. Chan also notes that those who pay the least attention to proper pronunciation are younger Chinese men may not need to worry about proper pronunciation given their positions of authority. Young schoolgirls, notes Chan, use what is called a feminine accent, where they use fronted palatals (i.e., consonants articulated with the body of the tongue raised against the middle part of the mouth) that are 406 perceived to be more fragile and feminine than alveolars (i.e., consonants articulated with the tip of the tongue), which are perceived to be more masculine. Somewhat analogous to Japanese joseego is the sajiao style of speaking, which Chan describes as when Chinese women deliberately act like spoiled children to gain affection. Here, the speaker prolongs the pronunciation of vowels and softens the pronunciation of consonants. She notes that sajiao is used most often by children with their male lovers. As in other cultures, Chan notes, the use of profanity is generally more acceptable among men than women and, in general, Chinese women are expected to be polite when they speak. Finally, as we have seen in both U.S. and Japanese culture, Chinese women are more likely than men to use sentence-final particles.68 Although estimates vary, about 600 million people speak Hindi is one of the official languages of India. Unlike many languages that classify words into three categories—masculine, feminine, and neuter—Hindi classifies words as either masculine or feminine. Anjalai Pande writes that the Hindi speakers constantly communicate their gender identity. Analogous to what has been discussed regarding Japanese and Chinese, Pande points out that women are pressured to use correct Hindi language, or what is called "better" language, or what is called "better" language, or what is called "better" language. According to Pande, a woman is expected to talk in a soft voice and talk only when necessary, or perhaps not at all. Indian girls, notes Pande, are not to let their voices be heard, not to answer back, not to argue, and not to ask questions. One way this is accomplished linguistically is through the use of the pronoun we instead of I. This shifts the burden of responsibility to each interactant rather than the speaker.69 407 Language and Ethnic Identity As we saw in Chapter 6, a fundamental way groups distinguish themselves from other groups, and thereby maintain their group identity, is through the language they speak. Within groups, status and hierarchy are recognized primarily through their cultural heritage and identity by using their native language in their host culture and by teaching it to their children. Other immigrant groups may discourage the use of their native tongue so as to establish themselves as legitimate members of their new culture. Tim McNamara argues that immigrants in Australia, McNamara found that as the Israeli immigrants changed their social identities, there was a corresponding change in their attitudes favoring English over Hebrew. The subjects in McNamara's study were considered yordin, a term with negative connotations that refers to Israelis living abroad. Among other things, language identified the yordin as an out-group in Australia. As with most hat refers to Israelis living abroad. migrant languages used in Australia, Hebrew had low status. By learning and speaking English and teaching it to their children, the yordin were able, to some extent, to manage their negative social identities in their new host culture.70 Patricia SanAntonio conducted research on the language practices of a U.S. computer company based in Japan. This particular company required its employees to speak English. Because they wanted to hire native Japanese persons with business expertise and English skills. The result was that they had a workforce with a great deal of English-speaking variety; that is, some were quite competent, whereas others struggled considerably. Because English and to interact with U.S. managers became a real source of power within the company, the ability to speak English and to interact with U.S. managers became a real source of power within the company. the Japanese context, language and identify are inextricably linked and that the ability and willingness of Japanese employees to use English identified them and their desire to integrate into the organization. SanAntonio concluded that the English identified them and their desire to integrate into the organization. reduced. The policy essentially circumvented the Japanese hierarchy and allowed the U.S. managers to maintain control within the organization.71 Intraculturally, the use of language can mark a person as a member of a particular group. In their analysis, Weider and Pratt argue that among some Native Americans/American Indians being silent is fundamental in the "real" Indian's mode of communicating. Moreover, the topic of one's "Indianness" is forbidden; "real" Indians do not discuss it. "Real" Indians do not discuss it. "Real" Indianness" is forbidden; "real" Indians do not discuss it. "Real" Indians do not discuss it." Real" Indians do not discuss it. "Real" Indians do not discuss it." Real" Indians do not discuss it. "Real" Indians do not discuss it." Real" Indians do not discuss from the group of "real" Indians.72 As with any other group in the United States, Black Americans are identify themselves in terms of their use of Ebonics. Shirley Weber asserts that Ebonics is critical in fostering Black identity in the United States for at least three reasons. First, Weber maintains that because Black people experience life differently than do other groups, they need a language to express their unique experience. Second, Black people. Weber states that Ebonics is the "language that binds, that creates community for [B]lacks, so that the brother in the three-piece Brooks Brothers suit can go to the local corner where folks 'hang out' and say, 'hey blood, what it is?' and be one with them." Finally, Ebonics expresses a political statement that Black people have not relinquished a vital part of themselves—that is, language—and that they can maintain control over at least one part of their lives.73 The controversy over Ebonics is both political and linguistic. If a language is defined as a set of sounds combined with a set of rules for the purpose of communicating, then Ebonics should be considered a language. Dialects are distinguished from other regional varieties by their variations in vocabulary, grammar, and pronunciation. As Smitherman notes, the labels language and dialect has, however, taken on negative connotations among the public.74 Politically, the debate centers on the appropriateness of Ebonics in various social settings, such as schools—some factions argue that Ebonics is appropriate and should be taught in schools. For example, in December 1996, the Oakland (California) School Board wrote, dialect A language variety associated with a particular region or social group BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Education officially recognizes the existence and the cultural and historic bases of West and Niger-Congo African Language as the predominantly primary language of African-American students.... BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Superintendent in conjunction with her staff shall immediately devise and implement the best possible academic program for imparting instruction to African-American students in their primary language for the combined purposes of maintaining the legitimacy and richness of ... "Ebonics" ... and to facilitate their acquisition and mastery of English language for the combined purposes of maintaining the legitimacy and richness of ... "Ebonics" ... and to facilitate their acquisition and mastery of English language for the combined purposes of maintaining the legitimacy and richness of ... "Ebonics" ... and to facilitate their acquisition and mastery of English language for the combined purposes of maintaining the legitimacy and richness of ... "Ebonics" ... and to facilitate their acquisition and mastery of English language for the combined purposes of maintaining the legitimacy and richness of ... "Ebonics" ... and to facilitate their acquisition and mastery of English language for the combined purposes of maintaining the legitimacy and richness of ... "Ebonics" ... and to facilitate their acquisition and mastery of English language for the combined purposes of maintaining the legitimacy and richness of ... "Ebonics" ... and to facilitate their acquisition and mastery of English language for the combined purposes of maintaining the legitimacy and richness of ... "Ebonics" ... and to facilitate their acquisition and mastery of English language for the combined purposes of t U.S. House of Representatives. On January 9, 1997, King 409 introduced House Resolution 28, which read, in part, that no federal funds should be used to pay for or support any program that is based on the premise that Ebonics is a legitimate language.76 Linguistic and political arguments notwithstanding, Ebonics is clearly a medium of expression for many African Americans. Ebonics not only serves as a vehicle for communication but also fosters a sense of identity and community among those who speak it. As immigrants from Mexico settled in California and other parts of the Southwest, they soon formed communities of people who speak it. learning English, and, as is typical of immigrants, they took phonological and grammatical complexes from each language and combined them. But the children of these immigrants, they took phonological and grammatical complexes from each language and combined them. But the children of these immigrants grew up using both Spanish and English, and as the communities began
to grow, a new dialect of English, called Chicano English, evolved. Carmen Fought, a professor of linguistics, studies Chicano English. Fought maintains that Chicano English nor a version of nonstandard Spanish but, rather, a unique dialect used by speakers who are typically not bilingual. In fact, Fought argues that most speakers who are typically not bilingual. In fact, Fought argues that most speakers of Chicano English do not know any Spanish at all.77 spoken only by native English speakers. She argues that the central myth about Chicano English is that it is spoken by people whose first language is Spanish and whose Spanish and whose Spanish introduces mistakes into their English. Fought asserts that Chicano English is one of the English is that it is spoken by people whose first language is Spanish and whose Spanish and whose Spanish and whose Spanish and whose Spanish is that it is spoken by people whose first language is Spanish and whose Spanish and whos Appalachian English or AAE (African American English) or the English spoken by professors at Harvard University, or by used car dealers in Houston. Chicano English if it is only spoken by people who only know English.78 Fought notes that because of its origins Chicano English shares many of the phonological features of Spanish. But she maintains that Chicano English is not Spanglish. For example, in endings for words sound like "goween" and "talkeen." According to Fought people who hear Chicano English typically assume that they are hearing the "accent" of a native Spanish at all. To be sure, notes Fought, these Mexican American speakers of Chicano English natively and fluently, like most children growing up in the United States. They just happen to have learned a nonstandard variety that retains indicators of contact with Spanish.79 Do You Speak "American"? In 2004, celebrated award-winning author and journalist Robert MacNeil traveled across the United States, exploring how the English language is used throughout the various regions of the country. MacNeil wanted to answer the question, "What does it mean to 410 'speak American'?" Throughout his travels, MacNeil discovered that the English used in the United States differs considerably from region, among ethnic and social groups, and by age and gender. In addition, MacNeil found that many people shift from one version of English to another, depending on the person with whom they are speaking.80 Linguists often argue over the term Standard English—that is, the variety of English and that certain correct forms should always be used. But most linguists also recognize that different varieties of English exist in differences in pronunciation, grammatical structures, vocabulary, and pitch. Most people think of such differences as accents; hence, "southern accent" is used to describe the speech of people who live in the southern United States. Another term often used by sociolinguists (scholars who study language as it is used in various social group. An accent or dialect—that is, a language variety associated with a particular region or social group. An accent or dialect should not be confused with slang or jargon. Slang refers to words or expressions typically used in informal communication. Slang words often do not last long, and other slang replaces them. Jargon refers to the specialists, and so on. Many sociolinguists now use the term language variety to refer to the way a particular group of people uses language.81 Standard English spoken in the United States that is considered correct language Photo 7.3 American dialects can be found in many different areas of pop culture. The Car Talk guys (from an NPR radio show) speak in a distinct "R-less" dialect (you can hear a portion of their show at). 411 Richard Howard/Getty Images Speakers of a particular dialect often believe that their language variety is so standard that it is not even considered a dialect. Noted sociolinguist Walt Wolfram argues that everyone speaks some form of dialect. He maintains that it is not possible to speak a language without speaking a dialect of that language. Moreover, he dispels the myth that dialects result from unsuccessful attempts of people to speak the correct form of a language. by adopting the speech features of those around them, not by failing in their attempts to adopt standard language features. Dialects, like all language systems, are systematic and regular, and they function as any standard language systems. few as three, whereas others contend that there are as many as 25 dialects in the United States. A few U.S. dialects are discussed in the following text. Appalachian English Appalachian English is spoken by people in the Appalachian Mountains, from eastern Pennsylvania to North Carolina. Perhaps the most distinctive feature of this dialect is aprefixing—that is, putting an a sound before words that end in -ing, as in "I was a-slippin' 412 and a-slippin' nouns. Thus, the sentence "The man went a-sailin'" is appropriate, but the sentence "The man likes a-sailin'" is not.83 Cajun English, sometimes called Linguistic Gumbo, describes the variety of French spoken in southern Louisiana. It originates from the language spoken by the French and Acadian people who settled in Louisiana in the who speak in the Valley Girl dialect. Archive Photos/Getty Images R-Less or R-Dropping Dialects Regional differences in how the r sound is pronounced much the same way across the United States. But in many dialects of the East Coast (e.g., Boston, New York), 413 the r sound before a consonant is dropped and speakers of such dialects lengthen the preceding vowel sound, as in "paahk the caah."85 California English In recent years, much attention has focused on the Valley Girl dialect phenomenon (e.g., "Gag me with a spoon!"). But California is diverse ethnically, with substantial Black and Hispanic populations; thus, the stereotypical Valley Girl dialect is spoken mostly by the White population. In such speech, the vowels in boot and boat (called "back vowels" because they are pronounced in the back of the mouth) all have a tendency to move forward in the mouth; so the vowel in dude or spoon (as in "gag me with a ...") sounds a little like the word you, or the vowel in pure or cute. Also, boat and loan often sound like bewt and lewn—or eeeeuuw.86 Texas. According to Bailey, the Texas dialect began when two populations merged in Texas in the 1850s. Those who migrated from Louisiana, Alabama, and Mississippi brought with them their Lower South Dialect (i.e., drawl), while those from Texas in the 1850s. use a flattened vowel sound that makes the word night sound like "naht," an indicator of the Texas twang. In an interesting observation, Bailey maintains that the Texas twang is actually expanding across many socioeconomic groups, particularly among young Texans. Bailey maintains that the Texas twang is actually expanding across many socioeconomic groups, particularly among young Texans. young Texans. Another characteristic is that where a southerner might say "muthuh an' fathuh," a Texan would say "muther an' father." Bailey also identifies the monophthong, as in "The house is on fahr" and "How fahr is it to the next town?" Another characteristic is the vowel merger. The vowel merger is a blending of vowel sounds so that words such as win and when sound alike, as do cot and caught, feel and fill.87 The Midwesterners are under the illusion that they speak without an accent. They may even believe that they speak Standard American English. But most linguists understand that there is not a single, correct way to speak English. So, yes, even Midwesterners speak with an accent. Professor of English Matthew Gordon has written about the Midwesterners speak with an accent. merger," or what many linguists call the cot/caught merger. Many Midwesterners pronounce the words cot and caught the same way, as they would pronounce the words don and back in the mouth and with the lips spread open. The vowel sound in caught and dawn is supposed to involve a higher tongue position and a rounding of the lips. But many Midwesterners pronounce the words the same way. Gordon cites a personal experience with the don/dawn merger. He recalls a time when an acquaintance was speaking about a friend named Dawn. The friend's grandmother was confused asking why Dawn's parents had given her a boy's name (i.e., Don). Gordon also reports that a colleague from Michigan is sometimes asked why his son, Ian, has a girl's name. Apparently, some of his fellow Michigan is sometimes asked why his son, Ian, has a girl's name. others within any cultural milieu. Language is perhaps the major marker that people use to categorize and group others. 415 Chapter Summary All human language is to communicate. Historically, linguists believed that language was tied to race and culture. Contemporary linguists have discounted that notion in favor of the idea that languages are essentially human and are not unique to any particular race or culture. As humans, regardless of culture, we are born with a universal grammar that allows us to learn the particular language of our culture. As humans, regardless of the universal grammar that is embedded in our brains. Considerable evidence shows that children (even deaf and blind children) acquire language in the same time. Moreover, children are able to construct grammatically correct sentences without ever having been formally taught to do so. Language is a guide to social reality, so a guide to social reality correct sentences without ever having been formally taught to do so. helping people observe events around them and organize their thoughts. Moreover, language is so powerful that speakers can generate an infinite number of never-before-spoken sentences that are completely comprehensible by speakers can generate an infinite number of all language is so powerful that speakers can generate an infinite number of never-before-spoken sentences
that are completely comprehensible by speakers can generate an infinite number of never-before-spoken sentences that are completely comprehensible by speakers can generate an infinite number of never-before-spoken sentences that are completely comprehensible by speakers can generate an infinite number of never-before-spoken sentences that are completely comprehensible by speakers of the same language is so powerful that speakers can generate an infinite number of never-before-spoken sentences that are completely comprehensible by speakers can generate an infinite number of never-before-spoken sentences that are completely comprehensible by speakers can generate an infinite number of never-before-spoken sentences that are completely comprehensible by speakers can generate an infinite number of never-before-spoken sentences that are completely comprehensible by speakers can generate an infinite number of never-before-spoken sentences that are completely comprehensible by speakers can generate an infinite number of never-before-spoken sentences that are completely comprehensible by speakers can generate an infinite number of never-before-spoken sentences that are completely comprehensible by speakers can generate an infinite number of never-before-spoken sentences that are completely comprehensible by speakers can generate an infinite number of never-before-spoken sentences that are completely completely completely c use different styles of language, ranging from direct to indirect, personal to contextual, instrumental to affective, and elaborate to succinct. Direct-indirect style refers to the degree to which speakers focus on themselves or their partners during communication. Instrumental styles are goal oriented, whereas affective styles are process oriented. Elaborate and succinct styles refer to the actual quantity or volume of talk that is preferred. These different styles are process oriented and beliefs. 416 edge.sagepub.com/neuliep7e SAGE edge offers a robust online environment featuring an impressive array of free tools and resources for review, study, and further exploration, keeping both instructors and students on the cutting edge of teaching and learning. 417 Discussion Questions 1. How does your language affect the way you think? 2. When you hear people speak a dialect of English different from yours, how do you feel about them? 3. Do you think your brand of English is better than others? Why or why not? 4. List five words you and your friends use that few other people would recognize. 5. List five of your favorite U.S. dialect? Why? 418 Ethics and the Verbal Code 1. In the United States, there is an English-only movement, also known as the Official English movement. Members of this organization advocate that only the English should be the official language in the United States. What do you think? Should English be the official language of the United States? Or should people be allowed to speak any language they wish? Some business owners across the country's identity? 2. There is a debate across the country's identity? 2. There is a debate across the country have signs in their store windows that read: "Only English spoken here." valid languages. Some argue that they are slang or jargon used by groups too lazy to speak Standard English. What do you think? 419 Developing Intercultural Communication Competence 1. In a sense, one's language is an expression of one's culture; it is culture expressing itself in sound. As you interact with persons from cultures different than your own, try to appreciate that the language they speak is simply a different variety of the language you speak, just as their face is very much like your face. Try to appreciate the different variety of the language they speak is simply a different variety of the language you speak, just as their face is very much like your face. eat food, but how they prepare it differs considerably. Perhaps you enjoy food that comes from cultures different than your own. Perhaps you enjoy, rather than judge, language. 2. When speaking to others, note how your grammar might reflect sexism and be mindful of your use of gendered terminology. 3. Often, people from cultures who employ a direct and personal style wrongly conclude that people who employ an indirect and contextual style are shy or apprehensive. They're probably neither. Be mindful that style are shy or apprehensive. contextual style 240 dialect 248 direct style 238 elaborate style 238 elaborated code 236 exacting style 238 generative grammar 232 indirect style 238 elaborated style 240 phonemes 226 personal style 238 elaborated style 238 The language faculty is a system, a subsystem of the brain.... Its major elements don't appear to exist in other similar organisms.... To a large extent, it appears to be determined by our biological endowment and is essentially invariant across the species. —Noam Chomsky1 422 8 The Nonverbal Code © iStockphoto.com/Carol Ann_Peacock 423 Learning Objectives 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. Define nonverbal communication Compare and contrast the eight different channels of nonverbal communication across cultures Recount the fundamental assumptions of the nonverbal expectancy violations theory Try to imagine what it would be like if you were unable to comprehend the meaning of a nonverbal gesture. Imagine that you have been in Japan for only a short time. Today, you are out shopping with a Japanese acquaintance in downtown Tokyo. While looking over some expensive designer clothing items, you notice that your Japanese friend takes the index and middle fingers of his right hand, pretends to lick them, and then wipes his everal times. Although you suspect this is meaningful in some way, you have no idea what it signifies. Your uncertainty level skyrockets. Are you doing something wrong? Is he trying to tell you something? Is your acquaintance just weird? A native Japanese person would not be anxious about this at all because he or she would understand that your friend is simply trying to tell you that the expensive designer clothing items you are considering are fakes. Do not be too alarmed by what has just happened to you. Research shows that the longer you stay in Japan, or any other culture, the more your ability to recognize gestures—and, hence, your intercultural communication competence—will increase.2 When you interact with someone from a different culture, a challenge you will face is learning the implicit rules of interpersonal communication. Becoming interculturally competent requires that you acquire some understanding of the verbal language of the new culture's nonverbal system of communication. And like the verbal system not only varies across cultures but also varies between men and women within any culture. Many linguists, psychologists, and sociologists, and sociologists, and sociologists believe that human language evolved from a system of nonlinguistic (nonverbal) communication. To these scholars, language and communication are not the same. As humans, we possess a host of nonlinguistic (nonverbal) communication are not the same. with one another through the use of our hands, arms, faces, and personal space. When we combine verbal and nonverbal language, we create an intricate communication system through sight, sound, smell, or touch. Moths, for example, communicate by smell and color. Through smell, some species of male moths can detect female moths miles away. Elephants communicate their ownership of them. This kind of animal or nonlinguistic communication is probably innate and invariant within a particular species. Most scholars also recognize that a significant portion of our nonverbal behavior, such as the facial expressions accompanying certain emotions, is innate and varies little across cultures. Like verbal language, however, much of our nonverbal communication is learned and varies across cultures. This chapter investigates nonverbal communication and how it differs across cultures. It begins with some definitions of nonverbal communication and how cultures. differ regarding their use. These channels include kinesics, oculesics, paralanguage, proxemics, haptics, olfactics, physical appearance and dress, and chronemics. The chapter closes with a discussion of nonverbal expectancy violations theory. 425 Definitions of Nonverbal communication The study of nonverbal communication focuses on the messages people send to one another that do not contain words, such as messages sent through body motions; eye contact, touch, and vocal qualities; and the use of one's hands, arms, legs, and face to send messages. Haptics, or touch, is one of the most dynamic forms of nonverbal communication, as is oculesics, or eye contact. Paralanguage, people communicate their emotional state, veracity, and sincerity. Most of us can identify when speakers are confident or nervous by paying attention to their vocal pitch, rate, and pace. Through chronemics, the use of time, people can communicate status and punctuality. We saw in Chapter 4 that cultures differ widely in their monochronic or polychronic orientation. By studying space, or proxemics, we can learn how people express intimacy and power. In the United States, for example, people tend to prefer maintaining an "arm's-length" distance from others during communication. Through smell, called olfactics, a person's ethnicity, social class, and status are communication. Through smell, called olfactics, a person's ethnicity, social class, and status are communicated. people raised in the United States seem obsessed with deodorants, perfumes, soaps, and shampoos that mask natural body odors. As stated in Chapter 1, intercultural communication, verbal and nonverbal messages are sent simultaneously. Verbal communication represents the literal content of a message, whereas the nonverbal component communicates the style or how the message is to be interpreted. Hence, the nonverbal code often complements, accents, substitutes,
repeats, or even contradicts the verbal message. delicious!" with a smile and increased vocal volume. Politicians often accent their speeches by pounding their fists on the podium. When asked how many minutes are left to complete an exam, the professor might simply raise five fingers to substitute for the words "5 minutes." Persons often repeat their verbal message "Yes" with affirmative head nodding. Sometimes, however, a person's verbal and nonverbal messages contradict each other. When this happens, we usually believe the nonverbal message. For example, your roommate has been quiet and reserved for a couple of days. Finally, you ask what is wrong. Your roommate replies with a long sigh and says, "Oh ... nothing." Which do you believe —the verbal or nonverbal message? Most people believe the nonverbal message because, unlike the verbal message because and are therefore perceived as more honest. Psychologist David McNeill argues that our nonverbal message because, unlike the verbal message because and are therefore perceived as more honest. represents a sort of 426 visual metaphor or analogue of conscious thought. He states that gestures and other body motions are primitive forms of speech. Whereas verbal language takes thought as an analogue of itself.5 This is why verbal communication is often called digital communication, and nonverbal communication is called analogic communication. Because we have less control over our nonverbal behavior, it tends to be perceived as more honest than our verbal behavior. addition to complementing, accenting, substituting, repeating, and contradicting verbal communication, nonverbal communication also regulates and manages our conversations with others. Professors delivering lectures can monitor the reactions of their students through their eye contact, body posture, and other nonverbal behaviors (e.g., yawning) and adapt their lectures accordingly. Students who raise their hands are signaling the professor that they have questions or communication in the classroom. Individually, we can regulate the flow and pace of a conversation by engaging in direct eye contact, affirmative head nodding, and stance, thus signaling our conversational partner to continue or stop the communication. 427 The Relationship Between Verbal and Nonverbal codes By comparing and contrasting the human verbal and nonverbal codes, many linguists have concluded that verbal language evolved from its nonlinguistic predecessor. Noam Chomsky argues that verbal language is an advanced and refined form of an inherited nonlinguistic (nonverbal) system.6 A key distinction between the two is that the verbal language system is signal based. The difference between a symbol and a signal is that a symbol and a signal is that the verbal language system is based primarily on symbols, whereas the nonverbal system is based primarily on symbols. something else. A sign, or signal, however, is a natural and constituent part of that which it represents. For example, signals to us that a storm. But the thunder is a sign of a storm. But the thunder is a sign of a storm. But the thunder is a sign of a storm. is a natural part of being hot, as shivering is a natural part of being cold. Humans do not learn to sweat or shiver. Unlike signals, symbols have no natural relationship with that which they represent; therefore, they are arbitrary abstractions and must be learned. For example, the symbols c-a-t have no intrinsic connection with a feline animal Speakers of any language learn to associate symbols with referents. Another difference between the verbal code is that the nonverbal code is that the nonver might be able to signal a friend of impending danger by waving your hands, but you cannot warn your friend of danger that might occur tomorrow or recall danger to a might occur tomorrow or recall danger to a might occur tomorrow or recall danger to a might occur to a that you are not going to the grocery store tomorrow. The same task is relatively easy through the linguistic system, however.7 Formal Versus Informal Code Systems in Chapter 7, verbal languages have a formal set of sounds, syntax, and semantics. The degree of formality of verbal language is not found in the nonverbal code, however. The alphabet exists for nonverbal codes. Different types of nonverbal behavior can be categorized, but these categories are much more loosely defined than in the verbal code. All verbal languages have a set of rules, called grammar or syntax, that prescribes how to combine the various sounds of the language into meaningful units, such as words and sentences. Although rules govern the use of nonverbal communication, a formal grammar or syntax does not exist. Nowhere is there a book or 428 guide prescribing exactly what nonverbal behaviors, such as a handshake when greeting someone in the United States, but no systematic rule book on the same level of formality as an English grammar book exists for nonverbal communication. The rules for nonverbal communication are learned informally, the verbal code, when used with the correct syntax, takes on denotative meaning. When using verbal language, if we hear a word we do not understand, we can guickly consult a dictionary, and it will define the word for us. The dictionary tells us what the language means. No such device exists for our nonverbal communication. If someone touches us or stands too close or engages in prolonged eye contact, we can only surmise the action's meaning. Popular psychology notwithstanding, we have no dictionary for nonverbal communication. To be sure, nonverbal communication is meaningful—perhaps even more so than verbal communication—but the denotative meaning of a word; the dictionary meaning of a word; the dictionary meaning of a word; the dictionary meaning of the nonverbal code has to an alphabet is a grossite thing the nonverbal code has to an alphabet is a grossite thing the nonverbal code has to an alphabet is a grossite thing the nonverbal code has to an alphabet is a grossite thing the nonverbal code has to an alphabet is a grossite thing the nonverbal code has to an alphabet is a grossite thing the nonverbal code has to an alphabet is a grossite thing the nonverbal code has to an alphabet is a grossite thing the nonverbal code has to an alphabet is a grossite thing the nonverbal code has to be inferred. classification system of the various channels are kinesics, oculesics, paralanguage, proxemics, haptics, olfactics, physical appearance and dress, and chronemics. As we will see later in this chapter, some nonverbal expressions, particularly some facial expressions of emotion, seem to be universal, but much of our nonverbal behavior is learned and is therefore culturally unique. Kinesics, or body movements, leg movements, leg movements, leg movements, leg movements, leg movements, leg movement, includes gestures, hand and arm movements, leg movements, leg movement, includes gestures, hand and arm movements, leg movements, leg movement, includes gestures, hand and arm movements, leg movement, includes gestures, hand and arm movements, leg movements, leg movements, leg movement, includes gestures, hand and arm movement, includes gestures, hand and arm movements, leg movement, includes gestures, hand arm movement, incl hands, and arms are the primary kinesic channels through which nonverbal messages are sent. Relative to other body parts, they have a high sending capacity, especially the face. The most widely recognized kinesic behavior into five broad categories: (1) emblems, (2) illustrators, (3) affect displays, (4) regulators, and (5) adaptors. The meaning behind most of these kinesic behaviors varies across cultures.9 kinesics General category of body motion, including emblems, illustrators, affect displays, (4) regulators. exclusively) hand gestures that have a direct literal verbal translation. Illustrators are typically hand and arm movements that accompany speech or function to accent or complement what is being said. In the United States, the hand gesture used to represent "peace" is an example of a widely recognized emblem. Emblems vary considerably across cultures. Pounding your fist on the podium during a speech is an example of an illustrator. Illustrator serve a metacommunicative function—that is, they are messages about messages about messages that tell us how to interpret verbal messages. For example, shaking your fist at someone while expressing anger is an illustrator. For the most part, emblems and illustrators are not taught in school but are learned informally through a child's socialization in his or her culture. There is growing evidence that emblems and illustrators play an important role in language, cognitive development, and communicative development. translation; can be used to repeat or to substitute for verbal communication 430 illustrators Primarily hand and arm movements that function to accent or complement speech Michèle Guidetti and Elena Nicoladis assert that children use such gestures earlier in their communication development than they do words. While words eventually replace gestures as a child's preferred method of communication, children continue to gesture also offer insight into children's unspoken thoughts.10 Related research indicates that by 6 months of age, babies in all cultures begin to use gestures to communicate to their parents. Joanna Blake and her colleagues point out that the change in a child's inventory of gestures from 9 to 14 months of age may provide the foundation. Specifically, across cultures, there is a shift at 1 year of age in the types of gestures used by children toward gestural sharing of objects and information. The development of these communicative gestures supports the idea of the universality of language and may form a base for language and may form a base for language acquisition across cultures. Archer asserts that the systematic study of gestures began about 400 years ago, during
Shakespeare's time. Although cultures tend to use them for the same kinds of communications. For example, most cultures use emblems and illustrators during greetings and departures, to insult or to communicate obscenities to others, to indicate fight or flight, and to designate friendly or romantic relationships.12 Understanding the meanings of nonverbal gestures, especially emblems and illustrators, is a prerequisite to becoming a competent intercultural communicator. Gestures are a part of the lexicon of every culture. The well-known, oft-cited anthropologist Edward Sapir noted that gestures are a part of the secret code of all cultures that is "written nowhere, known by none, and understood by all."13 In their recent research, Andrew Molinsky, Mary Anne Krabbenhoft, Nalini Ambady, and Y. Susan Choi argue that for individuals to function effectively across cultures, gestures– such as emblems—are a critical feature of intercultural situations. Indeed, they write that natives of a particular culture have the ability to pilot seamlessly through the maze of the secret code of gestures. Yet nonnatives do not share this same luxury. In their study of native and nonnative members of U.S. culture, Molinsky and his colleagues found that an individual's length of stay in the culture is directly linked to gesture recognition accuracy. Specifically, nonnative persons in the United States were able to correctly recognize both valid and invalid (i.e., take) gestures based on their length of stay in the United States. These same persons were perceived by others (i.e., U.S. natives) as more interculturally competent than nonnatives with shorter lengths of stay.14 Greeting rituals are an important component in any person's communicative repertoire. 431 Knowing the greetings of different cultures when interacting outside your own culture is a first step toward developing intercultural communication competence. In high-context and collectivistic cultures, men and women have different rules for how to greet someone. Bowing is the customary greeting in Korea and other Asian cultures, such as Japan and Vietnam. When Koreans greet elders, professors, persons of higher status, they bow lower and longer and divert eye contact. When businesspeople or friends meet, the bow is generally not as low and is quicker.15 In Japan, the appropriate bow is made with the hands sliding down toward the knees, back and neck stiff, and eyes averted (see Photo 8.1). Japanese women should hold their hands flat against the body, with fingers clasped. Japanese men should hold their arms straight against the legs. As in other Asian cultures, bowing recognizes social stratification. Social subordinates should bow lower and longer than their superiors. Persons of equal status match bows unless one is younger, in which case the younger person should bow a shade lower and longer. One's eyes should always be lowered.16 As in the United States, the handshake is a common gesture/illustrator during a greeting in most parts of developed Kenya. In this case, however, when greeting a person of higher status, such as a teacher, the person of lower status should take the left hand (the hand not being used in the handshake) and grasp his or her own right arm somewhere in the proximity of the forearm during the handshake. Close female friends may hug and kiss once on each cheek instead of shaking hands. In cross-gender greetings, men should wait for a woman to extend her hand first.17 Photo 8.1 Bowing is the customary greeting in Japan. 432 © iStockphoto.com/stockstudioX 433 Harris and associates report that when greeting male friends in Saudi Arabia, Saudi men kiss each other on both cheeks. They prefer to get very close during the greeting. Among Saudis, cross-gender greetings do not usually take place.18 In traditional Sri Lankan greetings, the hands are placed together, palms touching at the Godlike qualities in you."19 Archer has observed that many cultures have emblems and illustrators for insulting others and for communicating obscenities. According to Archer, some cultures may have as many as six or seven obscene gestures, whereas some northern European cultures, such as the Netherlands and Norway, do not have any native obscene gestures. 20 Giving someone "the finger" (making a fist with the hand and extending the middle finger upward) is a widely recognized obscene gesture in many parts of the world, including the United States, Mexico, and much of Europe. Forming a "V" with the palm facing out is completely acceptable, however, and represents "V for victory." In the Ladino culture of Guatemala, a hand gesture called la mano caliente ("the hot hand") is equivalent to "the finger" and is created by placing the thumb between the first and middle fingers, then squeezing the hand to make a fist (see Photo 8.2). This gesture is considered obscene in other Central and South American cultures as well. In the Ladino culture, however, this gesture is very offensive, and anyone using it should be prepared to fight. If a person were to direct la mano caliente toward a military or police officer, the offender could expect to spend time in jail or do hard labor in the army.21 The same hand gesture is used in the Hmong culture to belittle or insult someone. In the Hmong culture, only males use this gesture.22 In Jamaica, this gesture is called "the fig" and is considered obscene there as well.23 Photo 8.2 In the Ladino culture of Guatemala, this gesture, called la mano caliente, is considered offensive. 434 © iStockphoto.com/Sergejs Nescereckis In Peru, making a pistol gesture with each hand and then pointing the "pistols" at someone from about waist level is considered obscene and may provoke a fight (see Photo 8.3). In Iran, putting an open hand directly in front of and horizontal to one's face with the palm facing in and rubbing the hand down over the face from about the eyes to the chin, almost as if stroking a beard, is considered obscene and is equivalent to saying "Fuck you" (see Photo 8.4). An obscene gesture recognized in many European cultures, especially France, is taking either hand and putting it palm down on the biceps of the opposite arm while quickly raising the opposite arm and making a fist, all in one fluid motion (see Photo 8.5). This gesture is basically equivalent to "the finger" and to the verbal designate "Up yours" or "Fuck you."24 Photo 8.3 This hand gesture is highly offensive in Peru and could provoke a fight. 435 © James W. Neuliep Photo 8.4 This is the Iranian equivalent of "the finger." 436 © James W. Neuliep Most cultures use emblems and illustrators to designate friendly or romantic relationships. In the United States, for example, crossing the index and middle fingers of the same hand designates that "We're tight." In China, clasping the index fingers of the same hand designates closeness and communicates that "We're tight." together and placing them against a cheek (as in a "Sleeping Beauty" gesture) is indicative of romance. Tapping the tips of the index fingers together in Japan or extending both index fingers toge that perhaps more than any other part of the body, the face has the highest nonverbal sending capacity. Through facial expressions, we can communicate our personality, open and close channels of communicate emotional states. 26 Many linguists believe that our verbal language evolved from a system of nonlinguistic communication are invariant across cultures. Current evidence suggests that some facial expressions of emotion, called affect displays, are universal. Paul Ekman alleges that humans can make more than 10,000 facial expressions and that 2,000 to 3,000 of them have to do with emotion. Ekman is careful to point out that by studying faces, we cannot tell what people are thinking, only what they are feeling about what they are thinking. like so many other forms of communication, were the result of learning and were culturally unique. He originally agreed with sociologist Ray Birdwhistell, who wrote, affect displays Nonverbal presentations of emotion, primarily communicated through facial expressions Just as there are no universal words, no sound complexes, which carry the same meaning the world over, there are no body movements, facial expressions, or gestures which provoke identical responses the world over. The basis of this argument can be found in the writings of evolutionary scholar Charles Darwin, who wrote, 437 Photo 8.5 In some European cultures, this gesture is similar to "the finger." © James W. Neuliep We can thus also understand the fact that the young and the old of widely different races, both with man and animals, express the same state of mind by the same movements.... I have endeavoured to show in considerable detail that all the chief expressions exhibited by man are the same throughout the world. This fact is interesting, as it affords a new argument in favour of the several races being descended from a single parent-stock, which must have been almost completely human in structure, and to a large extent in mind, before the period at which the races diverged from each other.29 The late Harvard University professor Stephen Jay Gould, well known for his stance on evolution, agreed with Darwin and argued that although universal facial expressions may have been functional for us today. Take, for example, a facial expression of anger, in which a person 438 snarls, grits his or her teeth, and displays the canine teeth (see Photo 8.6b). The fact that there is no need for us to display our teeth to express anger (we can simply say how angry we are) suggests that such a gesture must have been inherited.30 Ekman was determined to find whether certain elements of facial behavior are universal or culturally specific. He and his colleagues believed that there may be distinctive movements of the face for the primary emotions of surprise, fear, anger, disgust, happiness, and sadness that are probably universal. They
further argued that while people from divergent cultures may express emotions similarly, what stimulates the emotion and the intensity with which it is expressed is probably culturally specific. In other words, although Germans and Japanese may express fear, surprise, anger, happiness, disgust, and sadness similarly in terms of muscular facial expressions, what elicits fear in Germans may be different from what elicits fear in Japanese. Moreover, cultures may differ in how they manage and regulate facial expressions of emotion, particularly in the presence of others. Ekman and Friesen (among others) have conducted numerous studies testing their hypotheses.31 In one study, Ekman, Friesen, and a number of their associates had more than 500 participants from 10 different countries look at slides of people expressing the six emotions of fear, anger, happiness, disgust, sadness, and surprise. Germany, Japan, Hong Kong, Scotland, Sumatra, Turkey, Greece, and the United States. Participants were shown photographs of Caucasians in posed facial expressions of the six emotions, one at a time for 10 seconds each, and were instructed to indicate which of the six emotions was presented. The participants were also asked to rate the intensity of the presented emotion on a scale of 1 to 8. The results showed that in an overwhelming number of trials, the emotion. Where cultures differed was in their ratings of intensity of the emotion. Ekman reasoned that perhaps people judge a foreigner's expressions to be less intense than expressions shown by members of their own culture or that attributions of less intense emotional state of a person from an unfamiliar culture. In interpreting these results, Carroll Izard claims that an evolutionary and biological relationship appears to exist between facial expressions and certain emotional states but that this connection can be uncoupled by the human facial expressions of emotion are innate and remarkably similar to those seen among animals. 439 440 © Can Stock Photo Inc./3650308 © iStockphoto.com/zilli Although Ekman's studies provide evidence that facial expressions of primary emotions appear to be universal, other data suggest that cultural influences, such as individualism and collectivism, play a role in the expression of emotion. Walter Stephan, Cookie White 441 Stephan, and Marylin Cabezas De Vargas found that persons from individualistic cultures express emotions affirming independent self-conceptions, such as self-actualized, capable, self-satisfied, and proud of oneself. They also found that persons from collectivistic cultures were less comfortable expressing negative emotions, such as self-actualized, capable, self-satisfied, and provide expressing negative emotions (e.g., indignant, annoyed, distrustful) than were persons from individualistic cultures.33 In related research, Ulrich Schimmack found that persons from high uncertainty avoidance cultures were less accurate in the recognize happiness than are collectivists and that persons from high uncertainty avoidance cultures. low uncertainty avoidance. David Matsumoto alleges that high uncertainty avoidance cultures create social institutions to deal with fear and, therefore, recognize this emotion less well.34 Along similar lines, Jeffery Pittam, Cynthia Gallois, Saburo Iwawaki, and Pieter Kroonenberg found that Australians were rated as more expressive by Japanese and that Japanese may conceptualize emotions as less intense.35 In her ongoing research with nonverbal accents—that is, subtle differences in the appearance of facial expressions of emotion seem to be universal, it is reasonable to expect that there will be some "local" (i.e., regional or cultural) variations across cultures. She notes that while Ekman's research is convincing, there is still room for fine distinctions. In one study, Marsh and her colleagues had students judge photographs of Japanese Americans posing with emotional facial expressions. With the emotional expressions, the posers' muscle movements were able to guess the nationality of the same posers displaying neutral facial expressions. Yet they found that participants were able to guess the nationality of the same posers displaying neutral facial expressions. Yet they found that these findings demonstrate that facial expressions of emotion include nonverbal accents that identify the expressions. Finally, she maintains that cultural differences are intensified during emotional expressions are incomplete and need further investigation.36 Recent research conducted by Rachael Jack of the University of Glasgow also challenges Darwin's assumption that facial expressions of emotion-neutral animated faces that were then randomly altered on a computer screen into the six emotions of happiness, sadness, surprise, fear, discust, and anger. The participants associated with each emotion. Their results indicated that the East Asian participants relied on the eyes more to represent facial expressions, while the Western Caucasians relied on the eyebrows and mouth. Jack concludes that these culturally based 442 distinctions could lead to misinterpreted signals about emotions during intercultural communication.37 Cross-Racial Recognition of Faces Most of us have heard statements such as, "I can't tell one Japanese from another ... they all look alike!" Although this statement smacks of racism and ignorance, scientific evidence indicates that own-race identifications. Own-race identifications are those in which we identify someone of the same race as our own. Cross-race identifications are those in which we identify people from a race different from our own.38 Legal scholars have expressed concern over own-race recognition bias in eyewitness identification for some time. In fact, Gustave Feingold argued 100 years ago that, other things being equal, individuals of a given race are distinguishable from one another in proportion to our familiarity and contact with the race as a whole. Thus, to the uninitiated American, all Asiatics look alike, whereas to the Asiatic, all White people look alike. 39 Experts in the field of eyewitness memory and about half of potential jurors endorse the belief that cross-racial identifications are less reliable than same-race identifications. This presumption is based on the belief in the existence of an own-race bias-that is, that people recognize others of their own race better than they do those of another race. John Brigham and Roy Malpass note that the own-race recognizion bias has been demonstrated among White people, Black people, Asians, Latinos, and Hispanics. Explanations for this phenomenon vary. Some evidence shows that persons who have close friends of the other race show less of an own-race recognition bias than do children living in a

segregated environment.41 Conversely, other research indicates that the own-race recognition bias is not reduced by frequent contact with the other race and that prejudiced persons are no more likely to exhibit an own-race recognition bias than are nonprejudiced persons.42 Some evidence indicates that prejudiced persons who view other-race faces tend to focus on the constituent (individual) features of the face, such as the eyes or lips, whereas observers of same-race faces focus on the face as a whole.43 Recent research conducted at the University of Miami offers an alternative explanation for the own-race recognition bias. Researchers there maintain that environmental or contextual cues, such as one's socioeconomic status, bring out a recategorization of same-race individuals as out-group members and thus reduce same-race face recognition but not other-race individuals as out-group members and thus reduce same-race face recognition but not other-race recognition. recognition than presenting those same faces on wealthy backgrounds. Thus, a 443 social context implying that an individual is a poor rather than middle-class White is enough to reduce the recognition advantage of same-race faces. In addition, for White faces on impoverished backgrounds, same-race recognition is about equal to other-race recognition, regardless of whether impoverished Black faces are compared with impoverished Black faces. However, the economic status shown by the background had no effect on the other-race recognition of Black faces. However, the economic status shown by the background had no effect on the other-race recognition of Black faces. that indicated they were out-group members, the accuracy of same-race face recognition was reduced.44 Researchers Kareem Johnson and Barbara Fredrickson of Temple University discuss the idea that when viewing cross-race faces, people focus more on the other's race than on individual identity. They point out that race is one of the most salient features people use to categorize others. In fact, Johnson and Fredrickson note that racial differences are detected faster than other demographics, such as sex and age. To be sure, humans are about 50% faster at responding to racial differences than they are to sex differences. And people are also significantly faster at categorizing cross-race faces as racially different than they are own-race faces as racially similar; that is, own-race bias occurs because encoding information about the individual. Johnson and Fredrickson maintain that categorizing a face by race alters how that individual's face is represented in memory. For example, if someone initially categorizes a face as Black American, he or she may recall the person's skin tone as darker than it actually is and facial features as more stereotypically Black American. Thus, Johnson and Fredrickson conclude that the perception of cross-race faces due to the categorization process may underlie own-race bias.45 Perhaps cross-race identification is not as complicated as we think. Stephen Young and Kurt Hugenberg found that cross-face recognition is most effective when people are motivated to process and recognize cross-race faces. Their model predicts that with very high levels of motivation, even a person with relatively little intergroup interaction will be able to encode and subsequently remember cross-race faces fairly well. But Young and Hugenberg's research shows that the combination of increased intergroup interaction. 46 Regulators Nonverbal regulators are those behaviors and actions that govern, direct, or manage conversation. During conversations in the United States, for example, direct eye contact and affirmative head nodding typically communicated. How close one stands to another during a conversant understands what is being communicated. How close one stands to another during a conversant understand affirmative head nodding typically communicated. distance during conversation can also govern the flow of communication 444 (see Photo 8.7). According to Almaney and Alwan, in some Middle Eastern cultures, people stand close together during interaction to smell each other's breath. To smell each other is considered desirable. In fact, to deny someone your breath communicates shame.47 Harris and colleagues point out that in many Arab cultures, men hold hands as they converse to demonstrate their trust in each other. During conversation, a raising of the eyebrows or a clicking of the tongue signifies a negative response and a disruption in the flow of communication.48 regulators Nonverbal acts that manage and govern communication. between people, such as stance, distance, and eye contact Photo 8.7 Communicator distance during conversation often governs the flow of communicator distance during an itch satisfies a physiological need, whereas tapping the tip of your pen on the desk while waiting for the professor to deliver a final exam satisfies a psychological need. Very little, if any, cross-cultural research on adaptors has been conducted. For the most part, adaptors are not learned and probably do not vary much across cultures. adaptors Mostly unconscious nonverbal actions that satisfy physiological or psychological needs, such as scratching an itch 445 Oculesics is the study of eye contact. Eye contact is one of the most dynamic forms of nonverbal behavior and is an essential biological skill necessary for effective social interactions. Indeed, many researchers believe that basic visual processes, including attraction to the eye region of the face, are not only innate in humans but in animals as well.49 In humans (and probably animals) both adults and infants prefer to look at the eyes of another over other facial features. For example, in their classic study, Stephen Janik, A. Rodney Wellens, Myron Goldberg, and Louis Dell'Osso found that nearly 45% of the attention time humans pay to another is focused on the other person's eyes.50 Reginald Adams and Robert Kleck maintain that both eye gaze and affect (i.e., emotion) are closely associated with approach-avoidance tendencies. They assert that direct gaze is associated with approach tendencies, while averted gaze is associated with avoidance tendencies. But Adams and Kleck also point out that direct gaze in both humans and animals can communicate threat or friendliness. Thus, they maintain that contextual cues become important in discerning the meaning of eye gaze within social arenas. researchers believe that the basic visual processes are innate, many also believe that culture influences eve behavior across social contexts. For example, social rules for direct eve contact and distance during communication vary considerably across social contexts. eye contact is prohibited between persons of differing status. Caroline Blais and her colleagues at the University of Glasgow in Scotland have studied, Blais and her colleagues monitored the eye movements of Western Caucasian and East Asian subjects during the learning stages of a facial recognition task, using Western Caucasian and East Asian faces as stimuli. Their results showed that the Western Caucasian subjects fixated on the eye region and partially on the mouths of the stimuli faces. They note that direct or excessive eye contact is considered rude in many East Asian cultures and that this culturel norm might have determined the eye behavior in the East Asian subjects in their study.52 In Korea, for example, a large power distance culture, persons with lower status avoid direct eye contact with persons of higher status because doing so is seen as a challenge or conflict, even within the family. In China, also a large power distance culture, prolonged direct eye contact is considered rude and disrespectful, especially to persons of higher status. Moreover, recall from Chapter 1 that there are 1.4 billion people in China, and in crowded situations, which are typical in the cities, the Chinese avoid eye contact as a way to give themselves privacy. 446 In a recent study, researchers at the University of Tokyo studied the eye behavior of Japanese and Finnish subjects and measured physiological (i.e., heart rate and gaze duration) and subjects and measured with averted eye contact, direct eye contact, direct eye contact with a real person elicited stronger heart rate deceleration and shorter looking times in both cultures. But the two groups differed in their subjects, Japanese subjects perceive another's face as being angrier, unapproachable, and unpleasant when making direct eye contact, as opposed to using an averted gaze. These results suggest that cultures already discussed, in other cultures such as Australia, the United States, Germany, Italy, France, and Spain, direct eye contact is seen as appropriate, as demonstrating one's veracity, and as showing interest in the other. Indirect eye contact may be perceived as rude and communicates that one may be hiding something or not being truthful. Eye contact differs between men and women across most cultures. eyes than men do. She notes that Cleopatra was well known for eye adornment; she used kohl makeup to outline and accentuate her eyes. Nelson also notes that in India, women place jewels around their eyes to draw attention to them. own methods for enhancing their eyes, including under-eye concealer, false eyelashes, eye shadow, eyeliner, and mascara. Nelson maintains that in the United States, women use eye contact to communicate affiliation and approach tendencies, while men use eye contact to communicate affiliation and approach tendencies. lower their eyes in submission.54 Paralanguage Paralanguage refers to vocal qualities that usually, though not necessarily, accompany speech. Knapp and Hall divide paralanguage into two broad categories: voice qualities and vocalizations. Paralanguage into two broad categories: voice qualities and vocalizations. Paralinguistic vocalizations include laughing, crying, sighing, belching, swallowing,
clearing the throat, snoring, and so forth. Other paralinguistic vocalizations are intensity and nonfluencies, such as um, ah, and uh. Silence is also considered within the domain of paralanguage. Often, paralinguistic qualities, vocalizations, and nonfluencies reveal a speaker's emotional state or veracity. Audiences can discern when speakers are nervous or confident by listening to their tone of voice, rhythm, pace, and the number of nonfluencies they utter. Parents often detect a child's deception not so much by what the child says but by how it is said. Through paralanguage, we can tell whether speakers are being genuine, cynical, or sarcastic. Moreover, a person's geographical 447 origin can be determined by listening closely to his or her paralanguage.55 paralanguag Consonants, on the other hand, function to stop and start sound. Linguist Peter Ladefoged has observed that although there are perhaps as many as 900 consonants and 200 vowels in all the world's languages, many languages tend to use only five vowel sounds. In fact, one in five languages use the same vowel sounds as are used in Spanish and English—a, e, i, o, and u—although there are variations on their pronunciation. According to Ladefoged, although any human is capable of making literally thousands of speech sounds, only a few hundred have ever been observed among the world's spoken languages. The average language uses only about 40 sounds, and all babies are capable of making all of them. All babies, the world over, make the same sounds during infancy. Linguists believe that these sounds are the building blocks by which infants construct mature sounds of all human languages. All babies regularly produce a small subset of universal syllable types that occur in all the world's languages. This is strong evidence that human languages. Clicking sounds, for example, can be heard in South Africa's Zulu and Xhosa languages, and invented by humans but, rather, evolved. To be sure, unusual sounds show up in some languages. and nasal sounds are heard in Inuit languages. Although these sounds may be unique components of these languages, all human babies, regardless of culture's formal verbal languages, called tonal languages, rely on vocalized tones to communicate meaning. In these languages, a rising or falling tone changes the meaning of a word. Thai is a pentatonal language, meaning that all words are only one syllable long. Like the Thai language, Vietnamese is a monosyllabic language, meaning that all words are only one syllable changes. with tone. The Chinese language is tonal as well. Mandarin Chinese, the most common language in China, is based on four or five tones. Every syllable in Mandarin has its definite tone, using the highest pitch of the speaker's voice. The second tone, vangping, starts from a lower pitch and ends high. The syllable is spoken with a relatively is perhaps the most difficult to master. It begins as a middle-level tone, goes down, bounds up, and ends with a relatively higher pitch. The fourth tone, chyusheng, is a falling tone that starts high and ends at the lowest range of the speaker's voice. The fifth tone, chingsheng is often called the neutral tone.57 To be sure, English and other languages have inflections—that is, a change in pitch on certain words and sentences. English speaker's sentence can be misinterpreted. In Chinese, however, tones completely change the meaning of a word Take, for example, the word ma. In the first tone, ma becomes "horse," and in the fourth tone, ma becomes "horse," and in the fourth tone, ma becomes "to scold" or "to nag." In Mandarin Chinese, the meanings of words are strictly based on the tones, which remain constant in whispering, yelling, or even singing. Mandarin tones are relative to the natural pitch of the speaker. A deep-voiced man's high note may be much lower than the high note and vary across cultures. South Koreans are taught to avoid talking or laughing loudly in any situation; such behavior is seen as rude and unbecoming since it tends to draw attention. Many Koreans, especially women, cover their mouths when laughing.59 In their study of paralanguage, Miron Zuckerman and Kunitate Miyake introduce the idea of a vocal attractiveness stereotype. They contend that, as with physical attractiveness, individuals perceived to be vocally attractive elicit more favorable impressions than those not perceived to be vocally attractive. The results of their study indicate that attractive voices are squeaky, nasal, monotone, and off pitch. Zuckerman and Miyake found some sex differences in vocal attractiveness. For example, throatiness was perceived more negatively among female voices than among male voices and John region, we can hear differences in the pronunciation and vocal pitch of the people, or in their accents. Agata Gluszek and John Dovidio of Yale University point out that while speakers often do not recognize it in themselves, everyone speaks with an accent.61 Following the pioneering work of Howard Giles, an accent represents a manner or style of pronunciation and is distinct from a speaker's dialect. According to Giles, dialect refers to differences in grammar and vocabulary among different versions of the same language, whereas an accent is the paralinguistic component combined with the phonological and intonation features of the same grammar, syntax, and lexicon but sound very different in their usage.62 Such paralinguistic differences often prompt social perceptions of others, especially during intercultural communication in which one or more of the interactants speaks with a nonnative accent. A substantial body of research has 449 accumulated in the social and psychological impact of speaking with a nonnative accent. Much of this research supports the argument that the manner and style in which one speaks, including one's accent, plays a central role in creating and maintaining one's accent, plays a central role in creating and maintaining one's accent, plays a central role in creating and maintaining one's accent, plays a central role in creating and maintaining one's accent, plays a central role in creating and maintaining one's accent, plays a central role in creating and maintaining one's accent, plays a central role in creating and maintaining one's accent, plays a central role in creating and maintaining one's accent, plays a central role in creating and maintaining one's accent, plays a central role in creating and maintaining one's accent, plays a central role in creating and maintaining one's accent, plays a central role in creating and maintaining one's accent, plays a central role in creating and maintaining one's accent, plays a central role in creating and maintaining one's accent, plays a central role in creating and maintaining one's accent, plays a central role in creating and maintaining one's accent, plays a central role in creating and maintaining one's accent, plays a central role in creating and maintaining one's accent, plays a central role in creating accent, plays accent who does not apply the language competently.64 In their review of some of the research in this area, Katherine Kinzler and her associates point out that perceived accent is an initial indicator of in-group/out-group distinctions and that children as young as 5 months old, who have virtually no verbal competence, can discriminate between two languages or dialects, provided that one of the languages/dialects is their own. They also suggest that 5-month-old infants display social preferences based on paralanguage. In their own studies, Kinzler and her colleagues presented 5-year-olds with photographs and voice recordings of children they did not know. The children chose to be friends with native speakers of their native language rather than children with foreign accents. The researchers note that these preferences were not due to the verbal intelligibility of the foreign speakers' speech, as children found that children chose same-race children as friends when the target children were silent, but they chose as friends other-race children with a ccents. In other words, children were silent, but they chose as friends assert that a nonnative accent is one of the most salient characteristics of a person that identifies him or her as an out-group member and that a nonnative accent is distinct from verbal language competency. That is, people who are perfectly verbally fluent in a second language may still carry a nonnative accent after many years in a host country because they retain the paralinguistic phonology (i.e., the sounds) of their native tongue. These people, they argue, are usually stereotyped negatively, which carries serious social, professional, and economic consequences. Gluszek and Dovidio stress that nonnative accents are associated with a wide range of negative stereotypes, including perceptions that the people who speak them are less intelligent, less loyal, less competent, and lower in social status. But Gluszek and Dovidio also point out that in some cases, a nonnative accent is associated with positive traits. For example, in the United States, a British accent is often perceived as prestigious. Generally, in the United States, western European accents as markers during person perception, suggests that there is nothing inherent to accents that renders some more aesthetically pleasing than others. Instead, 450 accents serve as social identity cues, which, in turn, trigger negative or positive perceptions.66 In related work, Jim Neuliep and Kendall Speten-Hansen examined the relationship between ethnocentrism and perceptions.66 In related work, Jim Neuliep and Kendall Speten-Hansen examined the relationship between ethnocentrism and perceptions. an experimental or a control group. After completing a measure of ethnocentrism, participants in both groups were exposed to one of two videos of the same speaker in the video viewed by the experimental group spoke with a
nonnative accent while the speaker viewed by the control group spoke with a standard American accent. For the experimental group, ethnocentrism was negatively and significantly correlated with perceptions of the speaker's physical, social, and task attractiveness, his credibility, and perceived homophily. That is, the speaker with the nonnative accent was perceived as less physically, socially, and task attractive. He was perceived as less credible and less similar. For the control group, none of the correlations were significant.67 In addition to being negatively stereotyped, persons with nonnative accents may face prejudice and discrimination and are unprotected by the courts. Nonnative accent discrimination differs from ethnic or racial discrimination in that the United States Civil Rights Act of 1964 prohibits discrimination on the basis of race or national origin but does not specifically mention accent. They are more likely to be assigned lower status positions within organizations and to receive lower pay increments. In fact, according to Gluszek and Dovidio, many employers who have been sued by nonnative speaker's accent impaired his or her job performance. Even in the college classroom, perceptions of nonnative accents affect students who saw the Japanese or White instructor. The students who saw the Japanese photo actually believed they heard an accent and performed worse on a lecture comprehension test than did those who were exposed to the White instructor's photograph. In another study, conducted in England, researchers manipulated the accent of a British male criminal suspect. They found that when participants listened to a recorded conversation between the British male criminal suspect and a male policeman, they rated the suspect as significantly more guilty when he employed a Birmingham accent rather than a standard British accent.68 While one's accent is something that is heard, silence is the lack of verbal communication or the absence of sound. Hasegawa and Gudykunst assert that 451 culture influences the meaning and use of silence. In their research, they compared the use of silence is defined as a pause, break, empty space, or lack of verbal communication. Hasegawa and Gudykunst maintain that silence generally is not a part of U.S. everyday communication routines. They argue that although silence is a cceptable among intimate others, when meeting strangers, U.S. citizens are conscious of silence and find it quite awkward. In Japan, however, silence is a space or pause during verbal communication that has important meaning. Pauses, or silence, are to be interpreted carefully. Stylistically, Japanese are taught to be indirect and sometimes ambiguous to maintain harmony. Silence, then, can be used to avoid directness, such as bluntly saying "no" to a request.69 Proxemics refers to the perception and use of space, including territoriality and personal space. Territoriality refers to physical geographical space; personal space refers to perceptual or psychological space—sometimes thought of as the "bubble" of space that humans carry with them in their day-to-day activities. College students who live in the very close quarters of a dorm are usually quite sensitive to proxemics and territoriality. Dorm rooms do not allow for much personal space or territoriality. In their comparison of territoriality among Turkish and U.S. students, Naz Kaya and Margaret Weber found that in both cultures, men tended to demonstrate more nonsharing behavior and less personalization of their space than did women. The authors also report that students in both cultures who knew their roommates tended to share their personal belongings and other dimensions of the dorm room more than students who did not know their rooms as more personal and expressive of the self than did Turkish students. U.S. citizens are generally very protective of their space.70 proxemics The perception and use of space, including territoriality and personal space 452 Student Voices Across Cultures: Proxemics and Personal Space in Japanese. I studied abroad at Tsuru University in Tsuru City, Yamanashi Prefecture, Japan. One day I joined some of my new Japanese friends for udon at a local restaurant during the school lunch hour. Udon are thicker than soba noodles, white, and chewier. Udon is widely available at restaurants across Japan and is prepared in various hot and cold dishes. Udon is really popular with students, and lots of people had lunch at the same time, so the campus cafeteria and local restaurants were packed. This particular udon shop was completely full, so a group of us waited outside for seats to open up. The setup of the restaurant was a small group of tables that sat four people each, traditional seiza style (sitting on your legs with no chair at a low-rise table). To me, it felt rather like a family-style restaurant in the United States. There were seven of us, so we split up—four at one table, and three at the table where I was sitting. Instead of a server taking our orders, customers write their order, leave seemed to think anything of it, but I was a little uncomfortable at first with a stranger entering our space. But it made sense as I thought about it. Japan is a very densely populated country, with nearly 900 people per square mile. So there was no point in taking up an entire table for four for just one person, especially when the restaurant was so busy Japanese tend to not talk to strangers, whether they're shopping, waiting in line, or riding on the train, so there was no reason for this person to even greet us. Although I had adapted to the Japanese approach to personal space (for instance, having none on trains), this really surprised me because the stranger was sitting in the space that I had assumed (with my cultural background) to belong to me and my group. But my Japanese friends and the other patrons just saw it as an empty seat. 454 Student Voices Across Cultures: Proxemics and Personal Space in England Anna Shircel I am a 21-year-old St. Norbert College student from Sheboygan, Wisconsin, pursuing a degree in communication and media studies. During the spring semester of my junior year, I studied abroad in London. Though I did not experience the barrier of a foreign language, my time in London did provide me with countless instances of intercultural communication. I found the most apparent difference to be the nonverbal communication behaviors encountered on British public transportation. The Underground—or the British—is a vital part of life in London and serves as a hub of unique nonverbal behaviors. The British—is a vital part of life in London and serves as a hub of unique nonverbal behaviors. passengers tend to read or listen to music, better to avoid communication. This is the rule many tourists fail to follow. Groups of travelers would hop on the locals trying to get through their daily commute in silence. British passengers would appear visibly annoyed by these individuals. 455 Another nonverbal behavior difference on the Tube was based on proxemics, or the perception and use of space. During rush hour, the concept of personal space becomes nonexistent. Businessmen and -women cram into the cars in an effort to make it to work on time. During parts of my commute, I would ride pushed right up against the door by other bodies. This situation was extremely awkward for me at first, but I gradually became accustomed to the close confines of the Tube is less busy. One never sits directly next to someone when other seats are open. One can choose a seat next to someone only when all other seats have been filled. Again, British individuals seemed rather taken aback whenever an unknowing tourist sat directly next to them when there were other options. While these nonverbal behaviors and unwritten rules may seem unusual in the United States, accepting them allowed me to feel more integrated into my host country and gave meet a more authentic study-abroad experience. In fact, by the end of my time in London, I found myself feeling just as frustrated as the locals by those population density is high, personal space and territoriality are highly valued. Privacy in densely populated locations is often accomplished psychologically rather than physiologically. In Calcutta, India, for example, there are nearly 80,000 persons per square mile. There is literally not enough room in the city to claim any personal space. Touching and bumping into others while walking through the streets of Calcutta is quite common and to be expected.71 The Moroccan perception of space reflects the culture's valuing of community. Personal space during a conversation is typically less than an arm's length. In mosques, worshipers line up shoulder to pray. Houses typically less than an arm's length. less aware of personal territory than are people in the United States. For example, many Kenyans do not designate specific rooms in the home for specific rooms in the borne for specific activities, such as a living room. In addition, the personal space distance between interactants is much closer than in the United States. For example, many Kenyans do not designate specific activities, such as a living room. between culture and proxemic behavior in comparing U.S. citizens with Arabs, Latin Americans, Pakistanis, Germans, Italians, Japanese, and Venezuelans. These examples suggest that culture plays a decisive role in how spatial distances are maintained during communication. Other variables besides culture can affect proxemic distances, however such as the age and sex of the interactants, the nature of the relationship, the environment, and the ethnicity of the interactants. Several studies have documented that in most cultures, the need for personal space increases with age. In addition, the use of space as influenced by sex seems to vary significantly by culture.74 Haptics Skin is the biggest organ of the human body, which not only surrounds the
body, but also separates it from the environment.... Skin represents a social 456 organ through which humans are able to get in touch with and get close to other human beings.75 Haptics, or tactile communication, refers to the use of touch. Knapp and Hall argue that touch may be the most primitive form of communication.76 Haptic communication varies widely across cultures, and the amount and kind of touch varies with the age, sex, situation, and relationship of the people involved. In his theorizing about cultures are those that tend to encourage touching and engage in touching more frequently than do either moderate-contact or noncontact cultures, in which touching occurs less frequently and is generally discouraged. Many South and Central American countries are considered contact cultures, as are many southern European countries. The United States is regarded as a moderate-contact culture, whereas many Asian countries are considered noncontact. Many Asian cultures have established norms that forbid public displays of affection and intimacy that involve touch. One of the five central tenets of Confucianism is so central to many Asian cultures. engaging in touch with the opposite sex is considered uncivil.78 haptics The use of touch and physical contact between interactants In their field study of touch behavior of couples in airports. They found that couples from the United States touched most, followed by (in order of most to least touching) couples from northern Europe, the Caribbean/Latin America, Southeast Asia, and northeast Asia.79 In their study, Rosemarie Dibiase and Jaime Gunnoe found that touching behavior at a dance club at night differed among people from Italy, the United States, and the Czech Republic. Italians engaged in the most hand and nonhand touching, while men and women from the United States showed the least touching behavior.80 Because we are often taught not to touch avoidance. These people feel uncomfortable in situations requiring touch and generally avoid touching when possible. In her study of U.S., Japanese, Puerto Rican, and Korean cultures, Beth Casteel found no touch avoidance differences in same-sex dyads for the Japanese and U.S. citizens in that both were same-sex dyads in Puerto Rico and Korean. In opposite-sex dyads, however, Japanese and Korean showed much higher levels of touch avoidance than did U.S. citizens and Puerto Ricans. Casteel concluded that Japanese and U.S. cultures of southern Europe and low-contact cultures of northern Europe and low-contact cultures of northern Europe. Psychologists Martin Remland, Tricia Jones, and Heidi Brinkman 457 found that more touch was observed among Italian and Greek dyads than among English, French, and touch. Heterosexual men are often seen kissing each other on both cheeks and walking together arm in arm, as are women. East Indians are very expressive with touch. To touch the feet of elders is a sign of respect. Indians demonstrate their trust for each other by holding hands briefly during a conversation or religious activity. When a Hindu priest blesses others at religious gatherings, he gently touches the palms of their outstretched hands.83 Saudi Arabians tend to value touching as well. Saudi businessmen often hold hands as a sign of trust, a form of touch behavior that some U.S. citizens often misunderstand. Saudi women, however, are never to be touched in public.84 Most cultures, the head is considered sacred and should not be touched by others. U.S. citizens sometimes make the mistake of patting children of other cultures, this is seen as a serious breach of etiquette.85 In many African and Middle Eastern cultures, the use of the left hand is forbidden in certain social situations. In Kenya, Indonesia, and Pakistan, for example, the left hand should not be used in eating or serving food. Harris and associates report that in Kenya the left hand is considered weak and unimportant. Sometimes, Kenyans intentionally use the left hand when serving food to someone they disrespect. In other cultures, such as Iraq and Iran, the left hand is used for cleaning oneself following the performance of certain bodily functions and, thus, should never be used to give or receive gifts or other objects.86 Like proxemics, the nature of touch is often mediated by more than culture. of the touch, the environment in which the touch occurs (public or private), and whether the touch is intentional or accidental influence touch area in the self-appraisal of one's own skin among persons from Germany, Italy, France, and Syria.87 In their study, Schut and her colleagues had nearly 3,500 participants complete the Touch-Shame-DisgustQuestionnaire that measures pleasure in touching in a partnership, parental touching during childhood, and skin-related feelings of shame and disgust. Their results showed that Italians had the highest scores on pleasure in touching oneself and touching in a partnership, whereas the German and Italian sample both scored significantly higher on pleasure in touching oneself, whereas the German and Italian sample both scored significantly higher on pleasure in touching oneself. parental touching, or touching in a partnership. In addition, education level had no significant effect on pleasure in touching and touching in a partnership. Participants with a low education level had no significant impact on pleasure in touching in a partnership. higher education level. Participants with an average education level reported more pleasure in touching oneself, parental touching, and touching in a partnership. Being older was associated with low pleasure in touching oneself parental touching, and touching in a partnership. Regarding shame and disgust, Germans scored significantly lower on shame than did the French, Italians. Germans, and Italians. Germans had significantly more feelings of disgust than did the French and Italians. Significant gender effects were also observed. Across all four cultures, men reported having less feelings of shame and disgust, but participants with a higher education level reported having more feelings of shame than did participants with an average or lower education level. Age also had a significant effect on shame, such that with increasing age, shame decreased and disgust increased.88 459 Student Voices Across Cultures: Touch Patterns in Zambia Lindsey Novitzke I'm a 20-year-old college student from New Richmond, Wisconsin. In May 2010, I visited Zambia, Africa, with an organization called The Zambia Project, which works throughout the year doing various fundraising events for Zambian Open Community Schools, a nonprofit that exists to provide education to orphans in Zambia. I had the adventure of a lifetime visiting schools, distributing schools, distributing schools, and experiencing Zambian culture. Nonverbal communication played a crucial role in my interactions with the people of Zambia. Although the official languages in addition to a different kind of English than what we speak in the United States. Oftentimes, the younger children did not know any English; therefore, much of our communication was nonverbal. Most specifically, touch played a considerable role in all our interactions with the Children. Zambian culture is a high-contact culture, where even the handshake they use as a greeting involves more touch than a handshake in the United States. I would often see male and female children of all ages holding hands as they walked to and from school. Zambian social structure, such as the school and the home, promotes a heavy amount of touch, requiring people to sit and work closely together. shoulder, where the baby is constantly touching them, from an early 460 age, that touch is essential. Since my verbal communication with the younger children was minimal, I often held their hands or let them sit in my lap as a way to demonstrate my friendship. For adults to touch one another as they interacted was not uncommon. Their personal space "bubbles" were small, almost nonexistent. Being from a low-contact culture, I felt bombarded by the amount of touch occurring during interactions. Many of the United States, I actually craved touch and felt that people in the United States were unusually distant. Touch played a major role in my visit to Zambia. Olfactics—that is, our sense of smell. Our lack of understanding is certainly not because we lack a sense of smell. According to Boyd Gibbons, humans can detect as many as 10,000 different compounds by smell. Moreover, about 1% of our genes are devoted to detecting odors. Although this may not seem like much, humans have more olfactory genes that our lack of understanding may be because we lack a vocabulary for smell and are discouraged from talking about smells, especially those of the human body. According to Gibbons, the biggest users of fragrance in the world are U.S.-based companies such as Procter & Gamble, Lever Bros., and Colgate. Some brands of soap use more than 2 million pounds of fragrance a year. In many Western cultures, body odor is regarded as unpleasant and distasteful, and we go to great efforts to mask or remove it.89 olfactics The perception and use of smell, scent, and odor David Stoddart asserts that in addition to our ability of fragrance a year. to detect odors, humans are even more adept at producing odors. According to Stoddart, evidence from anatomy, chemistry, and psychology indicates that humans are the most highly scented of all the apes. Human scent comes from two types of glands that lie beneath the skin, the sebaceous glands and the apocrine glands. Sebaceous glands are all over the body, wherever there are hair follicles. They produce an odorous, oily fluid whose original purpose was to protect hair. The apocrine glands are a type of sweat gland. They are most dense in our armpits but are also found in the public and anal regions, the face, the scalp, and the umbilical region of the abdomen (the belly button). Women appear to
have more apocrine glands than do men, but some evidence suggests that their glands are less active than those in men. The most distasteful odors come from the apocrine glands, which are activated when we are frightened, excited, or aroused. Human saliva and urine also produce scent.90 According to James Kohl and Robert Francoeu research has repeatedly shown that women perceive odors differently at various phases of their menstrual cycles. Scientists believe that axillary organ secretions function as odor cues to stimulate their cycles. On a related note, studies have shown that vaginal secretions during ovulation are described as distinctly unpleasant. 91 Kohl and Francoeur suggest that although preferences for certain smells seem to vary across cultures, there appears to be a universal preference for some kinds of scents that may have biological and evolutionary roots. These preferences are probably mediated by culture to some extent, however. For example, the finest perfumes in the world contain olfactory hints of urine. Scientists allege that these scents function as sex attractants. We know, for example, that sex attractant pheromones are expelled from the body in urine. These two kinds of smell may mirror those of our brains.92 In addition to functioning as a sex attractant, smell is also used politically for marking social class distinctions. Constance Classen, David Howes, and Anthony Synnott contend that smell plays a significant role in the construction of power relations in many societies.93 Annick Le Guérer comments, for example, that idiomatic expressions often employ smellrelated terms to voice antagonism and repugnance toward others. People refer to persons they dislike as "stinkers." When we are suspicious of someone, we say we "smell a rat." When something seems wrong or amiss, we comment that "it doesn't smell right" or "smells fishy." Dishonest politicians may "reek of hypocrisy." 4 Anthony Synnott claims that odor is often used to categorize groups of people into status, power, and moral classes. To be sure, "smell right" or "smells fishy." Dishonest politicians may "reek of hypocrisy." Status, power, and moral classes. To be sure, "smell right" or "smells fishy." Dishonest politicians may "reek of hypocrisy." Status, power, and moral classes. To be sure, "smell right" or "smells fishy." Dishonest politicians may "reek of hypocrisy." Status, power, and moral classes. To be sure, "smell right" or "smells fishy." Dishonest politicians may "reek of hypocrisy." Status, power, and moral classes. To be sure, "status, power, and moral classes." To be sure, "smell right" or "smells fishy." Dishonest politicians may "reek of hypocrisy." Status, power, and moral classes. To be sure, "smell right" or "smells fishy." Dishonest politicians may "reek of hypocrisy." Status, power, and moral classes. To be sure, "smell right" or "smells fishy." Dishonest politicians may "reek of hypocrisy." Status, power, and moral classes. To be sure, "smell right" or "smells fishy." Dishonest politicians may "reek of hypocrisy." Status, power, and "status, power the smells themselves are not intrinsically moral or immoral, but the qualities or thoughts attributed to the specific scents give them moral significance. Synott argues that a person's scent is not only an individual emission and a moral statement but also a perceived social attribute that is especially significance. are often labeled smelly. Such labels often foster racial, ethnic, and religious prejudice and hatred. Subordinate and microcultural groups are often described as possessing negative identity is imposed on a particular class or race.95 Many cultures establish norms for acceptable and unacceptable scents associated with the human body. When individuals or groups of people fail to fit into the realm of acceptability, their odor signals that something is "wrong" with them, either physically or mentally. Kohl and Francoeur note that the U.S. Puritan tradition of "cleanliness is next to godliness" may explain the U.S. obsession with deodorants, perfumes, soaps, and shampoos.96 Social class distinctions based on smells are the cultural product of education, religion, parenting, and social pressure from peers. With the exception of those scents that 462 appeal to everyone, people are conditioned to find certain scents attractive and others dirty or foul. Moreover, such distinctions sustain social barriers between groups and even justify a dominant group's persecution of subordinate groups.97 In the Middle Ages, wealthy people bought perfumes to diminish the scent of the lower classes. Nineteenth-century Japanese described European traders with the term bata-kusai—"stinks of butter."98 Adolf Hitler's hatred of Jews was based partially on olfactics; he claimed that their foul odor was representative of their "moral inlew" and reflected their outer and inner foulness and, therefore, their immorality.99 Gibbons reports that during World Wars I and II, German and English soldiers claimed they could identify the enemy by their smell. Similar claims have been made by North Vietnamese and U.S. troops.100 Classen, Howes, and Synnott maintain that more than any other group, women are stereotyped and classified by their scent. Historically, in many cultures, women were considered the fragrant sex, unless they were prostitutes or suffragettes or challenged the male-dominated social order. The role of fragrance was primarily to entice men. In general, the Western cultural axiom has been that, unless perfumed, women stink. Jonathan Swift's poem "The Lady's Dressing Room" expresses this belief: His foul imagination links Each Dame he sees with all her Stinks: And, if unsav'ry Odours fly, Conceives a Lady standing by.101 Although it may be the least studied of all the senses, social scientists are discovering that olfactory sensation is a potent influence on social interaction. Survey data indicate that a significant percentage of adults are conscious of and influenced by smells in their environment. In their poll of more than 350 U.S. adults, the Olfactory Research Fund found that 64% of respondents indicated that smell greatly influenced the quality of their lives. Specifically, 76% of the respondents reported that it was "somewhat important." As related to their relationships with their spouses, 74% indicated that smell was "very important," and 22% said that it was "somewhat, 36% of the respondents indicated that smell was "important," in their relationships with friends, and 40% agreed that smell was "very important," in their relationships with coworkers. Of all respondents, 80% reported using environmental fragrances, such as potpourri, room sprays, and scented candles. Well over 463 60% of respondents believed that particular aromas enhance the quality of life, relieve stress, and help retrieve memories. aftershave, 83% said they did so because they liked the scent, 68% said it made them feel better about themselves, 56% said it enhanced their sense of well-being, 51% said they used fragrances to make themselves more romantically attractive to others, and 46% said they used fragrances to make themselves. often motivated by the pivotal role olfactics play in the maintenance of social relationships. Josephine Todrank, Deidre Byrnes, Amy Wrzesniewski, and Paul Rozin assert that most cultures assign meaning to odors that is often displaced onto the people wearing those odors. 103 This is especially evident in relationships with members of the opposite sex. Although it is widely recognized that odors play a determinant role in the mating practices of many animal species, Kohl and Francoeur argue that odors hasten puberty, mediate women's menstrual cycles, and even influence sexual orientation.104 Extant research indicates that odors help people identify their family members, facilitate the bond between parents and children, and influence how often and with whom individuals mate. Kate Fox is a social anthropologist and the director of the Social Issues Research Center in Oxford, England. Fox has studied cultural differences in olfactics, with a special emphasis on non-Western cultures. Fox maintains that unlike in most Western cultures. For example, Fox describes the importance of smell among the Southeast coast of India. According to Foxing to much of Ongee cultural life revolves around smell. For example, their calendar is based on the smell of flowers that bloom at different times of the year. One's personal identity is defined by smell." Fox also reports that during greetings, Ongee routinely ask, "How is your nose?" rather than "How are you?" Ongee etiquette prescribes that if a person responds that he or she feels "heavy with smell," the greeter should inhale deeply to remove the excess smell. Conversely, if the greeted person indicates that he or she is short on smell energy, Ongee etiquette prescribes that the greeter contribute some extra scent by blowing on him or her.105 Fox also describes smell rituals among the Bororo, personal body smell indicates the life force of the individual, whereas one's breath odor indicates the state of one's soul The Ndut believe that individuals possess a physical smell. For example, the Ndut can tell which ancestor has been reincarnated by associating the smell of a child with that of a deceased person. 106 (Complete Self-Assessment 8.1 to get an idea of your own 464 level of olfactory perception and sensitivity.) In her olfactic research, Fox has discovered that among those cultures in which smell is closely associated with one's personal identity, the exchange or mixing of odors among people is carefully prescribed. For example, among the Amazonian Desana, members of a particular tribal group are thought to share a similar odor. Marriage is allowed only between people of similar odor groups are prohibited from engaging in sexual intercourse and even sitting too close to one another. The Batek Negrito believe that the
prolonged mixing of similar odors causes illness in the people themselves and any children they may conceive.107 Fox also writes that Western smell preferences are not universal. For example, the Dassanetch, a tribal cattle-raising group in Ethiopia, believe that the smell of cows is the most pleasing of all smells Dassanetch men routinely wash their hands in cattle urine and smear their bodies with cattle manure. Such smells are associated with status and fertility. The Dogon people of Mali find the scent of onions attractive, especially for young men and women, who rub fried onions all over their bodies. 108 Physical Appearance and Dress Often, we can identify a person's culture by his or her physical appearance and dress. Communication with another is often preceded by visual observations of the other's physical appearance to communicate their identity. Most cultures have strict rules for how their members should present themselves. Violating a culture's prescriptions for appearance may result in negative sanctions. In many cultures, a person's age, sex, and status within the culture. 465 Self-Assessment 8.1: Personal Report of Olfactory Perception and Sensitivity (PROPS) Directions: The following instrument is designed to assess your level of olfactory perception and sensitivity. On a scale of 1 to 7, indicate the degree to which each statement applies to you: 1 = strongly disagree, 2 = disagree, 3 = slightly disagree, 4 = undecided, 5 = slightly agree, 6 = agree, 7 = strongly agree. 1. When interacting with a stranger of the opposite sex, I am typically conscious of the scent of his or her breath. 3. When interacting with a stranger of the opposite sex, I am typically conscious of the scent of his or her cologne or perfume. 2. When interacting with a stranger of the opposite sex, I am typically conscious of the scent of his or her body. 4. When interacting 5. When interacting with a stranger of the same sex, I am typically conscious of the scent of his or her body. with a stranger of the same sex, I am typically conscious of the scent of his or her breath. 6. When interacting with a stranger of the same sex, I am typically conscious of the scent of his or her cologne or perfume. 7. When interacting with a close friend of the opposite sex, I am typically conscious of the scent of his or her breath. 8. When interacting with a close friend of the opposite sex, I am typically conscious of the scent of his or her body. 9. When interacting with a close friend of the opposite sex, I am typically conscious of the scent of his or her 10. When interacting with a close friend of the same sex, I am typically conscious of the scent of his or her breath. _____ 11. When interacting with a close friend of the same sex, I am typically conscious of the scent of his or her body. 12. When interacting with a close friend of the same sex, I am typically conscious of cologne or perfume. 13. When interacting with a stranger of the opposite sex, I am typically conscious of the scent of my breath. the scent of his or her cologne or perfume. 14. When interacting with a stranger of the opposite sex, I am typically conscious of the scent of my body. 15. When interacting with a stranger of the opposite sex, I am typically 16. When interacting with a stranger of the same sex, I am typically conscious of the scent of my breath. 466 conscious of the scent of my cologne or perfume. 17. When interacting with a stranger of the same sex, I am typically conscious of the scent of my body. 18. When interacting with a stranger of the same sex, I am typically ____20. When interacting with a close friend of the opposite sex, I am typically conscious of the scent of my body. 21. When interacting with a close friend of the opposite sex, I conscious of the scent of my cologne or perfume. 19. When interacting with a close friend of the opposite sex, I am typically conscious of the scent of my breath. 22. When interacting with a close friend of the same sex, I am typically conscious of the scent of my breath. 23. When interacting with a close friend of the same sex, I am typically conscious of the scent of my body. 24. When interacting with a close friend of the same am typically conscious of the scent of my cologne or perfume. 25. When interacting with someone from a different culture or ethnicity, I am typically conscious of the scent of his or her cologne or sex, I am typically conscious of the scent of my cologne or perfume. 29. When interacting with someone in a private environment, I 27. When interacting with someone from a different culture or ethnicity, I am typically conscious of the scent of his or her body. 28. When interacting with someone in a private environment, I am typically conscious of the immediate surroundings. perfume. am typically conscious of the scent of the furniture. 30. When interacting with someone in a public environment, I am typically conscious of the scent of the immediate surroundings. Scoring: To calculate your PROPS score, sum your responses as follows: 1. 2. 3. 4. Perception and sensitivity to others: add Items 1–12 (range = 12–84). Perception and sensitivity to self: add Items 13-24 (range = 3-21). Higher scores indicate more sensitivity to the particular contexts. For example, scores above 50 for perception and sensitivity to others and/or self would be high, whereas scores below 30 would be considered low sensitivity. Source: Neuliep, J. W. and Groshkopf, E. L., Toward a Communication Theory of Olfactics: Explication, Development of the Personal Report of Olfactic Perception and Some Initial Tests. Paper presented at the 2001 annual convention of the National Communication, Atlanta, GA. 467 Student Voices Across Cultures: Nonverbal Communication in Saudi Arabia Hussam Almoharb My name is Hussam Almoharb. I am 28 years old, and I was born and raised in Riyadh, I went to an associate college to study computer science. In 2009, I came to the United States to finish my degree and to learn English. In Saudi Arabia, the traditional Saudi clothing for men is called Thobe. It is usually white in the summer and can be any color in the other seasons. Thobe is the formal wear for Saudi men, but sometimes young Saudi men wear jeans. For Saudi women, hijab (an Islamic veil) is required in public places. However, in the large cities of Saudi Arabia, it is possible to see some women without hijab, especially if they are non-Saudis. Based on my experience, there are many differences between the Saudi and American cultures, especially if the other person is older. However, making eye contact without saying, "As-Salamu Alaykum" (Peace be upon you) is considered very impolite, too. The other thing is the physical contact. Compared with American culture, Saudis shake hands and say, "As-Salamu Alaykum." Sometimes they keep holding hands until the conversation is over. In Saudi Arabia, holding hands means trust and welcome. However, it is inappropriate for a Saudi man to shake hands with a Saudi woman, especially if she is wearing a veil. Also, 468 one should avoid using the left hand for greeting is viewed as disrespectful. In virtually every culture, men and women dress differently, and in many cultures, the differences begin at birth. In the United States, for example, male infants are traditionally dressed in blue and female infants in pink. Harris and colleagues observe that in India, businessmen wear a dhoti, a single piece of white cloth about 5 yards long and 3 feet wide that wraps around their lower body. Long shirts are worn on the upper part of the body. Most Indian women wear a sari and blouse. A sari consists of several yards of lightweight cloth draped so that one end forms a skirt and the other a head and shoulder covering. It is not acceptable for women to show skin above the knees or a large portion of the back. Wearing clothes that are in any way revealing is discouraged because it may unintentionally communicate that one is "a loose woman."109 In Japan, the kimono—a long robe with wide sleeves—is the traditional clothing for both men and women; it is traditionally worn with a broad sash, or obi, as an outer garment. The specific design of the kimono varies according to one's sex, age, and marital status, the time of year, and the occasion. In the ancient past, there was no distinction between a man's and a woman's kimonos of blue, black, brown, gray, or white. Women's kimonos are the most elaborate and varied in style and design. The fabric, cut, color, sleeve length, and details of the obi vary according to a woman's age, social status, and marital status, as well as the season. During the summer months, women wear yukatas, or lightweight cotton kimonos. Many Japanese hotels provide yukatas for guests to wear in their rooms. In Japan, on "7–5–3 Day" (November 15), boys who are 3 or 5 years old and girls who are 3 or 7 years old dress in kimonos to pray at the temples. There is also a special day for all girls and all boys to go to the temple. March 3 is Girls' Day and May 5 is Boys' Day. Kimonos are worn on those days as well.110 Chronemics Chronemics refers to the nonverbal channel of time. Recall (from Chapter 4) Hall's description of monochronic and polychronic time-oriented cultures. According to Hall, monochronic (M-time) orientations emphasize schedules and the compartmentalization and segmentation of measurable units of time. northern Europe. Conversely, polychronic (P-time) orientations see time as much less tangible and stress multiple activities with little emphasis on scheduling. P-time cultures are high context, including southern Europe, Latin America, and many African and Middle Eastern countries.111 469 chronemics The use of time 470 Nonverbal Communication and Dimensions of Cultural Variability have been discussed, including individualism-collectivism, power distance, and high-low context. Each of these dimensions can help explain cultural differences in nonverbal communication across cultures.
Individualistic cultures, Peter Andersen, Michael Hecht, Gregory Hoobler, and Maya Smallwood note that persons in individualistic cultures tend to be distant proximally, whereas persons in collectivistic cultures tend to work, play, live, and sleep in close proximity. In addition, body movements tend to be more synchronized in collectivistic cultures tend to smile more than persons in collectivistic cultures. Andersen and his colleagues reason that people in collectivistic cultures are more likely to suppress their emotional displays because maintaining group harmony is primary. Finally, individualistic cultures are more likely to suppress their emotional displays because maintaining group harmony is primary. psychologically) than are collectivistic cultures. 112 Power Distance A culture's power distance (i.e., large vs. small) may account for nonverbal differences across cultures. Power distance refers to the extent to which less powerful members of a culture spect and accept that power is distributed unequally. Cultures with a smaller power distance emphasize that inequalities among people should be interdependence between less and more powerful people. In cultures with a larger power distance, inequalities among people are both expected and desired. Less powerful people should be dependent on more powerful people. In cultures with a larger power distance cultures, interaction between persons of low and high power may be restricted, thus limiting the amount of nonverbal interaction. Andersen and his colleagues point out that in large power distance cultures, people without power are expected to express only positive emotional displays (e.g., smile more) when interacting with those of higher power. Power distance also affects paralinguistic cues. Persons in small power distance cultures are generally less aware of their vocalics (e.g., volume, intensity) than are persons in large power distance cultures. And childlike.113 Oculesics, the way the eyes are used during communication, is also affected by power distance. In large power distance cultures, students rarely give teachers direct eye contact. Direct eye gaze can be interpreted as a threat or a challenge to the person of higher power. High and Low Context Recall from Chapter 2 that high and low context for information. Persons in high-context cultures are especially sensitive to the nonverbal context. Persons in low-context cultures are perceived as direct and talkative, whereas persons from high-context cultures are perceived as direct and talkative, whereas persons from high-context cultures tend to pay a great deal of attention to nonverbal behavior during interaction. Thus, facial expressions, touch, distance, and eye contact serve as important cues. Subtle body movements that may be missed by a lowcontext person may take on special meaning to a high-context person. 472 Nonverbal Expectancy Violations Theory Judee Burgoon has formalized a theory of nonverbal communication called the nonverbal expectancy violations theory (NEV theory).114 The basic premise of the theory is that people hold expectations are learned and culturally driven. For example, in the United States, people expect to shake hands when they are introduced to someone. Burgoon posits that occasionally people violate nonverbal expectations. When this happens, the violation produces arousal, which can be physiological or cognitive, positive or negative. Nonverbal expectations about the nonverbal behavior of others. When these expectations are violated, people evaluate the violation positively or negatively, depending on the source of the violation and the violation and the violation initiated by highly attractive sources may be evaluated positively, whereas those initiated by unattractive sources may be evaluated negatively.115 The same violation depends on (a) the evaluation of the communicator, (b) implicit messages associated with the violation, and (c) evaluations of the act itself. In presenting the theory, Burgoon outlines several key assumptions (see Table 8.1). 473 Burgoon bases Assumption 1 on literature from anthropology, sociology, and psychology, indicating that humans are a social species with a biological/survival instinct to be with other humans. Conversely, humans cannot tolerate extended physical contact, or excessive closeness, with others; that is, humans have a basic need to insulate themselves from others and a need for privacy. Although this first assumption appears to be universal, the degree to which a person feels the need to be with others or insulated from them is probably culturally driven. An individualist may be more comfortable alone in the same situations in which a collectivist feels uncomfortable being alone. Moreover, the ways people satisfy the need for privacy is often satisfied by physical separation from others (e.g., closed doors), whereas in densely populated cultures such as India, privacy may be fulfilled psychologically. Assumption 2 indicates that affiliation with others is triggered by rewards within the communicative context. These rewards may be biological (e.g., food, sex, safety) or social (e.g., belonging, esteem, status). Biological needs are no doubt universal, but social needs are often learned and vary across cultures. Belonging needs are felt much more strongly in collectivistic ones. Assumption 3 extends Assumption 3 extends

phenomenon is probably universal, but it should be noted that what people deem rewarding and punishing varies across cultures. Assumption 4 asserts that humans have the perceptual ability to discern differences in spatial relationships. We can tell when someone is standing close to us or far away from us. Assumption 5 deals with the establishmen of normative nonverbal behaviors. Normative behavior is that which is usual or typical, or that follows a regular pattern. For example, the lecture style of your professor has established by society and culture. In the United States, for example, saying "goodbye" is a normative way of terminating a telephone conversation. Assumption 6 recognizes that even though most of us follow similar normative rules and regulations for our verbal and nonverbal behavior, we also develop our own personal style of interaction that is unique in some way. Assumption 7 states that norms operate as a function of the interactants, the interactants, the interactants might include their sex, age, personality, and race. Characteristics of the environment may include the physical features of the setting, such as furniture arrangement, lighting, or even temperature. Assumption 8 deals with the notion of expectancies and preferences about the behaviors of others. These expectancies are anticipations of others' behavior that are perceived to be appropriate for the situation. Typically, expectancies are based on a combination of societal and cultural norms. For example, students might expect that their professors will behave in an appropriate and consistent manner. In certain cases, however, students might expect that their professors will behave in an appropriate and consistent manner. particular professors (e.g., a certain professor frequently tells excellent jokes in class). Assumption 9 focuses on two other key ingredients in the theory: violation of expectancies are violated, the person becomes aroused. The violation tends to stimulate the receiver/communicator's attention and to arouse either adaptive or defensive reactions. For example, we learned earlier in this chapter that in some cultures (e.g., Korea) touching the top of a child's head is prohibited. Doing so would be a violation of expectancy, and the child or the parents might respond negatively or defensively. In some situations however, some violations are perceived positively, such as when a shaman touches the top of a child's head. Assumption 10 states that people make valuations are made. Burgoon contends that the first factor influencing the positive or negative evaluation of a violation is the communicator reward valence—that is, how much the violator is perceived as someone with whom interactional behaviors (e.g., age, sex, personality, status, reputation, anticipated future interaction) and interactional behaviors (e.g., style positive feedback). Communicator reward valence influences how one will evaluate the violation of expectancies. Burgoon's theory holds that more favorable evaluations will be given when the violation is committed by a high-reward person. If someone to whom you are attracted stands close to you at a party, much closer than is normative, you may interpret this violation positively as a sign of mutual attraction or affiliation. Conversely, if someone whom you find repulsive stands too close to you at a party, you may evaluate this violation quite negatively. Burgoon asserts that positively evaluated violations produce favorable communication patterns and consequences, whereas negatively evaluated violations produce unfavorable communication patterns. In addition, Burgoon contends that even extreme violations, if committed by a high-reward person, can be evaluated positively and produce reciprocal communication patterns. Burgoon's theory, very few, if any, have investigated its cross-cultural applicability. Cultural Contexts and Nonverbal Expectancies As we have seen throughout this book, the cultures of Japan and the United States differ significantly. Japan is a collectivistic, high-context culture, whereas the United States is an individualistic, low-context culture. A high-context culture, such as Japan, is one whose members are highly sensitive to the perceptual, sociorelational, and environmental contexts for information. High-context cultures have a restricted code system (i.e., language). Members do not rely on verbal communication as their main source of information. Silence and nonverbal behavior are most informative. Statements or actions of affection are rare. Members are quite adept at decoding nonverbal behavior. Japanese, for example, expect others (i.e., other Japanese) to understand their unarticulated communication. Cultural members of a low-context culture, such as the United States, are less sensitive to the perceptual, sociorelational, and environmental contexts. That is not to say that they ignore the environment; they are simply less aware of it than are members of a high-context culture. A low-context culture. A low-context culture is not to say that they ignore the environmental contexts. code. Hence, low-context cultures have an elaborated code system. Verbal messages are extremely important when information. Guidelines and expectations are frequently explained explicitly.117 476 In addition to high-context/low-context distinctions between the two countries, Japan is considered a low-contact culture, whereas the United States is considered a moderatecontact culture, whereas the United States is considered a moderatecontact culture. cultures will inevitably violate each other's expectations regarding appropriate nonverbal behavior. 477 An Intercultural Conversation: Violation of Nonverbal Expectancies In the following two scenarios, Jim, Akira, and Mitsuko interact. Akira and Mitsuko interact. Akira and Mitsuko interact. is a U.S. student at the same college. Notice how each violates the other's expectations without realizing it. When reading the following scenes, keep in mind the different cultural orientations and the assumptions of NEV theory. Jim and Akira are at a party. 1. Jim: (Nudges Akira and talks loudly.) This is a great party, eh? 2. Akira: (Is startled—standset) and the assumptions of NEV theory. Jim and Akira are at a party. 1. Jim: (Nudges Akira and talks loudly.) This is a great party, eh? 2. Akira: (Is startled—standset) and the assumptions of NEV theory. Jim and Akira are at a party. back—tries to put some distance between himself and Jim.) Yes, thank you. 3. Jim: (Leaning forward toward Akira, with direct eye contact.) If you want to meet some girls, I could introduce you. 4. Akira: (Shocked by such an offer, backs away.) But I don't know them. They might be upset. 5. Jim: Well, how else are you going to meet them? 6. Akira: (Uncomfortably.) Maybe during a class or something. Mitsuko, another Japanese exchange student, approaches Jim and Akira. She knows Akira but not Jim. 7. Mitsuko, this is my friend Jim. 9. Jim: Hi! (Leaning forward into her space.) 10. Mitsuko: Hi, Jim. (Bows slightly and does not space.) 10. Mitsuko and the space and the space.) 10. Mitsuko and the space and the make direct eye contact.) 11. Jim: Are you two friends? (Wonders why she won't look at him, thinks to himself, "Well, I'm not one of them. She probably thinks I'm ugly.") 12. Akira: Yes, we know each other. A long pause ensues. 13. Jim: (Thinks to himself, "This is going nowhere—I've got to think of something to say." Speaks rather loudly.) Great party, hey guys? Akira and Mitsuko both jump back. 14. Akira: (Thinks to himself, "This guy is too weird.") Yeah, this is fun. During this scenario, Jim violates Akira's kinesic, proxemic, paralinguistic, and haptic expectations. Several of the axioms and propositions from Burgoon's NEV theory can be applied to this interaction. Notice in Lines 1 through 4 that Akira perceives that Jim is standing too close and talking too loudly and, thus, backs away. From Akira's point of view, Jim violated his proxemic and paralinguistic expectations. In Line 1, Jim touches 478 Akira, which probably violates his expectations as well, by not looking at him and not responding to his offer to introduce him to women. According to NEV theory, violations have aroused (e.g., shocked, uncomfortable, startled, annoyed) by each other's violations. Both Mitsuko and Akira jump when Jim yells, "Great party, hey guys?" In Lines 13 and 14, we can see how Burgoon's Assumption 10 applies in that the arousal leads to evaluations are negative. According to the theory, the greater the degree to which a person is perceived as rewarding, the greater the tendency for others to approach that person. Likewise, the greater the degree to which a person is perceived as punishing, the greater the tendency for others. 479 Chapter Summary Many social scientists believe that our verbal language evolved from a system of nonlinguistic communicate with one another through the use of kinesics, oculesics, proxemics, paralanguage, haptics, olfactics, and physical appearance. Our nonverbal communication, when combined with verbal language, creates a complicated communication system through which humans come to know and understand one another. Our nonverbal behavior is innate and learned. Many of our unconscious behaviors, such as the expression of emotions, are universal. People from all cultures express anger, happiness, and sadness the very same way. Yet other forms of nonverbal communication, such as gestures, are unique manifestations of our culture's distinctive cosmos. We learn how to communicate with our bodies (kinesics), with our eyes (oculesics), through the use of space (proxemics), by touching others (haptics), with our voices (paralanguage), with smell (olfactics), and through the way we dress and present ourselves. Sometimes, our nonverbal behaviors violate the expectations of others. Sometimes, we stand too close or touch too much. When this happens, the other person evaluates
the violation as positive or negative depending on whether we are perceived as attractive or unattractive. If we are thought of as attractive, our violation may be welcome. If we are perceived as unattractive, the same violation may be evaluated quite negatively. 480 edge.sagepub.com/neuliep7e SAGE edge offers a robust online environment featuring an impressive array of free tools and resources for review, study and further exploration, keeping both instructors and students on the cutting edge of teaching and learning. 481 Discussion Questions 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. When you observe the nonverbal behavior of others, what do you notice first? How does your style of dress identify you as a member of a particular culture? What types of gestures have you used today to communicate without words? How do you communicate intimacy nonverbally? Without using words, how do you communicate to someone that you do not understand what he or she is saying? 6. How do you try to manipulate your unique smell? 482 Ethics and the nonverbal Code 1. Recently, the author of this excellently written text had a female student from Saudi Arabia enrolled in his class. At one of their first meetings, the author extended his hand to her in greeting. She refused to shake a male's hand. What do you think? As a visiting international exchange student, should she have shaken hands with the author? 2. Recently, the author of this excellently written text had several male students from Saudi Arabia enrolled in his class, the author distributes handouts to the students asked that he not use his left hand to pass them the handouts. What do you think? Is their request unreasonable? Should the author comply? 3. A U.S student recently complained that his roommate, who is an international exchange student, bathes only occasionally and smells bad. What do you think? Should the student say something to his roommate? Perhaps the exchange student is comfortable with the natural scent of the human body. 483 Developing Intercultural Communication Competence 1. Perhaps the first key step in becoming a competent communicator with your nonverbal communication is to become aware of your own nonverbal behavior. For example, when you and the person in front of you is cultural? Did you consciously stand about arm's length away, or did you do it unconsciously? When you make direct eye contact with your professors, do you think much about it? Probably not. In the next few days, chronicle your nonverbal behavior. Remember that what seems perfectly natural to you (e.g., standing arm's length away from the person in front of you in line) is actually learning cultural behavior. 2. In keeping with #1, think about all of the things you applied to your body today so that you do not smell like a human (think of all of the products you used today to manipulate your schemer used on your clothing, the fabric softener used on your clothing. gum/breath mints, hand lotion, and hand sanitizer to name a few. 3. In keeping with #1, now take conscious notice of the nonverbal behavior of others, especially persons not from your native culture. What about their eye contact, touch and spatial patterns, smell, and voice? Try to explain the differences culturally. 484 Key Terms adaptors 267 affect displays 262 analogic communication 257 chronemics 285 denotative meaning 259 digital communication 257 emblems 259 haptics 275 illustrators 267 olfactics 278 paralanguage accompany their words with nonverbal signals that serve to mark the structure of their utterances. —Peter Farb1 486 9 Developing Intercultural relationships © iStockphoto.com/PeopleImages 487 Learning Objectives 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. Recount the fundamental assumptions of the uncertainty reduction theory Describe how empathy and similarity affect intercultural relationships Compare relational intimacy across cultures Compare and contrast relationships in Eastern and Western cultures Describe factors that affect mate selection across cultures Before reading this chapter any further, take a moment and think about you. Yes, think about yourself. If someone were Next question: How do you know that the terms you wrote are to ask you to describe yourself, what would you say? Now, in the spaces that follow, list three terms that describe you. Use whatever terms you want. 1. accurate? Perhaps you wrote that you are a good student, a loyal friend, honest, or a fast runner. How do you know that these descriptors are accurate? The only reason you know that these descriptors are accurate? The only reason you know that these descriptors are accurate? student, the only reason you know that is because of your relationships with teachers who have provided you with feedback. If you describe yourself as a fast runner, the only way you know that is because of your running with teachers who have provided you with feedback. If you describe yourself as a fast runner, the only way you know that is because of your running with teachers who have provided you with feedback. You cannot be a fast runner unless someone else is a slow runner. As Kenneth Gergen's quote at the beginning of the chapter states, without others is one of the most necessary and challenging functions of human survival. Our self-concept and self-esteem are sustained largely by the substance of our relationships with others. From our relational partners, we receive feedback that we use to assess ourselves is through our relationships with others. Our social existence is relative to other people. Regardless of one's cultural origins, relationships provide the substance of life. This chapter focuses on several variables that affect the initiation and maintenance of personal relationships. Much of our communication behavior during the first stage of a relationships. to which we experience intercultural communication apprehension. Another variable that affects the uncertainty process is our sociocommunicative style—that is, the extent to which we can empathize with others and how similar we perceive ourselves to be to others. Uncertainty reduction, intercultural communication apprehension, sociocommunication apprehension, as depicted in Figure 9.1. sociocommunicative style Degree of assertiveness and responsiveness during communication Figure 9.1 Factors That Affect Intercultural Relationships. The first section deals with uncertainty reduction and factors that affect how people go about reducing uncertainty, including intercultural communication apprehension and sociocommunicative style. The next part of the chapter examines how perceptions about relationships are perceived in Eastern and Western cultures, including how the Internet affects relationships, along with mate selection across cultures, arranged marriages, and divorce across cultures. 489 Communication and Uncertainty All relationships must begin somewhere. The people with whom we are now intimate were at one time strangers. Think about your closest friends. At some point, they were strangers, particularly a person from a different culture, can be frightening and full of uncertainty. Uncertainty refers to the amount of predictability—that is, what you know about the person with whom you are interacting. When someone is a stranger, we know and think while anxiety is affective (how we feel). Uncertainty and anxiety are closely linked. Interacting with a person from a different culture probably involves more uncertainty and therefore may be even more anxiety producing. In an attempt to explain our communication behavior during initial communication encounters with others, Charles Berger and Richard Calabrese developed a communication theory called uncertainty reduction theory (URT). The major premise of this theory is that when strangers first meet, their primary goal is to reduce uncertainty and increase predictability in their own and the other person's behavior. 2 To accomplish this, they use specific communication strategies. uncertainty The amount of unpredictability during communication uncertainty reduction theory in which the major premise is that when strangers first meet, their primary goal is to reduce uncertainty before, during, and after interacting with someone. We can proactively reduce uncertainty when we weigh alternative behavioral options prior to interact and then select our own communication strategies on the basis of this prediction. For example, if you are about to interact with a person from a different culture, you might anticipate that the other person does not speak English and adjust your speech accordingly. Interactively, we may reduce uncertainty by attempting to explain someone's behavior after it has been enacted. For example, after interacting with a South Korean, we may be able to explain why the person did not engage in direct eye contact. In their original theory's fundamental assumptions. 3 Although people in any culture seek to reduce uncertainty, Berger and Calabrese's seven axioms (i.e., fundamental assumptions) are based on communication patterns of people in the United States and may not be generalizable across 490 cultures. Many researchers believe that the verbal and nonverbal communication strategies people use to reduce uncertainty vary from culture. more motivated to reduce uncertainty during initial intercultural communication with someone who is familiar.4 And one's cultural background affects how one reduces uncertainty. For example, Gudykunst points out that people from high-context cultures try to reduce uncertainty in initial encounters, but the nature of the information they seek seems to be different from that sought by persons from low-context cultures. Because much of the information resides in the context as opposed to the individual, persons from highcontext cultures. sources of uncertainty for persons in high-context cultures, including the others will behave in a socially appropriate manner, whether others will behave in a socially appropriate manner, whether others will make
allowances for individuals' feelings, what others will make allowances for individuals' feelings, what others will behave in a socially appropriate manner, whether others will make allowances for individuals' feelings, what others will behave in a socially appropriate manner, whether others will make allowances for individuals' feelings, what others will behave in a social background, whether others will behave in a social background, whether others will behave in a social background b when they communicate.5 So when interacting together for the first time, people from high- and lowcontext cultures are both trying to reduce uncertainty, but they use different kinds of communication. The low-context person asks a lot of questions verbally, whereas the highcontext person focuses on nonverbal aspects, such as status of the interactants. 491 The Seven Axioms of Uncertainty Reduction Theory Axiom 1: Given the high level of uncertainty present at the onset of the entry phase, as the amount of verbal communication between strangers increases, the level of uncertainty for each interactant in the relationship will decrease. As uncertainty is further reduced, the amount of verbal communication will increase. Axiom 2: As nonverbal affiliative expressiveness in converbal affiliative expressiveness. Axiom 3: High levels of uncertainty levels will decrease in an initial interaction situation. In addition, decreases in uncertainty level will cause increases in converbal affiliative expressiveness. Axiom 3: High levels of uncertainty levels will decrease in an initial interaction situation. In addition, decreases in uncertainty level will cause increases in uncertainty levels will decrease in an initial interaction. uncertainty levels decline, information-seeking behavior decreases. Axiom 4: High levels of uncertainty produce high rates of uncertainty produce high rates of uncertainty produce low reciprocity rates. Axiom 6: Similarities between persons reduce uncertainty, whereas dissimilarities produce increases in uncertainty level produce increases in uncertainty level produce increases in uncertainty. Axiom 7: Increases in uncertainty level produce increases in liking; decreases in uncertainty. Axiom 7: Increases in uncertainty level produce increases in uncertainty. and Beyond: Toward a Developmental Theory of Interpersonal Communication. Human Communication and Charles R. Berger. Axioms 1 and 2 deal with the quantity of verbal and nonverbal communication and its effect on uncertainty—that is, as communication increases, uncertainty decreases. Gudykunst and Tsukasa Nishida found that the frequency of communication predicts uncertainty reduction in individualistic, low-context cultures. 6 In Axiom 2, "nonverbal affiliative expressiveness" refers to nonverbal behaviors that reduce the physiological and psychological distance between interactants. In the United States, direct eye contact, pleasantness of vocal expressions, affirmative head nods, number of head and arm gestures per minute, and closer physical distance between interactants are considered affiliative. In other cultures, these same behaviors may actually increase uncertainty and anxiety. In cultures such as South Korea and Guatemala, for example, persons of lower status do not engage in direct eye contact with parents or people of higher status because doing so communicates a challenge. Judith Sanders and Richard Wiseman found that positive nonverbal expressiveness predicts uncertainty reduction for White Americans, Hispanics/Latinos, and Asian Americans but not for Black Americans.7 These studies indicate that the specific nonverbal behaviors that constitute affiliative expressiveness may vary across cultures. 492 An Intercultural Conversation: Uncertainty Reduction and High- and Low-Context Cultures As already mentioned, people in all cultures try to reduce uncertainty when initiating communication with someone. In the conversation that follows, Andrew Wienke, a tenured communication professor, is interacting with his newly hired colleague from China, Yang Zhang. The two cross paths in the hallway of their academic building during the start of a new semester. Andrew: Hey, Yang, good to see you! (Approaches him.) How's everything going? All moved in? Yang: Hello, Dr. Wienke. (Nods.) Nice to see you, too. Andrew: It's Andy. Everything going? All moved in? Yang: Yes, I'm very busy. (Backs away and diverts eye contact.) Andrew: Classes OK? Any problems or concerns so far? Anything I can do to help? (Maintains direct eye contact.) Yang: Classes are very big. Lots of students. (Looks away.) Andrew: Yeah ... that's true. My classes are pretty big, too. Yang: Yes. Andrew: So are you looking forward to the start of the new school year? Yang: I think so Yeah, me too. Yang: OK. Andrew: Yeah, well ... OK. Good to see you. If you have any questions or need anything, don't hesitate to ask. Yang: OK. Yes. In this conversation, Andrew engages in classic uncertainty reduction for a low-context person. He asks Yang no fewer than eight questions as a form of information seeking (Axiom 3). Notice the brevity of Yang's contributions to the conversation. He prefers to reduce uncertainty with silence, allowing the context to inform him. His silence is also influenced by what he perceives as the status difference between himself and Andrew, which leads him to refer to Andrew as "Dr. Wienke." Andrew leans forward and makes direct eye contact, two actions considered nonverbal affiliative expressiveness in low-context cultures. Yang diverts eye contact, which is a nonverbal talk is also much greater for Andrew than for Yang (Axiom 1). Axiom 3 is closely related to the first two insofar as information-seeking behavior is defined as the number of questions asked by each interactant. In the United States, people may seek information through nonverbal means, perhaps with silence or by observing the other's cultural and sociorelational background. In fact, Gudykunst, Lori Sodetani, and Kevin Sonoda suggest that question asking as a form of uncertainty reduction, as do the preceding axioms, but to the quality of communication. In this case, the lower the level of uncertainty, the higher the level of intimacy in the communication. Intimate communication may be defined as interaction based on issues related to the interactants' attitudes, beliefs, motivations, and dispositions. Cross-cultural research in this area is limited. Axiom 5 deals with the concept of communication reciprocity, or the mutual exchange of information between interactants. Berger and Calabrese contend that at early stages in a relationship, the interactants are compelled to ask for and give the same rate so that neither person gains information power over the other. As the relationship develops and uncertainty is reduced, there is less felt need to reciprocate because the interactants are more comfortable with the relationship.9 To date, little or no cross-cultural research has examined this hypothesis outside the United States. Axiom 6 centers on the notion of similarity. Berger and Calabrese argue that as similarity between interactants increases, uncertainty decreases. Likewise, the more dissimilarity present, the more uncertainty. Berger and Calabrese assert that knowledge of dissimilar attitudes, thus increasing uncertainty about the other.10 Cross-cultural research on Germany, Norway, Canada, and Japan seems to validate this axiom. People can be similar to one another in a number of ways; for example, two people may share race, language, age, sex, and/or occupation. Linguistic similarity is of particular importance here. Uncertainty can be difficult to reduce if two people speak different languages and have little knowledge of the other's linguistic code.11 Finally, Axiom 7 focuses on the concept of liking. Unless we know something about other people, it is difficult to like them. Thus, liking other people is somewhat contingent on knowing something about them. The research on this axiom, even within the United States, is mixed. In some cases, the more we know about another, the less we may like that person. 494 Anxiety/Uncertainty Management Theory of Effective Communication Noted intercultural communication scholar William Gudykunst developed the anxiety, mindfulness, and communication effectiveness.12 According to AUM, the general processes underlying communication between people from different cultures or ethnicities are the same processes underlying communication between people from the same culture. Gudykunst refers to these common properties as communication between people from the same culture. Thus, interacting with strangers is replete with uncertainty and anxiety, mindfulness, and communication effectiveness AUM and URT are similar in that each theory focuses on the effects of uncertainty and anxiety on communication. But AUM differs from URT in that it shifts the focus from uncertainty and anxiety reduction to uncertainty and anxiety management. To be sure, during initial encounters with strangers, the primary motive is to reduce uncertainty and anxiety management. managing the uncertainty. AUM also incorporates the concepts of mindfulness and communication effectiveness.13 Remember that uncertainty is a cognitive phenomenon. Uncertainty affects the way people think about communication and involves our ability, or inability, to predict a stranger's attitudes, beliefs, values, and behaviors. People experience more uncertainty when interacting with strangers. Anxiety is the affective equivalent of uncertainty. Anxiety affects the way people feel about interacting with someone else and includes a sense of uneasiness, apprehensiveness, worry, and so on. Uncertainty are related in that as uncertainty increases, anxiety increases Anxiety affects one's motivation to approach or avoid communication.14 AUM stipulates that people have minimum and maximum thresholds for uncertainty or anxiety individuals can
experience and still believe that they can predict a stranger's attitudes, beliefs, values, and so on, and remain comfortable communicating. An individual's minimum threshold of uncertainty and too much predictability and too much predictability or anxiety is the lowest amount of uncertainty and too much predictability and too much predictability and too much predictability or anxiety is the lowest amount of uncertainty and too much predictability and too much can lead to dull, monotonous, and uninteresting communication. But if our uncertainty is above the maximum threshold, we become too anxious to approach others and cannot communicating effectively; thus, communicating effectively; thus, communicating effectively; thus, communicating effectively 495 requires that uncertainty and anxiety fall between the minimum and maximum thresholds (see Figure 9.2). Gudykunst makes an important point that minimum and maximum thresholds vary considerably across cultures.15 Figure 9.2 Minimum/Maximum Uncertainty and anxiety, AUM incorporates the concepts of mindfulness and communication effectiveness. Mindfulness refers to a person's conscious attention to incoming information. A mindful communicator is open to new information and the processing of new categories. Mindful communicators miss. Gudykunst points out that to be mindful, people must recognize that strangers may understand or explain interaction from different perspectives. When we are mindless, we tend to assume that strangers interpret our messages the same way we do. Mindfulness, on the other hand, meaning with strangers. Communication effectiveness refers to the idea that a person receiving and interpreting a message attaches a meaning to the message that is relatively similar to what the person transmitting the message intended. The result of communication effectiveness is maximum understanding among communication is ineffective.16 Two central concepts of intercultural communication are also relevant to uncertainty/anxiety management and mindfulness during intercultural communication: ethnocentrism are significantly and negatively related to uncertainty reduction and communication. This means that ethnocentric persons approach others less often and thereby reduce less uncertainty. Likewise, persons with high ICA approach others less often and reduce less uncertainty during communication. As mentioned in Chapter 1 and Chapter 1, ethnocentrism refers to the degree to which persons believe that the attitudes and behaviors of their in-group should serve as the standard by which other groups are judged. To the extent that people are ethnocentric, they tend to view other cultures and the people from those cultures are evaluated. Deviations from that standard are viewed negatively. Moreover, because highly ethnocentric persons see themselves as superior to persons from different 496 cultures, they have little or no motivation to reduce uncertainty. Ethnocentrism acts as a perceptual filter that affects not only the perceptions of verbal and nonverbal messages but also perceptions of their source. Thus, when highly ethnocentric persons enter into an intercultural communicative exchange, few positive outcomes can be expected, including lowering uncertainty to manageable levels. Ethnocentrics prefer intracultural interaction and avoid communicating with persons from other cultures. Such avoidance tendencies inhibit the reduction or management of uncertainty and anxiety associated with either real or anticipated communication with people from different cultural or ethnic groups. People with ICA approach others less often, which inhibits uncertainty reduction and management and hinders mindfulness. Conceptually, like ethnocentrism, ICA also interferes with the reduction of a fellow interactant, the other's behavior, and the other's behavior, and the other's behavior of a fellow interactant, the other's behavior of a fellow interactant interactant. It is a fellow interactant inter participant's feelings about the interaction. Furthermore, other studies claim that ICA and ethnocentrism are negatively related to intercultural interactions (i.e., affective-approach tendencies). Like high ethnocentrics, individuals with high ICA prefer intracultural interaction and are less likely to approach intercultural strangers, thus inhibiting their reduction and management of uncertainty and anxiety.18 497 Student Voices Across Cultures: Establishing Relationships in Colombia Karen Margarita Henao Carbonell Karen born and raised in Bogotá, Colombia, a city with a population of about 7 million people. I graduated from high school in Colombia and decided to stay and further my education at St. Norbert College. I'll never forget my first day in an American high school. I was nervous and excited, especially because I wanted to make friends. Of course, everyone was staring at me, trying to figure out who I was, but people were friendly overall. As a couple of girls approached me and said, "Hey, you're the new girl from Colombia, right?" I leaned toward them, kissed each one of them on the cheek, and said, "Yes, my name is 498 Karen. Nice to meet you!" They were extremely shocked after that, and they could not believe I had just greeted them by kissing their cheeks, especially since we had met only a minute before. It seems as though establishing relationships in Latin American countries, particularly in Colombia, is a whole lot easier than here in the United States. When you meet someone for the first time in Colombia, not only do you kiss each other's cheeks, but you jump right into talking about your life, what you do for a living, what neighborhood you live in, and even where you purchased the shoes you are wearing. Once you have become acquainted with someone, it is socially acceptable to allow physical contact and "burst the invisible bubble." After living in the United States for more than 5 years, I have realized that establishing relationships with people in this country is more difficult. Americans believe that it takes a lot of time for a person to begin trusting and revealing personal information; they are friendly and willing to help, but trust needs to be built before they open the doors into their lives. When such relationships have been established, people confide in each other, but the physical aspect is not an important factor. 499 Uncertainty Reduction and Intercultural Communication researchers Jim Neuliep and Dan Ryan investigated the relationship between ICA and uncertainty reduction during initial intercultural interaction. Imagine that you have person before and know very little about his or her culture. Chances are good that you will experience a bit of anxiety and apprehension This is a common response, and you need not feel bad about yourself for having felt it. Neuliep and Ryan argue that because intercultural communication is loaded with novelty and dissimilarity, people may experience inordinate amounts of anxiety, which inhibit the ability to reduce uncertainty.19 Many people, regardless of culture, experience anxiety when communicating, or when anticipating communicating, with persons from different cultures or ethnic groups. Often, we tend to avoid initiating interaction with people from different cultures. However, unless we interact, we cannot reduce much uncertainty, and, therefore, our anxiety levels remain high. In their theory of uncertainty and calabrese maintain that the principal way people reduce uncertainty and anxiety during communication, they can increase their communication, people who experienced high ICA also experienced high uncertainty. Neuliep and Ryan reasoned that because persons with high ICA may avoid or withdraw from communication tactics that reduce uncertainty. Persons who generally do not experience apprehension interacting with persons from other cultures, they are less likely to engage in communication tactics that reduce uncertainty. facilitating uncertainty reduction. A lesson here is that by increasing our interaction with persons from different cultures, we can reduce our anxiety.21 In fact, in related research, Neuliep and Erica Grohskopf found that as individuals reduce our anxiety.21 In fact, in related research, Neuliep and Erica Grohskopf found that as individuals reduce our anxiety.21 In fact, in related research, Neuliep and Erica Grohskopf found that as individuals reduce our anxiety.21 In fact, in related research, Neuliep and Erica Grohskopf found that as individuals reduce our anxiety.21 In fact, in related research, Neuliep and Erica Grohskopf found that as individuals reduce our anxiety.21 In fact, in related research, Neuliep and Erica Grohskopf found that as individuals reduce our anxiety.21 In fact, in related research, Neuliep and Erica Grohskopf found that as individuals reduce our anxiety.21 In fact, in related research, Neuliep and Erica Grohskopf found that as individuals reduce our anxiety.21 In fact, in related research, Neuliep and Erica Grohskopf found that as individuals reduce our anxiety.21 In fact, in related research, Neuliep and Erica Grohskopf found that as individuals reduce our anxiety.21 In fact, in related research, Neuliep and Erica Grohskopf found that as individuals reduce our anxiety.21 In fact, in related research, Neuliep and Erica Grohskopf found that as individuals reduce our anxiety.21 In fact, in related research, Neuliep and Erica Grohskopf found that as individuals reduce our anxiety.21 In fact, in related research, Neuliep and Erica Grohskopf found that as individuals reduce our anxiety.21 In fact, in related research, Neuliep and Erica Grohskopf found that as individuals reduce our anxiety.21 In fact, in related research, Neuliep and Erica Grohskopf found that as individuals reduce our anxiety.21 In fact, in related research, Neuliep and Erica Grohskopf found that as individuals reduce our anxiety.21 In fact, in related research, Neuliep and Erica Grohskopf found that as
individuals reduc by engaging in intercultural communication, we can reduce uncertainty, which will reduce anxiety and result in more satisfying communication with others. It is a "win-win" situation!22 Although an individual may face large amounts of uncertainty and anxiety during initial intercultural communication, Neuliep and Ryan reasoned that the person's communication style may enable him or her to reduce uncertainty effectively. Jim McCroskey and Virginia 500 Richmond have outlined two types of communication are assertiveness and responsiveness.23 Assertiveness refers to one's ability to make requests; actively disagree; express positive or negative personal rights and feelings; initiate, sustain, and terminate conversations; and defend oneself without attacking others. Responsiveness refers to one's ability to be sensitive to the communication of others, be a good listener, engage in comforting communication, and recognize the needs and wants of relevant others. assertiveness An individual's ability to make requests, actively disagree, and express positive or negative personal rights and feelings responsiveness An individual's ability to be sensitive to the communication of others, including providing feedback, engaging in comforting communication, and listening McCroskey and Richmond note that assertiveness and responsiveness are inversely related to communication apprehension. In other words, persons high in communication apprehensive persons tend to avoid communication, they are not likely to initiate conversation or advance their position on a topic of communication. In their research, Neuliep and Ryan argued that these tendencies may be highlighted during initial intercultural interaction. in which situational novelty, unfamiliarity, and dissimilarity are prominent. Indeed, in their study, Neuliep and Ryan contend that the fundamental components of assertiveness and responsiveness are consistent with those behaviors associated with reduced uncertainty. For example, a characteristic of assertiveness is the ability to make requests. In Axiom 3 of URT, Berger and Calabrese point out that as information-seeking behavior (question asking) increases, uncertainty decreases. In addition, assertiveness is associated with initiation and maintenance of conversations. In Axiom 1 of URT, Berger and Calabrese maintain that as verbal communication increases, uncertainty decreases, uncertainty decreases, uncertainty decreases, and calabrese maintain that as verbal communicators invite others. Responsive communicators invite others to interact, thus increasing verbal communicators invite others to interact, smiling, forward leaning, and touching. Axiom 2 states that as nonverbal affiliative expressiveness increases, uncertainty, Neuliep and Ryan predicted that assertive and responsive communicators may be better able to reduce uncertainty. Their results supported their prediction. Persons scoring high on assertiveness and responsiveness instruments reported experiencing less uncertainty during initial interaction. 26 501 Assessing Sociocommunication, Neuliep and Ryan measured assertiveness and responsiveness using an instrument McCroskey and Richmond developed, presented in Self-Assessment 9.1. These scales have been used successfully in other cultures, including China, Finland, Japan, Korea, and Russia. Note, however, that these scales have been used successfully in other cultures, including China, Finland, Japan, Korea, and Russia. and may not be generalizable across all cultures. In fact, studies examining assertiveness and responsiveness across cultures have reported differences. U.S. men and women, for example, score higher on the responsiveness scale than do men and women from other cultures. Within most cultures, however, men generally score higher on the assertiveness scale. 27 Complete the scale on the following page to assertiveness scale. 27 Complete the scale on the following page to assert women tend to score higher on the responsiveness. Reduction and Sociocommunicative Style In the following intercultural conversation, we see how an individual's sociocommunicative style affects uncertainty reduction during initial intercultural conversation, we see how an individual's sociocommunicative style affects uncertainty reduction during initial intercultural conversation, we see Dan, who is from the United States, interacting with Natasha, who is from Ukraine. Dan seems unassertive and unresponsive. In the second conversation, we see Jim, who is from the United States, interacting with Foday, who is from Sierra Leone. In comparison with Dan, Jim seems assertiveness and responsive. Jim's assertiveness and responsive. Jim's assertiveness and responsive. Oh, hi. Natasha: What's your name? Dan: Dan. Natasha: I'm not from here. I'm from Ukraine is in eastern Europe, between Poland and Russia. Dan: Oh. Natasha: Yeah. Have you ever been to Europe? Dan: Yeah, but I was pretty young. Natasha: It must have been quite an experience, though. Dan: Ah ... do you know what time it is? Natasha: It's about 3 o'clock. Dan: I have to go now. Bye. Na Leone. Jim: Oh really? Where is Sierra Leone? I know it's on the African continent, but I'm not sure where. Foday: It's on the western coast between Guinea and Liberia. Jim: Oh, toward the north? How big is it? Foday: It's on the western coast between Guinea and Liberia. Leone? Foday: We have a constitutional democracy. Jim: Is that based on English law? Foday: Yes. Jim: By the way, you speak English very well. Is that your official language? Foday: English is the official language of my country, but it is spoken only by a minority of about 20% of the population. Jim: Really? What language do the other 80% speak? Foday: People in the north speak a vernacular language called Temme, whereas those in the south speak Mende. Jim: I understand that mining is a major industry in Sierra Leone. I hear you mine diamonds. Foday: Yes, they are a big export. But many people live by simple subsistence farming, like my father. Jim: Foday, it has been really nice meeting you. I'd like to introduce you to my girlfriend. She's over there. Foday: Thank you. I'd like to meet her. In comparing the two conversations, notice how much more uncertainty Jim reduces in his short conversations, notice how much more uncertainty Jim reduces in his country. Jim also appears responsive to Foday, using such comments as, "That's interesting." Dan, on the other hand, says very little, even when prompted by Natasha. Natasha is probably leaves a negative impression on her. 504 Self-Assessment 9.1: The Sociocommunicative Orientation/Style Instrument Directions: The following questionnaire lists 20 personality traits. Indicate the degree to which you believe each of these characteristics applies to you, as you normally communicate with others, by marking whether you (5) strongly agree that it applies, (4) agree that it applies, (3) _ 1. Helpful are undecided, (2) disagree that it applies, or (1) strongly disagree that it applies. There are no right or wrong answers. Work quickly; record your first impression. 2. Defend own beliefs 3. Independent 4. Responsive to others Forceful 6. Strong personality 14. Willing to take a stand 17. Friendly ____ 9. Assertive 10. Sensitive to the needs of others 11. Dominant 12. Sincere 13. Gentle 15. Warm ____ 16. Tender ____ ____18. Act as a leader ____ _ 19. Aggressive 20. Competitive Scoring: Items 2, 3, 5, 6, 9, 11, 14, 18, 19, and 20 measure assertiveness. Add the scores on these items to get your assertiveness score. Scores above 40 indicate that you see yourself as responsiveness. Add the scores on these items to get your responsiveness. Add the scores on these items to get your responsiveness. responsive is not necessarily "good" or "bad." Your sociocommunicative style is a barometer of how you interact with others, not whether you are a good or bad person. 505 SOURCE: From McCroskey, J. C., & Richmond, V. P. (1996). Fundamentals of Human Communication: An Interpersonal Perspective. Copyright © McCroskey, 506 Empathy and
Similarity in Relationship Development The majority of uncertainty, they learn more about each other and can further develop their relationship. To the extent that relationship has been established and the individuals get to know each other, uncertainty management processes begin. Two factors (among others) that have a significant influence on communication and relationship, but they take on added importance in intercultural relationships. Due to their cultural differences, persons in intercultural relationships may find it difficult to empathize with their relationship. The ability to empathize with someone is a crucial ingredient in any relationship. Empathy takes on added importance in intercultural relationships, however. Because persons from other cultures are different from us, it may be difficult for us to empathize with them, their ideas, and their style of communication. In these types of relationships, reducing uncertainty becomes essential. In fact, some communication scholars maintain that empathy may be impossible in intercultural communication. However, in general, communication scholars believe that because empathy motivates communication behavior, it is an essential ingredient in effective interpersonal communication. Empathy is often defined as the degree to which we can accurately infer another's thoughts or feelings. Benjamin Broome contends that this definition is inadequate for the study of intercultural communication and offers what he calls a model of relational empathy (see Figure 9.3). Broome argues that because our thoughts and perceptions are based on our unique personal, cultural, sociocultural, and individual past experiences, we can never completely comprehend or directly know what another is thinking or feeling. Broome argues, "While we can never become another person, it is possible to erect a structure within the framework of which the other's interpretation of the world or us takes shape or assumes meaning." 28 Figure 9.3 Model of Relational Empathy 507 empathy Often defined as the degree to which we can accurately infer another's thoughts or feelings relational empathy Shared meaning and harmonization that is the result of the interaction of two people develop a relationship, they create a third culture of shared meaning and relational empathy.29 Broome argues that mutual understanding and shared meaning are a product of the relationship between individuals. Relational empathy is not the ability to accurately reproduce another's perceptions or emotions but, rather, the creation of new perceptions and emotions by the two people interacting. the outcome or harmonization of communication in which the interactants share unique values, beliefs, norms, and symbols. For example, think of your closest friends and the verbal and nonverbal language you use with them. You probably have unique words and phrases and even nonverbal gestures that you use with your friends that no one else understands. This sort of private language between you and your friends represents a part of what Broome calls the third culture and establishes relational empathy Broome asserts that the third culture emerges when the interactants are open and willing to communicate with others and expose themselves to new meanings. According to the model, persons cannot possess direct, firsthand knowledge of the emotional states or cognitive processes of another person. Instead, people possess objective meanings. interpretation of one's own personal experiences. For example, your interpretation of the other hand, is one's interpretation of the other person's experiences in relation to 508 one's own. For example, a friend's description of what it meant to grow up in his or her hometown and of his or her family experiences would be a part of your subjective meaning. When two people come together and interact, a product of the third culture is interdependent meaning. When two people come together and subjective meaning. bring with them a personal worldview largely based on cultural orientation. Hence, a Chinese person brings a collectivistic, high-context worldview, and a U.S. citizen brings an individualistic, lowcontext worldview. In a relationship, these two different worldviews merge into an interdependent worldview. Because of the individualistic, lowcontext worldview. subjective knowledge and worldviews, they have different interpretations of what is real. Through the establishment of relational empathy, an interdependent interpretation and approximation of reality materializes. Broome asserts that similarity among individuals is not necessary to achieve relational empathy and shared meaning. Instead, Broome's model assumes that the emergence of a third culture creates a medium in which the interactants, each is an active participant in its creation. Hence, neither person has to recreate anything because each is an integral part of the third culture's existence.30 Intercultural researcher Donald Klopf maintains that we can approach empathic listening more to the meanings than to the words of another person. According to Klopf, empathic listening involves (a) paraphrasing, rewording what the other person has said; (b) reflecting feelings, relating back to the other is experiencing; (c) reflecting meaning; and (d) summarizing, briefly restating the major topics the other has communicated.31 Although no one can experience complete empathy, by taking Broome's model of relational empathy and Klopf's prescription for empathic listening into account and putting them into practice, we can develop and enhance our relationships with persons from cultures different from our own. Similarity Although Broome's model of relational empathy discounts the importance of similarity, a great deal of research (mostly conducted in the United States) has demonstrated that similarity plays a key role in the establishment and development of relationships are likewise founded on a necessary base of similarity of understanding or similarity of meaning that facilitates the development of each."32 Intercultural communication researchers have 509 found that the more we are able to reduce uncertainty about the person and form accurate categories for him or her. Thus, similarity may be particularly important during initial encounters with another person. Similarity, then, is a powerful force for attracted to those whom we perceive as similar, and we are more likely to approach them. But there are lots of ways we can be similar, and we are more likely, attitudinally, morally, ethically, ethically and so on. Some kinds of similarity seem to be more important than others in the establishment of intercultural relationships. One of the pioneers in the study of similarity and attraction. Byrne's fundamental postulate is that attitude similarity between persons leads to positive affect, which in turn leads to attraction (see Figure 9.4).33 Byrne has become well known for his "bogus stranger" experiments, which have relevance for intercultural communication. In these experiments, which have relevance for intercultural communication. In these experiments, which have relevance for intercultural communication. In these experiments, which have relevance for intercultural communication. who is portrayed as a research participant whose attitudes on the same topics and issues were assessed at an earlier time. Prior to the pairing of the research participants are shown the stranger's attitude responses. In actuality, however, the stranger is "bogus" because his or her attitude responses are manufactured either to match or to conflict with the research participants' attitude responses. After interactiveness. Consistently, those research participants paired with an attitudinally similar stranger's level of attractiveness ratings than do those paired with an attitudinally dissimilar stranger. Yet in each case, the stranger is the same person.34 Figure 9.4 Relationship Between Similarity and Attraction 510 Attitude similarity was not a factor in her relationship with her boyfriend, explaining that he was Black. Upon further questioning, it was discovered that she and her boyfriend were the same age, were studying at the same major, enjoyed similar tastes in music and food, and had similar attitudes on a variety of topics. The point here is that although we may have dissimilarities with others, the people with whom we develop and maintain interpersonal relationships are typically very much like ourselves. Perceived similarity, sometimes called perceived similarity, sometimes called perceived similarity, sometimes called perceived homophily, is perhaps the most dominant force in our motivations to interact with others. attitudinally, linguistically, racially, behaviorally, affectively, and/or physically. The more similar we find ourselves to someone else, the more likely we are to initiate and sustain communication, cultural similarity (which is only one kind of similarity) may not be a necessary ingredient in forming meaningful and lasting relationships. Two persons from radically different cultures may find they have a great deal in common with each other. 511 Perceptions of relationships are typically viewed from the perspective of the self. As June Ock Yum asserts, individualists see themselves as distinct individuals who participate in relationships are guided by Confucianism. According to Yum, the fundamental theme of Confucianism is that proper relationships form the cornerstone of society. Moreover, an individual's conduct in society should be guided by four principles: (a) humanism, treating others as one wishes to be treated; (b) faithfulness, loyalty rather than personal interest or profit; (c) propriety, social decorum and etiquette; and (d) wisdom.36 Eastern and Western Cultures and Relationships Yum points out that three of these four principles have direct implications for how relationships are perceived in East Asian cultures such as the United States
(see Table 9.1). First, in contrasting Eastern and Western relationships, Yum alleges that many East Asian cultures practice particularism—that is, the belief that particular or unique rules and guidelines apply to each individual relationship. Relational partners are to be sensitive to differences in such factors as status when interacting with others. Most East Asian cultures believe in strict, well-defined social hierarchies in which people are perceived as higher or lower than others. In contrast, persons in Western cultures practice what Yum calls a universalistic orientation to relationships. Yum also contends that relational partners in Eastern cultures engage in long-term and asymmetrical reciprocity. You may recall from Chapter 3 that reciprocity refers to the giveand-take, or mutual exchange, in interpersonal encounters. According to Yum, in Confucian philosophy, dependence on others is an inevitable and accepted part of relationships. People will always be indebted to others who help or assist them in some way. 512 She states that "under this system of reciprocity, the individual does not calculate what he or she gives and receives. To calculate would be to think about immediate personal profits, which is the opposite of the principle of faithfulness." 38 Western relationships, on the other hand, are characterized by short-term and symmetrical reciprocity, or even contractual reciprocity. In the United States, for example, some marital relationships begin with premarital legal agreements that carefully spell out expectations, possessions, and obligations for the relationships is that in many Eastern cultures, who is and is not a member of the in-group or the out-group is defined clearly. Yum maintains that Confucian philosophy prescribes that people associate and identify with relatively few, yet very cohesive, groups. Moreover, one's affiliation with in-groups is long-lived, perhaps even lifelong. Group associations in most Western cultures are, for the most part, optional and voluntary. Many of the groups with whom one associates in the United States, for example, are designed to somehow facilitate one's individual development (e.g., "self-help" groups), and one's association with such a group lasts only as long as one benefits from membership.39 A fourth difference between relationships in Eastern and Western cultures is the use of intermediaries (go-betweens). For the most part, persons in Western cultures prefer direct, face-to-face contact in interpersonal relationships, including those with business associates. In the United States, for example, the use of intermediaries is typically reserved for formal or legal situations and is usually contractual (as with lawyers or realtors). Yum points out that in Eastern cultures, because the distinctions between in-groups and out-groups are so well defined, intermediaries are essential and are used even in informal situations, dating, and marital arrangements—and in relatively small business transactions. The principle behind the use of intermediaries is to save face 40 A fifth difference in interpersonal relationships, whereas many Eastern cultures, according to Yum, is that Confucianism's emphasis on faithfulness and loyalty in relationships. In the United States, people can maintain "strictly business" relationships, whereas many Eastern cultures prefer to do business with trusted associates with whom they have established a strong interpersonal bond. Many U.S. businesses would be well served by initiating frequent contact, establishing mutual interests, and developing shared experiences with their Eastern-culture counterparts.41 Interethnic and Internacial Relationships and Marriages Marital relationships exist in virtually every culture. According to anthropologist Michael Howard, the most common type of marriage practice in the United States, so 513 a monogamous marriage could be between one man and one man or between one woman. In most cases, monogamy is prescribed legally, people are allowed, by law, to enter into and leave marriages—that is, people can marry, divorce, and marry again. This is called serial monogamy. Howard asserts that most cultures prefer not to limit the number of spouses available to a person, however. These cultures practice polygamy—that is, marriage to more than one spouse. Contrary to popular belief, polygamy is not the practice of a husband's having multiple wives. Actually, there are two types of polygamy: polygyny, in which a man has multiple wives, and polyandry, in which a woman has more than one husband. Paul Vallely, a leading British writer on religion and ethics, notes that in some cultures one wife is shared by brothers. In others, a father and son may have a common wife. In still others, a man has many wives—perhaps as many as up to 11, as in the Arsi region of Ethiopia. In some cases, a widow is inherited by her dead husband's brothers, father, or even a son by another wife. According to a number of surveys, polygyny is permitted in the majority of societies.42 In their study of cross-cultural differences in family and sexual life among 68 cultures, Gary Becker and Richard Posner report that only two of those cultures did not practice polygyny. In a University of Wisconsin survey of more than 1,000 societies, 186 were monogamous, 453 had occasional polygyny, and in 588 societies, polygyny was common. Just four societies practiced polygyny, and in 588 societies, polygyny was common. throughout much of human history.43 polygamy The practice of having multiple spouses polygyny The practice of having multiple wives polyandry The practice of having multiple wives polyandry The practice of having multiple wives polyandry The practice of having multiple wives polygyny The practice of having multiple wives polyandry The practice of having multiple w newlyweds married someone of a different race, although this statistic does not include interethnic marriages between Hispanics/Latinos and non-Hispanics/Latinos and non-Hispanics/Latinos. Wang points out in her report that some racial groups are more likely to intermarry than others. She found that of the 3.6 million adults who got married in 2013, 58% of Native Americans/American Indians, 28% of Asian Americans, 19% of Black Americans, and 7% of Black women to marry someone of a different race. Specifically, 25% of Black men married someone who was not Black, while 12% of Black women to marry someone of a different race. married outside of their race. Wang also notes that for Asian men to marry someone of a different race. To be sure, societal attitudes about interracial and interethnic marriages and relationships are changing. In 2014, 37% of U.S. citizens agreed that having more people of different races marrying each other was a good thing for society, up from 24% just 4 years earlier. Only 9% in 2014 said this trend was a bad thing for society, and 51% said it doesn't make much difference.44 A common assumption is that interethnic and interracial relationships experience more difficulties than intraethnic or intraracial marriages are more likely to end in divorce than are intraracial marriages. About two thirds of interracial marriages end in divorce, compared with 40% to 50% for all marriages. In their literature review, Adam Troy, Jamie Lewis-Smith, and Jean-Philippe Laurenceau point to research that shows that interracial partners may face obstacles that interracial partners do not. For example, interracial couples are often stared at in public; are negatively stereotyped; face social

network opposition, including pressure not to marry; are discriminated against by restaurant staff, real-estate agents, hotel managers, and retail clerks; and experience obscene phone calls, hate mail, and vandalized property. Photo 9.1 Across many cultures, interethnic and interracial relationships are on the rise. 515 © iStockphoto.com/Elena Elisseeva Troy and his associates also maintain that ethnic and/or racial differences might interacial couples to test the assumption that the relationship quality of interracial couples is lower than for intraracial relationships. In their study they surveyed 118 heterosexual couples, of which 32 were interracial and 86 were intraracial. Surprisingly, 516 their results showed that partners in interracial relationships, and no differences were found for conflict or attachment style. That is, interracial couples did not engage in or use conflict techniques differently than did intraracial couples, and they reported being equally as attached to their results contradict the notion that interracial relationships are more dysfunctional than intraracial ones. Their results shed light on the similarity research reported previously; that is, while similarity plays an important role in attraction and approach tendencies, some types of similarity (e.g., attitude similarity) may play a larger role than other types of similarity. marriages, U.S. attitudes about these relationships are changing. A 2001 survey conducted by The Washington Post, the Henry J. Kaiser Family Foundation, and Harvard University found that 86% of Black respondents said their families would welcome a White, Asian, or Hispanic person into their family. Among White Americans, 66% said they would accept Hispanics or Asian Americans, but only 55% would accept a Black person. Among Hispanics, 86% would accept Black Americans, 77% would accept White Americans, 77% would accept Black Americans, 77% would ac Although the percentage of interracial marriages is growing and the attitudes about such relationships are improving, crosscultural (i.e., racial or ethnic) relationships. For example, results from the same survey show that 65% of White-Black couples said they experienced problems within their families at the start of their relational Maintenance Photo 9.2 Which of Stafford's seven relational maintenance behaviors does this couple demonstrate? © iStockphoto.com/FernandoAH In the past several decades, a substantial body of literature in the social sciences has emerged, focusing on relationships. Many studies in relationships. Many studies in relationship in satisfactory condition and/or how they repair relationships that need mending, including use of conflict management. 518 Laura Stafford of the University of Kentucky has worked extensively in this area. In some of her most recent research, Stafford proposes a typology of seven relationships: (a) self-disclosure when relational partners reveal aspects of themselves to their partners; (b) relationship talk, when couples communicate about the status of the relationship; (c) positivity, which involves interacting with the partner; (e) assurances, or messages that stress one's continuation in the relationship; (f) networks, or the use of social networks, including interacting with or relying on common affiliations and relatives; and (g) tasks, or attempts to maintain the relationship by performing one's responsibilities, such as household chores. 47 Relational maintenance researchers generally agree that these seven behaviors are representative of the types of behaviors couples use to sustain their relationships, but little work has been done to identify the types of relational maintenance behaviors employed by partners in intercultural relationships. Michael Reiter and Christina Gee sought to find out if two additional maintenance behaviors employed by partners in intercultural relationships. behaviors might affect intercultural relationships. These are open communication and support. Open communication is a maintenance behavior that includes a lack of topic avoidance, meaning that individuals who engage in more open communication tend not to avoid topics. Reiter and Gee believe this is an important issue for intercultural dyads, especially if they tend to avoid communication about cultural differences. Support involves giving advice, offering comfort, and providing reassurance, which appear to overlap with Stafford's maintenance behaviors of assurances and advice. But here, Reiter and Gee extend this to mean relationship-specific support, which likely plays a particularly important role in relationships, given the types of societal obstacles these couples face.48 Here, Reiter and Gee asked nearly 400 participants to complete measures of open communication and support regarding their relationships. The majority of participants identified their relationships as intercultural, and about one third categorized their relationships, as intercultural relationships as intercultural. individuals in intercultural relationships were also more likely to report conflict related to cultural differences. However, individuals in intercultural relationships, higher levels of open communication about culture and higher levels of cultural support were related to lower levels of relationship distress. Reiter and Gee point out that when compared with intracultural partners, intercultural partners, inte argue that if partners are able to understand, appreciate, and 519 integrate each other's similarities and differences, they will be able to use these differences, they will be able to use these differences to maintain their relationship quality. In addition, this result also demonstrates the overall benefit of open communication about culture between partners as a relational maintenance strategy Finally, for individuals in intercultural relationships, higher levels of open communication about culture and cultural support predicted higher levels of relationships, arguing that because gay and lesbian couples are not fully accepted in most societies, they may rely on unique maintenance behaviors to sustain their relationships. After surveying a number of gay men and lesbian women, Stafford found that, overall, the maintenance behaviors reported by gay and lesbian couples are quite similar to those reported by heterosexual couples. In addition, Stafford found that gay and lesbian couples also use particular relationship maintenance behaviors, including (a) seeking supportive environments and (b) being the same as heterosexual couples. Seeking supportive environments refers to the choice to live, work, or socialize in places that are supportive environments refers to the choice to live, work, or socialize in places that are supportive environments refers to the choice to live, work, or socialize in places that are supportive environments refers to the choice to live, work or socialize in places that are supportive environments refers to the choice to live, work or socialize in places that are supportive environments refers to the choice to live, work or socialize in places that are supportive environments refers to the choice to live, work or socialize in places that are supportive environments refers to the choice to live, work or socialize in places that are supportive environments refers to the choice to live, work or socialize in places that are supportive environments refers to the choice to live, work or socialize in places that are supportive environments refers to the choice to live, work or socialize in places that are supportive environments refers to the choice to live, work or socialize in places that are supportive environments refers to the choice to live, work or socialize in places that are support to the choice to live environments refers to the choice behavior, being the same as heterosexual couples, includes references to how gay and lesbian couples do not see themselves as different from heterosexual couples. Stafford also noted that gay and lesbian couples use a third type of maintenance behavior that has been reported in previous research—that is, social support—but that they use it in a unique way, such that references to support mean being openly gay/lesbian with family, friends, and coworkers in public places. 50 Photo 9.3 LGBTQ couples. 520 © iStockphoto.com/Honggi Zhang As we can see from the previously reported research, communication plays a principal role in marriage and relational maintenance. Depending on the type of marriage, however, communication within marriage and rivalry among wives is common in cultures that practice polygyny, in which a man marries sisters, is one way of getting around the interpersonal problems associated with this practice. Another is restricting the communication is another strategy; the Tanala people of Madagascar require that a husband must spend 1 day with each of his wives in succession. In the Lacandon culture of southern Mexico, wives are assigned hierarchical positions, in which senior-ranking wives.51 What are some of the factors that may lead someone to initiate an intercultural, interethnic, or interracial relationship? Shana Levin and her colleagues sought to answer this question. Like others, Levin and her associates recognize the importance of perceived similarity, then there would be far fewer intercultural couples than what we see today. In their study, Levin and her colleagues collected data from more than 2,000 students attending a large multiethnic university. Their sample included a sizable number of questionnaires that asked about their demographics, social class, in-group friendships, college dating patterns, in-group bias, intergroup anxiety, and in-group identification. The results show some interesting patterns.52 First, consistent with the theory that perceived similarity is a dominant factor in initiating a relationship (i.e., approach tendencies), an overwhelming number of students from all four groups
dated members of their own group more than they dated members of other groups. Levin and her colleagues also found, however, that many students dated at least one member of another group during their college years. In these cases, White Americans were more likely to have dated Hispanics/Latinos and Asian Americans were more likely to have dated White Americans, followed by Hispanics/Latinos, and then Black Americans; and Black Americans; and Black Americans; and Black Americans; and Black Americans were more likely to have dated Asian Americans than to have dated Asian Americans; and Black Americ Americans.53 Availability also plays a role in who dates whom-that is, what options are available to someone when choosing to date someone else. In this study, Asian Americans were the smallest groups, with half as many Hispanics/Latinos and one-sixth as many Black Americans. Levin and her associates reasoned that if partner availability were a significant factor in intergroup dating choice, White Americans and Asian Americans would date each other with much greater frequency than they date Hispanics/Latinos and Black Americans. The results showed that only Asian Americans chose intergroup dating partners consistent with availability. In this study, the researchers found that when Asian American students date outside their group, they date White Americans. Factors other than availability seem to be influencing the intergroup dating choices as well. One demographic variable also played a role in intergroup dating, where men were more likely to date outside their ethnic group than were women.54 Regarding group bias (i.e., ethnocentrism) were more anxious about interacting with members of other groups, and those who had higher levels of group identification before college were less likely to intergroup date. Yet Levin and her colleagues found that pressure from ingroup members not to socialize with or date out-group members not to socialize with or date out-group dating. college reported less in-group bias at the end of college. Students who dated more outside their groups at the end of college was not significantly associated with more outside their groups at the end of their fourth year in college. college intergroup dating experience did, however, feel 522 significantly more pressure from in-group members not to socialize with or date out-group members not to socialize with or date out-group members at the end of college. However, this relationship was not seen among either White Americans, Asian Americans and Hispanics/Latinos. Specifically, the more they dated members of other groups during college, the less in-group bias students from all three groups showed and the less intergroup more during college also felt more pressure from members of their own group not to socialize with or date members of other groups at the end of college.56 The Internet to connect with others. One of the primary Internet tools for initiating and maintaining relationships is its social networking sites, such as Facebook and Twitter. As of the first guarter of 2016, Facebook and Twitter. As of the first guarter of 2016, Facebook and Twitter. need to belong and the need for self-presentation. They also argue that cultural factors, especially individualism and collectivism, mediate these needs. Persons in collectivistic cultures are conditioned to want to belong and may use Facebook to satisfy that need. that members of individualistic cultures are more likely to share private information on Facebook and more likely to raise controversial topics, compared with Facebook, and what does that say about their culture? 523 iStockphoto.com/Tijana87 In related work, Temple University sociologists Sherri Grasmuck, Jason Martin, and Shanyang Zhao compared the differences in self-presentation on Facebook accounts among five U.S. student groups: White Americans, Indian Americans, Latin Americans/Caribbean Islanders, and Black Americans. Their findings indicate that the groups use different strategies in selfpresentation constructions on Facebook. Based on their analyses, Grasmuck and her colleagues concluded the following: African Americans, Latinos, and Indian ancestry students project a visual self that is dramatically more social, that they invest more frequently and intensively in displaying a cultural self marked by specific consumer and popular cultural preferences, and they invest more in the direct "about me" narrations than do Vietnamese or white students. The uplifting and often inspirational quotes related to racial themes of injustice frequently included by the African American, Latino, and Indian students. convey a sense of group belonging, color consciousness, and identification with groups historically stigmatized by dominant society. The profiles of white students arely 524 signaled group identification or ethno-racial themes, reflecting "strategies of racelessness" more typically discussed in research focused on white students in offline college contexts. The intensive investments of minorities in presenting highly social, culturally explicit, and elaborated narratives of self in the Facebook profiles are consistent with preoccupations about and heightened awareness of racial identities during this stage of life.58 Another study investigated the role of self-expression on Facebook pages. This study compared the Facebook profiles of U.S. Caucasians, Black Americans attending a university in the Midwest. The results indicate that Black Americans, and Asian Americans attending a university in the Midwest. than did those of Caucasian or Asian students. Asian students had more statements reflecting their social affiliations (i.e., group memberships) than did Caucasians or Black Americans. The authors of this study contend that these results are reflective of individualism and collectivism; that is, as the authors state, Black Americans are "the most individualistic than either of the other two groups.59 Psychologists J. Patrick Seder and Shigehiro Oishi of the University of Virginia examined the connection between subjective well-being and the ethnic/racial homogeneity of the Facebook friendship networks of several U.S. student groups, including Black American, Asian, European American, European American, Asian, European American, European European American, European Ame homogeneous Facebook friendship network was associated with higher life satisfaction and positive affect, as well as with lower felt misunderstanding. Among non-European American participants, they found no relationship between the homogeneity of Facebook friendship networks and subjective well-being. In explaining their results, Seder and Oishi speculate that European American students may be more likely to form Facebook friendships with others cultures, homophily) than with their microcultural group counterparts. Seder and Oishi consider that while they may have good intentions to establish friendships with persons from diverse cultures, these students may simply prefer to focus their time and energy on those relationships that require less effort.60 The previously cited studies focus primarily on U.S. student and U.S. microcultural student groups and their Facebook use. Related research has compared Facebook use. Christopher Schlaegel compared the types of personal information that U.S. and German students posted on their Facebook profiles. Examples of problematic 525 information are comments regarding their participation in activities that are in violation of university policy, comments regarding their sexual activities and preferences, comments regarding their use of alcohol, seminude self-photos, and self-photos, and self-photos with firearms. Overall, U.S. students were more likely than German students to post a variety of personal information, including their email address; relationship status; religious beliefs; sexual orientation; photos of themselves in athletic, traditional, or humorous poses. The authors suggest that these differences may be due to dimensions of cultural variability, specifically that U.S. students are more individualistic and lower in uncertainty avoidance than are German students. They also speculate that because the United States has a much larger population than Germany, U.S. students may feel more anonymous and less responsible for their behavior compared with German students. They also speculate that because the United States has a much larger population than Germany, U.S. students may feel more anonymous and less responsible for their behavior compared with Germany students. researchers caution that U.S. students may be putting themselves at a disadvantage if they pursue employment internationally, especially if German and other internationally, especially if German and other internationally, especially if German and other international employees are accessing Facebook profiles as part of their employees are accessing Facebook profiles as part of their employees are accessing Facebook profiles as part of their employees are accessing Facebook profiles as part of their employees are accessing Facebook profiles as part of their employees are accessing Facebook profiles as part of their employees are accessing Facebook profiles as part of their employees are accessing Facebook profiles as part of their employees are accessing Facebook profiles as part of their employees are accessing Facebook profiles as part of their employees are accessing Facebook profiles as part of their employees are accessing Facebook profiles as part of their employees are accessing Facebook profiles as part of their employees are accessing Facebook profiles as part of their employees are accessing Facebook profiles as part of their employees are accessing Facebook profiles as part of their employees are accessing Facebook profiles as part of their employees are accessing Facebook profiles as part of their employees are accessing Facebook profiles as part of their
employees are accessing Facebook profiles as part of their employees are accessing Facebook profiles as part of their employees are accessing Facebook profiles as part of their employees are accessing Facebook profiles as part of their employees are accessing Facebook profiles as part of their employees are accessing Facebook profiles as part of their employees are accessing Facebook profiles as part of their employees agency specializing in Asian markets. In her 2016 annual report of Japan's social media use, Wong reports that 50 million Japanese (i.e., 40% of the population) use Line, an application for instant communication on smartphones, tablets, and personal computers. Japanese Line users can exchange text messages, photos, videos, and conduct free conversations and video conferences. The second-most-used social media in Japan is Twitter, which has 26 million monthly users. Wong notes that Japan is the only market where Twitter is so popular than Facebook. According to Wong, Twitter is so popular than Facebook. Wong asserts that privacy is very important in Japan, and Twitter allows users to create fictitious names or tweet anonymously. Interestingly, Wong notes that although Twitter limits users to 140 characters, in Japanese, one can say almost double what can be said in English with 140 characters. To be sure, Facebook is still popular among Japanese, one can say almost double what can be said in English with 140 characters. but Wong contends that Facebook is now being used more by Japanese businesses to initiate professional relationships.62 India Simon Kemp writes for we are social, an organization that helps businesses understand the impact of social media. In his 2015 report of social media, Kemp writes that there are over 350 million Internet users in India. That's more people than there are in the United States. Kemp also notes that Internet user spends 5 hours a locations. Kemp reports that connection speeds in India are slow compared to most countries and that the average Internet user spends 5 hours a day online. Of those, there are over 140 million users of Facebook, with men accounting for nearly 75% of those users. Kemp argues that providing women with access to education, financial services, and health information. In addition to using social media to initiate and maintain relationships, many Indian youth are relying on it to start social action movements and protest for their rights. This is especially significant for women, Facebook functions to do more than initiate and maintain friendships; it gives them a voice to air their grievances and expose the sexual violence inflicted against them in a social system where such violence is often ignored (recall the discussion in Chapter 6 of dowry deaths in India). For example, an Indian women's group called Spoilt Modern Indian Woman is using Facebook to expose sexist stereotypes of women in India. Claire Cohen, writing in the British newspaper The Telegraph, asserts that the purpose of the Facebook page is to expose the deep-seated sexism that permeates much of Indian society. Through a series of powerful images (see Photo 9.5), it scorns the common stereotypes, and then debunks it. According to Cohen, Spoilt Modern Indian Woman was cofounded by Sonam Mittal, who was motivated to start the group after being called a "spoilt modern Indian platform in which she graphically described being raped by a colleague and also claimed she had been molested on an Indian beach. Cohen recalls that in 2014, two Indian women calling themselves "Bombaebs" (a pun on Bombay, the largest city in India) posted a video on Facebook called #RapAgainstRape that went viral. According to Cohen, the video, the Bombaebs rapped about sexual violence against women in India, including rape, infanticide, and marriage.63 Photo 9.5 Each meme begins with a phrase that adheres with a phrase that adheres with a phrase that in 2016, 120 million Africans use Facebook. Most of those users are in Nigeria, South Africa, and Kenya. Overall, only about 9% of Africans use social media. Parker maintains that social media use in Africa is much like that anywhere else on the planet where users discuss life, love, politics, and philosophy. Parker also notes that many Africans are motivated to show a side of Africa not commonly seen across the planet Instead of showing poverty and corruption, users are anxious to show the positive dimensions of the country. Justin Mueller argues that the comparative lack of social media usage in Africa is because African cultures emphasize storytelling and oral communication rather than written forms often preferred in Western cultures. That notwithstanding, 63 million Nigerians are on some form of social media platform. Facebook is the leading social networking site in Nigerians use Facebook for a variety of reasons, 528 initiating and establishing relationships via online dating is one of the primary reasons—in some cases, even among married Nigerians.64 Mexico Social media site. In 2016, over 52 million Mexicans use Facebook. Zorana Milicevic is a researcher, writer, and project manager for Kulturis, a Serbian nonprofit organization. Recently, she spent a year in rural Mexico, conducting research with Mexican children and their parents, Milicevic learned that like most others, Mexicans use Facebook to initiate and unrelated to agriculture is virtually impossible. Teachers in these small towns also recognize the value of Facebook for their classrooms, using the social media site for a variety of classroom, using the social media site for a variety of classroom projects such as organizing school trips and events, as well as establishing collaborative projects with other schools in urban areas and even abroad. Milicevic notes that the teachers recognize that these types of activities allow their students to initiate and maintain more meaningful relationships than is the case with random online encounters.65 529 Mate Selection and Desirability Across Cultures When you think about getting married or finding a romantic partner, what characteristics do you imagine? Are you looking for someone who is good-looking? Educated? In possession of a good sense of humor? Wealthy? The famed evolutionary psychologist David Buss is interested in the answers to these questions and has investigated mate preferences and opposite-sex desirability across cultures for decades. Before looking at his findings, take a moment to complete the survey in Self-Assessment 9.2. 530 Self-Assessment 9.2. Selecting a Mate: Factors in Choosing a mate. Use a score of 0 1. Sociability 7. Education and intelligence for irrelevant or unimportant, 1 for somewhat important, 2 for important, and 3 for indispensable. 2. Similar education 3. Pleasing disposition 4. Refinement, neatness 5. Similar religious background 6. Good looks 8. Mutual attraction-love 15. Favorable social status or rating 11. Desire for home and children 12. Chastity (no previous experience in sexual intercourse) 14. Good health 10. Good financial prospect 13. Dependable character 16. Similar political background 17. Emotional stability and maturity 18. Ambitious and industrious Preferences Concerning Potential Mates Directions: The following is a set of 13 characteristics. Please rank them on their desirable characteristic in a potential mate, a 3 to the third-most desirable characteristic in a potential mate, a 3 to the third-most desirable characteristic in a potential mate, a 3 to the third-most desirable characteristic in a potential mate, a 3 to the third-most desirable characteristic in a potential mate, a 3 to the third-most desirable characteristic in a potential mate, a 3 to the third-most desirable characteristic in a potential mate, a 3 to the third-most desirable characteristic in a potential mate, a 3 to the third-most desirable characteristic in a potential mate, a 3 to the third-most desirable characteristic in a potential mate, a 3 to the third-most desirable characteristic in a potential mate, a 3 to the third-most desirable characteristic in a potential mate, a 3 to the third-most desirable characteristic in a potential mate, a 4 to the second-most desirable characteristic in a potential mate, a 4 to the second-most desirable characteristic in a potential mate, a 4 to the second-most desirable characteristic in a potential mate, a 4 to the second-most desirable characteristic in a potential mate, a 4 to the second-most desirable characteristic in a potential mate, a 4 to the second-most desirable characteristic in a potential mate, a 4 to the second-most desirable characteristic in a potential mate, a 4 to the second-most desirable characteristic in a potential mate, a 4 to the second-most desirable characteristic in a potential mate, a 4 to the second-most desirable characteristic in a potential mate, a 4 to the second-most desirable characteristic in a potential mate, a 4 to the second-most desirable characteristic in a potential mate, a 4 to the second-most desirable characteristic in a potential mate, a 4 to the second-most desirable characteristic in a potential mate, a 4 to the second-most desirable characteristic in a potential ma 1. Easygoing 2. Healthy 3. Physically attractive 531 4. Good heredity 5. College graduate 6. Exciting personality Intelligent desirable characteristic in a potential mate, and so on until you have ranked all 13 characteristics. No characteristic can receive the same ranking as another. 10. Good earning capacity 11. Religious 12. Wants children 13. Kind and understanding SOURCE: Buss, D. M. (1989). Sex Differences in Human Mate Preference: Evolutionary Hypotheses Tested in Thirty-Seven Cultures. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 12, 1–49; Buss, D. M. (1994). Mate artistic 9. Good housekeeper Preferences in Thirty-Seven Cultures. In W. J. Lonner & R. Malpass (Eds.), Psychology and Culture (pp. 197-202). Boston: Allyn & Bacon. In some of their most recent research, Buss and his
colleague Susan Hill argue that human mate preferences have evolved in response to recurring and different adaptive problems that each sex has had to solve when judging the desirability of someone else. These different mate preferences present men and women with a different set of assessment problems when evaluating the desirability of another as a romantic partner is how that person is perceived when interacting with same-sex others. Buss and Hill found that women rate men more desirable when the men are shown surrounded by women than when they are shown alone or with other men. They label this the desirability enhancement effect. In contrast, men rate women less desirable when they are shown surrounded by men than when they are shown alone or with women. Buss and Hill call this the desirability diminution effect. The authors maintain that both effects are reflected in men's and women will judge other women depicted with men as being less desirable to men than the same women depicted alone or with other women. Moreover, men will judge other men depicted with women as being more desirable to women than the same men depicted alone or with other men.66 In his earlier research, Buss initiated the International Mate Selection Project, consisting of 49 research collaborators from 33 countries located on six continents and five islands.67 The focus of the project has been to identify people's preferences in choosing a mate. According to Buss, scholars from myriad academic fields are interested in mating practices and mate preference is a central evolutionary force. Sociologists study mating practices because they affect the 532 distribution of wealth in society (e.g., when the rich prefer to mate with the rich). Geneticists understand that mate preferences can affect genetic inheritability estimates. Social psychologists see mate preferences can affect genetic inheritability estimates. characteristics people value in potential mates and how these values might vary across cultures—hence, the International Mate Selection Project. The purpose of his research was to identify (a) which characteristics individuals value in potential mates and differences among countries in their values, (c) clusters of countries that are similar to one another, and (d) sex differences in the degree of variation in mate selection within each country. Buss and his research collaborators surveyed more than 10,000 people from 33 different countries. In Africa, subjects were from Nigeria, South Africa, and Zambia. Asian countries sampled were China, India, Japan, and Taiwan. In the Middle East, Israelis, Palestinians, and Iranians were surveyed. European countries studied were Belgium, Bulgaria, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Great Britain, Greece, Ireland, Italy, the Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Spain, Sweden, and the former Yugoslavia. In North America, the United States (including Hawaii) and Canada were sampled. South American countries surveyed were Brazil, Colombia, and Venezuela. Oceanic countries were also sampled.68 In the study, individuals were asked to complete the "Factors in Choosing a Mate" and the "Preferences Concerning Potential Mates" instruments (see Self-Assessment 9.2). After analyzing the completed surveys, Buss found that in spite of the unique cultural variability associated with each samples. Some of the least preferred characteristics from the rating instruments were chastity, similar religious background, similar political background, and favorable social status. The lowest ranked characteristics were college graduate, good earning capacity, and religion. 533 Buss noted that although the cultures shared some overall commonalities, each country displayed some unique mate preferences. For example, the highest rated characteristic for Iranian men and women was refinement and neatness. On the other hand, Chinese men rated good health as most important. Chinese women chose emotional stability as their toprated characteristic. Nigerian men rated good health the highest, whereas Nigerian women rated good health the highest. the variable of chastity. China, India, Indonesia, Iran, Taiwan, and Palestinian Israel placed the most importance on this characteristic, whereas Sweden, Finland, Norway, the Netherlands, and Germany ranked it the least important.69 Probably the greatest difference among cultures occurred between men and women. In some countries, the sexes differed little in their rankings, whereas in others they differed greatly. The two countries with the highest degree of sexual dimorphism, Nigeria and Zambia, were also the two that practice polygyny. Moreover, more similarity was found between men and women from different cultures. The largest sex difference occurred for the variables of good financial prospect and good earning capacity. Women generally valued these traits more than did men. Buss states, The importance of good looks is not limited to Western Europe or North America; nor is it limited to cultures saturated with visual media such as television, movies, and videos; nor is it limited to particular racial, ethnic, religious, or political groups. In all known cultures worldwide, from the innercontinental tribal societies of Africa and South America to the big cities of Madrid, London, and Paris, men place a premium on the physical appearance of a potential mate.70 534 Women, on the other hand, place somewhat greater value than do men on emotional stability and maturity, favorable social status, education and intelligence, and college graduate. In another sex difference, men worldwide prefer wives who are younger than themselves. In polygynous cultures such as Zambia and Nigeria, where men may have multiple wives, men prefer brides who are much younger than themselves. Conversely, women prefer men who are small compared with those of culture (see Photo 9.6).71 Photo 9.6 Although commonalities can be found across cultures, culture is thought to exert great influence over individuals' mate preferences. © iStockphoto.com/Martinns Buss's research shows us that mutual attraction and love are nearly universal factors in choosing a mate. But how people from different cultures define love may differ. In their review of some of the research on love across cultures, Todd Jackson and his colleagues contend that students of Asian descent score higher on measures of companionate and pragmatic love styles and lower on erotic love styles compared with their Europeandescended counterparts.72 In related research, Fred Rothbaum and Bill Yuk-Piu Tsang found that in comparison with 535 U.S. love songs, Chinese love songs focus on love in the natural world and love as a value of Confucianism, Buddhism, and Taoism, with an emphasis on interdependence. U.S. love songs focus on the love partner without regard to context. Other studies have found that Chinese concepts of love equate it with happiness.73 To extend this research, Jackson and his colleagues asked U.S. and Chinese couples to complete the Love Stories Scale, an instrument designed to assess preferences for 25 metaphors for love. Examples of love metaphors include history, in which love is a mental and physical record of their relationship; war, in which love is a mental and physical record of their relationship; war, in which love is a series of battles in a continuing war; mystery, in which love is a mental and physical record of their relationship; war, in which love is a mental and physical record of their relationship; war, in which love is a mental and physical record where partners do not let themselves be known; pornography, in which love is dirty and partners are objectified; fantasy, in which love results in couples living happily ever after; democracy, in which love is two partners equally sharing power; and so on. Their results showed that fantasy emerged as a prototypical theme for U.S. citizens that was missing from the Chinese responses. For the Chinese, democracy and history emerged as themes, where the sharing of power and history between partners was an important ingredient of love. Pornography emerged in both Chinese and U.S. themes.74 Shuangyue Zhang and Susan Kline have also studied mate selection across cultures. Their study examined the selection across cultures. influence of one's social network on intention to marry and relational commitment to another in both China and the United States. Zhang and Kline point out that the familial network support is positively related to relationship development and stability in the United States as well. But Zhang and Kline were interested in finely tuning how and to what extent family and friend networks affect one's decision in the process of mate selection in both China and the United States. influence the initiation, development, maintenance, and dissolution of any given relationships do not exist in isolation from one another, Zhang and Kline assert that social networks are important because relationships do not exist in isolation from members of their close networks. In fact, some interesting research shows that one's social network is often better at predicting relationship outcome than are the two individuals involved in the relationship development and stability. For example, Zhang of the relationship development and stability. and Kline point to research that shows that romantic partners experience less uncertainty and are less likely to terminate their relationship when they communicate frequently with their partners' social network. In their own study, Zhang and Kline examined the role of social networks in mate selection in China and the United States. They suspected that the cultural orientations of 536 individualism and collectivism may play a role in the impact of social networks and mate selection across these two cultures. Recall from Chapter 2 that filial piety is one of the most salient values in Chinese and U.S. students completed surveys that measure the role of social networks in
mate preferences. Zhang and Kline predicted that (a) family-oriented beliefs would be more likely to comply with their network members regarding their marriage decisions than would those from the United States, (c) Chinese would be more likely to indicate network disapproval as an obstacle to marrying their dating partners than would U.S. citizens, and (d) the influence of family and friends would be a better predictor of one's marriage intentions and relational commitment for Chinese than for Americans. All their predictions were confirmed In their discussion of the results, Zhang and Kline point to China's collectivistic orientation, and especially filial piety, as accountable for the emphasis on social networks.76 Arranged Marriages In some cultures, an individual's preference in selecting a mate is most because marriage is arranged by parents or a trusted family friend or mediator. According to recent data from UNICEF, Human Rights Council, and ABC News, there are more arranged marriages annually worldwide, representing just over 53% of all marriages. So there are more arranged marriages than free-choice marriages. The global divorce rate for arranged marriages is just over 6%. In many instances, the bride and groom of an arranged marriage do not even meet until the day of the wedding. A bride price, similar to a dowry, is an essential ingredient of the arranged marriage that is initiated and negotiated by a third party rather than by the bride and groom 537 Student Voices Across Cultures: Marriage in Saudi Arabia. I graduated from St. Norbert College with a major in business administration. Since Saudi Arabia is heavily influenced by the religion of Islam, marriage is considered holy. This is because Islam encourages its followers to get married and multiply. However, these days, people who are getting married have some concerns. There are, of course, some burdens on both the bride and the groom. The most difficult part of marriage, for example, is the bride and the groom. The most difficult part of married have some concerns. There are, of course, some burdens on both the bride and the groom. together. However, there are more important aspects associated with marriage is the importance of forming a family that the families could become one families could become one family. Another vital reason for marriage is the importance of forming a family that contributes well to society. Mothers from both the groom's and the bride's sides play a major part in matching two individuals as a wife and a husband. Arranged marriages are very common where I am from. The mother of the groom usually plays the role of matching two individuals as a wife and a husband. in a woman. What most men seek in a wife is not quite the same as in other cultures. Qualities are similar, but the order is different. For Saudi men, reputation is probably ranked first, followed by social status. 538 Although not as common as they once were, arranged marriages are still practiced in a variety of cultures and within some microcultural groups in the United States, such as the Amish and the prospect of an arranged marriage quite frightening and unnerving. Yet Katie Thao, a Hmong woman who emigrated from Laos to the United States when she was young, indicates that the arranged marriage in which she participated was one of the most satisfying events of her life.78 To Thao, the time and effort associated with searching for a mate represented considerable uncertainty and anxiety. In traditional Hmong culture, arranged marriages are negotiated by the parents of her life.78 To Thao, the time and effort associated with searching for a mate represented considerable uncertainty and anxiety. Because Thao had faith and trust in her parents to select the ideal spouse for her, she had little to worry about and very little uncertainty about the married very much, and they have three children now. Although it happens infrequently, the woman can reject the arranged match. As Lor notes, however, there are certain situations in which the match cannot be refused. According to Lor, if the groom's family in some way, the match is essentially permanent.79 In India, as many as 90% of all marriages are arranged. Like other countries that practice arranged marriage, in India the parents make the arrangements. Oftentimes, parents will place "matrimonial" advertisements in magazines, websites, and newspapers, describing their sons or daughters and soliciting potential mates for them, sometimes even by caste membership. The following are examples of ads from Advertisement India.com and ReleaseMyAd.com:80 Match for Brahmin SP girl 27/165/30000 fair b'ful employed Multinational. Bangalore employed boys from Lucknow preferred. Contact Phone : 0522000000 E-mail: Tyagi Brahmin Boy 5'9'", 31-12-83, 1:57 AM, POB- Ghaziabad, C.A., working in Gurgaon, seeks Qualified Matching Girl. All Brahmin acceptable. The sons and daughters play virtually no role in mate selection. In most cases, there is an initial meeting between the families. The potential bride and groom are then allowed a minimum number of days, or sometimes just hours to decide. A 2013 IPSOS (a global market research company) survey found that 74% of young Indians (18-35 years old) prefer an arranged marriages in India. In his research, Professor of Marketing at Rice University and has studied arranged marriages, which is one of the lowest 539 divorce rates in the world. Dholakia also discovered the high levels of satisfaction reported by those in arranged marriages are as satisfied with their marriages are as satisfied argues that choosing a marriage partner through arrangement has at least two major advantages. The first is that people whom potential brides and grooms respect and trust (i.e., their parents) very carefully screen the available options, leaving a small number of options from which to choose. This leaves the decision-making process less complicated. Second, Dholakia suggests that in an arranged marriage, the speed with which one must decide whether or not to marry does not leave much time for careful thinking or comparisons, which actually leads to more satisfying marriages. This eliminates the long and elaborate dating process, in which partners spend months or years critically and deliberately deciding on a mate. Finally, in arranged marriages, because the bride and groom do not know each other well, their expectations are low. The newlyweds can place more emphasis on compatibility and financial security over romantic love. Dholakia points out that when expectations are low, they are more likely to be met or exceeded, leaving the newlyweds satisfied. In a free-choice marriage, high expectations often develop during an elaborate dating period, with the culture placing great weight on the romantic-love ideal. This sets people up for a letdown after the honeymoon period is over.81 In China, a grotesque form of arranged marriage brokers offer kidnapped women and girls for sale to prospective buyers. According to Elliot, in recent years Chinese authorities freed almost 100,000 kidnapped women and children and arrested almost 150,000 bride traffickers for participating in what she calls a virtual slave trade. Ironically, writes Elliot, local Chinese peasants sympathize with men who buy their wives, believing that if a woman takes the bride price and sends it to her parents, the man deserves to have her as his wife.82 In parts of Africa, over 40% of women under the age of 18 are forced into arranged marriages. In Niger, one in four women under the age of 15 are forced into arranged marriages. In Sambia, 40% of women are married by age 18, and 9% by age 15. Poverty and cultural traditions motivate this practice. Young women from impoverished households are 5 times more likely to be forced into marriage than young women from wealthy families. Nomsa Maseko with BBC News interviewed Zambian women who were forced into arranged marriages at young ages. Beatrice Chikwekwe, who was 15 at the time of her arranged marriage and is now 32, had this to say: I was terrified and confused on my wedding day. I didn't even know what I was doing. I fell pregnant the same year and had complications while giving birth. I 540 nearly died.83 Marital Dissolution and Divorce Across Cultures A fact of life for all of us is that some of our relationships will end. Like marriage practices, divorce customs vary acrossed and had complications while giving birth. I 540 nearly died.83 Marital Dissolution and Divorce Across Cultures A fact of life for all of us is that some of our relationships will end. cultures. Although it is relatively easy to calculate the divorce rate in any given culture, understanding why people divorce is a much more difficult task. Communication problems are a main reason for divorce in a number of countries. But in many cultures, social and economic issues often play a role in divorce decisions as well. Factors such as income, sexual dissatisfaction, childlessness, women's equality issues, religion, and the ease with which one can obtain a divorce all vary across cultures. Divorce Rates Across the World84 Sweden, 55% United States, Australia, 46% United States, Aust 17% Spain, 15% Italy, 12% Note that in the list of divorce rates across countries, those with the highest rates of divorce are individualistic. In their research of divorce are individualistic. In in divorce across cultures. In their research, Toth and Kemmelmeier contend that individualist societies exhibit more favorable divorce rates are consistently related to a society's level of cultural individualist, with highly individualist societies exhibiting higher divorce rates. Citing extant research, Toth and Kemmelmeier assert that because individualism champions the pursuit of one's self-interest, people in individualist cultures are often unwilling to sacrifice their personal fulfillment for an unsatisfactory marriage, even when
divorce leads to emotional and financial costs. They argue that people in individualist cultures put the self first when it comes to entering, remaining in, or terminating a marriage. In contrast, Toth and Kemmelmeier note that in collectivist cultures there is a devotion to tradition and social conventions, including the respect for the parents' wishes 541 in selecting one's partner and/or religious sanctions regarding divorce. Many collectivistic cultures place greater emphasis on family unity and self-sacrifice. Hence, marriages are less likely to end in divorce even when remaining in the marriage contradicts one's personal level of satisfaction.85 Although the reasons for divorce even when remaining in the marriages are less likely to end in divorce rate is on the rise, even in collectivistic cultures. In Japan, the number of divorce cases in 2009 was about 3.5 times more than 50 years ago. Today in Japan, about one in three marriages end in divorce, which is one every 2 minutes and 4 seconds. In India, however, the divorce rate remains low, given only one in 100 marriages ends in divorce. Pittu Laungani notes that there is a strong stigma against divorce in India, especially on women. Indian women should not even think of divorce, asserts Laungani, lest they face the loss of status, removal of custody of their children, and threat of poverty. So strong are the social consequences of divorce in that country that most married Indian women endure the most appalling domestic conditions to remain married.86 In China, the divorce rate is increasing. Since the passage of legislative reforms in the early 1980s and then more reform in 2003, divorce has become much simpler in China, and divorce rates have soared. According to a recent article in China Daily, more than 5,000 couples divorce in China every day. The article cites Chen Yijun, a sociologist at the Chinese Academy of Social Sciences. Yijun suggests that less communication between spouses and increasing extramarital affairs are the chief reasons for divorce in China. In addition, Yijun suggests that Chinese men and women are becoming more personally and financially independent than ever before.87 The divorce rate in Australia hovers at about 45%. According to a report issued by the Australian Institute of Family Studies, the three main reasons for divorce in Australia are communication problems, incom atibility, and extramarital affairs. Communication problems were the most cited reason for divorce among men and women. The report maintains that communication problems are associated with difficulties expressing emotional attrition in the relationship, not being met, loss of affection and companionship, and feeling lonely and unappreciated.88 Citing increased opportunities for women, the relationship, and feeling lonely and unappreciated.88 Citing increased opportunities for women, the relationship, and feeling lonely and unappreciated.88 Citing increased opportunities for women, the relationship, and feeling lonely and unappreciated.88 Citing increased opportunities for women attrition in the relationship attrition at Women's International Network News reports that the divorce rate in Russia is rising quickly. Because they are now able to find their own apartments and support themselves financially, more and more Russian women are seeking divorce. The divorce rate in Russia has plateaued at about 40%.89 542 Chapter Summary People across the world, in all cultures, initiate, maintain, and dissolve relationships. Reducing uncertainty is a major part of initiating relationships, and one's level of intercultural communicative style affect this process. Empathy and similarity are important in maintaining relationships. Reducing uncertainty is a major part of initiating relationships. cultures, particularly between Eastern and Western cultures. Perhaps the most important of all relationships—marriage, and marriage, and marriage, and marriage, and marriage arouse cultures in terms of the different types of marriage, and marriage arouse cultures in terms of the different types of marriage. impressive array of free tools and resources for review, study, and further exploration, keeping both instructors and students on the cutting edge of teaching and learning. 544 Discussion Questions 1. In thinking about the guote at the beginning of the chapter—"Without others, there is no self"—how do your family, friends, and professors define who you are? 2. What communication strategies do you use to reduce uncertainty when interacting with others? 3. After completing the Sociocommunicative Orientation/Style Instrument (SelfAssessment 9.1) in this chapter, how does your level of assertiveness and responsiveness affect your relationships? 4. In what ways are you similar to your closest friends? 5. What are the primary characteristics you look for in a potential relational partner? 6. Why would you or would you or would you or would you or would you and consider a person from a different culture as a potential mate? 545 Ethics and Intercultural Relationships 1. You've recently become an acquaintance with Ahmed, an international exchange student from Saudi Arabia. At one point in an early conversation with Ahmed you ask, "What would you like me to know about Saudi Arabia?" Your new friend pauses and says, "I can have four wives." He then tells you that his mother will select his bride and arrange the wedding. What do you think about that? Does that seem acceptable to you? 2. In India, parents placing newspaper ads that solicit a potential spouse for their son or daughter is not uncommon. How would you feel if your parents did that for you? And how different is that from subscribing to online dating sites such as eHarmony.com or Match.com? 3. One of your close friends has fallen in love with someone of a different race. Your friend plans to get their son or daughter is not uncommon. married, but his or her parents will not allow it. What do you think? Should your friend's parents allow the cross-race marriage? Why or why not? 546 Developing Intercultural Communication Competence 1. As stated at the beginning of the chapter, initiating and maintaining relationships with others is one of the most necessary and challenging functions of human survival. Initiating and maintaining relationships with people from different cultures presents a unique set of circumstances that many people find hard to address. Having read this chapter, keep in mind that beginning a new relationship is fraught with uncertainty, which leads to anxiety. No one likes feeling anxious, but remember that anxiety is perfectly natural. So don't let anxiety prohibit you from initiating a relationship with someone from another culture. In the next week or so, try approaching and interacting with one of your fellow students who is from a culture different from your own. 2. During the early stages of an intercultural relationship, be conscious of the ways you reduce uncertainty, keeping in mind that people from other cultures (e.g., high vs. low context) reduce uncertainty using different kinds of communication strategies. Be flexible and mindful that your way of reducing uncertainty for your new intercultural friend. Also keep in mind that your new friend may not ask a lot of questions, may not look at you directly, and may use the nonverbal environment to reduce uncertainty. This does not necessarily mean that he or she is shy or apprehensive. 3. Consider your group of close friends. Notice how similar you are to them (e.g., age, education level, dress habits, music and TV interests). Now consider how similar you may be to your fellow international students. While they come from different parts of the world, you may be to your fellow international students. While they come from different, you may have more in common with them (i.e., similarity) than you think. 547 Key Terms anxiety/uncertainty management theory 300 arranged marriage 329 assertiveness 305 sociocommunicative style 295 third culture 310 uncertainty 296 uncertainty reduction theory 297 548 Without others, there is no self. —Kenneth J. Gergen1 549 10 Intercultural Conflict © iStockphoto.com/dsteller 550 Learning Objectives 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. Define intercultural conflict Define facework and identify three primary facework strategies List and define the five primary and three secondary styles of conflict communication Identify and discuss the conflict styles preferred by high- versus low-context cultures Explain and apply the components of the contingency model of cross-cultural conflict styles preferred by high- versus low-context cultures Explain and apply the components of the conflict styles preferred by high- versus low-context cultures Explain and apply the components of the conflict styles preferred by high- versus low-context cultures Explain and apply the components of the conflict styles preferred by high- versus low-context cultures Explain and apply the components of the conflict styles preferred by high- versus low-context cultures Explain and apply the components of the conflict styles preferred by high- versus low-context cultures Explain and apply the components of the conflict styles preferred by high- versus low-context cultures Explain and apply the components of the conflict styles preferred by high- versus low-context cultures Explain and apply the components of the conflict styles preferred by high- versus low-context cultures Explain and apply the components of the conflict styles preferred by high- versus low-context cultures Explain and apply the components of the conflict styles preferred by high- versus low-context cultures Explain and apply the components of the conflict styles preferred by high- versus low-context cultures Explain and apply the components of the conflict styles preferred by high- versus low-context cultures Explain and apply the components of the conflict styles preferred by high- versus low-context cultures
Explain and apply the conflict styles preferred by high- versus low-context cultures Explain and apply the conflict styles preferred by high- versus low-context cultures Explain and apply the conflict styles preferred by high- versus low-context cultures explain and apply the conflict styles preferred by high- versus low-context cultures explain and apply the conflict styles preferred by high- versus low-context cultures explain and apply the conflict styles pre exchange student from Japan who lives down the hall from you in your dorm. You have interacted with Akira only occasionally and do not know him very well. This morning, Akira approached you to complain that you frequently play your music so loudly. What would you do in this situation? How would you comply with Akira's request? Would you argue with Communication plays a paradoxical role in most conflict is because communication is required both to instigate conflict and to resolve it. Unfortunately, the successful resolution; fortunately, the successful resolution; fortunately, the successful resolution of conflict is one of the strongest and most consistent predictors of relational satisfaction. Hence, an understanding of conflict and how to resolve it is an essential part of becoming a competent communicator, especially in your relationships with persons from other cultures. 551 Definition of Intercultural Conflict In the past 30 years, a growing body of theory and research has emerged in the intercultural communication literature regarding the nature of intercultural conflict. Much of this research is based on the work of Stella Ting-Toomey and John Oetzel.1 They define intercultural communities over perceived or actual incompatibility of cultural ideologies and values, situational norms, goals, faceorientations, scarce resources, styles/processes, and/or outcomes in a face-to-face (or mediated) context within a sociohistorical embedded system.2 intercultural conflict The implicit or explicit emotional struggle between persons of different cultures over perceived or actual incompatibility of cultural ideologies and values, situational norms, goals, face orientations, scarce resources, styles/processes, and/or outcomes in a face-to-face context Recall from Chapter 1 that a fundamental assumption of intercultural conflict, one's group membership (i.e., culture) becomes a factor in how conflict is perceived, managed, and resolved. Some of these cultural factors may be unconscious, such as one's degree of individualism or collectivism. Other factors are probably very conscious, such as one's degree of individualism or collectivism. to play your music loudly. Akira prefers that you not play your music loudly. From a sociohistorical perspective, you may wonder if all Japanese are quiet and dislike loud music. Perhaps Akira questions if all Americans are rude and insensitive to the wishes of others. Although the conflict between you and Akira could just as easily have occurred between two U.S. students or two Japanese students, the fact that it happened between a U.S. student and a Japanese student complicates the issue. Ting-Toomey and Oetzel maintain that intercultural conflict involves a certain degree of ethnocentric perception and judgment. Recall from Chapter 1 and Chapter 5 that ethnocentric persons hold attitudes and behaviors about their in-group that are biased in favor of the in-group, often at the expense of out-groups. Ethnocentric persons foster cooperative relations with in-group members. 3 Hence, by virtue of our cultural upbringing, we think we are correct (i.e., loud music is great vs. loud music is disrespectful). To explain intercultural conflict, Ting-Toomey and Oetzel's Culture-Based Social Ecological Conflict, Model of Intercultural Conflict, Ting-Toomey and Oetzel's Culture-Based Social Ecological Conflict, Ting-Toomey and Oetzel's Conflict Well-known intercultural communication scholar Young Yun Kim has developed a model of intercultural conflict. Kim argues that intercultural conflict. Kim argues that intercultural conflict. Kim argues that intercultural conflict. Intercultural Conflict SOURCE: Based on Kim, Y. Y. (1989). Interethnic Conflict: An Interdisciplinary Overview. In J. B. Gittler (Ed.), Annual Review of Conflict: An Interdisciplinary Overview. Paper presented at the annual convention of the Speech Communication Association. Chicago, IL. The micro, or individual, level of intercultural conflict refers to the unique attitudes, dispositions, and beliefs that each individual brings to the conflict. According to Kim's model, cognitive simplicity/rigidity refers to the degree of inflexibility in the way individuals think about people from different cultures. Rigid, simplistic thinking includes gross categorization and stereotyping (e.g., all Americans are rude; all Japanese are quiet). In-group bias refers to the degree to which the individual is ethnocentric. Recall from Chapter 1 that ethnocentrics are rude; all Japanese are quiet). standards of one's own group to measure or gauge the worth of all other groups. Insecurity/frustration refers to the degree to which the individual has a high level of uncertainty about, and fear of, out-group members (e.g., they will steal our jobs). Divergent behavior refers to the behavior refers to the degree to which the individual that clearly differentiate and distance him or her from out-group members. For example, obviously different speech patterns or accents may ostensibly separate groups from one another. During conflict, people will often exaggerate their mannerisms and speech to accentuate their differences compared with out-groups. Because you are upset about Akira's complaint, you may intentionally turn up the volume on your music. Imagine two employees working together, each from a different culture, who have gross stereotypes of each other, are both ethnocentric, fear each other, and have highly divergent behavioral patterns. Kim's model predicts that such a situation is likely to engender conflict. The intermediary level of intercultural conflict refers to the actual location and context of the conflict. Some environments (e.g., neighborhoods, school, work) may be more likely than others to facilitate conflict. Segregation and contact refer to the extent to which the individuals' cultural groups interact on a daily basis. Perhaps the most basic condition for intercultural conflict is contact between diverse cultures or ethnicities on a day-to-day basis. Segregated workplaces or schools do not allow for much interaction, and components at the individual level (e.g., cognitive rigidity, in-group bias) tend to escalate to intolerable levels that facilitate intercultural conflict. Intergroup salience refers to the observable physical and social differences between the conflicting individuals. Such cultural markers include distinct physical and behavioral differences, such as race, language, and speech patterns. As Kim notes, to the extent that the groups are culturally distinct, the communicative skills of the less powerful cultural group will clash with those of the majority group members. The majority group's symbol system is dominant. Status discrepancy refers to the degree to which conflicting parties differ in status along cultural lines. For example, African Americans often argue that U.S. culture practices an asymmetry. On the job, managers and supervisors have more power than workers. If all the managers in a business are of one race or ethnicity and all the workers are of another, then the status discrepancy is heightened. The macro, or societal, level of intercultural conflict includes factors that are probably out of the interactants' control. These conditions includes any history of subjugation, ideological/structural inequality, and minority group strength. The history of subjugation of one group by another is a key environmental factor in many intercultural conflicts. For example, African Americans were slaves. Even after emancipation, they were not allowed to vote. As late as the 1960s, restaurants in the South enforced separate bathrooms, seating areas, and drinking fountain in use in the American South. By Russell Lee. This image is available from the United States Library of Congress's Prints and Photographs division under the digital ID fsa.8a26761. Public Domain, Often, the tensions expressed today are rooted in the history of one group's subjugation of another group. Ideological and structural inequity refers to societal differences regarding power, prestige, and economic reward. Historically, in the United States, Whites have held most of the power positions and gained most of the economic reward. Hence, there is a vast ideological and structural difference between Whites and other groups. Minority (i.e., microcultural groups strength refers to the amount of power (e.g., legal, political, economic) a particular group possesses. vary in their ability to rally their members against structural inequalities. Minority group strength varies as a function of the status of the group, and forms of societal support (e.g., governmental services designed specifically for that group). Relative to other microcultural groups, African Americans, for example, are economically and politically quite powerful. Political scientists argue, for instance, that presidential elections are swung by the African American voting bloc. According to Kim, the greater the ethnic group's strength, the more likely that an individual in that group will take action in intercultural conflict situations.7 Taken together, these three levels of conflict 555 merge during any intercultural conflict. To the extent that these individual, intermediary, and societal factors are present, intercultural conflict. To the extent that these individual, intermediary, and societal factors are present, intercultural conflict. Toomey and Oetzel have developed what they call a culture-based social ecological
conflict model. In their model. Social ecological conflict model appraisals, conflict processes, and conflict competence. During intercultural conflict, these four factors come together interdependently in a complex formula that defines the specific conflict episode (see Figure 10.2). Figure 10.2 Ting-Toomey and Oetzel's Culture-Based Social Ecological Conflict model 556 The primary orientation factors are what each

individual brings to the conflict. This would be similar to Kim's micro level, but with some added variables. Ting-Toomey and Oetzel suggest that each individual brings macro, exo, meso, and micro meaning "larger than," exo meaning "arger than," exo meaning "arger than," exo meaning "larger than," exo meaning "arger than," exo meaning "larger than," exo meaning "larger than," exo meaning "arger than," exo meaning "larger than," exo meaning "arger than," exo meaning "larger than," exo meaning "larger than," exo meaning "arger than," exo meaning "larger than," exo "localized or small." 557 An Intercultural Conversation: Kim's Model of Intercultural Conflict Mike Fabion is the vice president of Acme Marketing firm for insurance agencies. Mike is 58 years old and White. He was born and raised in Kenilworth, Illinois, a wealthy Chicago suburb. Mike has six directors under him in Acme's organizational hierarchy. These six directors each manage and supervises about 50 employees. Thus, Mike has one-on-one meetings with each employees. These meetings with each employees. Thus, Mike is meeting with Nicole Newton. Nicole is a new employee and has worked for Acme for just over a year. She was hired soon after graduating from college with a bachelor's degree in communication. This will be her first evaluation meeting. She was hired as a telemarketer and hopes to move up in the organization soon. She is African American and 23 years old. She was raised in the city of Chicago, in a public-housing district. Their meeting takes place in Mike's office. She and Mike have never met. Mike: Good morning, Nicole: Come in and have a seat. Nicole: Hi, Mike: Mike: Actually, until I get to know my employees, I prefer to be called Mr. Fabion. Nicole: Oh, OK, Mr. Fabion (placing emphasis on "Mr."). Mike: (Noticing her tone of voice.) So where are you from? Nicole: I grew up on the South Side. Mike: (Thinks to himself, "She and I have nothing in common.") I'm from Kenilworth. Nicole: Yeah, I've heard of that. Mike: So do you have any education beyond high school? Nicole: Yeah, I've heard of that. Mike: So do you have any education beyond high school? Nicole: Yeah, I've heard of that. file. Mike: Oh, yes, here it is. It says here you have a degree in communication? What's that all about? Classes in organizational communication, intercultural communication, intercultural communication? What's that all about? variety of contexts. It's a great major! Mike: Well, there was no such major when I went to school. I don't understand. Why not major in business? Anyway ... I've been reading your manager's monthly assessments of your performance. I can see you need improvement in several areas, including customer service and attitude. Nicole: Really? I thought I was doing fine. Mike: Well, your manager says you are informal with customers. I think that leaves a bad impression. (Thinks to himself, "I guess that's not taught in communication classes.") Nicole: Really? I think they like it. I think it's all right to be a little relaxed once in a while. Mike: Well, maybe elsewhere, but not here. 558 Nicole: Have any of my customers complained? Mike: Not directly, no. Nicole: So then, what's the problem? (Thinks to herself, "What's his problem? He thinks he's pretty special. He needs a class in communication.") Mike: Look, Nicole, I'm not going to argue with you. I'm telling you to improve your attitude and stop being so informal with the customers. Nicole Whatever you say, Mr. Fabion. Several of the factors outlined in the Kim model can be applied to this brief conflict exchange between Mike and Nicole. In terms of the micro (individual) level, Mike's cognitive rigidity and simplicity are reflected in his inflexible stance about Nicole's informality, which doesn't seem to be an issue with her customers since none of them has complained, and his lack of knowledge about communication degrees. Regarding the intermediary level, that Mike meets with his employees only once a year shows that he has little contact with (i.e., is segregated from) them. Moreover, persons in Kenilworth may rarely interact with persons in the inner city. Finally, at a macro (societal) level, there is a history of subjugation between their groups, and Nicole's group has demonstrable minority factors, are the larger sociocultural factors, histories, worldviews, beliefs, and values held by each individual. Macro-level variables may be outside the individual. Exo factors include the formal institutions present in any culture, including religious institutions, governments, and health care systems, among others that are external to the individual but affect his or her approach. Meso-level factors refer to the more immediate dimensions of a particular culture—for example, the local church group, one's workplace setting, or even one's extended family. Finally, the micro-level factors include the individual's unique intrapersonal attributes, such as his or her level of individualism or collectivism, actual physical location, and personal experiences, express their emotions, and value personal accountability. Collectivists restrain their emotions and protect the in-group. While primary orientation factors are the principal influences on conflict, they affect how each individual perceives (appraises) the situation in which the conflict takes place. Macro, exo, meso, and micro levels appear here as well. Macro situational features might include the effects of globalization on this particular situation, such as immigration. Exo-level variables might include whether the interactants are in-group or out-group members. We tend to use different communication strategies when interacting with the in-group compared with the out-group. Meso-level variables focus on relational features might include 559 the individual's goal in a given situation. Finally, micro-level situational features might include one's status in the family or organization. (e.g., to ask for a pay raise).10 The micro conflict processes include those factors that emerge from the conflict interaction itself. For example, during conflict, the two individual A's competitive style combine with Individual B's avoidance style? Finally, how do the individuals manage their emotions? Are they expressive or restrained? Last, the model includes conflict competence criteria and outcomes, which include effectiveness, productivity/satisfaction, and principled ethics. what we know about conflict to act competently and produce an effective, appropriate resolution? Appropriate resolution? Appropriate resolution? Appropriate resolution? Appropriate resolution? Appropriate resolution? understanding. Productivity/satisfaction refers to the degree to which the individuals are able to create the desired images of themselves, to what extent those images are accepted by the opposing party, and the perception by both parties that a successful resolution has been reached. Ting-Toomey and Oetzel refer to productive resolution as a "winwin" conflict orientation and to unproductive resolution as a "win-lose" conflict orientation. A comparison of the two orientations is presented in Table 10.1.11 We can apply the Culture-Based Social Ecological Model to the earlier interaction between Mike Fabion and Nicole Newton, as we did the Kim model. Regarding their primary orientation factors, Mike and Nicole have very different macro-level orientations. Race plays a key role here, as Nicole's cultural roots are in subjugation and slavery. Their exolevel factors are also key. Mike and Nicole are probably not members of the same social institutions. Mike is unfamiliar with Nicole's education in communication. They differ in age, and their political affiliations are likely to be different as well. The meso-level factors are particularly relevant here because, within the workplace, Mike carries much higher status than Nicole. Interestingly, their micro-level factors may not differ considerably, as both were raised in the United States and probably carry an individualistic orientation. They likely appraise the conflict situation differently. At the macro level, the issue of race is 560 unresolved, especially in Chicago. At the meso level, Mike's goal is to receive a positive evaluation, while Mike's goal is to point out what he sees as a problem (i.e., Nicole's informality). Ironically, Nicole is correct in thinking that Mike and Nicole resolve their conflict? Like the other scholars cited in this chapter, Benjamin Broome maintains that conflict is an unavoidable consequence of living in a culturally diverse world. But Broome also believes that among myriad cultural groups, peace is possible. He argues that successful interactants engage in dialogue and promote a culture of peace.12 Broome asserts the following: To build and maintain peace, we must learn productive ways to handle disagreements, and we must develop norms, mechanisms, and institutions that will guide us toward resolving divisive issues without violence. A central means through which such actions can unfold is dialogue.13 Broome traces the etymology (i.e., the origins) of the word dialogue to ancient Greece, where dia means "through or across" and logos means "words or reason." Broome contends that via dialogue, conflicting parties can reason with each other using communication as the vehicle toward understanding and eventual conflicting parties can reason with each other using communication. Via dialogue, Broome asserts, conflicting parties can reason with each other using communication as the vehicle toward understanding and eventual conflicting parties can reason with each other using communication. immediate context. Broome is careful to point out that dialogue does not rule out disagreement. Instead, via dialogue, conflicting parties begin to understand each other's unique perspective on the issue confronting them, which can then lead to peace. engage in dialogue, a number of processes can result and lead to
the possibility of a culture of peace. First, dialogue makes possible sustained contact. Just as in the Kim model and Ting-Toomey and Oetzels's model, Broome maintains that conflict is often ongoing because conflicting parties are segregated or have little contact with each other. To engage in dialogue, conflicting parties must come together and interact. Without interaction, it is impossible to understand the other's position. And while Broome admits that sustained contact, resolution, without interaction, it is impossible to understand the other's position. and become aware of each other's perspectives, which helps reduce hostility. By segregating themselves, the conflicting parties engage in the other's point of view becomes possible, which can then lead to a reduction of hostility. As conflicting parties engage in interaction and begin to reduce hostility, they can begin to develop respect for each other. Broome maintains that as members of each group begin to 562 listen to each other. Once again, Broome acknowledges that this does not necessitate agreement but at least can initiate the process of peaceful discussion rather than hostile confrontation. As peaceful discussion continues, interactants are more likely to engage in cooperative rather than hostile confrontation. As peaceful discussion continues, interactants are more likely to engage in cooperative rather than competitive and hostile confrontation. Corie Stingl Corie Stingl My name is Corie Stingl, and I had the privilege to attend St. Norbert College from 2012 to 2016. I graduated with a bachelor of arts in communications. While in this class, I learned so much about myself and how I interact with others in this world. While at St. Norbert College, I served as a mentor for international students and tried to serve as a resource for them to practice speaking English and learn about American culture. Through this experience, I met Haruka Asari, a student from Japan. Over the year that she was at our campus, our friendship grew immensely. We both longed to 564 learn about each other's cultures, but wanted to make sure we did it in a way that was respectful and sensitive of the other person. As a result of this, I learned a few tips about avoiding conflict during intercultural interactions. The first is to practice honesty and ask permission. I would love to learn about Japanese culture?" Practicing asking permission allowed for both of us to become more comfortable with learning from and with each other. The second thing I learned is to listen genuinely and try your best to remember significant pieces of information that are shared with you in a situation like this, it makes the other person feel respected and valued. I believe that creating this kind of environment is what made my friendship with Haruka so strong. From Haruka, I was able to learn so much about Japanese culture, such as what not to do with chopsticks, some commonly used Japanese phrases, and some historical facts about the country. Hopefully, I can put the things she taught me to use, as I hope to visit her in Tokyo in a few years. I am so grateful for my friendship with Haruka and for the things she taught and continues to teach me! Figure 10.3 Broome, B. J. (2013). Building Cultures of Peace: The Role of Intergroup Dialogue. In J. G. Oetzel & S. Ting-Toomey (Eds.), The SAGE Handbook of Conflict Communication: Integrating Theory, Research, and Practice (pp. 3737-3761). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE. Broome is careful to point out that building a culture of peace is a lengthy and difficult 565 process. He understands that unequal social and economic conditions, beyond either party's control, may prevent conflicting parties from engaging in willful dialogue. He asserts that each party must be a willing participant. Moreover, current societal, national, or international events outside the control of either party may impede progress as well.16 So what Mike Fabion and Nicole Newton might try is to engage in more frequent interaction and get to know each other (i.e., reduce uncertainty—remember Chapters 1 and 9?). They may find that they have more in common than they thought (remember the model of relational empathy and third-culture building in Chapter 9?). For example, they both work for the same company, and each wants the company to succeed. As they begin to reduce uncertainty and discover their commonalities—at least in their shared desire for the good of the organization—they may begin to respect each other, engage in more peaceful interaction, and eventually cooperate. 566 The Concept of Face, Facework, and Communication Conflict Styles Face In an effort to explain intercultural conflict, a number of researchers apply a theory called face-negotiation theory.17 According to this view, the concept of face explains how people of different cultures manage conflict. Face refers to a person's sense of favorable self-worth or self-image experienced during communicative situations. people in all cultures have a sense of face, but the specific meanings of face may vary across cultures. Ting-Toomey and her associates differentiate among three types of face, but the specific meanings of face is the concern for both parties' images or that of the relationship. TingToomey maintains that one's face can be threatened, enhanced, undermined, and bargained over both emotionally and cognitively. According to face-negotiation theory, people in all cultures try to maintain and negotiate face in virtually all communications. Generally, however, persons of individualistic cultures have a greater concern for self-face and a lesser concern for other-face than do members of collectivistic cultures.18 The concept of face becomes particularly significant in situations when uncertainty is high, as in conflict situations when the character of the communicators might be called into question. face A person's sense of favorable self-worth or self-image experienced during communication, especially conflict other-face Concern for another's image during communication, especially conflict mutual-face Concern for both parties' images or the image of the relationship during communication, especially conflict Facework In most conflict situations, interactants are required to defend or save their faces when they are threatened or attacked. The various ways one might deal with conflict and face are collectively called facework. Specifically, facework refers to the communicative strategies employed to initiate, manage one's own face or to support or challenge another's face. Facework strategies used in intercultural conflict: dominating, avoiding, and integrating facework (see Table 10.2). Dominating facework behaviors focus on an attempt to save the face of the other person. Integrating facework allows for the shared concern for self- and other-face and strives for closure in the conflict.20 facework Communicative strategies employed to manage one's own face or to support or challenge another's facer self-face dominating facework Communicative behaviors that focus on an attempt to save the face of the other person during communication or conflict integrating facework Communication or conflict Cross-cultural research has shown that individualists, such as U.S. Americans, tend to prefer facework behaviors that defend the self-face or confront the other (i.e., aggression). Collectivists, such as Taiwanese and Chinese, tend to prefer other-face strategies such as attempting to solve the problem through a third party, having a private discussion, or apologizing.21 568 569 Self-Assessment 10.1: Self-Face, Other-Face, and Mutual-Face Concerns Remember your conflict with Akira Abe at the opening of this chapter? Now try to imagine yourself in a different situation: You loaned your car, with a full tank of gas, to Akira. Recall that he is an international exchange student from Japan whom you do not know well. After he returned your car, you noticed that half of the gas had been used. Directions: Take a moment and imagine yourself in this conflict. What would you do? How would you handle this situation? For the following items, please indicate the degree to which you (5) strongly agree, (4) agree, (3) are neutral, (2) disagree, or (1) strongly disagree in terms of the conflict situation presented above. 1. I am concerned with respectful treatment for both of us. 2. My primary concern is saving my own face. 3. Relationship harmony is important to me. 4. I am concerned with maintaining the poise of the other person. 5. Maintaining humbleness 6. Helping maintain the other person's pride is important to me. ___7. I am concerned with protecting my self-image. ____ 8. My concern is to act humble in order to make the other person feel good. 9. My concern is to help the other person maintain his or her dignity. to preserve the relationship is important to me. want to embarrass myself in front of the other person. Scoring: 1. Sum your responses to Items 2, 7, and 10. Scores must range from 3 to 15. This is your self-face score. Higher scores, above 12, indicate a high self-face score. Higher scores are score. above 16, indicate a high other-face concern. 3. Sum your responses to Items 1, 3, and 5. Scores must range from 3 to 15. This is your mutual-face concern. SOURCE: This scale is an adaptation of the Face Concern. Sources, above 12, indicate a high mutual-face score. Higher scores, above 12, indicate a high mutual-face score. Higher scores, above 12, indicate a high mutual-face score. Higher scores, above 12, indicate a high mutual-face score. Higher scores, above 12, indicate a high mutual-face score. X., Takai, J., et al. (2001). Face and Facework in Conflict: A CrossCultural Comparison of China, Germany, Japan, and the United States. Communication Styles In addition to the facework strategies one might use to manage face during conflict, researchers have studied conflict interaction styles. Whereas facework is employed to 570 manage and
uphold face during conflict differs considerably across cultures.22 One's conflict interaction style is based on two communication dimensions. The first is the degree to which a person is cooperative (i.e., observes an other-face need) and seeks to incorporate the interests of the other.23 The combination of assertiveness, or self-face need, and cooperativeness, or other-face need, and cooperativeness, or other-face need, and cooperativeness, or styles are dominating, integrating, obliging, avoiding, and compromising.24 The three secondary styles are emotional expression, third-party help, and neglect (see Figure 10.4).25 Figure 10.4 Self-Face Concern, other-Face Concern, and Communication styles of Managing Conflict The degree to which a person asserts a high self-face need while simultaneously discounting the other-face need defines the dominating communication style. A person exercising a dominating approach might use his or her authority, expertise, or rank to try to win the conflict. The person who assumes a high self-face need while also attending to the needs of the other-face takes on an integrating style. This person might try to collaborate with the opponent or try to find an agreeable solution that fully satisfies both parties. The person who tries to balance both self-face and other-face needs takes on a compromising style. This person would probably use a "give-and-take" approach and might propose some middle 571 ground for resolving the conflict, understanding that each party may have to give up something. The person using an avoiding style ignores both self-face need and other-face need assumes an obliging style. This person will try to accommodate the opponent or try to satisfy the needs of the other before satisfying his or her own needs. Ting-Toomey and Oetzel maintain that the five primary conflict styles overlook some of the subtle, fine distinctions of conflict behavior used across cultures, so they have added three secondary styles. Emotional expression refers to his or her base feelings and proceeds accordingly. Third-party help is the extent to which a person is willing to engage an outsider to act as a go-between in the conflict but attempt to elicit a response from the other via aggressive acts. For example, this person might insult the other or say things that might damage the other's reputation (e.g., "I would say nasty things about the person to others").26 Research in this area has shown that, in general, individualists tend to use more dominating styles during conflict than do collectivists. Collectivists tend to use more integrative, obliging, and avoiding styles during conflict. Such generalizations do not hold for all cultures considered collectivistic, however. For example, in a study comparing five cultures, Ting-Toomey and her colleagues found that Korean, Japanese, and U.S. college students used fewer avoidance-type conflict styles than did Chinese and Taiwanese students. They also found that Korean and U.S. students were less likely to engage in obliging styles than were Chinese, Japanese, and Taiwanese students. 27 In their study, Hyun Lee and Randall Rogan found that U.S. citizens were actually less confrontational during and ThirdParty Conflict Styles Kevin, who grew up in Madison, Wisconsin, is a student at the University of Wisconsin. Kevin is enrolled in an introductory communication course. The professor has given them the option of either submitting a paper or giving a presentation. Kevin and Kokkeong disagree on which option to pursue. Kevin asserts himself forcefully. He stresses his experience and expertise on the matter of presentations versus papers. His approach is typical of a dominating conflict style. Kokkeong, on the other hand, tries to convince Kevin that they should seek the advice of a third party, either other students or the professor. Kevin simply refuses. Kevin: Well, Kokkeong, I think we should do a presentation. I hat evriting papers. Kokkeong, Well, what have other students done? Kevin presentations. Nobody likes writing papers. Kokkeong: Well, maybe they might have some advice. Kevin: Advice about what? Kokkeong: I wonder if we should ask the professor for his advice. Kevin: Why? He's already given u the option. Look, I've been a student here for 2 years. I know how these things work. Let's just do the presentation is the best choice. I know what I'm talking about. 573 The Intercultural Conflict Style Inventory Mitchell Hammer has developed a model of intercultural conflict based on his Intercultural Conflict Style (ICS) Inventory. Hammer is the founder of several organizations that focus on intercultural communication. He has applied his conflict model in work with the NASA Johnson Space Center, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and the National Institutes of Health. Hammer's ICS Inventory is a theoretical model and assessment tool used by professional mediators and trainers to diagnose and manage intercultural conflicts. Hammer contends that the dynamics of conflict revolve around two fundamental features of all conflicts. conflict is disagreement. This is consistent with Ting-Toomey and Oetzel's definition, presented earlier in this chapter, which describes conflict as mismatched expectations between individuals from different cultures who perceive an incompatibility between their values, norms, goals, scarce resources, or outcomes. Disagreement would be considered the cognitive component of conflict. A second fundamental feature of conflict is the affective or emotional response to the disagreement. According to Hammer's conflict model is based on a cognitive and affective component—that is, disagreement and the negative emotional reaction to it.29 The focus of Hammer's model is on intercultural conflict style. Like others, Hammer contends that people respond in patterned ways to conflict and the negative emotional reaction to it.29 The focus of Hammer's model is on intercultural conflict style. component of conflict that follows from the cognitive (i.e., disagreement) and affective (i.e., negative emotional reaction) dimensions of conflict style is learned culturally. But Hammer maintains that one's conflict style is learned culturally. But Hammer maintains that one's conflict style is learned culturally. other-face have been developed within individualistic, Western cultural conceptions and that these models may not adequately reflect intercultural conflict styles. Take, for example, the avoiding style, in which the person ignores both self-face need and other-face cultures, an avoiding style is used to maintain relational harmony and actually reflects a high concern for self and others. Following his contention that conflicts evolve from disagreement and its resulting negative emotional reactions, Hammer proposes that people, regardless of culture, deal with disagreement either directly or indirectly and that they either openly express or restrain their emotional reactions to conflict. Thus, one's intercultural conflict style is defined by one's direct or indirect communication about disagreements and his or her emotionally restrained behaviors. 30 Recall from Chapter 7 that direct communication includes the use of precise language in 574 which one's intentions are explicitly stated and the sender is responsible for making his or her case known. Indirect communication includes the use of ambiguous language, or hinting, and the burden of understanding rests with both the sender and the receiver. individualistic and low-context cultures, while an indirect style is associated with collectivistic and highcontext cultures. Emotionally restrained individuals minimize gesturing, mask their emotionally restrained.31 According to Hammer's model, end control their feelings. Extant research suggests that individualistic and low-context cultures are often emotionally restrained.31 According to Hammer's model, during conflict, the extent to which an individual is either direct or indirect and emotionally restrained defines his or her intercultural conflict styles are (a) discussion, (b) engagement, (c) accommodation, and (d) dynamic style (see Figure 10.5).32 Figure 10.5).32 Figure 10.5 Intercultural Conflict Styles As the graphic shows, an individual who approaches conflict style. This person emphasizes precise language and straightforward communication about the disagreement while withholding his or her emotions This person is typically comfortable addressing conflict and is calm and collected emotionally. The person who is direct in his or her communication and is also emotionally expressive takes on an engagement style. This person is confrontational about the disagreement and forthright with his or her communication. punches." When a person communicates about conflict indirectly and without emotion, he or she takes on an accommodation style. This is the type of person who only hints at the nature of the disagreement and may prefer an intermediary to address the conflict. This person sees emotional outbursts as potentially dangerous. Finally, the person who communicates indirectly about the disagreement but is emotionally expressive takes on a dynamic style. Verbally, this person may use exaggeration and repetition of his or her messages while also employing a nonverbal, emotionally confrontational form of expression. Hammer has developed an instrument that measures these four styles. He maintains that the ICS Inventory is useful in applied settings, such as organizations and even families. Hammer asserts that after the conflicting parties used an engagement style and the other used accommodation. Hammer points out that a large part of the conflict between the two was the misperceptions each party held about the engagement-style person was rude and aggressive, while the engagement-style person was rude and aggressive, while the engagement-style person was rude and aggressive. lacking in commitment. Hammer also notes that, particularly in
the United States, many people believe that their conflict style is discussion and that this is the most appropriate style. But after completing his scales, many of these people see that they actually approach conflict with an accommodation, engagement, or dynamic style. Hammer concludes by saying that when persons try to implement a discussion style, thinking it is the most appropriate and having little awareness of the other three styles—particularly their cultural roots—they tend to see the engagement style as callous, the accommodation style as lacking sincerity, and the dynamic style as unstable and disorganized. Knowledge of these various styles is the first step toward successful conflict management and resolution.33 576 Individualistic and Collectivistic Approaches to Conflict A central theme articulated throughout this book is that whenever individualistic and Collectivistic Approaches to Conflict Management and resolution. orientations, cultural expectations, verbal and nonverbal routines, perceptual experiences (e.g., ethnocentrism), and divergent group memberships (e.g., ethnicity) that often lead to communication problems and conflict. A source of intercultural conflict is often a felt need to protect one's group—that is, one's culture. This need may be felt passionately. In her work, Ting-Toomey maintains that persons from individualistic cultures.34 According to TingToomey, individualists tend to follow an outcome-oriented approach to intercultural conflict. Collectivists, on the other hand, tend to follow a process-oriented approach. The outcome-oriented approach preferred by individualists emphasizes the importance of asserting their self-identity in the conflict and the accomplishment of perceived tangible outcomes or goals. The process-oriented approach preferred by collectivists focuses on mutual-face or group-face interests are sought prior to, or in lieu of, any tangible outcomes or goals.35 The specific characteristics of the outcome-oriented approach are summarized as follows:36 1. To the individualist seeks to achieve something. 2. Individualists become frustrated during conflict when their counterparts are unwilling to address the conflict openly and honestly. 3. Individualists see conflict is seen as unproductive when no tangible outcomes are negotiated and no plan of action is executed. 5. Conflict is seen as productive when tangible resolutions are reached. 6. Successful management of conflict is defined as when individual goals and the differences between the parties are addressed against any face threat incurred in the conflict; it is also evaluated in terms of in-group versus out-group. 2. Conflict is seen as satisfying when the parties engage in mutual face-saving and facegiving behavior and attend to verbal and nonverbal communication. 577 4. Conflict is seen as unproductive when face issues and relational/group feelings are not addressed properly. 5. Conflict is defined as productive when face of both conflict parties are saved or upgraded and each person has dealt with the conflict strategically in conjunction with substantive gains or losses. Ting-Toomey maintains that the outcome-oriented model preferred by individualists encourages an effective finish to the conflict over the appropriate treatment of the parties involved. The collectivist-preferred process-oriented model emphasizes the appropriate treatment of the parties involved over an effective solution. Moreover, asserts TingToomey, the accomplishment of one criterion may help accomplish the other. For example, as individualists successfully address the core issues in the conflict, appropriate and genial interaction between the parties can follow naturally—that is, face saving. On the other hand, from the collectivist's perspective, acting appropriately in the conflict. In fact, collectivists, strategic facework is more important than winning or losing a conflict. In fact, collectivists, strategic facework is more important than winning or losing a given conflict. for the moment as gaining key advantages in the long term. In the end, the key to competent intercultural conflict management is mindful of the different goals, and willing to experiment with different goals, and willing to experiment with different goals. Context Cultures As we have seen throughout this book, communication is very much dependent on the context in which it occurs. The contextual model guiding the organization of this book includes the cultural, microcultural, environmental, perceptual, and sociorelational contexts and how these contexts affect the choice of verbal and nonverbal messages. And recall from Chapter 2 that the degree to which interactants focus on these contexts while communicating varies considerably from cultures, people actively monitor the nonverbal elements of the context. Edward Hall describes the former as low context and the latter as high context culture is one in which most of the information during communication is found in the person, while very little is found in the person, while very little is found in the physical context culture is one in which the mass of information is in the explicit code (i.e., the verbal code). Elizabeth Chua and William Gudykunst have compared conflict resolution styles between high- and lowcontext cultures. 39 They argue that in low-context cultures. In highcontext cultures, such as China, the conflict issue and the persons involved are typically connected. For example, directly disagreeing with someone may be seen as losing face and is perceived as insulting. Moreover, Chua and Gudykunst assert that persons in low-context cultures tend to be more direct and explicit in their dealings with conflict whereas persons in high-context cultures prefer implicit communication. In their study of nearly 400 persons from both high- and low-context cultures preferred solution-oriented conflict resolution styles, whereas persons from high-context cultures preferred nonconfrontational styles.40 Photo 10.2 What kind of conflict style might be at play here? 579 © iStockphoto.com/BraunS Chua and Gudykunst conclude that their results are consistent with other research that hes found a similar pattern between high- and low-context cultures. that conflict exists or to avoid instigating conflict, while U.S. Americans (i.e., a low-context culture) are more direct in their dealings with conflict.41 580 Student Voices Across Cultures: Problem-Solving in Mexico Rodrigo Villalobos I am from Leon, Guanajuato, Mexico, but now reside in the United States. I am a graphic design major. I do not believe that people from Mexico deal with trouble or stressful situations in the same way as others do. To generalize on a particular set of characteristics that define how a person of Mexico are usually completely different, which makes their problem-solving processes much different as well. However, there are certain behaviors or attitudes that one can expect to see from a Mexican when that person is in a stressful or uncomfortable situation. As Mexicans are Latinos, our characters and personalities are rather warm and heartfelt. We express our feelings, to a certain extent, more than people from other races or ethnicities do. For example, when a situation makes a Mexican person happy, he or she will express it more boldly than someone from Sweden would. In the same manner, when a Mexican person feels uncomfortable, upset, or mad about a specific problem or situation, this person's reaction will be quite volatile (e.g., yelling, screaming, lack of verbal communication, trying to avoid the problems is through communication, trying to avoid the problems, etc.). We all know that the shortest way to solve problems is through communication, trying to avoid the problem, etc.). problems (e.g., postponing dealing with problems). Also, pride and lack of will to reconcile might be obstacles a Mexican must confront before considering a possible solution. Since friendships have a lot of value to persons from Latin America (not to say they don't to people from other places), friends will ask for advice and talk to each other for insight into a problem. 582 Solution-Oriented Conflict Styles Preferred by LowContext Cultures 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. Direct communication about the conflict Collaborating the issue Nonconfrontational Styles Preferred by High-Context Cultures 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. Indirect communication Avoiding or withdrawing from the issue Using silence Glossing over differences Concealing ill feelings 583 Resolving Cross-Cultural Conflict: A Contingency Model As mentioned at the beginning of this chapter, conflict is an inevitable part of living in a society with others. Although we cannot eliminate conflict, we can learn to manage and resolve it competently. To be sure, Kim's Model of Intercultural Conflict, Ting-Toomey and Oetzel's Culture of Peace Through Dialogue are excellent examples that describe and explain intercultural conflict. Now, we will focus on how to resolve conflict with persons from cultures different than our own. Recall from earlier in this chapter that cross-cultural ideologies and values. How many wives should a man have? Is it acceptable to abort a child because she is female? Is a dinner of dog meat acceptable? Is direct eye contact with someone of higher status OK? When individuals experience and respond to cross-cultural ideologies and values, and to what extent do they adapt to the other person's cultural ideologies and values. the other person's cultural values, they may be following the familiar adage "When in Rome, do as the Romans do." Or instead, do they hold fast to their native cultural values? Perhaps doing as the Romans do." Or instead, Kohls and Buller point out that there are several communication, and collaboration/problem-solving. Note that these seven strategies parallel those outlined earlier with Face Negotiation/problem-solving. Theory and are based on the research of Thomas and Kilmann.43
avoiding The person using an avoiding style to manage conflict ignores both self-face need and other-face need and other-face need. This person using an avoiding may an effective strategy if one needs to do more research on the topic of conflict forcing A forcing strategy to resolve conflict is used by persons who possess power over others education/persuasion This strategy to resolve conflict is defined by one's use of information, logic, or emotional appeals to influence another infiltration With this strategy to manage conflict, one introduces his or her value orientation, hoping that the opposing party will see the value and adopt it 584 negotiation/compromise Using this strategy to manage conflict, both parties give up something. Often, with compromise neither party is completely satisfied with the outcome accommodation With this conflict-resolution strategy, one of the conflicting parties simply adopts or cooperates with the position. This is the "When in Rome, do as the Romans do" strategy collaboration/problem-solving With this conflict-resolution approach, the conflicting parties work together to find a mutually agreeable solution in which each party accomplishes his or her goal without compromise. This is the win-win strategy Avoiding style choose not to address the conflict. Often, persons with little power or influence choose to avoid addressing conflict. Avoiding may an effective strategy if one needs to do more research on the topic of conflict. Another reason to use avoiding is if passions are high. Temporarily avoiding conflict may allow the emotions to settle. Forcing: A forcing strategy is when one coerces another into compliance. Forcing eliminates choice and is often used by persons who possess power over others. Recall that in many cultures rigid and strict social hierarchies prescribe who has power. Education/Persuasion: This strategy is often seen in small power distance cultures where people are seen as essentially equal. Infiltration: With this strategy is often seen in small power distance cultures where people are seen as essentially equal. or her value orientation, hoping that the opposing party will see the value and adopt it. Negotiation/Compromise: Using this strategy, both parties simply adopts or cooperates with the position. This is the "When in Rome, do as the Romans do" strategy. Collaboration/Problem Solving: With this approach, the conflicting parties work together to find a mutually agreeable solution in which each party accomplishes his or her goal without compromise. This is the win-win strategy. Regarding the seven communicative strategies outlined above, Kohls and Buller argue that the specific strategy one uses in cross-cultural conflict (i.e., centrality) and the degree to which such values are held by the majority (i.e., consensus), (b) the individual's ability to resolve the conflict, and (c) the degree of urgency in resolving the conflict.44 Kohls and Buller maintain that not all conflicts are equal in terms of the cultural values at stake and the consensus with which they are held. For example, in the brief examples cited above, not making direct eye contact with a superior certainly does not 585 hold the same importance as aborting a fetus because she is female. Cultural values vary along a continuum of cultural values are peripheral.45 Figure 10.6). Some values are at the core of a cultural values vary along a continuum of cultural values vary along a continue values vary along a continuum & Anderson, K. S. (1991). The Challenge of Global Ethics. Journal of Business Ethics, 10(10): 767–775. Kohls, J., & Buller, P. (1994). Resolving Cross-Cultural Ethical Conflict: Exploring Alternative Strategies. Journal of Business Ethics, 13(1): 31–38. In managing cross-cultural Ethical Conflict: Exploring Alternative Strategies. Journal of Business Ethics, 13(1): 31–38. In managing cross-cultural Ethical Conflict: Exploring Alternative Strategies. Journal of Business Ethics, 13(1): 31–38. In managing cross-cultural Ethical Conflict: Exploring Alternative Strategies. Journal of Business Ethics, 13(1): 31–38. In managing cross-cultural Ethical Conflict: Exploring Alternative Strategies. Journal of Business Ethics, 13(1): 31–38. In managing cross-cultural Ethical Conflict: Exploring Alternative Strategies. Journal of Business Ethics, 13(1): 31–38. In managing cross-cultural Ethical Conflict: Exploring Alternative Strategies. Journal of Business Ethics, 13(1): 31–38. In managing cross-cultural Ethical Conflict: Exploring Alternative Strategies. Journal of Business Ethics, 13(1): 31–38. In managing cross-cultural Ethical Conflict: Exploring Alternative Strategies. Journal of Business Ethics, 13(1): 31–38. In managing cross-cultural Ethical Conflict: Exploring Alternative Strategies. Journal of Business Ethics, 13(1): 31–38. In managing cross-cultural Ethical Conflict: Exploring Alternative Strategies. Journal of Business Ethics, 13(1): 31–38. In managing cross-cultural Ethical Conflict: Exploring Alternative Strategies. Journal of Business Ethics, 13(1): 31–38. In managing cross-cultural Ethical Conflict: Exploring Alternative Strategies. Journal of Business Ethics, 13(1): 31–38. In managing cross-cultural Ethical Conflict: Exploring Alternative Strategies. Journal of Business Ethics, 13(1): 31–38. In managing cross-cultural Ethical Conflict: Explorence Strategies. Journal of Business Ethics, 13(1): 31–38. In managing cross-cultural Ethical Conflict: Explorence Strategies. Journal of Business Ethics, 13(1): 31–38. what kind of communicative strategy to adopt. Peripheral values may need to be defended at the cost of the relationships, while central to their culture (i.e., consensus). Kohls and Buller maintain that if a value is at stake but is not widely held by the majority, it may be considered less important and more easily sacrificed. They point to providing maternity leave for the parents of newborns, which responds to central familial values in many Western cultures such as the United States. But such practices are not widely held across cultures. So while this may be a central value in the United States, it does not reach consensus is what Kohls and Buller call intensity.46 Another factor that will influence how one responds to cross-cultural conflict is the degree 586 to which an individual has influence over the conflict. In some cases, an individual may not have any control or ability to affect the outcome of the conflict, while in other cases an individual may not have no control over whom they will marry and that such decisions are made for them by their parents who have complete control. Finally, the third factor is urgency. This refers to the timeline that is needed to resolved quickly. In other cases, there will be pressure, perhaps even a deadline, for which the conflict needs to be resolved quickly. In other cases, there will be pressure, perhaps even a deadline, for which the conflict needs to be resolved quickly. time to resolve it. For example, in many cultures parents may take a considerable amount of time finding the appropriate partner for their son or daughter in an arranged marriage. They may even place matrimonial ads in newspapers and take weeks or months finding a potential spouse for their child. However, when the parents do find an appropriate match, there is often a strict deadline placed on the son or daughter to accept the partner, sometimes only a matter of hours. To repeat, Kohls and Buller argue that there are several communicative strategies one may use during conflict (e.g., avoiding, infiltration, etc). But they maintain that the particular strategy to be used is contingent on the urgency of the conflict, the intensity of the conflict, and how much influence one holds in the conflicts. Scenario #1: Late for meetings Gene Lanoye is a U.S. manager for Acme Corporation based in Acme's Mexico office in Cuernavaca, which is the capital and largest city of the state of Morelos. Gene manages a team of nine Mexican workers and holds routine Monday morning meetings, and some do not show at all. Gene doesn't know how handle the situation 587 Recall from Chapter 4 that the United States is a monochronic-oriented culture in which schedules and punctuality are important and guide the communicative acts. Mexico is the United State's third-largest trading partner, and many U.S. companies send their managers to Mexico to manage their businesses and Mexican employees. These managers take with them their monochronic culture such as Mexico. In many U.S. companies, meetings are routinely scheduled (e.g., weekly or monthly) and are held regularly, often covering relatively mundane topics. Many U.S. managers have complained that their Mexican employees are often late for such meetings or sometimes do not attend at all. In this case, we have a conflict that is relatively low in urgency, of high intensity (i.e., the monochronic orientation is a central value across the United States), and the U.S. manager carries considerable influence over his employees, given that Gene Lanoye exercise an infiltration, collaboration, or education strategy. Gene might try to emphasize to the employees why it is important to be on time for such meetings, while simultaneously collaborating with them by suggesting that if they are prompt for the meetings they will be rewarded somehow. Ironically, oftentimes persons from monochronic cultures who spend time in polychronic cultures who spend time in stressful when returning home to their native monochronic orientation. To be sure, there may be instances in which an emergency occurs and Gene carries influence, he may be forced to require that his employees attend a meeting at the precisely scheduled time and mete out punishment if his employees are late. Scenario #2: Write a paper or give a presentation? Jim and Akira is an international exchange students at a university in the United States. Jim is from Milwaukee, Wisconsin, and Akira
is an international exchange student from Japan. Although they share the same major and have had a few classes together, 588 Jim and Akira have only interacted occasionally and do not know each other very well. A professor has given them the option of either submitting a paper or giving a presentation to the class. Jim and Akira disagree on which option to pursue. Jim wants to give a presentation. Akira insists on writing a paper. Coming from a collectivistic, high-context culture, it is understandable why Akira would prefer to write a paper. He would prefer to not stand out among his fellow students. Remember that in Japan, "the tallest nail gets hammered down." Coming from an individualistic, low-context culture, Jim might prefer to give a presentation—that way he doesn't have to write a paper. In this situation, because the assignment attached to some strong cultural value in either Japan or the United States. Finally, since both Jim and Akira are students, neither possesses any hierarchical status over the other. Here, the contingency model would prescribe that there will be more assignments in the future since they share the same major. So perhaps Jim could agree that they write a paper for this assignment, but when or if the next assignment is given, they would deliver a presentation. Akira would probably agree. Scenario #3: Too much touching Morgan is a student from the United States who is studying abroad for a semester in Ecuador. Morgan has taken on a part-time job as a waitress in a restaurant in Quito, the capital city of Ecuador. The restaurant is very popular and busy. As in most restaurants during the prime-time dinner hour, the kitchen area is hectic with chefs, kitchen staff, and the wait staff, working diligently in close quarters. Although she likes her job there, Morgan is very uncomfortable with the amount of touching that occurs in the kitchen. Other employees constantly bump into her, rub up against her, and stand very close to her. Morgan is upset and believes this is borderline sexual harassment and wants to approach the manager about it. Recall from Chapter 8 that cultures vary along a high-, moderate-, and low-contact continuum. High-contact cultures tend to encourage touching more frequently than do either moderate- or low-contact cultures, in which touching occurs less frequently and is generally discouraged. Many South and Central American countries, including Ecuador, are 589 considered highcontact cultures. The United States is regarded as a moderate- to lowcontact culture. Coming from the United States, Morgan probably misinterprets the intent of the frequent contact in the kitchen. During peak dining hours, there is some degree of urgency to get the food orders prepared and delivered to the customers. The intensity is rather low, however. Serving guests in a restaurant is important but probably is not as central a value among Ecuadorians as is family, for example. Finally, Morgan possesses little influence compared to the head chefs, the managers, and the owners of the restaurant. Applying the contingency model, the urgency is relatively high, the intensity is low, and her influence is low. Hence, Morgan should accommodate her fellow employees and perhaps engage in the high-contact behaviors herself. This might be a case of "When in Rome, do as the Romans do." Recall Lindsey Novitzke's Student Voices Across Cultures profile in Chapter 8, in which she recounts how uncomfortable she was initially with the highcontact behaviors in Zambia. Lindsey accommodated the behaviors, and ironically, after returning to the United States were unusually distant. Scenario #4: Bribery? Jeff Zahn is a U.S. businessman who has been approached by some of his Chinese business acquaintances about opening a business in China that may prove very useful to many Chinese and profitable to Zahn. There are some deadlines to meet, so Jeff needs to make a decision. After expressing an interest in the business, Jeff needs to make a decision. tax collectors in order to operate. In Beijing, almost half all companies report the need to bribe or give gifts in order to stay in business.48 So Jeff is faced with a dilemma. The conflict is relatively urgent, as deadlines need to be met. The intensity for Jeff is high because of the ethical issue of paying bribes. Such acts are clearly illegal in the United States, but because Jeff is from the United States, he has little control over the issue. Given the contingency model prescription of high urgency and intensity—but low influence—it might be best for Jeff to avoid this opportunity. As we can see from the previous discussion of Kohls and Buller's contingency model, there are a number of ways to manage cross-cultural conflict, depending on the urgency of the issue, the intensity of the conflict, and the degree of influence one has over the outcome. 590 Chapter Summary This chapter began by asking you to imagine yourself in a conflict. All types of human relationships we have—from ones with strangers to acquaintances to intimates—experience conflict. We cannot avoid or eliminate conflict, but we can manage and reduce it. Communication plays a paradoxical role in most conflict is the source of much relational stress and dissolution. In this chapter, we have seen that a variety of factors play a role in triggering and escalating conflict. Three models were presented, including Kim's Model of Intercultural Conflict, Ting-Toomey and Oetzel's Culture-Based Social Ecological Model, and Broome's Model of Building a Culture-Based Social Ecological Model, and Broome's Model of Building a Culture-Based Social Ecological Model, and Broome's Model of Building a Culture-Based Social Ecological Model, and Broome's Model of Building a Culture-Based Social Ecological Model, and Broome's Model of Building a Culture-Based Social Ecological Model, and Broome's Model of Building a Culture-Based Social Ecological Model, and Broome's Model of Building a Culture-Based Social Ecological Model, and Broome's Model of Building a Culture-Based Social Ecological Model, and Broome's Model of Building a Culture-Based Social Ecological Model, and Broome's Model of Building a Culture-Based Social Ecological Model, and Broome's Model of Building a Culture-Based conflict. Finally, the chapter ended with a contingency model of conflict styles and a discussion of how persons from different cultures manage conflict. 591 edge.sagepub.com/neuliep7e SAGE edge offers a robust online environment featuring an impressive array of free tools and resources for review, study, and further exploration, keeping both instructors and students on the cutting edge of teaching and learning. 592 Discussion Questions 1. Recall a conflict? 2. What types of intercultural conflicts? How might you employ Broome's Model of Building a Culture of Peace Through Dialogue? 3. Regarding Question #2, how do these groups manage and address their conflict? 4. Do you prefer to handle conflict? Why? 593 Ethics and Intercultural Conflict 1. Your roommate is friends with an international exchange student from China. Recently, your roommate discloses to you that his Chinese friend typically avoids conflict and uses avoidance facework and conflict styles. Your roommate thinks that this will give him a unique advantage and decides to approach his Chinese friend assertively and engage in dominating facework and a competitive conflict style to win the conflict. Is your roommate's strategy a good one? 594 Developing Intercultural Communication Competence 1. In managing conflict, it is best to recognize the various dimensions that contribute to intercultural conflict. With Kim's model presented early in the chapter, many of the micro-level variables, including cognitive simplicity, ethnocentrism, uncertainty, and divergent behaviors, can be measured, and seen are included in this text. Take the time to assess yourself on these variables, and seen are included in this text. how they might contribute to your involvement in intercultural conflict. 2. A key component to managing cross-cultural conflict issue with which you are dealing. Whatever communicative strategy you prefer (e.g., avoiding, competing, etc.), understand that the same strategy is not appropriate for every conflict. To be a competent communicator, you need to adjust your communicat 360 infiltration 360 integrating facework 348 intercultural conflict 337 mutual-face 348 self-face 3 Learning Objectives 1. 2. 3. 4. Discuss how dimensions of the cultural context affect organizations across cultures Compare the differences across cultures Recall from Chapter 1 that one dimension of communication is that it is ubiquitous; that is, it is everywhere all the time. Another dimension of communication occurs has a dramatic effect on how messages are encoded, decoded, and interpreted. Finally, as we have been discussing throughout this book, another dimension of communication is cultural boundaries, the message sent is usually not the message received. So when you step into a different culture or country, you will be sending receiving, and interpreting messages all the time in many different settings. If you participate in your college or university study-abroad program, you may become ill or need to interact with that country's health care providers. And during your study-abroad experience, you will become a consumer of goods and services in that country and will interact with people in a variety of business settings. The purpose of this chapter is to apply many of the concepts we have studied so far within three business setting. Remember the conflicts discussed in Chapter 10? Imagine yourself in the following scenario: You have just graduated from college and have accepted a management job with Acme Corporation. Acme has placed you in one of its Mexico offices. During your first week in your new job, you decide to schedule a meeting with your Mexican employees. The meeting is scheduled for
9:00 a.m., not a single employee has arrived for the meeting. At 9:20 a.m., two people finally show up. Not until 9:45 a.m. are all the team members in attendance. What has happened? You are confused, frustrated, and feeling a bit angry. Doing business in Mexica (and in many other countries) is different from doing business in the United States. Mexican workplace practices—are different from those of U.S. workers and managers. To be sure, they are so different that U.S. managers working in Mexico often find themselves ineffective. The U.S. manager who does not put forth the effort to learn about these differences and adjust his or her managerial style accordingly will end up just as you did in the above scenario—frustrated and disillusioned. Have you ever shopped at a Walmart? Walmart has more than 4,000 stores in the United States. Ninety percent of U.S. citizens live within 15 miles of a Walmart. Every 7 days in the United States, 100 million people shop at a Walmart. Walmart is also successful internationally. It is the largest retailer in both Canada and Mexico, and the second-largest in Britain. Worldwide, more than 7 billion people shop at Walmart every year. So this year, the statistical equivalent of every person on the planet will shop at a Walmart every year. Barbaro note, in 2006 Walmart closed its stores in Germany. The chain has had difficulty breaking into the Korean and Japanese markets as well. Something is not working in those countries, and many believe that some of Walmart's international problems stem from the company's arrogance and overestimation of its competence. For a company that boasts 7 billion customers a year, a certain degree of confidence is understandable. But in some places, Walmart's attempts to impose its values on the market just do not work—at least not in places such 599 as Germany, Korea, and Japan. Referring to its failure in Germany, a Walmart international spokesperson commented that it was a good lesson for the company and that they have learned to be more sensitive to cultural differences. For example, many Germans found the idea of a smiling greeter at the door of every Walmart off-putting. In fact, many male shoppers interpreted the friendly greeter at the door of every Walmart off-putting. (Walmarts in the United States are not union). At one point during its tenure in Germany, Walmart closed the headquarters of one of its chains and moved it to another geographic location—a common occurrence in the United States, usually accompanied by employees packing up and moving with the company. But in Germany, most of the employees guit rather than move. A major problem was that the U.S. managers in Germany just did not understand the German market or customers.3 Landler and Barbaro point out that the company focused a campaign on golf clubs, in a country where many do not play golf. In Mexico, it emphasized ice skates. In Korea, the Walmart product shelves were so tall that customers had to use ladders to reach the products. The point of this account is that the management and sales tactics of one country, no matter how successful they may be in that customers had to use ladders to reach the products. billion shoppers, you still need to be perceptive of cultural differences.4 Coordinating and managing people from different cultures within an organizational context represents one of the subtleties and complexities of managing others in a multicultural and multinational business environment. Businesses and organizations from virtually every culture have entered into the global marketplace, the relevance of intercultural communication competence cannot be overstated. To compete in the global and U.S. markets, today's managers must possess the skills to interact with people who are different from themselves. 600 Intercultural Management Professor Philip Rosenzweig of Harvard University argues that successful cross-cultural management depends on the ability of managers to communicate effectively. Rosenzweig points out that communication is especially important during the initial stages of a business relationship. Depending on the culture, the process of building trust among business partners may take days, weeks, or even months. Moreover, Rosenzweig asserts, this process cannot be accelerated. Rosenzweig recognizes that many U.S. managers prefer to "get down to business" without spending much time getting to know their business partners. In fact, according to Rosenzweig argues that investing time and energy into building trust and developing relationships may earn huge benefits in terms of

confidence and trust.5 Photo 11.1 The values of an organization often mirror those of its culture. © iStockphoto.com/Photomorphic Perceptions of time and timing are also important considerations in cross-cultural business exchanges. Rosenzweig recommends that U.S. managers allow the pace of negotiations to develop on its own. He cautions managers not to impose artificial deadlines for the sake of efficiency. How agreement are communicated is another important factor 601 during cross-cultural negotiations. In many other cultures, such directness may be seen as rude and discourteous.6 Most of what you have been exposed to in this textbook can be applied to your role in organizational settings across cultures. The topics and issues discussed in each chapter can guide you in becoming a pattern of values held by a recognizable group of people with a common goal that is pursued by means of a collective verbal and nonverbal symbol systems, exist in some environmental context, process information with a unique perceptual perspective, develop sociorelational connections with others, and communicate using distinctive verbal and nonverbal codes. As you prepare to conduct business practices will be understood or accepted by your counterparts. Figure 11.1 outlines some of the more salient issues that affect the development of organizational culture in any country. Figure 11.1 Dimensions of Culture and the Organizational Culture 602 First, consider the cultures, then the management practices of those cultures are also likely to differ. When managing people from other cultures, try to ascertain where on the individualism-collectivism continuum an organization falls. Organization falls. Organization falls. emphasize personal goals and within-organization promotions, and the organizational resources and promotions, and the organization is seen more as an entity than as a community. cultural context An accumulated pattern of values, beliefs, and behavior held by an identifiable group of people with a common verbal and nonverbal symbol system organizational culture An organization 603 Power distance is another important cultures, beliefs, behaviors, and communication channels held by the members of an organization 603 Power distance cultures will be status conscious (e.g., placing emphasis on a person's position, degree), will employee welfare. Formality between employee welfare. Formality between employees are routinely asked for their opinion on work-related issues. This style of management is labeled participatory. The thought is that if workers are allowed to participate in decisionmaking, they will be more committed to the decision. Consider the following scenario: You have traveled to Korea to meet with your Korean counterpart, whom you have never met in person but with whom you have communicated through letters, e-mail, and so forth. You arrive at his office, you notice that there are no walls separating the various desks. The scene appears relaxed to you. Most of the men are sitting around in their undershirts. When you locate your partner, you find him sitting with his feet up on the desk, in his undershirt, fanning himself. When you introduce yourself, your Korean partner acts as if nothing has happened and puts on a shirt, tie, and jacket in a very matter-of-fact way. Your meeting then begins.7 In addition to assessing an organization's cultural context, it is important to assess its environmental context—that is, its perspective on the environment, including such issues as information load, privacy, and the beginning of this section is based on a fascinating discussion by Philip Harris and Robert Moran about privacy in Korea. They report that in Korea, privacy may be impossible to obtain, Koreans build imaginary or psychological walls around themselves. A client calling on a Korean on a typically hot and humid day may find this person in his undershirt with his feet on his desk, fanning himself. Because there are no physical walls, the culturally informed visitor coughs to announce his arrival. Harris and Moran, to secure some level of privacy, Koreans retreat behind a psychological curtain and do what they have to do, unseen by those who are in plain view. To violate the screen of privacy once it has been created is rude and discourteous.8 environmental context 604 The perceptual context of the individual, learned through enculturation, often manifests in the organization. Understanding how the organization processing strategy in which people from all cultures engage is categorizing and stereotyping. Before embarking on a business venture with people from a foreign culture, it may be useful to know of the culture's perceptions of U.S. citizens and their business practices. As mentioned earlier, Canada is the United States. In most of the Western countries surveyed, perceptions of U.S. citizens are positive. Characteristics such as greedy and violent. Canadians, in particular, do not view U.S. citizens as honest, and Canada is the only Western nation in which the majority regard U.S. citizens as rude.9 perceptual context The attitudes, emotions, and motivations of the persons engaged in communication and how they affect information processing An organization's emphasis on group membership is clearly something that U.S. managers should know about their foreign counterparts. As mentioned in Chapter 6, all people of all cultures belong to groups. One of the primary groups to which all people belong is the family. Recall that Chapter 6 profiled family life across a variety of cultures. Fons Trompenaars employs a family metaphor in describing a particular type of ideal corporate culture seen often in Turkey, Venezuela, Hong Kong, Malaysia, India, Singapore, and Spain. This does not mean that all corporations in these countries are family-like; it simply means that this prototype is seen more frequently in these cultures than in others. According to Trompenaars, the family corporation culture is simultaneously personal, with close face-to-face relationships, and hierarchical, in the sense that everyone knows his or her place in the rank order. At the top of the hierarchy are the parents (i.e., the subordinates). The power at the top is perceived not as threatening but as intimate and benign. The philosophy of the employees is to do more than is required contractually to please the older brother or father (i.e., the person of higher rank).10 Obviously, understanding the verbal and nonverbal codes of your foreign business partners will speak some English, your knowledge and use of their language demonstrates your willingness to meet them halfway and will be much appreciated. When conducting business with your foreign counterparts, be conscious of terms and phrases that may be well understood by outsiders. For example, the common U.S. colloquial expression "See ya later" may be taken literally, such that your counterpart expects to schedule a specific date and time for seeing each other later. 605 Although knowing yours) is certainly an advantage, other communication considerations, independent of verbal language, can affect your business propositions—most notably, nonverbal communication. As discussed in Chapter 8, nonverbal communication varies a great deal across cultures. One's kinesic, paralinguistic, olfactory, haptic, and proxemic behaviors can be interpreted differently, depending on with whom one is interacting. 606 Management Practices Across Cultures The top 10 countries with which the United States trades, in terms of both imports and exports, are (in order) China, Canada, Mexico, Japan, Germany, South Korea, the United Kingdom, France, Taiwan, and India. In 2015, U.S. trade with these countries: Japan Germany, Mexico, and China. Japanese Management Practices Consistent with their collectivistic orientation, Japanese management is based on the principle of wa, which literally translates to harmony. Some Japanese work groups begin their day by exercising together, an activity called taiso. Interestingly, the primary purpose of taiso is not for physical benefit but to engage the group members in coordinated activity. After the day's work is finished, businesses encourage their employees to eat and drink together to maintain harmonious group relationships. Though such activities may appear to serve a purely social purpose, the underlying motivation is to aid productivity at work. The number of hours worked in a typical Japanese workweek has declined significantly over the past 20 years. In 1994, according to William Brown, Rebecca Lubove, and James Kwalwasser, the typical Japanese worker spent more than 2,500 hours on the job every year. 1,750 hours per year in 2012.12 But then there is the phenomenon of the Japanese salaryman, of which many articles, films, and even YouTube videos have been produced. Writing for CNN Money, Charles Riley profiles Stu, a prototypical salaryman in Japan. Riley notes that each day after only 3 or 4 hours of sleep, Stu races to work. He stays on the job for an average of 13 hours, not leaving the office until after 11:00 p.m. in order to make the final train home. He does this 6 days a week, totaling 78 hours of sleep. Riley asserts that Stu is living the life of a typical Japanese salaryman and observes that many consider the salaryman the core of Japan's economy, where they are expected to put the company first, even before family. They work brutal hours, often followed by marathon drinking sessions with colleagues and clients. Writing for Reuters, Stanley White reports that Japan is witnessing a record number of deaths due to overworking, termed karoshi, which translates literally as overwork death in Japanese. It manifests as sudden death due to heart attack, stroke, stress, and a starvation diet. White notes that this phenomenon is associated with salaryman but is now afflicting younger Japanese and female employees.13 Jennifer Beer echoes Riley's account of the typical Japanese
salaryman and notes the interesting and culturally reflective differences in how offices are arranged in the United States versus Japan (see following table).14 607 608 An Intercultural Conversation: Clashing Cultural Conversation; U.S. businessman Jim Neumouth is applying for a job in Japanese businessman Kietaro Matsumoto's corporation, located in Kyoto. Kietaro: So, Mr. Neumouth, a polying for a job in Japanese businessman Jim Neumouth is applying for a job in Jap why would you like to work for our corporation? Jim: I believe I have the necessary skills and experience for this position. I'm very independent, I set very high goals for myself, and I believe your company will allow me to pursue them. Kietaro: What do you mean by "goals"? Jim: I have very high sales objectives. I try to reach the top in whatever I do. One of my goals is to become your leading salesperson. For example, I had the highest percentage of sales of anyone in the company I worked for in the United States. I was named salesperson of the year in 2008. Kietaro: I see. That's very impressive. Jim: Thanks. Now, I'd like to expand into an international market, and I'd like to bring my experience and motivation to your company. I think I can be the best here, too. In this conversation, Jim does a good job of expressing his talents and experience. In the United States, he might appear to be the ideal candidate; however, to Kietaro he does not seem to be a team player and may disrupt the harmony of the sales teams. When doing business with companies in collective cultures, it may be wise to formulate strategies that are consistent with group unity; strategies perceived to promote the individualistic ones. Often collectivists go to great pains to wineveryone over to achieve consensus. Richard Grainger and Tadayuki Miyamoto argue that Japanese organizations, of which two key features are lifetime employment (shushin koyo) and seniority grading (nenko joretsu). Based on these principles, the Japanese company is seen as a custodian of employee security and welfare. The lifetime employees' lifetime employees and the company in exchange for lifetime job security from the organization. Japanese organizations also practice a seniority-based wage and promotion arrangement whereby employees are promoted and compensated based on the number of years they have served the organization. The system rewards older and longer-serving employees who change their employees who change their employees are penalized. Grainger and Miyamoto maintain that under these arrangements, employees who change their employees are penalized. their short-term losses for long-term company success. Hence, employees maintain high morale and loyalty to the company, which enables the company, which enables the company success. Hence, employees maintain high morale and loyalty to the company, which enables the company success. strategies to influence the attitudes and 609 behaviors of their subordinates. Asha Rao, Keiji Hashimoto, and Aruna Rao surveyed Japanese managers regarding their preferences for a variety of influence strategies. Although some of the Japanese managers preferred influence tactics similar to those preferred by U.S. managers, the researchers identified several strategies that appear to be unique to the Japanese. One strategy is labeled firm's authority. In contrast to U.S. managers, who may appeal to "higher-ups" to influence their employees, Japanese managers, who may appeal to "higher-ups" to influence their employees. their superiors. This strategy is probably linked to the Japanese concept of the business organization as a family. A second type of strategy, called personal development, occurs when a Japanese managers are men) employees to comply with a request to enhance their careers within the organization. This tactic may be effective because many Japanese remain with a single company for their entire lives. U.S. managers, on the other hand, convince employees that by complying with a request, the employees that by complying with a single company for their entire lives. to spend time with their employees after hours. According to Rao, such a strategy allows for informal interaction between managers and subordinates that is impossible in the context of the formal work environment. Interestingly, they also report that Japanese managers in Canada were disappointed when their subordinates rejected their requests to socialize after work. The Japanese managers felt that this severely limited their influence potential, and they had to resort to using assertive tactics on the job. Rao, Hashimoto, and Rao report that Japanese managers use a variety of tactics outside the work environment to influence their subordinates. In general, compared with U.S. managers, Japanese managers use influence tactics that are subtle and indirect. For example, if a Japanese manager, rather than telling the employee directly, might funnel information about that market to him or her, hoping that the employee will sense the manager's intent. In addition, Japanese managers use strategies that rely on the influence of the organization and group harmony.17 Richard Lewis maintains that a common attitude among Japanese businesspersons is that foreigners are always outsiders, called Gaijin. that any effort to speak Japanese will be mildly appreciated but not taken seriously. He also notes that translating and interpreting Japanese behavior can be very difficult (see Table 11.1).18 610 German Management Practices Although the Ger fifth-largest economy in the world. The average income per capita in U.S. dollars is \$32,000. The U.S. businesses. Germans are drawn to innovative products that display high guality and contemporary styling. They are especially interested in high-tech products, particularly those that assist them in entering the age of the Internet.19 Like the United States and regions. Many are quite diverse, with unique customs and conventions. The northern and southern regions are particularly different, so generalizing about Germany is difficult and should be approached with some degree of caution. According to intercultural consultants William Drake and Associates, most Germans believe that people are controlled by their own actions, that facts are more important than politeness. (again, clashing with Japanese conventions). According to Drake and Associates, German children are taught that useless people amount to nothing and that children are to be quiet and respectful. They are also taught to "save for a rainy day." 20 611 Ursula Glunk, Celeste Wilderom, and Robert Ogilvie point out that a unique feature of Germany's economic structure is a state-regulated apprentice system through which young German adults learn a specialized skill, for which they receive a state diploma. According to Glunk and her colleagues, learning is both on the job and theoretical. The curriculum is determined by the government, an employers' association, and German trade unions During their apprenticeship, students are supervised by local chambers of commerce. This system leads to a remarkably well-trained workforce. According to Glunk and her colleagues, about 70% of German workers have been through this system. They also note that technical knowledge and engineering skills are highly valued in Germany. They maintain that German employees are continuously challenged with new procedures, tools, and techniques.21 In addition, German managers are known to be specialists for which a techniques.21 In addition, German managers are known to be specialists for which a techniques.21 In addition, German managers are known to be specialists for which a techniques.21 In addition, German managers are known to be specialists for which a techniques.21 In addition, German managers are known to be specialists for which a techniques.21 In addition, German managers are known to be specialists for which a techniques.21 In addition, German managers are known to be specialists for which a techniques.21 In addition, German managers are known to be specialists for which a techniques.21 In addition, German managers are known to be specialists for which a techniques.21 In addition, German managers are known to be specialists for which a techniques.21 In addition, German managers are known to be specialists for
which a techniques.21 In addition, German managers are known to be specialists for which a techniques.21 In addition, German managers are known to be specialists for which a techniques.21 In addition, German managers are known to be specialists for which a techniques.21 In addition, German managers are known to be specialists for which a techniques.21 In addition, German managers are known to be specialists for which a techniques.21 In addition, German managers are known to be specialists for which a techniques.21 In addition, German managers are known to be specialists for which a techniques.21 In addition, German managers are known to be specialists for which a techniques.21 In addition, German managers are known to be specialists for which a techniques.21 In addition, German managers are known to be specialists for which a techniques.21 In addition, German managers are known to be specialists for which a techniques.21 In addition, German managers are known to be specialists for which a techniques.21 In addition, German managers are Hence, quality of skill and amount of experience are the most important promotional considerations in German firms. Finally, Glunk and her colleagues note that many German organizations implement a shadow worker program, whereby managers choose and train their own replacements. Germans believe that this kind of program reduces the politics of promotional ploys and insecurity around who will succeed whom. In this type of program, vacations, illness, and other periods of absence are covered by the designated replacement. Thus, the successor can temporarily experience his or her future position. functioning.22 Focusing their analysis primarily on West Germans, anthropologists Edward and Mildred Hall contend that compartmentalization is the most prominent structural feature of Germans are known to compartmentalize their daily schedules, educational system, office buildings, corporations, homes, and even lines of communication. In fact, Hall and Hall maintain that such a restricted flow of information may be the biggest obstacle in doing business with Germans. One result of German compartmentalization is a culture in which significant events and changes can take place without people knowing about them. Even information networks that connect public and private organizational boundaries are rare in Germany.23 Given this condition, U.S. companies wishing to do business in Germany would be wise to understand that they may not be able to operate out of a centralized location. Instead, they may have to set up multiple sites from which to conduct commerce. German emphasis on compartmentalization manifests in many areas of German life and business, particularly with respect to privacy and specialization. Germans are a private (and formal) people. Most German managers isolate themselves in their offices behind closed doors, contrasting sharply with the open-door policies exercised by many 612 U.S. managers. Doors are an important cultural symbol to the Germans. According to Hall and Hall, doors provide a protective shield between the individual and outsiders. Upon encountering a closed door in German businesses or homes, an "intruder" should always knock. As Hall and Hall note, closed doors uphold the honor of the space, afford a boundary between people, and eliminate the possibility of eavesdropping, interruptions, and accidental intrusions. Moreover, according to Hall and Hall, within corporations, the closed door indicates that a manager respects the privacy of subordinates and is not looking over their shoulders.24 German compartmentalization can also be seen in the overall market strategy of many successful German comparates whose global market success is attributable to diversification, many German firms concentrate on specialization—that is, doing one thing and doing it right. German corporations with large shares of specialized markets can focus on design, quality, and service rather than on competitive pricing. Such corporations manufacture a smaller and narrower class of products, sell to fewer consumers, and contract with fewer suppliers than do their less successful competitors. To be sure, many of these exclusive products are known worldwide for their high caliber and quality workmanship (e.g., Mercedes, BMW). Steiner Optik maintains 80% of the global market for military field glasses. Krones manufactures more than 70% of the world 's bottle-labeling machines. The Germany is considered a low-context, monochronic culture— except even more so. According to Hall and Hall, the German language is quite literal, with individual German words having exact and precise meanings. For example, the Germans have no fewer than eight words for comfort, each reflecting a slightly different type of comfort. Having been conditioned by their language, Germans are fairly formal, nitpicky about precision, punctual, and fanatic about facts. All these characteristics carry over into their business relations. On the job and in business dealings, Germans are absolutely observed. Interactions between business partners and friends are reserved and formalized. Germans are conscious of rank and will always refer to someone by his or her appropriate title. Even neighbors who may have lived next door to each other for years address each other for years address each other with their last names, as in "Herr (Mr.) Schmidt." If a person also carries a degree, such as a PhD or MD, he will be called "Herr Doktor Neulieb," and so forth.26 Women, however, are typically addressed with their first names included, as in "Frau Batina Neulieb." Even in social situations, Germans often appear unfriendly. They generally will not smile in 613 greeting and are intolerant of small talk. On the job, German workers expect that their managers will respect their privacy and that procedures will be executed precisely Table 11.2 outlines some features of doing business with Germans that may help U.S. citizens transacting business in Germany. Mexican Management Practices Mexico has a free-market economy, with a gross domestic product of \$2.2 trillion and a growth rate of 2.5% in 2015. The Mexican per capita income is \$17,000, one-third that of the United States. Income distribution remains highly unequal, with more than 52% of the population living below the poverty line. Trade with the United States and Canada has tripled since the implementation of NAFTA (the North American Free Trade Agreement) in 1994. As mentioned earlier, in 2015, Mexico was the United States' third most important trading partner, following Canada and China.27 Ned Crouch points out that Mexicans are unusually group oriented. He maintains that they are exceptionally concerned about any behavior that would upset the harmony of their household, church, or workplace. In fact, Crouch argues that on a scale of individualversus group-oriented work styles, Mexicans and U.S. citizens would fall at opposite ends of the continuum. He cautions U.S. managers working in Mexico, not to reward individuals within work groups. Generally, Mexican workers do not wish to call attention to themselves for outperforming coworkers and may be ashamed and embarrassed if recognized above others. In Mexico, the group, unless the employee has explained his or her need to communicate with the supervisor beforehand. Moreover, Crouch asserts that the Mexican worker's attitude toward the boss is virtually never confrontational. Mexican worker will upset the harmony and shatter the team spirit.28 614 Mexico is considered a large power distance culture. Recall that in such cultures, people expect and accept that the power within the culture and its institutions will be distributed unequally. Crouch explains that, historically, Mexicans have never known a world without hierarchy. For example, the Spanish had kings and queens, and the Aztecs had powerful caciques (warrior chiefs). To be sure, the Spanish language is replete with words and phrases that communicate hierarchy (e.g., proper titles, salutations, and honorifics) and emphasize the idea that some people hold superior positions over others. Crouch asserts that Mexicans hold to traditional hierarchical roles based on family, education, age, and position. According to Crouch, Mexicans find the relaxed and easy communication between people of different hierarchical levels off-putting. The Mexican distinction between superior and subordinate is part of a deeply rooted pattern dating back to Aztec divisions among priest, prince, and peasant, and among the Spanish queen, soldier, and citizen. For small power distance Americans, the implications of superior and inferior status that accompany this pattern are unacceptable.29 In low (or weak) uncertainty avoidance cultures such as the United States, employees are encouraged to innovate and take risks. In high (or strong) uncertainty avoidance cultures such as Mexico, innovative or risk-taking behavior is considered inappropriate. Mexican workers prefer to know exactly what they are supposed to do, and they want to be rewarded for doing it. Chinese Management Practices In 2015, the People's Republic of China surpassed the United \$19.4 trillion and a labor force of over 800 million workers. In 2010, China became the world's largest exporter. Much of this economic power is the result of free-market reforms instituted in 1978. China is the largest exporter and third-largest importer of goods in the world.30 Out of 183 world economics, the World Bank rates China is the largest exporter. business, 65th in terms of getting credit, and 15th in terms of trading across borders.31 Several times throughout this book, China has been described as a collectivistic, large power distance culture. China's large power distance culture. obedience, and respect for seniors are deeply rooted Chinese management. China is also considered a high-context culture. As such, China's Confucianism, collectivism, power distance,
and high context are ingrained in Chinese management. orientation, the environment 615 in which business is conducted is important. Where the meeting takes place, who is invited, and who is presenting all are critical ingredients in a successful business meeting. China's Confucian-based societies, great emphasis is placed on harmonious relationships and knowing one's proper place in the social hierarchy. All relationships are seen as unequal, and one's ethics are directed toward observing these inequalities. Contrary to the United States, where business is business and not to be taken personally, the Chinese will go to great lengths to establish trust and a social bond in their international business relationships. When doing business in China, you can expect your Chinese counterpart to invite you to informal gatherings and to discuss topics unrelated to the business at hand, such as politics, the arts, information about your family, and such. The Chinese want to see you as a member of the business family—that is, the group.32 Decision-making is an important part of management. In the United States, management decision-making is often a collaboration between workers and management. In the United States, management decision-making is often a collaboration between workers and management. management, the manager is expected to make decisions on behalf of the entire group. This is expected and desired among both the managers and workers. The idea of open communication between managers and workers is not only unheard of but thought of as peculiar. thinking, in which workers are a part of one group and managers a part of another. In-group/out-group distinctions are a part of collectivistic thinking. These distinctions are a part of collectivistic thinking. These distinctions are a part of another a part of another and efficient decision-making system, but it can also be time-consuming when a particular question is not asked of the right person and must make its way to the top of the hierarchy before being answered.33 Communication between managers and workers is restricted. Tang and Ward note that workers are on a need-to-know basis, and the flow of job-related information is limited. Likewise—and consistent with their collectivistic, large power distance, and high-context nature—Chinese workers will probably not initiate communication with a manager, even if they have concerns about the way a job is being handled. While they may discuss it with another worker, they will not address the manager, probably due to their desire not to stand out or be thought of as confrontational. Recall from Chapter 2 that modesty and finding the middle ground are valued in China. In addition, recall from Chapter 10 that collectivists, and particularly Chinese, do not approach conflict but, rather, avoid it in an effort to save everyone's face—both those of managers and workers—on-the-job conflict is resolved via mediation and compromise.34 To make one final point about doing business in China, gift giving was once an important part of Chinese business culture. Today, however, Chinese business culture prohibits giving gifts. In 616 fact, gift giving is considered bribery and is technically illegal. But depending on the specific case, attitudes about giving gifts are relaxing. If you wish to give a gift to an individual, do it privately—in the context of friendship, not business. Your Chinese counterpart will probably decline the gift, express gratitude. Giving a gift to the entire company rather than to an individual can be an acceptable alternative. But make sure you present the gift to the appropriate team leader.35 617 Student Voices Across Cultures: Doing Business in Sweden Carl Ekstrom My name is Carl Ekstrom My name is Carl Ekstrom. I was born and raised that I had a great opportunity to combine college in De Pere, Wisconsin. I attended school there for about 4 years and recently graduated, very satisfied with my experience. The business climate in Sweden is in some ways similar to the one in the United States, but there are also many differences. One major differences. One major difference is the formality of the speaker's rank. Even though superiors usually have the final word in a business decision, they are always looking for 618 feedback from their employees. As a result of this decision-making framework, there are always several meetings throughout a business day in which employees can share their opinions. Swedish business day in which employees can share their opinions. collared shirt. There are no suits in the office during a regular workweek. Swedish people are also adamant about maintaining their fika breaks every day. These are short breaks during which every day. These are short breaks during which every day. the casual business climate. Even though the business climate is more casual in Sweden, employees are expected to complete their tasks on time, and business management is usually strict in this regard. 619 Culture, Intercultural Communication, and Health Care On January 21, 2013, Dr. Margaret Chan, director general of the World Health Organization (WHO), in a speech to the WHO Executive Board in Geneva, Switzerland, asserted this: The climate is changing. Antibiotics are failing. The world population keeps getting bigger, and older. The rise of chronic noncommunicable diseases is relentless. are rising. Budgets are shrinking. Costs are soaring at a time of nearly universal austerity. Social inequalities are at the worst levels seen in half a century. Conflicts are rife. The health consequences, also for civilians, are severe. The will to relieve human misery is strong but gets blunted by too few resources, too little capacity, and too much uncoordinated aid.36 Although advances in health care over the past century have been monumental, the status of the world's health remains in flux. Reflecting on the world shealth care over the following four health care scenarios in four different cultures: Researchers in Switzerland have developed a new medical device that identifies irregularities in heart rate and can, within seconds, alert doctors and patients via their smartphones. The device consists of four noninvasive electrode sensors attached to the patient's smartphone, where they can be viewed in real time.37 Cao gío, also known as coining or coin rubbing, is a dermabrasive (i.e., skin) therapy thought to alleviate symptoms from Southeast Asia. During coining, the skin on the patient's chest and back is lubricated with oils or balms and then rubbed firmly with the edge of a coin. The procedure often generates considerable skin damage (e.g., burning and scarring). In some cases, the patient can suffer nausea, vomiting, confusion, tremors, and even convulsions.38 The majority of African American women (i.e., more than 80%) are either overweight or obese. These women are at significant risk for a range of serious 620 health issues, including high blood pressure, high cholesterol, arthritis, stroke, gall bladder disease, heart dis cultural factors, such as a preference for high-fat and healthy body weight, and a lack of physical activity. Societal and environmental factors also contribute, including poverty (e.g., high-calorie foods, a distorted frame of reference for normal and healthy body weight, and a lack of physical activity. unsafe neighborhoods).39 Rural Dominicans often combine folk and professional medicine to manage their health care. One rural Dominicans woman took modern antibiotics for a vaginal infection, yet taped garlic to her palm to cure an infection in her hand and relied on prayer to heal an infection in her infant son. In another case, a local faith healer dissolved modern antibiotics in tea, then rubbed the potion on a sick child in a prayer ritual to eliminate the child's fever.40 As the previous four scenarios suggest, people from diverse culture is defined as an accumulated pattern of values, beliefs, and behaviors, shared by an identifiable group of people with a common history and verbal and nonverbal symbol systems. To be sure, different cultural groups have different beliefs, values, and behaviors associated with their health and health care. These different belief and value systems translate into diverse theories and practices about the causes and treatments of illness. As Hope Landrine and Elizabeth Klonoff note, "The health beliefs of professionals and laypersons alike are structured and informed by a cultural context from which they cannot be separated and without which they cannot be fully understood." 41 Lay Theories of Illness In his classic text on culture, health, and illness, Cecil Helman suggests that people from different cultures generally attribute illness to one of four causes: (a) factors within the natural environment, such as air and water pollution; (c) societal factors, such as intergroup conflict, poor health care facilities, and the like; or (d) supernatural factors, including religious beliefs, fate, and indigenous beliefs. 42 Helman notes that these attributions for health and illness reflect the particular culture's general value orientations. For example, persons in Western cultures such as the United States, which are often individualistic, generally believe that the origins of illness are rooted in the individual patient. As Helman explains, the responsibility for one's health generally, though not exclusively, rests with the individual. So ill health is often considered to be the result of the individual's bad habits, such as poor diet, lack of exercise, damaging lifestyle choices, poor personal hygiene, 621 alcoholism, drug abuse, or other deviant behavior. Thus, from this perspective, one should feel guilty when faced with ill health. To be sure, persons in this orientation understand that other factors contribute to illness, such as heredity (e.g., cancer, diabetes) and environmental conditions, (e.g., pollution, allergens, poisons, food additives,
weather).43 Typically, these cultures rely on a biomedical model of health care, in which the fundamental assumption is that diagnosis and treatment of illness should be based on scientific data. Helman observes that in many non-Western cultures, illness is often attributed to societal and/or supernatural conditions. interpersonal conflict within the culture. Here, according to Helman, one of the most common causes of illness is thought to be witchcraft. According to a 2010 Gallup poll, belief in witchcraft is widespread throughout sub-Saharan Africa and affects how believers in witchcraft see their lives and their health. For example, 95% of persons surveyed in Ivory Coast, 80% of those in Senegal, 77% of those in Mali, and 75% of those in Witchcraft. The study found that believers in witchcraft. The study found that believers in witchcraft. The study found that believers in witchcraft. that among believers in witchcraft, certain persons, often women, are thought to possess mystical powers that can harm others. So conflicting families or groups may call on a witch to put a curse (e.g., illness) on their opposition.45 Supernatural conditions, such as religion, pure fate, and indigenous belief systems, are also thought among certain cultures to be the origin of illness. Here, one's ill health is believed to be caused by the intervention of a supernatural being. This is also referred to as the personalistic approach. Helman explains that persons in such cultures may believe that their illness is God's punishment for their misdeeds, such as not attending church regularly or not saying their prayers. In Western cultures, such as the United States, persons might attribute their illness is the work of fate.46 Helman is careful to point out that persons in many cultures make multicausal attributions for illness. So while persons in Western cultures may rely on the biomedical approach for their health, they may also believe that a supernatural force is responsible in some way. Health Care and Resources Across Cultures Health care is clearly one of the biggest challenges facing the world's countries is the monumental cost associated with health care. For example, in 2014, health expenditures in the United States totaled just over \$3 trillion (i.e., \$3,000,000,000), which is over 17 percent of the U.S, gross domestic product, and an average expenditure of nearly \$10,000 per person in the country.47 Government expenditure on health care as a percentage of total government expenditures varies considerably across the world, as does the number of physicians available to treat 622 patients (see Table 11.3). Photo 11.2 Medicinal treatments vary considerably across cultures. 623 © iStockphoto.com/catchlights sg In addition to the disparities in terms of cost, the number of physicians, and life expectancy, to name only a few, the differences in how cultures address health issues are also significant. It is within these contexts where communication study. Kevin Bradley-Wright and history of health communication study. colleagues point out that the study of health care transitioned. Bradley-Wright and his colleagues note that physicians and other health care providers historically have addressed health care issues via a biomedical model of medicine that focuses on the scientific method and procedures for treating disease. This approach uses physical evidence such as laboratory results, X-rays, MRIs (magnetic resonance imaging), and surgery to diagnose and treat illness. To be sure, this approach does not ignore the scientific component of health care but expands it to include other variables that affect health, such as a patient's culture, ethnicity, coping abilities, and other socially oriented events. The study of health care.48 624 health communication The study and use of communication strategies to inform and influence individual decisions that enhance health."49 The study of health communication covers a vast array of topics, far too many to address in this chapter. But one area in particular that has direct relevance for intercultural communication. The focus here is on the face-to-face interaction between the patient and his or her individual health care provider, which includes physicians, nurses, psychiatrists, psychologists, and counselors, among others. patient-provider communication Face-to-face interaction between the patient and his or her individual health care provider 625 Student Voices Across Cultures: Health Care in the Faroe Islands Viviann Filispdóttir Hansen My name is Viviann Filispdóttir Hansen My name is Viviann Filispdóttir Hansen Viviann Filispdóttir Hansen My name is Viviann Filispdóttir Hansen My name is Viviann Filispdóttir Hansen Viviann Filispdóttir Hansen My name is Viviann Filispdóttir Hansen My name is Viviann Filispdóttir Hansen Viviann Filispdóttir Hansen My name is Viviann Filispdóttir Hansen Viviann Filispdóttir Hansen My name is Viviann Filispdóttir Hansen Viviann Filispdóttir Hansen My name is Viviann Filispdóttir Hansen Viviann Filispdóttir Hansen My name is Viviann Filispdóttir Hansen My name is Viviann Filispdóttir Hansen Viviann Filis Hansen. I was born and raised on the Faroe Islands, located in the North Atlantic. I am 25 years old and graduated from St. Norbert College in 2013. Because the Faroe Islands, located in the North Atlantic. I am 25 years old and graduated from St. Norbert College in 2013. the same time keep a professional environment. The majority of physicians practicing medicine on the Faroe Islands, we pay an annual scandinavian countries, but in the past 5 to 10 years, many of our physicians have been educated in Poland because the programs there have a very good reputation. In the Faroe Islands, we pay an annual fee of about \$550 for health care. This covers as many doctor and hospital visits as we need. We start paying this annual fee when we are 16 years old. Before that, we are on our parents' health insurance (the \$550 fee does not change). When making an appointment, we call the doctor's office and get an appointment within 2 days, depending on the seriousness of our situation. We always meet with doctors directly, and they give a diagnosis and send the patient to a specialist if needed. The quality of care is good, but the wait to see the doctor can be a little long (about an hour but, again, depending on the severity of the illness). Doctors treat patients with respect and take their opinions into consideration; however, they ultimately give patients the treatment they think fits best. 626 The late Dr. Julian Wohl, professor emeritus of psychology and former director of the Clinical Psychology Training Program at the University of Toledo, wrote that just about all psychotherapy (and by extension, health care) is intercultural. Wohl asserted that health care is intercultural whenever cultural differences are present within the four elements of any health care communication context—that is, the health care provider, the patient, the locale or setting, and the method to be employed. He explains that to ignore the cultural differences in any of these elements is to court disaster.50 Likewise, Tina Carmichael, a registered nurse and registered respiratory therapist at Children's Hospital of Boston, has written: "To become successful practice as well as a nonhomogenous work place." 51 Provider-Patient Communication Dr. Debra Roter, a professor of health policy and management at the Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, points out that, historically, the relationship between the providers (e.g., physicians) hold more power than patients and are responsible for managing the interaction with patients, while the patients are generally passive. This approach, which was dominant throughout the 20th century, is called paternalism. In contrast, an approach labeled consumerism or mutual participation has been the popular model in the 21st century, in which the patient sets the agenda and shares responsibility for decision-making. As Roter describes, in this model the provider accommodates patient requests for information and services.52 The degree to which paternalism and consumerism are practiced across cultures has been the focus of a number of studies. cultures, a paternalistic approach might continue to dominate provider-patient communication. But several studies have shown that this is not the case. In an oft-cited study, Keith Bennett, David Smith, and Harry Irwin studied patient preferences for participation in medical decisions across several cultural groups, including Hong Kong, Australia, the United States, and China.53 Their results revealed several interesting and countertheoretical findings. They found that (a) Hong Kong patients prefer to participate in medical decision-making with their physicians instead of deciding for themselves or delegating such decisions to their doctors; (b) students from Australia, China, and the United States overwhelmingly prefer joint decision-making with their doctors; and (c) adult participants in three cities in mainland China do not prefer to delegate decisions. Bennett and his colleagues conclude the following: The outcome is singular, strong, and consistent. Regardless of age, culture, and 627 nationality, patients prefer to take part in joint decision making with their doctors. The convergence of findings on this issue is remarkable. Patients prefer to discuss and participate in decisions regarding their medical care. to the part they want to play. What we have found on this matter is cultural similarity, not cultural similarity and Jun Akashi studied preferences for physician decisionmaking styles among young adult Japanese and U.S. patients. Recall that Japanese and U.S. patients. Recall that Japanese and U.S. patients among young adult Japanese and U.S. patients among young adult Japanese and U.S. patients. Recall that Japanese and U.S. patients among young adult Japanese and U.S. patients.
individualistic. In their study, patients were asked to respond to a treatment scenario that described one of three physician makes the decision-making approach in which the patient and physician decide together, or an autonomous style in which the patient decides from a set of medically appropriate alternatives. Their results showed that the Japanese respondents evaluated the autonomous interaction most positively. Alden and his colleagues argue that despite cultural differences, both Japanese and U.S. respondents preferred higher participation in decision-making. Consistent with the findings of Bennett and his colleagues cited earlier, these findings suggest that passive patient-centered care expectations (i.e., paternalism) are falling out of favor as patient-centered care expectations (i.e., paternalism) are falling out of favor as patient cultures.55 But in Malaysia, a collectivistic large power distance culture, shared decision-making among physicians and patients is rarely studied or practiced. Medical researchers, including faculty in the School of Medicine at the University of Malaya, conducted a comprehensive review of literature on the subject and interviewed medical education researchers, including faculty in the School of Medicine at the University of Malaya, conducted a comprehensive review of literature on the subject and interviewed medical education researchers, including faculty in the School of Medicine at the University of Malaya, conducted a comprehensive review of literature on the subject and interviewed medical education researchers, including faculty in the School of Medicine at the University of Malaya, conducted a comprehensive review of literature on the subject and interviewed medical education researchers, including faculty in the School of Medicine at the University of Malaya, conducted a comprehensive review of literature on the subject and interviewed medical education researchers, including faculty in the School of Medicine at the University of Malaya, conducted a comprehensive review of literature on the subject and interviewed medical education researchers, including faculty in the School of Medicine at the University of Malaya, conducted a comprehensive review of literature on the subject and interviewed medical education researchers, including faculty in the School of Medicine at the University of Malaya, conducted a comprehensive review of literature on the subject and interviewed medical education researchers, including faculty in the School of Medicine at the University of Malaya, conducted a comprehensive review of literature on the subject and interviewed medical education researchers, including faculty in the School of Medicine at the University of Malaya, conducted a comprehensive review of literature on the subject and interviewed medical education reviewed medical education reviewed medical education reviewed medica key opinion leaders, governmental officials, and patient support groups. Their goal was to study the extent to which patient participation in Malaysia. They also found that while physicians were aware of informed consent, very few practiced shared decision-making with their patients. They also found that while professional medical organizations endorsed patient involvement in decision-making, there was no implementation plan.56 Min Sun Kim, David Smith, and Gu Yueguo investigated the influence of patients' individualism (i.e., interdependent self-construal) on preferences for medical decision-making, there was no implementation plan.56 Min Sun Kim, David Smith, and Gu Yueguo investigated the influence of patients' individualism (i.e., interdependent self-construal) on preferences for medical decisionpatients in Hong Kong and 628 Beijing, China. In their study, they asked patients to rank four medical decision-making decision-making. 57 Their findings showed that participants from both Hong Kong and Beijin preierrea ioint decision making. However, the patients' level of collectivism and/or individualism was predictive of doctor decision-making and family decision-making but not of decision-making. The patients' individualism was predictive of doctor decision-making and family decision-making but not of decision-making. making by the family or doctor alone. In related work, David and Sarah Jeanne Smith surveyed older individuals in Hong Kong, Beijing, and Suzhou (China) regarding their communication about medicine. Specifically, they asked participants what sources of information and advice about medicine they used most often, what topics were of most importance in terms of communication and medicine, and what roles physicians and patients played in such communication. They then compared the responses with those of U.S. participants.58 Their results revealed that Chinese participants, both in Hong Kong and mainland China, expressed a marked preference for doctors trained in Western medicine as sources of information and advice. Yet compared with U.S. participants, Chinese respondents relied more heavily on family and friends for health information and advice. In the United States, 77% chose their U.S. doctor; in Hong Kong, 50% chose the Western doctor, and 12% chose the Chinese doctor. When asked what topics were most important to older patients, participants' concern about the side effects of medicine ranked among the top three in all settings. Finally, physicians in mainland China were described more positively by their patients than were those in either Hong Kong or the United States.59 These studies compare provider-patient communication across cultures. Yet one quarter of practicing physicians in the United States who were not U.S. citizens when they entered medical schools. The great majority of them now make up more than 50% of first-year family practice residents. Hence, a significant proportion of provider-patient communication in the United States and internationally, racial and ethnic disparities in health care are widely documented. In one study, Betsy Sleath, Richard Rubin, and Angela ArreyWastavino examined the extent to which physicians expressed empathy and positiveness to Hispanic and non-Hispanic White patients. However, when examining only Hispanic patients, physicians were significantly more likely to express empathy to patients whom they knew better. Also, physicians expressed positiveness to non-Hispanic White patients more often than to Hispanic/Latino Americans 629 received less information from their doctors about the rationale for their treatment recommendations. Both Black Americans' and Hispanics/Latinos' doctors less often cited their own experiences or scientific research as a reason for treatment recommendations.61 Researchers in Australia sought to identify communication factors affecting health care for Aboriginal patients from the Yolngu language group of northeast Arnhem Land. In this study, interactions between Aboriginal patients and health care workers (non-Aboriginals) were videotaped, and in-depth interviews about perceptions of the interaction were conducted with all participants in their first language. The authors report that a shared understanding of key health-related concepts was rarely achieved. Moreover, they report that miscommunication between the health care staff and Aboriginal patients often went unrecognized. Sources of problematic communication included a lack of medical knowledge by the Aboriginals, and marginalization of the language, a lack of medical knowledge by the Aboriginal state of the language. concluded that communication problems were so pervasive that even trained interpreters provided only a marginal solution.62 As we saw in Chapter 8 on nonverbal communication, a person's accent plays a role in how that person is perceived by others, especially if the person speaks with a nonnative accent. In one study by Donald Rubin, Pamela Healy, T. Clifford Gardiner, Richard Zath, and Cynthia Partain Moore, participants were exposed to recordings of a physician's superiority, interpersonal attractiveness, dynamism, professional competence, their (the participants') intent to comply with the physician's instructions, and recall of the physician's instructions. Interestingly, the English-accented physician was rated higher than the Asian-accented physician and patient communication and decision-making vary across cultures. 630 © iStockphoto.com /pixelfusion3d In an intracultural study conducted in the United States, physician Rachel Johnson and her colleagues sought to determine whether the quality of communication during medical visits differed among African American and White patients in terms of the duration of the visit and average speech speed, patient-centered orientation (i.e., physician verbal dominance and physicians patient-centeredness), and overall emotional tone (i.e., patient and physicians or Indian American, and one other race/ethnicity physicians. The results showed that physicians were more verbally dominant and engaged in less patient-centered communication with African American patients and their physicians.64 In another similar study, Johnson and several of her colleagues compared patient-physician communication in same-race and different-race doctor-patient visits and examined whether communication behaviors could explain differences in patients received care from 31 physicians. In the study, African American and 13 were White). Patients completed scales designed to measure their perceptions of physician patient-centeredness, physician patient-ace doctor-action with their physician patient physician patient visits. Patients in same-race doctor-patient visits.65 While this research is not an exhaustive account of the research done on intercultural communication in health care settings, it does allow us to draw some (tentative) conclusions about health care communication that seem rather countertheoretical when it comes to cultures might defer to their health care providers when
making decisions about health care treatment options. But the research cited earlier suggests that patients in these cultures prefer to participate in such decision-making. Of course, while these patients indicate that they would like to participate in such decision-making, we do not know for sure if they actually do. Decades of research on accents has shown that persons with nonnative accents are perceived differently (i.e., negatively). Yet the study suggests that in health care settings, the provider's nonnative accent has only a minimal effect on patient perceptions of him or her. The one area of research cited earlier that seems consistent with many of the theories discussed in this text is patient-provider communication within the United States, particularly with microcultural groups and health care providers. The research in this area suggests that microcultural group status does affect health care communication and Educational Settings One type of relationship that exists in every culture is the student-teacher relationship And in all cultures, students learn and teachers teach. Students can learn by seeing, hearing, reflecting, experiencing, reasoning, memorizing, and even intuiting. Teachers can teach by lecturing, demonstrating, discussing, questioning, and even intuiting. across cultures. And as in the health care context, virtually all the interactions within an educational/classroom setting are face to face (online courses).66 In the United States and abroad, grade school and high school teachers, as well as college professors, are finding their classrooms filled with students from various cultures. According to the Institute of International Education, in the 2014–2015 academic year, there were nearly 1 million (i.e., 974,926) international Education, in the 2014–2015 academic year, there were nearly 1 million (i.e., 974,926) international students attending U.S. colleges and universities, which was the highest growth rate in 35 years. The United States hosts more of the world's 4.5 million international students than any other country. About 5% of college and university students attending U.S. colleges and universities. India is second, with 130,000 students. Students from China, India, and South Korea represent just over half of all international students in the United States. These countries have some significant cultural differences compared with the United States. that is, Canada and Mexico—represent only 2.8% and 1.7%, respectively, of international college students, followed by New York, Texas, Massachusetts, and Illinois.67 Just over 300,000 U.S. college students studying in the United States. 2014 academic year, which is an increase of 5.2% over the previous year. U.S. student participation in study-abroad programs has nearly tripled in the past 20 years. The majority of U.S. students, and about 12% in Asia. For the top five study-abroad destinations for U.S. students, and about 16% in Latin America, and about 12% in Asia. For the top five study-abroad destinations for U.S. students, and about 16% in Latin America, and about 12% in Asia. 12.6% of students choose the United Kingdom, 10% Italy, 9% Spain, 6% France, and 4.5% China.68 Given these statistics, it seems safe to say that considerable intercultural communication is occurring in college classrooms across the United States and abroad. Within the United States, grade schools and high schools are also becoming more intercultural. As the microcultural group population grows, so does enrollment in our 633 nation's schools. Hispanics have become the fastest growing ethnic group in U.S. public schools, making up more than one in five kindergarten students of Asian descent attending U.S. schools, or just over 7% of the total student population.69 Learning Styles Across Cultures In Chapter 5, you were introduced to some of the ideas of Richard Nisbett, a distinguished professor at the University of Michigan, who has researched and written extensively about how humans process information. Nisbett points out that, historically, many of the most prominent psychologists in the 20th century strongly believed that basic human cognitive processes are universal (i.e., not cultural), that normal human beings are equipped with the same set of learning procedures, and that human thought processes work in much the same way regardless of the subject matter. Based on his research and that of others, Nisbett now believes that such assumptions may be at least partially incorrect and that culture plays a much more influential role in human learning processes than we once thought. 70 One area of research in education that has received a substantial amount of attention is the subject of learning styles. Proponents of the learning style approach (e.g., educators, psychologists, sociologists, sociologist information-processing abilities (i.e., learning styles) are acquired via culture and the socialization process. If we know and understand how people learn, we can then adapt our teaching methods to accommodate them. For example, might students from a large power distance culture be at a disadvantage in a classroom with a teacher who comes from a small power distance culture? What about students from a collectivistic culture being asked to work on projects alone, without the cooperation of others? Consider the following scenario from AnneMarie Pajewski and Luis Enriquez: learning styles An individual's unique way of gathering, storing, and retrieving information to solve problems When Hispanic students work in a group, not all are expected to do their equal share. A group member who does not happen to be working will not be offensive, while in an Anglo group of students, each is expected to do his/her share. The cooperative tendency of Hispanics can also be seen in sharing material objects and information. Sharing also means helping another student during a test, which is considered cheating in an Anglo culture. Recently, in the coauthors' ESOL class, composed of mostly Hispanics, a student was reprimanded by a non-Hispanic instructor for copying from another student's test. Both 634 students were stunned and offended because to them, they were helping each other, not cheating.71 One theory of learning styles is called experiential learning theory (ELT), developed by David Kolb.72 Although not accepted by all learning theory scholars, Kolb's ELT model has received a substantial amount of attention in education and psychology and has been applied extensively in cross-cultural research (see Figure 11.2).73 Kolb's ELT model is based on the work of some of the major philosophers, psychologists, and education reformers of the 20th central thesis is that learning occurs when knowledge is gained via the transformation of experience. In other words, we learn when we take our experiences and transform or convert them into knowledge. He argues that knowledge, and hence learning, results from (1) grasping experience and (2) transforming experience. Figure 11.2 Kolb's Model of Experience and (2) transforming experience and (2) transforming experience and (2) transforming experience. concrete experience (CE) and abstract conceptualization (AC). In explaining CE, Kolb argues that in grasping experience, some people take in new information via symbolic means (i.e., AC). These people think, analyze, and plan abstractly. In transforming experience, some people tend to observe others who are involved in the experience and actively participate in it (i.e., active experimentation, or AE).74 Kolb maintains that not all learning situations are equal, and learning abilities are best suited for the specific learning how to drive a car needs to tap into CE, but when learning via the owner's manual about how the car functions, he or she uses AC. So when faced with unique learning situations, we choose between one or the other grasping experience options—AE or RO. Over time, Kolb maintains, humans develop a preferred way of learning based on their past experiences and especially their socialization. Specifically, one's choice of grasping experience (i.e., CE or AC) and one's choice of transforming experience (i.e., AE or RO) define that person's preferred learning style, of which there are four: diverging, assimilating, converging, and accommodating.75 The person adopting a diverging style of learning combines CE and RO. These people view concrete situations from several different points of view. Kolb describes these people as having broad cultural interests, being interested in people, enjoying group work, and tending to be imaginative and creative. The assimilating learning style is the combination of AC and RO. These learners prefer to put information into concise logical form. They prefer theories that

are logically sound, without much regard for their practicality. They prefer to focus on ideas rather than people. Kolb speculates that persons interested in the sciences might be assimilating types. Converging learners combine AC and AE. They find practical uses for information and prefer technical tasks and problems to social and interpersonal tasks and problems to social and interpersonal tasks. issues. These learners experiment with new ideas. Kolb speculates that environmental scientists or economists might prefer this style. Finally, the accommodating learners prefer "hands-on" experience. They tend to act on intuition rather than logic and prefer to work with others, rather than technology, to solve problems.76 Kolb and others in this area of research believe that a variety of factors may influence one's preferred learning style. One factor is culture. Yoshitaka Yamazaki of the International University of Japan conducted an extensive review of literature on the relationship between culture. that investigated the learning styles of Japanese and U.S. managers and found that the majority of U.S. managers preferred the diverging learning style. He attributes these preferences to the Japanese tendency toward collectivism, high context, and strong uncertainty avoidance. Likewise, he attributes the U.S. preference for a converging style to U.S. individualism, low context, and weak uncertainty avoidance.77 Yamazaki also reviewed the research on learning style preference among Chinese to U.S. individualism, low context, and weak uncertainty avoidance.77 Yamazaki also reviewed the research on learning style preference among Chinese to U.S. individualism, low context, and weak uncertainty avoidance.77 Yamazaki also reviewed the research on learning style preference among Chinese to U.S. individualism, low context, and weak uncertainty avoidance.77 Yamazaki also reviewed the research on learning style preference among Chinese to U.S. individualism, low context, and weak uncertainty avoidance.77 Yamazaki also reviewed the research on learning style preference among Chinese to U.S. individualism, low context, and weak uncertainty avoidance.77 Yamazaki also reviewed the research on learning style preference among Chinese to U.S. individualism, low context, and weak uncertainty avoidance.77 Yamazaki also reviewed the research on learning style preference among Chinese to U.S. individualism, low context, and weak uncertainty avoidance.77 Yamazaki also reviewed the research on learning style preference among Chinese to U.S. individualism, low context, and weak uncertainty avoidance.77 Yamazaki also reviewed the research on learning style preference among Chinese to U.S. individualism, low context, and weak uncertainty avoidance.77 Yamazaki also reviewed the research on learning style preference among Chinese to U.S. individualism, low context, and weak uncertainty avoidance.77 Yamazaki also reviewed the research on learning style preference among Chinese to U.S. individualism, low context, and weak uncertainty avoidance.77 Yamazaki also reviewed the research on the total diverging style, while U.S. teachers tended to prefer an accommodating style. Once again, he attributes this to the Chinese orientation toward collectivism and strong uncertainty avoidance. That not all teachers from either culture preferred other learning styles. For example, Yamazaki reviewed the research on learning style preference among French, German, and Quebecois students of business administration, and while there were differences among the three groups, each group's overall preferred learning style was assimilating. style, nearly 33% preferred a converging style. Among the Quebecois students, 38% preferred assimilating, but 25% preferred a diverging style. 78 This research tells us that culture seems to play a role in preferences for learning styles. But how much of a role does culture play? Simy Joy and Kolb sought to answer that question. Specifically, they aimed to assess the relative influence of culture on learning style preference in comparison with sex (i.e., male/female), age, level of education (i.e., secondary school, college graduate, graduate degree), and area of specialization (i.e., humanities and fine arts, social professional, basic sciences and mathematics, and applied science professional) of students born in and currently residing in seven nations: the United States, Italy, Germany, Poland, Brazil, India, and Singapore. Although the influence of culture was quite small, Simy and Kolb report that a preference for AC over CE was explained by a combination of culture, gender, level of education, and area of specialization. The variability in preference for AE over RO was accounted for only by age and area of specialization seemed to carry more influence than did culture. In the second part of their study, they examined the individuals tend to have a more abstract learning style in cultures that are collectivistic and uncertainty avoidant. Individuals tend to have a more reflective learning style in countries that are high in collectivism and uncertainty avoidance. In general, their results support the contention that culture affects learning style preference, but its influence is rather small and certainly not unilateral.79 Teacher Immediacy in the Classroom and Across Cultures In the past 30 years, the topic of teacher immediacy has received a great deal of attention in the communication literature. The concept of immediacy stems from the work of psychological and psychological and psychological distance between interactants. Researchers in communication have extended the concept of immediacy to the classroom and, specifically, teacher immediacy. Here, teacher immediacy refers to the verbal and nonverbal communication expressed by teachers and students.80 In the United States, verbal immediacy behaviors include the judicious use of humor, self-disclosure, narration (storytelling), and the prosocial use of certain types of power, such as expert power and referent power. Other 637 verbal immediacy behaviors might include addressing students before and after class about topics unrelated to class, and encouraging students to ask questions and discussions and discussions and discussions are class about topics. issues during class. Typical nonverbal immediacy behaviors include smiling, moderate gesturing, moving around the class instead of standing behind a lectern, direct eye contact, and casual dress. To be sure, these might not be the behaviors considered immediate in other cultures. between interactants One of the most consistent findings in the literature is that teacher immediacy has a positive effect on perceived cognitive learning, affective produce more positive learning outcomes than does too much immediacy. So while using humor in the classroom is considered immediate, students do not want a class that's nothing but one-liners. Of course, to the extent that teacher immediacy is a function of communication, it must be considered immediate, students do not want a class that's nothing but one-liners. following description of a typical Chinese classroom, provided by Yugin Zhao from the Harbin Institute of Technology in China: The classroom discourse in China is more obedient and guiet in classroom discourse in China is more obligation. listen to him and follow his instruction with no conditions. He prefers standing in the front of the classroom with more dignity and authority, listen to the teacher and should not interrupt the teacher's talk with questions. Students should show respect to their teachers by their teachers by their name. In a Chinese classroom, students advess their teacher very formally with a title of "teacher" plus his surname, such as "Teacher Zhang." This is the case for students of all ages, from children in kindergarten to doctoral students in universities. No matter where and when, students should always address the people who had ever taught them with "teacher" formally, even outside school and after their graduation. Chinese teachers also address their students in a very formal way, by their full names, never by their given names. In China, the relationship between teachers and students is more hierarchical, formal and distant.82 638 A number of cross-cultural comparisons of teacher immediacy have been conducted. For example, Jim Neuliep found that U.S. college students rated their U.S. professors as more verbally and nonverbally immediate than did Japanese professors. Neuliep attributed this to the Japanese professors. Neuliep attributed this to the Japanese professors, there were strong correlations between teacher immediacy and cognitive, affective, and behavioral learning with each group of students. In other words, as a professor's
immediacy ratings increased, both U.S. and Japanese students responded that they learned more from that professor.83 In a 2011 study by Kemal Sinan Özmen from Gazi University in Turkey, student teachers in Japan, Turkey, and the United States completed a nonverbal immediacy scale and a questionnaire about its importance in teaching. The findings indicated that U.S. teachers ated their nonverbal immediacy as a requisite part of effective teaching and as positively correlated with effective teaching. Incidentally, the study also found that U.S. student teachers believe "touching" to be a critical variable in defining effective teaching. 84 In 2001, K. David Roach and Paul Byrne conducted a cross-cultural comparison of instructor communication (i.e., nonverbal immediacy and learning outcomes) in German and U.S. classrooms. In their study, U.S. students and German instructors' nonverbal immediacy and perceived as more nonverbally immediate than German instructors. U.S. students also reported more cognitive learning than did their German counterparts. Interestingly, in each culture, nonverbal immediacy was significantly linked with cognitive and affective learning.85 In 2005, Roach and his colleagues compared nonverbal immediacy was significantly linked with cognitive and affective learning.85 In 2005, Roach and his colleagues compared nonverbal immediacy between French and U.S. instructors. As in the results reported earlier, U.S. instructors were perceived by U.S. students as more immediate than were French instructors (by French students). In each culture, however, instructor nonverbal immediacy, though positively related to student affect toward instructor, cognitive learning, and ratings of instructor nonverbal immediacy. related to these learning outcomes in both cultures, was significantly stronger for U.S. students when compared with French students. Roach speculates that this difference may be linked to power distance between themselves and their instructors than do French students, who recognize the student-teacher status difference. Hence, Roach concludes that French instructors may see no need to exercise nonverbal immediacy.86 Scott Johnson and Ann Miller compared teachers verbal and nonverbal immediacy and then rated how much they learned in their classes with these teachers. Their results showed that Kenyan and U.S. students differed significantly on ratings of their teachers' verbal and nonverbal immediacy. U.S. teachers were rated higher in both. and U.S. students regarding the amount of learning they reported as occurring in their classes, although the Kenyan sample scores were lower on both verbal and nonverbal immediacy than did U.S. students, there were strong correlations between immediacy and learning among the Kenyans. In other words, to the extent that their teachers were immediate, Kenyan students reported learning more. Johnson and Miller speculate that other cultural variables may mediate the influence of immediacy on learning outcomes. For example, they point out that Kenya is a large power distance culture. Students who recognize the high-status relationships and yet may still learn a lot.87 Jim McCroskey and his colleagues compared teacher nonverbal immediacy and affective learning outcomes across four cultures: Puerto Rico, Finland, Australia, and the United States. Their results showed that the Puerto Rican and U.S. teachers from the other three cultures. Yet in each culture, teacher nonverbal immediacy was found to be positively correlated with affect toward the content being taught (i.e., affective learning). In other words, asserts McCroskey, whether the culture favors high or low immediacy, if the teacher is relatively more immediate, the student's affective learning is enhanced.88 What the research on teacher immediacy shows us is that while perceptions of teacher immediacy vary across cultures, teachers may not be perceived as immediacy is almost always associated with positive learning outcomes. So even in cultures where teachers may not be perceived as immediacy is almost always associated with positive learning outcomes. that they learn more cognitively, affectively, and behaviorally. Photo 11.4 Teacher immediacy varies considerably across cultures have different learning styles, and we know that teachers across cultures have different teaching styles. Hence, in an intercultural classroom, students and teachers prefer to learn and teach differently. Richard M. Felder, the Hoechst Celanese Professor Emeritus at North Carolina State University, has authored or coauthored hundreds of papers on engineering and science education. Felder is also the codirector of the National Effective Teaching Institute. In recognizing the differences in learning and teaching styles, Felder offers some recommendations that may be helpful for teachers in an intercultural classroom.89 1. Motivate learning. Felder recommendations that may be helpful for teachers in an intercultural classroom.89 1. the context of students' experiences, both past and future. 2. Balance concrete and conceptual information. Recall from Kolb's model that concrete thinkers tend to take in new information via symbolical methods—that is, they need to see, hear, smell, touch, or taste it. Conceptual thinkers tend to take in new information via symbolical methods. means. These people think, analyze, and plan abstractly. 3. Balance structured activities that emphasize 641 formal training with open-ended, unstructured activities that emphasize conversation and the students' cultural context. 4. Make liberal use of visuals. Use photographs, films, videos, and live dramatizations to illustrate lessons. 5. Don't just lecture. In addition to lecturing, provide intervals for students to reflect on what they have learned. Hold discussions, allow students to reflect on what they have learned. interact with others. 642 Chapter Summary Doing business with and managing people in a work setting, providing health care, and teaching students in a culture other than one's own are daunting tasks indeed. This chapter has discussed how the principles presented throughout the text can be applied across cultures to the business world, the health care context, and the classroom setting. An understanding of the cultural, microcultural, environmental, perceptual, sociorelational, verbal, and relational contexts of the native and host cultures. Managers, health care practitioners, and teachers who understand the intercultural context are in a much better position to succeed. 643 edge.sagepub.com/neuliep7e SAGE edge offers a robust online environment featuring an impressive array of free tools and resources for review, study, and further exploration, keeping both instructors and students on the cutting edge. of teaching and learning. 644 Discussion Questions 1. How are the dominant cultural values of the U.S. management style of participative management style of participative management styles and U.S. management style of participative management styles and U.S. management style of participative management styles and U.S. management style of participative management style of participative management styles and U.S. management styles and U.S. management styles and U.S. management style of participative management styles and U.S. management styles and U.S. management style of participative management styles and U.S. management monochronic) affect how you would do business in Mexico? 4. What considerations would you make in preparing for a presentation? 7. Are learning styles among students across cultures prefer to make medical decisions? 6. What are some of the factors that affect patient-provider communication? 7. Are learning styles among students across cultures prefer to make medical decisions? 6. What are some of the factors that affect patient-provider communication? 7. Are learning styles among students across cultures prefer to make medical decisions? different across cultures? Explain. 8. Do teachers across cultures differ in immediacy? Explain. 9. How does teacher in a moderately sized community in the Midwestern United States. Recently in her history class during an exam, she overheard three of her native Hispanic/Latino students talking among themselves. As she approached them, it was clear that two of the students of cheating on the exam and threatened to fail them. But the students claimed they were not cheating. They explained that in their culture when a member of the group is having trouble on some task, it is the responsibility of others? 2. Jesse Pagel is an overseas manager for a U.S. clothing company in Hong Kong. In a recent meeting of his employees and reprimanded them for not using the application that the employees and reprimanded them for not using the application. He approached some the employees and reprimanded them for not using the application that the employees are not using the application that the the application. Later that day, one of Jeff's associates, a native of Hong Kong, explained to Jeff that in Hong Kong when an employee does not understand the directive is to lose face. As we saw in Chapter 10, protecting one's face is a very important concept in many Eastern cultures. What should Jeff do now? 646 Developing Intercultural Communication Competence 1. Many of you who are reading and using this book will go on to careers in management, become teachers, or work in a health care-related field. Having read this chapter, be mindful that theories of management, learning styles, and patient- provider care vary considerably across cultures. And while the theories of management, learning, and providing health care that you learned in college are certainly valid, they may not—in fact, probably do not—apply across cultures. So when you manage, teach, or care for persons of different cultures, keep in mind how to selectively apply what you have learned, and be open to adjusting your style. 2. In this chapter, immediacy
was defined as those behaviors that reduce the physical and psychological distance among interactants. In your professional career, you will very likely interact with people from cultures different than your own. So become mindful—that is, more conscious—of the behaviors that you perceive and use to be immediate that others may perceive to be nonimmediate and perhaps even threatening. For example, when someone doesn't reciprocate direct eye contact with you, that may be a sign of respect rather than disrespect. 647 Key Terms cultural context 371 environmental context 373 health communication 386 immediacy 396 learning styles 393 organizational culture 371 patient-provider communication, Culture and uniform we try to make organizations, they will not have the same meaning for individuals from different cultures. —Fons Trompenaars1 649 12 Acculturation, Culture Shock, and Intercultural Competence © iStockphoto.com/Phillip Jones 650 Learning Objectives 1. 2. 3. 4. Identify and discuss the factors that facilitate or hinder acculturation Recognize and discuss the factors that facilitate or hinder acculturation Recognize and discuss the factors that facilitate or hinder acculturation Recognize and discuss the factors that facilitate or hinder acculturation Recognize and discuss the factors that facilitate or hinder acculturation Recognize and discuss the factors that facilitate or hinder acculturation Recognize and discuss the factors that facilitate or hinder acculturation Recognize and discuss the factors that facilitate or hinder acculturation Recognize and discuss the factors that facilitate or hinder acculturation Recognize and discuss the factors that facilitate or hinder acculturation Recognize and discuss the factors that facilitate or hinder acculturation Recognize and discuss the factors that facilitate or hinder acculturation Recognize and discuss the factors that facilitate or hinder acculturation Recognize and discuss the factors that facilitate or hinder acculturation Recognize and discuss the factors that facilitate or hinder acculturation Recognize and discuss the factors that facilitate or hinder acculturation Recognize and discuss the factors that facilitate or hinder acculturation Recognize and discuss the factors that facilitate or hinder acculturation Recognize and discuss the factors that facilitate or hinder acculturation Recognize and discuss the factors that facilitate or hinder acculturation Recognize and discuss the factors that facilitate or hinder acculturation Recognize and discuss the factors that facilitate or hinder acculturation Recognize and discuss the factors that facilitate or hinder acculturation Recognize and discuss the factors that facilitate or hinder acculturation Recognize and discuss the factors that facilitate or hinder acculturation Recognize and discuss the factors that facilitate or hinder acculturation Recognize and discu components of intercultural communication competence Having read the previous 11 chapters of this book, you may well be motivated to go out and interact with people from different cultures. Perhaps you are even ready to travel abroad! In either case, remember that intercultural communication assumes the principle of difference. Not all intercultural communication is successful. This chapter focuses on three important features associated with intercultural communication as they relate to traveling abroad to foreign cultures: (a) acculturation, (b) culture shock, and (c) intercultural communication as they relate to traveling abroad to foreign cultures by you adapt to a new culture by a new cul adopting its values, attitudes, and practices. Culture shock is a multifaceted experience resulting from the stress associated with entering a new culture. Intercultural communication competence is defined as the degree to which you effectively adapt your verbal and nonverbal messages to the appropriate cultural context. cultural change that results from ongoing contact between two or more culturally different groups culture, combined with the sensations of loss, confusion, and powerlessness resulting from the forfeiture of cultural norms and social rituals. someone from a different culture, you will have to adjust and modify the kinds of verbal and nonverbal messages you send. This process requires that you have some knowledge about the person with whom you are communicating, that you have some knowledge about the person with whom you are motivated to communicate with him or her, and that you have the appropriate verbal and nonverbal skills to encode and decode messages. Each of these variables affects the success rate of your intercultural communication experiences. When individuals or groups enter a new culture, they are faced with a different set of values, such people are affected by their new cultural surroundings. 651 Acculturation Acculturation was defined by Robert Redfield, Ralph Linton, and Melville Herskovits as "those phenomena which result when groups of individualscher a different culture. Many years ago, acculturation was defined by Robert Redfield, Ralph Linton, and Melville Herskovits as "those phenomena which result when groups of individualscher a different culture." having different cultures come into continuous first-hand contact with subsequent changes in the original culture pattern of either or both groups engage in continuous contact, one of the two groups will induce more change than the other. For example, when immigrants enter the United States, they are probably going to experience more change than the people already living here. Berry also distinguishes between acculturation at the individual level. He contends that the distinction is important because not all members of the group experience the same levels of acculturation.3 According to Berry, in pluralistic, diverse societies such as the United States, three factors bring cultural groups experience acculturation because they have moved into a new culture—as is the case for immigrants and refugees such as the Hmong people of Laos, who came to the United States. Other groups experience acculturation because they have had a new culture thrust on them, as is the case for indigenous peoples such as Mative Americans/American Indians. others experience acculturation involuntarily, as did African slaves brought to the United States. Finally, some groups will experience a relatively permanent acculturation, as do exchange students studying abroad or expatriates in temporary job transfers. Berry maintains that despite the sometimes dramatic differences in circumstances of acculturation groups, the overall acculturation process is universal across groups. 4 Acculturation is often marked by physical and psychological changes that occur as a result of the adaptation required to function, role prescriptions, and media consumption, as well as in myriad rules, norms, and values of a new and (relatively) dissimilar culture. Moreover, such persons are isolated from familiar social networks and may experience problems with language, unemployment, and discrimination. The stress associated with such changes, known as 652 acculturative stress, is marked by a reduction in one's physical and mental health.5 acculturative stress The degree of physical and psychological stress persons experience when they enter a culture different from their own as a result of the adaptation required to function in a new and different cultural context Many immigrant groups in the United States experience acculturative stress. A rather substantial body of research has documented the effects of acculturative stress on America's largest microcultural group, Hispanics/Latinos, acculturative stress is related to decreased selfefficacy expectations, decreased career aspirations, decreased career aspiratio Julie and David Smart have observed that acculturative stress is associated with fatalistic thinking. They argue that acculturative stress has a lifelong effect on Hispanics/Latinos' psychological well-being, decision-making abilities, occupational effectiveness, and physical health. aspect of acculturative stress is the loss of social support from the family. They maintain that this loss is particularly intense for Hispanic/Latino women may be more likely than men to suffer from acculturative stress because their roles are clearly prescribed in their native culture. In -women's roles are more open and unspecified.7 Joseph Hovey, in his work, found that family dysfunction, separation from family, negative expectations for the future, and low income levels were significantly related to higher levels of acculturative stress.8 In an interestir Emeka Nwadiora and Harriette McAdoo investigated acculturative stress among Amerasian refugees in the United States. Amerasians are individuals born of U.S. servicemen and Vietnamese or Cambodian women during the Vietnam War. Because of their mixed racial background, these children were considered half-breeds and social outcasts in their homeland. In 1987, Congress passed the Amerasian Homecoming Act, permitting all Amerasians and their immediate families (including wives, half-siblings, and mothers) to immigrate to the United States. Nwadiora and McAdoo report that Amerasians have faced pervasive prejudice in the United States, often by Asians, Europeans, and especially Black Americans. In their research, they found that the Amerasians experienced acculturative stress in the areas of spoken English, employment, and limited formal education. They also report that gender and race had no significant impact on acculturative stress experienced by people adapting to new cultures varies according to the similarities and dissimilarities between the host culture and the immigrants' native culture. To the extent that the cultures are more similar than different, less stress is experienced. Individual personal traits also play a role in the 653 manifestation of acculturative stress. Berry notes
that such characteristics as one's degree of previous exposure to the new culture; one's level of educated man from the United States may experience more acculturative stress.10 A well-educated man from the United States when entering a culture that does not recognize sexual equality (either socially or legally). A Model of Acculturation is not unilateral; it is an interactive process between a culture, but they also impact the culture in return. For example, although Mexican immigrants face challenges imposed on them by the dominant culture, their presence has changed the United States' cultural milieu, especially in places such as Texas and California. Young Kim's model of cultural milieu, especially in places such as Texas and California. acculturation. Kim argues that acculturation is not a linear, one-way process; rather, an interaction occurs between the stranger and the role of communication, the role of communication, the role of the host environment, and the role of communication best explain the acculturation best explain the acculturation best explain the role of the host environment, and the role of communication, the role of the host environment, and the host environment environment environment environment environment environment, and the host environment envir Cultural Adaptation SOURCE: Based on data from Kim, Y. Y. (1997). Adapting to a New Culture. In L. A. Samovar & R. E. Porter (Eds.), Intercultural Communication: A Reader (8th ed., pp. 404-417). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth. At the core of the model is host communication competence. This includes how much the individual knows about the host culture (i.e., cognitive)—for example, the degree to which 654 the newcomer understands the host language's rules and norms, knows effective component refers to how motivated the individual is to initiate and develop host culture relationships (i.e., approach avoidance tendencies). The behavioral component refers to the acculturation between the newcomer and host persons. Participating in relationships, engaging in conflict resolution, and exposing oneself to the mass communication of the host culture, adaptation is facilitated by maintaining interpersonal and mass communication (i.e., Host IC and Host MC) with the host culture but also maintaining interpersonal and mass communication (i.e., Ethnic IC and Ethnic MC) with one's native culture.12 Predisposition factors also affect acculturation. Kim argues that newcomers enter into their new culture with varying degrees of readiness or preparedness. How much people know about their new culture, their ability to speak the language, the probability of employment, and their understanding of the cultural institutions will have a dramatic effect on their acculturation process. Newcomers' ethnicity will also play a role in the pace of their acculturation. Kim uses the term ethnicity to refer to the inherited characteristics that newcomers have as members of distinct ethnic groups. Such characteristics include race and language. For example, because of their ethnicity, Japanese may have a more difficult time acculturating to the United States than would a person from Great Britain. Finally, Kim argues that certain personality characteristics affect the individual's acculturation process. Age, for example, has been shown to affect acculturation. Generally, young persons adapt more quickly to new and different modes of behavior than do older persons, who may be set in their ways. Karmela Liebkind found that among Vietnamese refugees in Finland, younger generations maintained much more positive attitudes about acculturation than did older generations. Liebkind explains that the Finnish values of hierarchical familial relationships and filial piety. In the traditional Southeast Asian family, children are taught to be loyal. They are obligated to show respect and obedience to their parents. Wives are expected to rear the children and serve their husbands. Not having been completely enculturated into Vietnamese families found it much easier than did their parents to acculturate into Finnish society.13 Kim argues that the environment plays a key role in the acculturation process. The degree to which the host culturate into Finnish society.13 Kim argues that the environment plays a key role in the united States, for example, believe the country should close its borders to immigrants. Given the tensions in the United States, for example, believe the country should close its borders to immigrants. in the Middle East, U.S. citizens sometimes face hostilities when they enter certain countries. Host conformity pressure is another factor. The extent to which natives within the host culture exert pressure on newcomers to conform to their culture's values, beliefs, and practices can facilitate or alienate the newcomers. 655 In the United States, for example, people expect that newcomers will speak English. In fact, some members of the U.S. Congress have introduced legislation that would make English the official language of the United States. Ethnic-group strength refers to the amount of influence the newcomer's group wields in the host culture. Clearly, some ethnic groups are more powerful than others politically, economically, and socially. Because of their numbers, Black Americans and Hispanics/Latinos have become powerful ethnic group strength increases, members of the ethnic group may encourage newcomers to maintain their native ethnic heritage and pressure them not to conform to the host culture. Hence, newcomers may feel pressure from the host culture to adapt, while simultaneously facing pressure from their native ethnic group to preserve their ethnic heritage.14 Finally, to the extent that the adaptation process is successful, the individual develops a functional fitness; that is, they are able to accomplish goals that perhaps prior to leaving they were not. The individual develops a kind of psychological health—a sense of accomplishment and confidence in having adapted to different surroundings. Finally, many returning expatriates take on a kind of intercultural identity in that their character and personality have grown and matured. Modes of Acculturation For acculturation to occur, there must be contact between the members of the host culture and the newcomers. Berry argues that short-term accidental contact does not generally lead to much acculturation. Moreover, the purpose of contact between the two groups is an important consideration. Berry points out that acculturation effects may vary according to whether the purpose of contact is also a factor, as are the social or political policies of the mainstream culture as they relate to the immigrant group (i.e., political representation, citizenship criteria, language requirements, employment opportunities, and so forth).15 Berry points out that an individual's level of acculturation dependent processes: the degree to which the person approaches or avoids interaction, with the host culture (i.e., out-group contact and relations) and the end of acculturation dependent processes: the degree to which the person approaches or avoids interaction with the host culture (i.e., out-group contact and relations) and the end of acculturation dependent processes: the degree to which the person approaches or avoids interaction with the host culture (i.e., out-group contact and relations) and the end of acculturation dependent processes: the degree to which the person approaches or avoids interaction with the host culture (i.e., out-group contact and relations) and the end of acculturation dependent processes: the degree to which the person approaches or avoids interaction with the host culture (i.e., out-group contact and relations) and the end of acculturation dependent processes: the degree to which the person approaches or avoids interaction with the host culture (i.e., out-group contact and relations) and the end of acculturation dependent processes: the degree to which the person approaches or avoids interaction with the host culture (i.e., out-group contact and relations) and the end of acculturation dependent person approaches of acculturation dependen degree to which the individual maintains or relinquishes his or her native culture's attributes (i.e., in-group identity and maintenance). On the basis of these two factors, Berry has identified four modes of acculturation, (c) separation, and (d) marginalization (see Figure 12.2).16 To the extent that the individual desires contact with the host culture (and its various microcultures) while not necessarily maintaining an identity as he or she acquires a new identity in the host culture. During assimilation, the individual takes on the behaviors and language habits, as well as practices the basic rules and norms of the host culture. There is an ongoing effort to approach the dominant culture while discontinuing the values, beliefs, and behaviors associated with the native culture. Coleman argues that the defining property of the assimilation mode is that the individual endeavors to acquire the values and beliefs of a single cultural group, with the ultimate goal of becoming indistinguishable from other members of the host culture. The individual will seek interaction with members of the host culture. The individual will seek interaction with members of the host culture and build social networks with them.17 Figure 12.2 Modes of Acculturation SOURCE: Data adapted from Berry, J. W. (1989). Psychology of Acculturation. Nebraska Symposium on Motivation, 37, 201–234. assimilation The degree to which an individual takes on the behaviors and language habits and practices the basic rules and norms of the host culture while relinquishing ties with the native culture of interaction with the host culture while maintaining identity with their native culture. This kind of acculturation is called 657 integration. In this mode, the individual develops a kind of bicultural orientation that people
practicing this mode of acculturation take part in activities that allow individuals from different groups to interact without the obstacle of social hierarchies. Presumably, integration of cultural skills and norms does not mean that the person relinquishes his or her native cultural identity. In fact, Coleman contends that the development of the bicultural identity is what leads to a successful life in a bicultural context. In other models of acculturation, this mode is called pluralism. This mode of acculturation guides many of the social and legislative efforts in the United States' educational and affirmative action statutes.18 integration Mode of acculturation in which the individual develops a kind of bicultural orientation that successfully blends and synthesizes cultural dimensions from both groups while maintaining an identity in each group When individuals prefer low levels of interaction with the host culture and associated microcultural groups while desiring a close connection with the dominant culture and chooses not to identify with the host culturation is called separation. Here, the individual resists acculturation is called separation. Here, the individual resists acculturation with the dominant culture and chooses not to identify with the host culturation with the dominant culture and chooses not to identify with the host culturation is called separation. Coleman argues that people choosing separation may harbor animosity toward the host culture as a result of social or historical factors. Such persons generally focus on the perceived incompatibility between their native culture and the host culture and the host culture and the host culture as a result of social or historical factors. behaviors of the host culture for purely functional reasons (e.g., to get a job). Coleman suggests that separated persons communicate almost exclusively with their own group while actively avoiding participation in situations with members of the host culture. Some Black Americans and Native Americans/American Indians, for example, prefer not to identify with the dominant White culture because of past racism and the country's history of slavery. In some models, the separation mode is labeled segregation.19 separati type of acculturation is marginalization. Marginalization occurs when the individual chooses not to identify with his or her native culture only to find that they are not accepted by the host culture, to which they would choose to acculturate if given the opportunity. These persons experience alienation from both cultures. Often, they feel a sense of abandonment. Dysfunctional behaviors (e.g., alcoholism or drug abuse) are often 658 seen in marginalized people. Black Americans, and Hispanic/Latino Americans, and Hispanic/Latino Americans, and Hispanic/Latino Americans, etc., alcoholism or drug abuse) are often 658 seen in marginalized people. found among marginalized groups.20 marginalization A mode of acculturation in which an individual chooses not to identify with his or her native cultural group (microculture) that materializes out of the native and host cultural groups. For example, a youth may choose to join a gang or some other kind of microcultural identity is created. In other models of acculturation, this is called fusion. Gay and lesbian groups and lesbian groups. are a good example of the cultural transmutation. In this mode, individuals have left their native heterosexual groups are another example of acculturative transmutation. Many religious communities merge the values, beliefs, and behaviors of diverse religions into a new religion.21 cultural transmutation Mode of acculturation in which the individual chooses to identify with a third cultural groups Acculturation in the United States The two largest ethnic populations in the United States are Hispanics/Latinos and Black Americans. In 2015, about 13% of the population in the United States were Black Americans and just over 17% were of Hispanic/Latino origin. For these groups, acculturations, values, beliefs, and norms of the dominant White society; remain immersed in their own unique cultural customs and conventions; or participate in both. As Hope Landrine and Elizabeth Klonoff comment, some microcultural groups remain highly traditional Hispanics/Latinos and Black Americans differ significantly from White people in a variety of values and behaviors, whereas highly acculturated Hispanics/Latinos and Black Americans do not.23 Photo 12.1 Hispanics/Latinos are the largest microcultural groups within the United States is associated with a variety of social and medical problems, such as alcoholism, cigarette smoking, drug abuse, and HIV/AIDSrelated knowledge, attitudes, and behaviors.24 According to Landrine among Black Americans play a significant role in their behavior and are more influential than education and income combined. For example, they point to studies that have found that low levels of acculturation among Black Americans are significantly related to type of coping strategy used to handle stress, social support, depression, suicidal ideation, cigarette smoking, food-related attitudes and eating disorders, knowledge of AIDS transmission, and performance on neuropsychological tests. They also note that Black Americans tend to score significantly lower than White people on two subtests of a neuropsychological battery, but that racial differences disappear when acculturation was taken into account. In other words as Black Americans become more acculturated, they score equal to White people on these scales. Moreover, microcultural group acculturation is tied to methods of conflict resolution (e.g., belligerent behaviors), willingness to use counseling, career development and work habits, and educational achievement (i.e., increased absences, lower grades). The more marginalized or segregated the group, the more likely its members are to experience 660 physical and mental health problems and the less likely they are to seek out appropriate avenues to handle them. Landrine and Klonoff maintain that ethnic differences observed in the United States might be better understood as degrees of acculturation. According to Landrine and Klonoff, understanding a microcultural group's level of acculturation has the potential to diminish racist beliefs about ethnic differences and to increase our knowledge of such differences as a symptom or exhibition of culture.25 In an effort to better understand maladaptive attitudes and behaviors among the various microcultural groups in the United States, researchers have devoted considerable attention to assessing levels of acculturation. The Acculturation Rating Scale for Mexican Americans (ARSMA) was first published in 1980. The scale was recently revised and is used to assess Mexican American acculturation according to Berry's four modes of acculturation— namely, integration, assimilation, separation, and marginalization. It is designed to be completed by persons of Mexican or Hispanic/Latino origin. As with other microculturated than others. Landrine and Klonoff have also developed an instrument called the Beliefs and Attitudes Scale that is designed to measure levels of Black Americana acculturation. They argue that within the microcultural context, acculturation refers to the degree to which microcultural groups (e.g., Black Americans, Asian Americans, Asian Americans, Native Americans, Nati remain immersed in their own cultural traditions, or blend the two traditions. 26 Black Americans who complete the instrument are asked to indicate their preference of their religious beliefs and practices, their experiences, superstitions, interracial attitudes, and cultural mistrust of the White majority, their experiences with "falling out," their adherence to Black Americans in the United States and is not applicable to other microcultural groups. The two scales discussed here are valid indices of acculturation for Hispanics/Latinos and Black Americans and indicate that cultural diversity can be measured reliably. Such measurements give us a better understanding of an individual level of acculturation, the better able we are to provide culturally competent services to him or her. 661 Self Assessment 12.1: Acculturation Rating Scale for Mexican Americans II Directions: In the blank space to the left of each item, place a number between 1 and 5 that best applies to you: 1 = not at all, 2 = very little or not very often, 3 = moderately, 4 = much or very often, and 5 = extremely often or almost always. 1. I speak Spanish. 2. I 3. I enjoy speaking Spanish. _____ 4. I associate with Anglos. _____ 5. I associate with Mexicans and/or Mexican Americans. _____ 6. I enjoy listening to English-language music. _____ 8. I enjoy Spanish-language TV. _____ 9. I enjoy English-language TV. 11. I enjoy Spanish-language movies. 12. I enjoy reading books in Spanish. 13. I enjoy reading books in English. 14. I write letters in Spanish. 15. I write letters in English. 16. My thinking is done in English. 17. My thinking is done in Spanish. 18. My contact with Mexico has been ... 19. My contact with the United States of America has been ... ____ 20. My father identifies or identified himself as "Mexicano." _____ 21. My mother identifies or identified herself as "Mexicana." _____ 22. My friends while I was growing up were of Mexican origin. _____ 23. My friends while I was growing up were of Anglo origin. _____ 21. My mother identified herself as "Mexicana." _____ 22. My friends while I was growing up were of Mexican origin. _____ 23. My friends while I was growing up were of Anglo origin. _____ 23. My friends while I was growing up were of Mexican origin. _____ 23. My friends while I was growing up were of Mexican origin. _____ 23. My friends while I was growing up were of Anglo origin. _____ 23. My friends while I was growing up were of Mexican origin. _____ 23. My friends while I was growing up were of Mexican origin. _____ 23.
My friends while I was growing up were of Anglo origin. _____ 23. My friends while I was growing up were of Mexican origin. ______ 23. My friends while I was growing up were of Mexican origin. ______ 23. My friends while I was growing up were of Mexican origin. ______ 23. My friends while I was growing up were of Mexican origin. ______ 23. My friends while I was growing up were of Mexican origin. ______ 23. My friends while I was growing up were of Mexican origin. ______ 23. My friends while I was growing up were of Mexican origin. _______ 23. My friends while I was growing up were of Mexican origin. _______ 23. My friends while I was growing up were of Mexican origin. _______ 23. My friends while I was growing up were of Mexican origin. _______ 23. My friends while I was growing up were of Mexican origin. ________ 23. My friends while I was growing up were of Mexican origin. ________ 23. My friends while I was growing up were of Mexican origin. ________ 23. My friends while I was growing up were of Mexican origin. ________ 23. My friends while I was growing up were of Mexican origin. ________ 23. My friends while I was growing up were of Mexican origin. ________ 23. 24. My family cooks 25. My friends now are of Anglo origin. 662 26. My friends now are of Mexican origin. 27. I like to identify myself as a Mexican American. 28. I like to identify myself as a Mexican. 30. I like to identify myself as an American. 31. I have difficulty accepting ____32. I have difficulty accepting certain attitudes held by Anglos. _____33. I have difficulty accepting some behaviors exhibited by Anglos. _____34. I have difficulty accepting some values held by some Anglos. _____35. I have difficulty accepting certain practices and customs commonly found in some Anglos. some ideas held by Anglos. have, or think I would have, difficulty accepting Anglos as close personal friends. 37. I have difficulty accepting some ideas held by Mexicans. 38. I have difficulty accepting certain attitudes held by Mexicans. 39. I have difficulty accepting some ideas held by Mexicans. 40. I have difficulty accepting some values held by some Mexicans. 41. I have difficulty accepting certain practices and customs commonly found in some Mexicans as close personal friends. 42. I have difficulty accepting ideas held by some Mexican Americans. 44. I have difficulty accepting certain attitudes 45. I have difficulty accepting some behaviors exhibited by Mexican Americans. 46. I have difficulty accepting some values held by Mexican Americans. 47. I have difficulty accepting certain practices and customs commonly found in some Mexican Americans. held by Mexican Americans. 48. I have, or think I would have, difficulty accepting Mexican Americans as close personal friends. Scoring: 1. Sum your responses to the following items: 2, 4, 7, 9, 10, 13, 15, 16, 19, 23, 25, 27, and 30. Divide the sum by 13. This is your Anglo Orientation Score (AOS). 2. Sum your responses to the following items: 1, 3, 5, 6, 8, 11, 12, 14, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 24, 26, 28, and 29. Divide the sum by 17. This is your Mexican Orientation Score (MOS). 3. Sum your responses to the following items: 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, and 36. This is your Anglo Marginality (ANGMAR). 4. Sum your responses to the following items: 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, and 42. This is your Mexican Marginality (MEXMAR). 5. Sum your responses to the following items: 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, and 48. This is your MexicanAmerican Marginality (MAMARG). Acculturative Types Generated by ARSMA-II: 663 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. Traditional Mexican = MOS scores \geq 3.7 and AOS ≥ 15, and MAMARG ≥ 15 Assimilated = MOS ≤ 2.4 and AOS ≥ 4 SOURCE: Acculturation Scale for Mexican Americans II: A Revision of the publisher. 664 Self-Assessment 12.2: Beliefs and Attitudes Scale (Black Americans, White Americans, and health. In the space to the left of each item, please indicate on a scale of 1 (totally disagree, not true at all) to 7 (strongly agree, absolutely true) how much you personally agree or disagree with these beliefs and attitudes. There are no right or wrong answers; we simply want to know your views and your beliefs. Totally disagree Sort of agree Strongly agree Not true at all Sort of true Absolutely true 1 2 345 6 7 1. I believe in the Holy Ghost. 2. I like gospel music 4. The church is the heart of the Black American community. 5. I have seen people "get the spirit" or speak in tongues. 6. I am currently a member of a Black American church. 8. Prayer can cure disease. 3. I believe in heaven and hell. goes around, comes around. 10. I used to sing in the church choir. 11. Most of the music I listen to is by Black American artists. 12. I like Black American radio stations. 14. I try to watch all the Black American shows on TV. 15. The person I admire the most is a Black American. 16. I feel more comfortable around Black Americans than around White people. 17. When I pass a Black American person (a stranger) on the street, I always say hello or nod at them. 18. Most of my friends are Black Americans. 19. I read (or used to read) Essence or Ebony magazine. 20. I don't trust most White people are afraid of Black Americans. 23. Deep in their hearts, most White people are racists. 24. White people are racists. 25. Most tests (like the SATs and tests to get a job) are set up to make sure that Black Americans don't get high scores on them. 26. Some members of my family hate or distrust White people. 27. When I was young, I shared a bed at night with my sister, brother, or some other relative. 28. When I was young, my parent(s) sent me to stay with a relative (aunt, uncle, grandmother) for a 29. When I was young, my cousin, aunt, grandmother, or other relative lived with me and my family for a while. 30. When I was young, I took a bath with my sister, brother, or some other relative. 31. Some people in my family use Epsom salts. 32. Illnesses can be classified as few days or weeks, and then I went back home again. 33. Some old Black American women/ladies know how to cure diseases. 34. Some older Black American women know a lot about pregnancy and childbirth. 35. I was taught that you shouldn't take a bath and then go outside. I avoid splitting a pole. 37. When the palm of your hand natural types and unnatural types. 38. There's some truth to many old superstitions. 39. I eat black-eyed peas on New Year's Eve. 40. I grew up in a mostly Black American neighborhood. 41. I went to (or go to) a mostly Black American high school. 42. I went to a mostly Black American elementary school. itches, you'll receive some money. 43. I currently live in a mostly Black American neighborhood. 44. It's better to try to move your whole family ahead in this world than it is to be out for only yourself. 45. Old people are wise. 46. I often lend money or give other types of support to members of my family. 47. A child should not be allowed to call a grown woman by her first name, "Alice." The child should be taught to call her "Miss Alice." Scoring: Simply add your ratings. Total scores must range from 47 to 329. High scores (250 and above) reflect a traditional culturated orientation (immersed in Black American culture), and low scores (117 and 666 below) reflect an acculturated orientation (low immersion in Black American culture). American culture). SOURCE: From Landrine, H., & Klonoff, E. A. (1995). The African American Acculturation Scale II. Journal of Black Psychologists. 667 Culture Shock When people move to a new culture, they take with them the values, beliefs, customs, and behaviors of their old culture. Often, depending on the degree of similarity between the old and the new culture, the values, beliefs, customs, and behaviors of the new culture, the values, beliefs, customs, and behaviors of the new culture clash with those of the new culture. culture shock as a multifaceted experience that results from the numerous stressors that occur when coming into contact with a different culture.27 Anyone can experience culture shock, although some are more prone to it than others. Winkelman maintains that culture shock can occur with immigrant groups—such as foreign students and refugees, international business exchanges, Peace Corps volunteers, and social workers entering new communities during crises—as well as with members of microcultural groups within their own culture and society. Expatriate professors teaching abroad often describe their experiences using the term education shock. Anthropologist Kalervo Oberg was the first to apply the term culture shock to the effects associated with the tension and anxiety of entering into a new culture, combined with the sensations of loss, confusion, and powerlessness resulting from the forfeiture of cultural norms and social rituals.28 new cultural surroundings in addition to the loss of a familiar cultural environment.29 Culture shock appears to be a psychological and social process that progresses in stages. The first model of culture shock, developed by Oberg nearly 50 years ago, incorporates a medical metaphor and terminology—beginning with the incubation stage, followed by crisis, leading to recovery, and finishing with full recovery, and finishing with full recovery. 30 William Smalley's model begins with a fascination stage and then moves through hostility, adjustment, and biculturalism. Alan Richardson's four-stage model includes elation, depression, recovery, and acculturation. Daniel Kealey's model incorporates exploration, frustration, coping, and adjustment phases (see Table 12.1).31 Most models of culture shock begins with feelings of optimism and even elation that eventually give way to frustration, tension, and anxiety as individuals are unable to interact effectively with their new environment. As they develop strategies for resolving conflict, people begin to restore their confidence and eventually recover and reach some level of acculturation.33 The initial stage of culture shock, usually called something like the
tourist stage or honeymoon stage, is characterized by intense excitement and euphoria associated with being somewhere different and unusual (see Figure 12.3). Winkelman asserts that this stage is typical of that experienced by people who enter other cultures temporarily during honeymoons, vacations, or brief business trips.34 The stresses associated with cultural differences are tolerated and may even seem fun and humorous. During the tourist phase, the newcomers' primary interactions with their new cultural environment are through major cultural institutions, such as museums, hotels, Western restaurants, and so forth. This phase may last weeks or months but is temporary. In some instances, the tourist phase may be very short, as when newcomers are confronted with the tourist phase give way to frustration and real stress, or active culture shock. Failure events once considered minor and funny are now perceived as stressful. Winkelman maintains that culture shock is partially based on the simultaneous effects of cognitive overload and physical stresses associated with confronting a new environment. The new environment requires a great deal of conscious energy that was not required in the old environment, which leads to cognitive overload and fatigue. People also experience personal shock in the form of a loss of intimacy with interpersonal partners. In describing the second phase of culture shock, Winkelman notes, Figure 12.3 Stages of Culture shock, Winkelman notes, Figure 12.3 Stages of Culture shock, Winkelman notes, Figure 12.3 Stages of Culture shock SOURCE: Oberg (1954); Smalley (1963); Richardson (1974); Kealey (1963); Richardson (1974); Kealey (1978). irritating. Excessive preoccupation with cleanliness of food, drinking water, bedding, and surroundings begins. One experiences increasing disappointments, frustrations, impatience, and tension. Life does not make sense and one may feel helpless, confused, disliked by others, or treated like a child.35 Stephen Rhinesmith notes that during the culture shock phase, people feel helpless, isolated, and depressed. Paranoia—in which newcomers are convinced that their troubles are deliberate attempts by the natives to disrupt their lives—is also a typical response to culture shock. In this phase, people may develop irrational fears of being cheated, robbed, or even assaulted.36 The degree to which one experiences culture shock varies from person to person. Walt Lonner has identified six factors, (d) intrapersonal factors, (e) spatial/temporal factors, (e) spatial/temporal factors, (b) interpersonal factors, (c) organismic/biological factors, (d) intrapersonal factors, (e) spatial/temporal factors, (e) spatial/temporal factors, (c) organismic/biological factors, (d) intrapersonal factors, (e) spatial/temporal f Mitrani have added three additional factors: (a) the degree of similarity between one's native and new culture, including the physical environment; (b) the degree and guality of information about the new environment; (b) the degree and guality of information about the new environment; (b) the degree and guality of information about the new environment; (b) the degree and guality of information about the new environment; (b) the degree and guality of information about the new environment; (b) the degree and guality of information about the new environment; (b) the degree and guality of information about the new environment; (b) the degree and guality of information about the new environment; (b) the degree and guality of information about the new environment; (b) the degree and guality of information about the new environment; (b) the degree and guality of information about the new environment; (b) the degree and guality of information about the new environment; (b) the degree and guality of information about the new environment; (b) the degree and guality of information about the new environment; (b) the degree and guality of information about the new environment; (b) the degree and guality of information about the new environment; (b) the degree and guality of information about the new environment; (b) the degree and guality of information about the new environment; (b) the degree and guality of information about the new environment; (b) the degree and guality of information about the new environment; (b) the degree and guality of information about the new environment; (b) the degree and guality of information about the new environment; (b) the degree and guality of information about the new environment; (c) the degree and guality of information about the new environment; (c) the degree and guality of information about the new environment; (c) the degree and guality of information about the new environment; (c) the degree and guality of information about the nevironment; (c) the degree and guality of informati SOURCE: Adapted from Churchman, A., & Mitrani, M. (1997). The Role of the 670 Physical Environment in Culture Shock. Environment and Behavior, 29, 64–87; Lonner, W. (1986). Foreword. In A. Furnham & S. Bochner (Eds.), Culture Shock: Psychological Reactions to Unfamiliar Environments (pp. xv-xx). London: Methuen. Some people never recuperate from the crisis stage of culture shock and return home or isolate themselves from the host culture by restricting their interaction with it, such as by fostering only intracultural relationships (e.g., in a military base or university setting). When the lines of communication with it, such as by fostering only intracultural relationships (e.g., in a military base or university setting). or recovery from the crisis stage. The third phase of culture shock is typically called the adjustment phase, or reorientation phase. Here, people eventually realize that the problems associated with the host culture are due not to deliberate actions by the natives but, rather, to a real difference in values, beliefs, and behaviors. At this stage, people actively seek out effective problems. As Winkelman notes, the host culture begins to make sense, and pessimistic reactions and responses to it are lessened as people recognize that their problems are due largely to their inability to understand, accept, and adapt.38 Typically, the adjustment phase is gradual and slow, and often people relapse into mini-crisis stages. The final stage of culture with their new problem-solving and conflict-resolution tools, and they experience some degree of success. Kim argues that to the external demands of the host culture, they experience cultural transformation. They possess a degree of functional fitness in which the external demands of the host culture are met with appropriate and consistent internal responses. Moreover, they develop a level of competency in communicating with the natives. As a result of their successes, people also acquire psychological health, take on an intercultural identity, and foster a sense of integration with their host environment.39 adjustment phase Third stage of culture shock, in which people actively seek out effective problem-solving and conflict-resolution strategies W-Curve Models of Reentry Culture Shock As mentioned earlier in the chapter, most models of culture shock contain four phases in the U-curve tradition. But many people who have lived outside their native culture argue that they experience a kind of reentry shock when they return home. Advian Furnham and Stephen Bochner's "W-curve" model of culture shock contains two U curves—the initial culture shock experienced when the traveler enters a new culture and a reentry shock U curve (see Figure 12.5). Figure 12.5 W-Curve Model of Reentry culture shock 671 SOURCE: Adapted from Furnham, A., & Bochner, S. (Eds). (1986). Culture Shock: Psychological Reactions to Unfamiliar Environments. London: Methuen. © 1986, Methuen. Reproduced with permission of Taylor & Francis Books UK. reentry shock The effects associated with the tension and anxiety of returning to one's native culture, they experience another round of culture shock, this time in their native culture. Furnham and Bochner note that students returning from study abroad often report a reentry shock phenomenon. Some students fear that they will be treated differently by their "stay-athome" peers, friends, and parents when they return. In addition, because they have successfully acculturated themselves to a foreign culture, they essentially have to reacculturate to their native culture.40 Students frequently report that the nature of longterm international travel transforms them. When they are different; they have taken on new perspectives and are able to see the world through a different lens. Students lament that communicating to their friends and families about their experiences abroad is often difficult. Strategies for Managing Culture shock. The level of intensity will vary. In addition, the duration of your culture shock will depend on your ability to manage it. Probably the best piece of commonsense advice is to do your homework and be prepared. Successful management of culture shock depends on an awareness of its symptoms and the degree of its severity. Winkelman maintains that people have a tendency to deny that they are experiencing it. His advice is that one should accept the fact that virtually all atypical problems that occur 672 during acculturation are caused or exacerbated by culture shock. 41 Zapf has developed a questionnaire called the Culture Shock Profile to assess the intensity of culture shock an individual is experiencing. Please keep in mind that everyone experiences some degree of culture shock when entering a new culture for some length of time. Zapf recommends that the Culture Shock Profile be taken several times during the first year of one's move— specifically, after the first month, sometime during the fourth or fifth month, and then after 1 year. If managed appropriately, most culture shock is significantly reduced after a year.42 673 Student Voices Across Cultures:
Culture shock in Spain Jessica Bahrke When I moved to Madrid, I was on a high for about a month. Everything was new and exciting—the people, the food, the culture—everything different and exhilarating. During this time, I took every opportunity to see the sights, visit museums, experience the never-ending night life, and eat as many tapas as possible. This honeymoon period lasted for about 4 weeks before I really started to immerse myself in the Spanish culture. It took that long to realize that I was more or less living as a tourist on an extended vacation. In other words, it took about 4 weeks for the culture shock to sink in. Before I left the States, I thought I had mentally prepared myself and would be able to avoid the vast majority of the common struggles people go through when entering a new culture. still feel as though my preparation allowed me to handle the shock better, but I was not able to avoid it altogether. A simple trip to the grocery store would leave me frustrated and upset. How could a country possibly survive without cooking spray? And, more important, how was I to keep my eggs from sticking to the pan? Being from the dairy state of Wisconsin, I would gag at the thought of not refrigerating milk and eggs; however, no one seemed to be getting sick. There was no epidemic of salmonella going around Spain. After speaking with the locals and building up a lot of courage, I was eventually able to stomach these items. Not only did the differences in food cause me small, daily aggravations, but simple greeting practices put 674 me outside my comfort zone. Every time I met a new friend or acquaintance, I would be tugged into an unexpected embrace and kissed once on each cheek before I realized what was going on. It wasn't as if I was unaware that this was customary in Europe-of course I had read about this-but it always went against my nature to do it. Even though this introductory practice became commonplace, it never grew into a natural behavior during my time there. 675 Student Voices Across Cultures: W-Curve Model of Reentry Culture Shock Hillary Hubertz Hillary Hubertz I'm Hillary Hubertz from Walworth, Wisconsin. In my senior year of college, I spent a semester abroad at The University of the Sunshine Coast in Sippy Downs, Queensland, Australia. I majored in communication and, while studying abroad, took many courses that immersed me in the Australian culture. When I signed up to spend a semester abroad in Australia, I was worried about everything except coming back home to the United States. If anything, I was hoping the 5 months would go fast because before I even left, I was excited to return home. My preparations for leaving included talking to people about what to pack, what activities to do while there, and what classes were worth taking. Never was I warned about the pain of leaving and the challenges of coming back home. I blinked, and 5 months were gone. Lifelong friends had been passed. The thought of coming home had become a nightmare. Saying goodbye to the amazing people I had met was a form of torture, and getting on the plane was physically and mentally painful. While leaving Australia was a challenge, it was nothing compared with the challenge ahead of me-readjusting to life in the United States. Home life, social life, and academic life-they had all changed, and for what felt like the worse. Transitioning from an everyday adventure to the same old routine was suffocating. Returning to St. 676 Norbert was thrilling at first, until the nostalgia wore off. Everything that was simple before I left for my semester abroad was now difficult. Getting a cup of coffee was sad without my friends and the ocean feet away from the coffee shop; classes were so different they felt impossible, and walking even proved challenging (in Australia, you walk on the left side, not the right). I had to get used to the portions of food. I had to get

used to having classes every day versus twice a week. And I had to get used to always needing to be on time and in a rush. It took me a couple of weeks to adjust to the way of life in the United States. 677 Self-Assessment 12.3: Culture Shock Profile Directions: The following is a list of 33 terms that may or may not describe how you feel about your experiences in your new culture. On a scale of 0 to 3, indicate the frequency with which you experience the feeling: 0 = none, 1 = slight, 2 = moderate, and 3 = great. I feel: 1. Enthusiastic 2. Impatient/irritable 3. A desire to resign 11. A need to complain 12. Creative 13. Confident/self-assured 14. Ready to cry Happy/content 5. Energetic Rejected 7. Purposeful/directed 8. Pessimistic/hopeless 9. Contemptuous of others (natives) 10. Angry/resentful 15. Challenged 16. Cynical 17. A sense of discovery 19. Optimistic/hopeful 20. Inadequate/self-doubt 21. Isolated/homesick 22. Physically ill 23. A need to "get out" 24. Confused/disoriented 25. Excited/stimulated 678 26. Accepted 27. A sense of loss 28. Overwhelmed/bewildered 29. Afraid/panicked 18. Helpless/vulnerable Depressed/withdrawn 31. Frustrated/thwarted 32. Exhausted/difficulty sleeping 33. Apathetic/ "I don't care" Scoring: To determine your level of culture shock, reverse your score for Items 1, 4, 5, 7, 12, 13, 17, 19, 25, and 26. If your original score was a 0, reverse it to a 3. If your original score was a 1, reverse it to a 2. If your original score was a 2, reverse it to a 1, and if your original score was a 3, reverse it to a 0. After reversing the scores for those 10 items, sum the entire 33 items. Scores must range from 0 to 99. The higher your score, the more culture shock you are experiencing, and the more you should engage in some of the strategies listed in this section. SOURCE: Zapf. M. K. (1993). Remote Practice and Culture Shock: Social Work, 38, 694–705. In subsequent work, Zapf has created a list of danger signs that indicate when culture shock may be getting out of control:43 You are avoiding people. You are subject to uncontrollable emotions. You spend too much time texting and e-mailing people from home. You constantly complain about your host culture. You have adopted very negative attitudes about things. You fear you are misunderstood by just about the local people. You fear you constantly think about things. You fear you are misunderstood by just about the local people. You fear you constantly think about things. Voices Across Cultures: Cultures: Culture Shock in a War Zone Joe Lancelle In September 2009, I enlisted in the U.S. Army as an infantryman. In January 2011, I deployed to the province of Khost in eastern Afghanistan for a 12-month duty assignment. In the 15 months of training before the deployment, our division was introduced and oriented to the culture of Afghanistan and the Afghan people with whom we would be interacting on a daily basis. Because of this, I did not experience the tourist phase of culture shock and instead immediately went into culture shock. I was thousands of miles away from my family, including my wife and 3-monthold daughter. I was disoriented and felt very depressed. Every day we left the outpost for patrols I was paranoid about every local person with whom we came into contact. Were they the enemy? To tell who the good guys were from the bad guys was impossible because, unlike us they didn't wear uniforms. As part of their culture, when sitting down with village elders, as we did on an almost daily basis, they would offer us all sorts of food and beverages. Sometimes it was just a cup of tea, and sometimes there were full-course meals. Initially it was very hard to feel comfortable eating these meals. They were made in their small and seemingly unsanitary huts where cleanliness didn't seem to be a very high priority. But I knew that rejecting such hospitality would be perceived as very rude to our hosts. For me to adjust to this phase of culture shock took months. I eventually found that it would be impossible to stay paranoid about every local Afghan person we 680 encountered and still be able to effectively do my job as an infantryman. I began to see that the majority of the people we came across were good and disliked the bad guys as much as we did. I began to establish relationships with some of the locals that we would see regularly and enjoyed exchanging stories with the Afghan interpreters with whom we worked. Meals that were prepared by the locals we would visit even became a nice change of pace from the "food" we were eating on our outpost. Upon returning from war, I experienced a heavy dose of reentry shock. Although I was able to adjust to the Afghan culture and its people, there were enough experiences with some of the bad guys over there which made my intense sense of alertness and a certain sense of paranoia never go away while I was there. This was hard to let go of upon returning home. Trying to be a normal member of society again almost seemed impossible. To explain to my friends and family what I experienced was very difficult, and I felt that many of them looked at me differently. Like the other phases of culture shock, the reentry phase took a lot of time to adjust to. 681 Indicators of Success in the Intercultural Context Considering the warning signs previously indicated, there are also keys to success in overcoming acculturative stress and culture shock. Karen van der Zee and her colleague Jan Pieter van Oudenhoven have written extensively about the factors that contribute to an individual's ability to cope with acculturative stress and culture shock.44 Through their years of study, van der Zee and Oudenhoven have identified five personality dimensions directly linked to success in long-term intercultural encounters. They argue that these five dimensions increase an individual's professional performance, personal adjustment, and social integration during acculturation across a variety of settings, including employee effectiveness on the job, successful immigration, relational satisfaction among expatriates and their families, and the academic performance of students studying abroad. These five dimensions are cultural empathy, open-mindedness, social initiative, emotional stability, and flexibility, and flexibility. 45 As we learned in Chapter 9, experiencing pure empathy toward another is impossible, but here cultural empathy refers to an individual's sincere attempt to identify with, understand and sympathize with the feelings, thoughts, and behaviors of the individuals from the new culture with whom he or she is living and interacting. Van der Zee and Oudenhoven point to research indicating that cultural empathy is positively associated with psychological adjustment in a new culture. Open-mindedness refers to the individual's motivation and ability to delay or defer judgment when confronted with the different behaviors or values of a new culture. When confronted with cultural differences, often our initial response is to reject them. Like culture. Social initiative refers to the individual's tendency to approach social situations. Recall from Chapter 1 that intercultural communication is replete with uncertainty, and uncertainty, and uncertainty is associated with anxiety, which often leads to avoidance. Individuals who are willing to approach communicative situations tend to integrate better into their host culture than do those who avoid such situations. While maintaining relationships with family and friends from home is absolutely fine, establishing relationships with members of your host culture is one of the best ways to ensure a successful intercultural experience. Emotional stability refers to the individual's ability to remain composed when faced with novel and stressful conditions. To be sure, you will confront many situations that appear unusual and even strange. Your ability to remain calm and poised will serve you well. Finally, flexibility refers to your ability to remain calm and poised to deal with everyday situations. Van der Zee and Oudenhoven assert that rather than a threat.46 682 Intercultural Communication Competence One of the fundamental goals of this book is to help you become a competent intercultural communicator. Intercultural communication competence is defined as the degree to which you effectively adapt your verbal and nonverbal messages to the appropriate cultural context.47 When you communicate with someone from a different culture, to be interculturally competent you will have to adjust and modify the kinds of verbal and nonverbal messages you send. This process requires that you have some knowledge about the person with whom you are communicating, that you have the appropriate verbal and nonverbal skills to encode and decode messages. Interculturally competent people successfully and effectively adapt their verbal and nonverbal messages to the appropriate cultural context. For the most part, competence is perceived in an individual rather than inherently possessed. In other words, an individual may appear competent to one person but not to another. Moreover, intercultural context. For the most part, competence is perceived in an individual rather than inherently possessed. In other words, an individual may appear competent to one person but not to another. may be quite competent while interacting with Chinese people and relatively incompetent when interacting with Germans. Verbal appropriate behaviors conform to the rules, norms, and expectancies of the cultural context.48 For example, when greeting a Japanese person in Japan, one is expected to bow. The rules associated with bowing are determined by one's status higher status and typically does not make direct eye contact. Effective behaviors are those that successfully perform and accomplish the rules and norms.49 For example, to the extent you are able to bow correctly, your behavior will be perceived as effectiveness of verbal and
nonverbal messages vary considerably across cultures. Behaviors considered appropriate in one culture may not be wholly appropriate in another culture. A Model of Intercultural Competence Spitzberg and his colleague Bill Cupach argue that there are three necessary and intercultural Competences (a) knowledge, (b) motivation, and (c) behavior.50 The model of intercultural competence presented in this text includes these three dimensions along with a fourth component, situational features (see Figure 12.6). In this model, intercultural communication encounter. Each component influences and is influenced by the other three 683 The Knowledge Component The knowledge component of intercultural competence consists of how much one knows about the cultures, they are more likely to be perceived as competent (although there is no guarantee!). Consider your own general cultural awareness. SelfAssessment 12.4 is a self-report instrument designed to assess your level of cultural awareness. knowledge component The extent to which one is cognitively simple, rigid, and ethnocentric To be perceived as culturally knowledgeable, minimally, one should have some comprehension of the other person's dominant cultural values and beliefs. In addition, one should know whether the other person is from an individualistic or collectivistic, high- or low-context, large or small power distance, and high or low uncertainty avoidance culture. In the model of intercultural competence verbal and nonverbal scripts are also a part of the knowledge communication theorist Charles Berger argues that verbal and nonverbal scripts (or plans) guide communication theorist Charles Berger and Jerry Jordan have argued that knowledgeable communicators develop and maintain a repertoire of scripts that enable them to comprehend and predict their actions of others. They have demonstrated that people store scripts in long-term memory. According to Berger and Jordan, when anticipating interaction with others, communicators establish goals. They search their longterm memory for instances when they have tried to accomplish similar goals and then access a script or plan that was successful in achieving those goals in the past. The frequency and similarity with which a particular script has been used facilitates access to it. In neverbefore-encountered situations, people may possess vicariously based scripts. Perhaps one has witnessed a similar event by watching a film or reading a comparable account.51 The more plans one has, the better equipped one is to enact them. Cognitive simplicity and rigidity refers to the degree to which individuals process information about persons from different cultures in a simplistic and rigid manner. Kim includes this dimension in her model of intercultural conflict. According to Kim, people with simplistic and rigid cognitive systems tend to engage in gross stereotyping. Moreover, such individuals may have narrowly defined and inflexible categories. Narrow categorizers tend to make more negative and more confident judgments about other people, particularly those from other cultures. Such persons probably think dogmatically (i.e., are narrowminded). Metaphorically, a person with a simple and rigid cognitive system. The person with a simple and rigid system would 684 not be perceived as competent.52 685 Self-Assessment 12.4: Cross-Cultural Awareness Directions: The scale of 1 to 5: 1 = definitely no, 2 = not likely, 3 = not sure, 4 = likely, and 5 = definitely yes. Be as honest with yourself as possible in completing the scale. 1. I can accurately list three countries that are considered collectivistic. 2. I can accurately identify three countries that have large power distance at which to stand when interacting with people in at least two other cultures. 5. I know the appropriate touch rules in at least two other cultures. 6. I know in what countries I can use first names when conducting business. 7. I can name the (political/governmental) leaders of four other countries. 8. I understand and can practice appropriate gift giving in three other countries. 9. I can identify some gestures appropriate in the U.S.A. that are considered obscene in other countries. 11. I can name three countries. 12. I understand the proper protocol for exchanging 13. I understand the business philosophies of Japan and China. 14. I can name the United States' top three trading partners. 15. I can name the currencies in four other countries. Scoring: Sum the 15 responses. Scores must range from 15 to 75. The higher your score, the more culturally business cards in at least two other countries. aware you are. Scores at or above 50 indicate a relatively healthy degree of cultural awareness. SOURCE: This scale is loosely adapted from Goodman, N. R. (1994). Cross-Cultural Training for the Global Executive. In R. W. Brislin & T. Yoshida (Eds.), Improving Intercultural Interaction: Models for Cross-Cultural Training Programs (pp. 34–54). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE. As discussed in Chapter 5, ethnocentrism is the extent to which one perceives one's own groups and out-groups and ou are biased in favor of the in-group at the expense of the out-group. Furthermore, ethnocentric groups are seen as immoral, subordinate, and impotent. Ethnocentrism is clearly an obstacle to intercultural communication competence. The ethnocentric person most likely 686 possesses narrow categories and a simple and rigid cognitive system.53 In his research, Rich Wiseman found that ethnocentrism were associated with less general cultural understanding. Higher levels of ethnocentrism were also related to less positive regard for other cultures.54 The Affective Component The affective component of intercultural communication—that is, one's level of motivation to interact with others from different cultures. A central feature here is intercultural communication apprehension (ICA). ICA is defined by Jim Neuliep and James McCroskey as the fear or anxiety associated with either real or anxiety associated with either read or anxiety associated wit intercultural communication apprehension and one's willingness to communicate Persons high in ICA tend to avoid interacting with others from different cultures may seem unusual and novel. This difference can create tension and anxiety, which, in turn, can lead to avoidance. On the other hand, some people may be positively predisposed to initiate intercultural interactions even when they are completely free to choose whether or not to communicate. This predisposition, labeled by Jeffrey Kassing, is called intercultural willingness to communicate. S6 You can assess your individual level of intercultural willingness to communicate Scale (see Self-Assessment 12.5). intercultural willingness to communicate Kim has argued that one's ability to cope with stress also affects one's approach-avoidance tendencies. Because of the potentially inordinate uncertainty of intercultural communication, anxiety levels may be high as well, leading to increased stress. Some people handle stress well, whereas others do not. William Gudykunst and Kim maintain that to be an effective intercultural communicator, one needs to tolerate ambiguity to a certain degree. The more one is able to manage stress and endure ambivalence, the more likely one is to initiate intercultural communicator.57 The knowledge component and the affective component of intercultural competence are interdependent in that the more knowledge generally leads to an increase in motivation. Likewise, the more motivation one 687 has, the more likely one is to pursue interaction with people from different cultures thereby learning more about them and their culture and increasing one's knowledge. 688 Self-Assessment 12.5: Intercultural Willingness to Communicate or not to communicate or not to communicate Scale Directions: The following are six situations in which a person might choose to communicate or not to communi times you would choose to communicate in each type of situation. Keep in mind that you are reporting not the likelihood that you would talk when the opportunity presented itself. Indicate in the space to the left what percentage of time you would choose to communicate: 0 = never, 100 = always. 1. Talk with someone I perceive to be different from me 2. Talk with someone from a culture I know very little about 4. Talk with someone from a different race than mine 5. Talk with someone from a different culture 6. Talk with someone who speaks English as a second language Scoring: Your score must range from 0 to 600. Scores above 300 indicate a general unwillingness to communicate interculturally. Scores above 400 indicate a high willingness to communicate interculturally. SOURCE: Adapted from Kassing, J. W. (1997). Development of the Intercultural Willingness to Communication is the actual enactment of the knowledge and affective components. The elements of the psychomotor component are (a) verbal and nonverbal performance is how people use language of the host culture but not be able to engage in a conversation. psychomotor component The extent to which oneet a deal about the language of the host culture but not be able to engage in a conversation. can translate cultural knowledge into verbal and nonverbal performance and role enactment Many foreign exchange students in the United States come here not to learn more about English but to practice using it in actual conversations. A U.S. student who recently returned from a year's stay in Japan reported that she had been paid handsomely for hourly conversations with Japanese. People would come to her apartment and pay her simply to converse in English but wanted to sharpen their performance skills. Knowing and being able to use a second language certainly increases one's perceived 689 competence when interacting interculturally. Language scripts and plans that reduce uncertainty are of particular importance. The psychomotor function is where one puts the scripts and
plans into action. If one does not speak the language of the host culture, then at the very least, one should know some of the basic greetings, requests, and routines used frequently in that language. Nonverbal performance is also an important part of the psychomotor component. Here, the individual needs to pay close attention to the nuances of the kinesic, paralinguistic, haptic, olfactic, and proxemic codes of the vibra knowledge and performance, one may have knowledge of a particular culture's nonverbal mannerisms but may not be able to execute them. Hence, before traveling to Japan, you might practice bowing with family members or friends. Keep in mind also that how you smell will affect how others perceive you. Many cultures feel that U.S. citizens smell antiseptic because of our frequent use of soaps, perfumes, and so forth. As mentioned in Chapter 8, we have a tendency to mask the natural odor of the human body, and this custom seems strange to many other cultures. Role enactment refers to how well one executes the appropriate verbal and nonverbal messages according to one's relative position and role in the host culture.59 The behaviors that professors in other cultures. Managers must be particularly careful about the types of strategies they employ across cultures. Men and women should know how their sex roles vary across cultures. U.S. women returning from a semester of study in southern Italy recounted her like the globe. A female student who recently returned from a semester of study in southern Italy recounted her like the globe. experience: I couldn't believe how the men acted toward me and my friends. When we would whistle and hiss at us. They would try to touch us and acted like all we wanted to do was sleep with them. It was simply expected. Everyone told us that this was how they treated women and to just get used to it. As we have seen throughout this text, the verbal and nonverbal behaviors expected for certain sex and occupation roles vary considerably across cultures. In particular, understanding these two role positions is key to becoming interculturally competent. Situational Features The fourth component of intercultural competence is the actual situation in which 690 intercultural communication occurs. Remember that a person may be perceived as competent in one situation and not in another. Perceived competence include, but are not limited to, the environmental context, previous contact, status differential, and third-party interventions. Recall from Chapter 4 the influence of the environment on communication. Some situations may have higher information loads than others, which may affect your motivation to approach another. In addition, you should have some knowledge of the host culture's perception of time and space. If you are lucky enough to be invited into someone's home, keep in mind that the use of space in homes varies dramatically across cultures. In some of her work, Kim discusses the importance of previous contact and status differences.60 situational features The extent to which the environmental context, previous contact, status differential, and third-party intervention affect one's competence, any previous contact you may have had with a person from another culture may enhance your perception of competence. Competence and trust take time to establish and build, and your competence will grow as you interact more with the people of your host cultures provide a particularly rich source of data for you. The more contact you can have with these people, the more likely you will be to learn about them strategies may be more or less appropriate, depending on whether you are interacting with someone of lower, equal, or higher status. In the United States, we have a tendency to minimize status differences. In other cultures, as we learned in Chapter 2, one's status determines the order of speakers and the types of codes to use in a given situation Because your status may be high in one situation and low in another, you should be mindful of how the communication will vary accordingly.61 The addition of a third party may noticeably change the dynamics of the person with whom you are interacting. The gender of the third party may also alter the situational features. Topics that were appropriate just a moment ago may now be unfit for discussion. The competent communication accordingly. The model of intercultural competence provided in Figure 12.6 depicts the knowledge, affective, psychomotor, and situational features as interdependent.63 This 691 means that as one component changes, the others are affected as well. Generally, as knowledge increases, one's motivation to approach increases. As motivation increases, one is more likely to engage in behaviors. If the behaviors are successful, one learns more about intercultural communication, which serves to further increase motivation. And the cycle continues. Figure 12.6 Model of Intercultural Competence 692 Chapter Summary Leaving your native culture for a new one can be one of the most rewarding, yet challenging experiences of a lifetime. Upon relocating to a new culture, everyone goes through a process of acculturation—that is, a process of cultural change that results from ongoing contact between two or more culturally different groups. For some, this process can be particularly difficult, whereas for others, it is relatively easy. Acculturation is in large part a function of how much interaction one chooses to have with members of the new culture and how much of the old culture one desires to maintain. Virtually everyone experiences some degree of culture shock when entering a new culture for an extended period of time. Culture shock results in feelings of disorientation, misunderstandings, conflict, stress, and anxiety. Often, a traveler experiences the same feelings upon returning home. In addition to discussing its causes and symptoms, this chapter has offered several strategies for assessing and managing culture shock. Although culture shock sounds awful, understanding its causes, symptoms, and effects is the first step in alleviating the severity you might experience. Generally speaking, most students who travel abroad experience only minor levels of culture shock. Finally, one of the foremost goals of this book is to help you become a competent intercultural communicator. As defined in this chapter, intercultural communicator of the foremost goals of t verbal and nonverbal messages to the appropriate cultural competence that includes knowledge, affective, psychomotor, and situational competent intercultural communication, you must have some knowledge about the person with whom you are communicating, you need to be motivated to communicate with people who are different from you, and you need to engage in appropriate and effective verbal and nonverbal skills to encode and decode messages. You also need to be sensitive to the situational features that influence the verbal and nonverbal skills to encode and decode messages. text, are more knowledgeable about cultures, and have gained some communication skills. Although challenging, intercultural communication is one of the most rewarding life experiences you will ever encounter. 693 edge.sagepub.com/neuliep7e SAGE edge offers a robust online environment featuring and impressive array of free tools and resources for review, study, and further exploration, keeping both instructors and students on the cutting edge of teaching and learning. travel to another culture? 2. Why do some groups in the United States assimilate more than others? 3. What groups do you think are the most assimilate in the United States? Why? 4. How might the dominant culture? Explain 695 Ethical Considerations: Some Final Thoughts on Developing Intercultural Competence Having read this book and hopefully learned from it, you may well be motivated to go out and interact with people from different cultures. Perhaps you are even ready to travel abroad! In either case, remember that intercultural communication assumes the principle of difference. Recall from Chapter 1 that intercultural communication is a cycle of stress, adaptation, and growth. When we come together with a person from a different culture, we may feel uncertain, apprehensive, and anxious. Such feelings are stressful, but natural. Hence, sometimes intercultural communication is stressful. That's perfectly natural. The good news is that from having read this book, you can learn and adapt to such stress and eventually grow. During intercultural communication, we have to learn to adapt and adjust our communication style. We have to recognize that we will make mistakes, learn from them, adapt, and move on. From these experiences, we grow as humans. A good beginning point is to recognize that people from different cultures are different cultures are different cultures are different. and adapt our verbal and nonverbal messages accordingly and become competent interactants. But—and this is a critical point—because of these cultural ideologies and values, and to what extent do you adhere to your own culture's ideologies and values? To the extent that you adapt to the other person's cultural values, you may be following the familiar adage "When in Rome, do as the Romans do violates a core value that you hold firmly. Neither of these responses is satisfactory. Sc complete adjustment and/or adaptation that violate core values you hold is not an acceptable option. However, at times you may have to adjust a dimension of a value that you hold but perhaps not so closely as some core values. You have a question about something the professor has said. In the United States, you would naturally raise your hand and ask. But it's the complete opposite in Japane. Japanese teachers expect students to stay quiet while they teach and write on the blackboard. What are you going to do? Raise your hand or just be quiet? 2. After having studied there, your friend Vicky has
decided to stay in South Korea and look for a job. She has sent her résumé to a number of companies and has an interview, among other questions, Vicky will be asked these: As a woman, do you want to be an executive? How many years do you think you'll be working? 696 What will you do with your job if you get married? Are you dating anyone? What do you think of male-female interactions? How long does it take you to do your makeup? Should Vicky answer these questions? How long does it take you to do your makeup? But in South Korea, these are typical to ask of women applicants. What should Vicky do? 3. You're on an international assignment in Rwanda (a landlocked East African country), and you've made friends with your new neighbors, Sonia and Patrick. They are married. You've observed that they often argue and that Patrick often hits Sonia. You are stunned by this and want to report it to the local police. Did you know that the cultural acceptance of spousal abuse can be so pervasive that in some countries even large majorities of women say it's acceptable? In Rwanda, 96% of women say the practice can be justified. What are you going to do now? 697 Key Terms acculturation 403 acculturative stress 404 adjustment phase 418 affective component 429 assimilation 409 culture shock 403 integration 409 culture shock 403 integration 409 psychomotor component 426 marginalization 409 psychometer us to communicate 429 knowledge component 426 marginalization 409 psychometer us to communicate 429 knowledge component 426 marginalization 409 psychometer us to communicate 429 knowledge component 426 marginalization 409 psychometer us to communicate 429 knowledge component 426 marginalization 409 psychometer us to communicate 429 knowledge component 426 marginalization 409 psychometer us to communicate 429 knowledge component 426 marginalization 409 psychometer us to communicate 429 knowledge component 426 marginalization 409 psychometer us to communicate 429 knowledge component 420 knowled our own culture as living outside it and then trying to return. —John Condon and Fathi Yousef1 699 Glossary accommodation: With this conflict-resolution strategy, one of the conflicting parties simply adopts or cooperates with the position. This is the "When in Rome, do as the Romans do" strategy, acculturation: The process of the conflicting parties simply adopts or cooperates with the position of the conflicting parties simply adopts or cooperates with the position. cultural change that results from ongoing contact between two or more culturally different groups acculturative stress: The degree of physical and psychological stress persons experience when they enter a cultural context adaptors: Mostly and different groups acculturative stress persons experience when the stress persons expersons experso unconscious nonverbal actions that satisfy physiological or psychological needs, such as scratching an itch adjustment phase: Third stage of culture shock, in which people actively seek out effective problemsolving and conflict-resolution strategies affect displays: Nonverbal presentations of emotion, primarily communicated through facial expressions affective component: Approach-avoidance tendencies during intercultural communication; the extent to which one experiences intercultural communication manner in which the process of interaction is emphasized, placing the burden of understanding on both the speaker and the listener; relies heavily on nonverbal cues analogic communication: Nonverbal communication anxiety, mindfulness, and communication effectiveness arranged marriage that is initiated and negotiated by a third party rather than by the bride and groom assertiveness: An individual's ability to make requests, actively disagree, and express positive or 700 negative personal rights and practices the basic rules and norms of the host culture while relinquishing ties with the native culture avoiding: The person using an avoiding style to manage conflict ignores both self-face need and other-face need and other more research on the topic of conflict. avoiding facework: Communicative behaviors that focus on an attempt to save the face of the other person during communication or conflict built environment; Adaptations to the terrestrial environment; Adaptations to the terrestrial environment, including architecture, housing, lighting, and landscaping carpentered-world hypothesis: Learned tendency of those living in industrialized cultures to interpret nonrectangular figures as rectangles in perspective categorization: Classifying or sorting of perceived information into distinct groups chronemics: The use of time collaboration/problem-solving: With this conflict-resolution approach, the conflicting parties work together to find a mutually agreeable solution in which each party accomplishes his or her goal without compromise. This is the win-win strategy. collectivism: Cultural orientation where the group is the primary unit of culture. Group goals take precedence over individual goals. communication: The simultaneous encoding, decoding, and interpretation of verbal and nonverbal messages between people communication apprehension: The fear or anxiety associated with either real or anticipated communication with another persons context. The cultural, physical, social, and psychological environment contextual style: Role-centered mode of speaking in which one's choice of messages is influenced by one's relative status in the conversation 701 cultural context: An accumulated pattern of values, beliefs, and behavior held by an identifiable group of people with a common verbal and nonverbal symbol system cultural transmutation: Mode of acculturation in which the individual chooses to identify with a third cultural group (microculture) that materializes out of the native and host culturel groups culture: An accumulated pattern of values, beliefs, and behaviors shared by an identifiable group of people with the tension and anxiety of entering a new culture, combined with the sensations of loss, confusion and powerlessness resulting from the forfeiture of cultural norms and social rituals decay: Memory loss due to lack of use denotative meaning of a word; the dictionary meaning of a word; the dictionary meaning dialect: A language variety associated with a particular region or social group digital communication: Verbal communication direct style: Manner of speaking in which one employs overt expressions of intention dominating facework: Communicative behaviors characterized by an individual's need to control the situation and defend his or her self-face dynamic: Something considered active and forceful Ebonics: From the terms ebony and phonics, a grammatically robust and rich African American speech pattern whose roots are in West Africa education/persuasion: This strategy to resolve conflict is defined by one's use of information, logic, or emotional appeals to influence another. elaborate style: Mode of speaking that emphasizes rich, expressive language elaborated code: A cultural context in which the speakers of a language have a variety of linguistic options open to them to explicitly communicate their intent via verbal messages emblems: Primarily hand gestures that have a direct verbal translation; can be used to repeat or 702 to substitute for verbal messages emblems: Primarily hand gestures that have a direct verbal messages emblems: Primarily hand gestures that have a direct verbal messages emblems: Primarily hand gestures that have a direct verbal messages emblems: Primarily hand gestures that have a direct verbal messages emblems: Primarily hand gestures that have a direct verbal messages emblems: Primarily hand gestures that have a direct verbal messages emblems: Primarily hand gestures that have a direct verbal messages emblems: Primarily hand gestures that have a direct verbal messages emblems: Primarily hand gestures that have a direct verbal messages emblems: Primarily hand gestures that have a direct verbal messages emblems: Primarily hand gestures that have a direct verbal messages emblems: Primarily hand gestures that have a direct verbal messages emblems: Primarily hand gestures that have a direct verbal messages emblems: Primarily hand gestures that have a direct verbal messages emblems: Primarily hand gestures that have a direct verbal messages emblems: Primarily hand gestures that have a direct verbal messages emblems: Primarily hand gestures that have a direct verbal messages emblems: Primarily hand gestures that have a direct verbal messages emblems: Primarily hand gestures that have a direct verbal messages emblems: Primarily hand gestures that have a direct verbal messages emblems: Primarily hand gestures that have a direct verbal messages emblems: Primarily hand gestures that have a direct verbal messages emblems: Primarily hand gestures that have a direct verbal messages emblems: Primarily hand gestures that have a direct verbal messages emblems: Primarily hand gestures that have a direct verbal messages emblems: Primarily hand gestures that have a direct verbal messages emblems; Primarily hand gestures that hav environmental context: The geographical and psychological location of communication within some cultural context episodic long-term memory: A component of long-term memory in which private individual memories are stored ethnocentric attributional bias: The tendency to make internal attributions for the positive behavior of the in-group while making external attributions for its negative behavior; also called the ultimate attribution error ethnocentrism: The tendency to place one's own group (cultural, ethnic, or religious) in a position of centrality and highest worth, while creating negative attributions for its negative behavior; also called the ultimate attribution error ethnocentrism: The tendency to place one's own group (cultural, ethnic, or religious) in a position of centrality and highest worth, while
creating negative attribution error ethnocentrism: The tendency to place one's own group (cultural, ethnic, or religious) in a position of centrality and highest worth, while creating negative attribution error ethnocentrism: The tendency to place one's own group (cultural, ethnic, or religious) in a position of centrality and highest worth, while creating negative attribution error ethnocentrism: The tendency to place one's own group (cultural, ethnic, or religious) in a position of centrality and highest worth, while creating negative attribution error ethnocentrism: The tendency to place one's own group (cultural, ethnic, or religious) in a position of centrality and highest worth, while creating negative attribution error ethnocentrism: The tendency to place one's own group (cultural, ethnic, or religious) in a position of centrality and highest worth, while creating negative attribution error ethnocentrism: The tendency to place one's own group (cultural, ethnic, or religious) in a position of centrality and highest worth, while creating negative attribution error ethnocentrism: The tendency to place one's own group (cultural, ethnic, or religious) in a position of centrality attribution error ethnocentrism: The tendency to place one's own group (cultural, ethnic, or religious) in a positive attribution error ethnocentrism. no more or less than is needed to communicative strategies employed to manage one's own face or to support or challengeet. It is considered a universal concept. another's face fixed-feature space: Space bounded by immovable or permanent fixtures, such as walls forcing: A forcing strategy to resolve conflict is used when one coerces another into compliance. Forcing eliminates choice and is often usually associated with one's sex; masculinity and femininity. People are born into a sex group but learn to become masculine or feminine. The ideative grammar: T that from a finite set of rules, a speaker of any language can create or 703 generate an infinite number of sentences, many of which have never before been uttered haptics: The use of touch and physical contact between interactants health communication: The study and use of communication strategies to inform and influence individual decisions that enhance health high context: Cultural orientation in which meanings are gleaned from the physical, social, and psychological contexts high load: A situation with a high information rate Hispanic/Latino: Defined by the U.S. government as a person of Cuban, Mexican, Puerto Rican, South or Central American, or other Spanish culture or origin, regardless of race horizontal collectivism: Cultural orientation in which the self is more or less equal to others illusory correlation principle: When two objects or persons are observed to be linked in some way, people have a tendency to believe they are always linked (or correlated). illustrators: Primarily hand and arm movements that function to accent or complement speech immediacy: The physical and psychological distance/closeness between interactants indirect style: Manner of speaking in which the intentions of the speakers are hidden or only hinted at during interaction individualism: Cultural orientation, hoping that the opposing party will see the value and adopt it. informal space: Space defined by the movement of the interactants information rate: The amount of information contained or perceived in the physical environment per some unit of time 704 in-group as threatening. instrumental style: Sender-focused manner of speaking that is goal and outcome oriented. Instrumental speakers use communication to achieve some goal or purpose. integrating facework: Communication or conflict integration: Mode of acculturation in which the individual develops a kind of bicultural orientation that successfully blends and synthesizes cultural dimensions from different cultures or microcultures exchanging verbal and nonverbal messages intercultural communication apprehension: The fear or anxiety associated with either real or anticipated interaction with persons from a different cultural context intercultural context inte persons of different cultures over perceived or actual incompatibility of cultural intercultural interaction with persons from different cultures over perceived or actual incompatibility of cultural interactions, scarce resources, styles/processes, and/or outcomes in a face-to-face context intercultural interactions, scarce resources, styles/processes, and/or outcomes in a face-to-face context intercultural interactions, scarce resources, styles/processes, and/or outcomes in a face-to-face context intercultural interactions, scarce resources, styles/processes, and/or outcomes in a face-to-face context intercultural interactions, scarce resources, styles/processes, and/or outcomes in a face-to-face context intercultural interactions, scarce resources, styles/processes, and/or outcomes in a face-to-face context intercultural interactions, scarce resources, styles/processes, and/or outcomes in a face-to-face context intercultural interactions, scarce resources, styles/processes, and/or outcomes in a face-to-face context intercultural interactions, scarce resources, styles/processes, and/or outcomes interactions, scarce resources, styles even when completely free to choose whether or not to communicate interference: During recall, when new or old information blocks or obstructs the recall of other information involuntary membership groups: Groups to which people belong and have no choice but to belong, such as sex, race, and age groups involuntary membership groups: Groups to which people do not belong because of ineligibility kinesics: General category of body motion, including emblems, illustrators, affect displays, and adaptors knowledge component: 705 The extent to which one is cognitively simple, rigid, and ethnocentric language variety: The way a particular group of people uses languages: Systematic sets of sounds, combined with sets of rules, for the sole purpose of communicating learning styles: An individual's unique way of gathering, storing, and retrieving information to solve problems LGBTQ: Persons who consider themselves lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or queer/questioning long-term memory: Cognitive storage area in which large amounts of information are held relatively permanently low context: Cultural orientation in which an individual chooses not to identify with his or her native culture membership groups: Groups to which people belong and in which there is regular interaction among members who perceive themselves as members memory: The storage of information in the human brain over time microculture: An identifiable group of people who share a set of values, beliefs, and behaviors and who possess a common history and a verbal and nonverbal symbol system that is similar to but systematically varies from the larger, often dominant cultural milieu minority groups: Subordinate groups whose members have significantly less power and control over their own lives than do members of the dominant or majority group monochronic time orientation: Cultural temporal orientation that stresses the compartmentalization and segmentation of measurable units of sound; combinations of phonemes muted group theory: Microcultural groups are forced to express themselves (e.g., speak, write) within the 706 dominant mode of expression. mutual-face: Concern for both parties' images or the image of the relationship during communication, especially conflict, both parties give up something. Often, with compromise neither party is completely satisfied with the outcome. nonmembership groups: Groups to which people do not belong nonverbal expectations are violated, people evaluate the violation positively or negatively, depending on the source of the violation. oculesics: The study of eye contact olfactics: The perception and use of smell, scent, and odor organization other-face: Concern for another's image during communication, especially conflict out-group as highly similar from those of an in-group as the realization of in-group as unique and individual paralanguage: Characteristics of the voice, such as pitch, rhythm, intensity, volume, and rate patient-provider communication: Face-to-face interaction between the patient and his or her individual health care provider perception: The mental interpretation of external stimuli via sensation perceptual context: The attitudes, emotions, and motivations of the persons engaged in communication and how they affect information processing perceptual filters: Physical, social, and psychological processes that screen and bias incoming stimuli 707 Personal Report of Communication Apprehension (PRCA-24): Self-report instrument designed to measure communication apprehension personal style: Manner of speaking that relies on the use of personal pronouns and stresses informality and symmetrical power relationships phonemes: Smallest units of sound, as in consonants or vowels polyandry: The practice of having multiple husbands polychronic time orientation: Cultural temporal orientation that stresses the involvement of people and completion of sound, as in consonants or vowels polyandry: tasks as opposed to strict adherence to schedules; time not seen as measurable polygamy: The practice of having multiple wives power distance: The extent to which members of a culture expect and accept that power is unequally distributed process: Anything ongoing, ever changing, and continuous proxemics: The perception and use of space, including territoriality and personal space psychomotor component: The extent to which one can translate cultural knowledge into verbal and nonverbal performance and role enactment reentry shock: The effects associated with the tension and anxiety of returning to one's native culture after an extended stay in a foreign culture reference group: A group to which a person may or may not belong but with which
the person identifies in some way in terms of values and govern communication between people, such as stance, distance, and eve contact relational empathy: Shared meaning and harmonization that is the result of the interaction of two people responsiveness: An individual's ability to be sensitive to the communication, and listening restricted code: A cultural context wherein the speakers of a language are limited as to what they can 708 say or do verbally; a status-oriented system role: One's relative hierarchical position or rank in a group. A role is a prescribed set of behaviors that is expected to fulfill the role. Roles prescribed set of behaviors that is expected to fulfill the role. Roles prescribed set of behaviors that is expected to fulfill the role. long-term memory in which general information such as how to read and write and the meanings of words is stored semifixed-feature space: Space bounded by movable objects, such as furniture sensation: Gathering of visual, auditory, olfactic, haptic, and taste stimuli/information sensory receptors: Eyes, ears, nose, mouth, and skin separation: A mode of acculturation in which individuals prefer low levels of interaction with their host culture sex: A designation of people based on biological genital differences sex role: A prescribed set of behaviors assigned to different sexes short-term memory: Cognitive storage area in which small amounts of information are held for short periods of time, usually less than 20 seconds situational features: The extent to which the environmental context, previous contact, status differential, and third-party intervention affect one's group roles; a part of the individual's self-concept that is derived from the person's membership in groups social stratification: A culture's organization of roles into a hierarchical vertical status structure sociocommunicative style: Degree of assertiveness and responsiveness during com nication sociorelational context: The roles one assumes within a culture: the rol relationship between interactants (e.g., brother/sister), defined by verbal and nonverbal messages Standard English: The variety of English spoken in the United States that is considered correct 709 Stereotype threat: When a stereotyped group believes the stereotype about them may be true stereotypes: Usually negative but sometimes positive perceptions we have of individuals based on their membership in groups succinct style: Manner of concise speaking often accompanied by silence symbol: An arbitrarily selected and learned stimulus representing something else terrestrial environment: The physical geography of the Earth third culture: That which is created when a dyad consisting of persons from different cultures comes together and establishes relational empathy uncertainty: The amount of unpredictability during communication uncertainty avoidance: culture feel threatened by unpredictable, uncertainty reduction theory: A theory in which the major premise is that when strangers first meet, their primary goal is to reduce uncertainty universal grammar: The idea that all languages share a common rule structure or grammar that is innate to human beings, regardless of culture vertical collectivism: Cultural orientation in which the individual sees the self as an integral part of the ingroup but the members are different from one another (e.g., status) vertical individualism: Cultural orientation in which an autonomous self is seen as different from and perhaps unequal to others voluntary membership groups: Membership groups to which people do not belong by choice, such as a political party or service organization voluntary nonmembership groups: Membership groups to which people do not belong by choice, such as a political party or service organization voluntary nonmembership groups: Membership groups to which people do not belong by choice, such as a political party or service organization voluntary nonmembership groups: Membership groups to which people do not belong by choice, such as a political party or service organization voluntary nonmembership groups: Membership groups: Membership groups: Membership groups: Membership groups: Membership groups to which people do not belong by choice organization voluntary nonmembership groups: Membership groups: M York: Norton, p. 10. 2. U.S. and World Population Clock. Retrieved from 5. Mallya, H. (2015). With 3rd Largest Smartphone & Watson. 4. Email Statistics Report 2015–2019. The Radicati Group, Inc. Retrieved from 5. Mallya, H. (2015). With 3rd Largest Smartphone Market in the World, India to Reach 314 Million Mobile Internet Users by 2017. Yourstory. Certieved from 8. Schlesinger, (2011, March 28). Retrieved from 7. Facebook. (2016). Newsroom. Retrieved from 8. Schlesinger, The Disuniting of America; van den Berghe, P. L. (1987). The Ethnic Phenomenon. New York: Praeger; Waller, J. (2002). Becoming Evil: How Ordinary People Commit Genocide and Mass Killing. New York: Oxford University Press. 9. Pomfret, J. (2001, April 12). Resolving Crisis Was a Matter of Interpretation. The Washington Post, p. A1; Ruppe, D. (2001, April 11). China, U.S., Win-Lose in Plane Standoff. ABC News. Retrieved from id=81250&page=1 10. Laungani, P. (2007). Understanding Cross-Cultural Psychology. London: SAGE. 11. England, J. T. (1992). Building Community for the 21st Century. Ann Arbor, MI: ERIC Clearinghouse on Counseling and Personnel Services. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED347489) 12. U.S. Census Bureau. (2016). Top Trading Partners. Retrieved from 711 13. These stereotypes are reported in the following sources: Credit Union National Association. (n.d.). Credit Unions Serving Hispanics: A National Perspective. Retrieved from www.cuna.org/initiatives/download/hisp bndr1.pdf; Lee, Y. T., Vue, S., Seklecki, R., & Ma, Y. (2007). How Did Asian Americans Respond to Negative Stereotypes and Hate Crimes? American Behavioral Scientist, 51, 271–293; Welch, K. (2007). Black Criminal Stereotypes and Racial Profiling. Journal of Contemporary Criminal Justice, 23, 276–288. 14. Brown, A., & Stepler, R. (2015). Statistical Portrait of the Foreign-Born Population in the United States. Pew Research Center. Retrieved from 15. Ibid. 16. County: English Is Official Language Use and English-Speaking Ability: 2000. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Census Bureau; Texas Town Adopts Spanish as Official Language. (2004, August). Reuters News Service. 17. Larson, C. U. (2010). Persuasion: Reception and Responsibility (12th ed.). Boston: Wadsworth. 18. McCloud, L. (2006). Top Reasons People Divorce: Is Divorce on the Back of Your Mind as You Are Saying "I Do." Retrieved from 19. This discussion of the nature of communication is from a variety of sources, including the following: Berlo, D. K. (1960). The Process of Communication. New York: Holt, Rinehart, & Winston, p. 24; Bowers, J. W., & Bradac, J. J. (1982). Issues in Communication Books; Goss, B. (1983). Communication in Everyday Life. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth; Cooley, C. (1909). Social Organization. New York: Scribner, p. 61; Miller, G. R. (1966). On Defining Communication: Another Stab. Journal of Communication; Another Stab. Journal of Communication; Another Stab. Journal of Communication; Program Barbar, p. 62; Fisher, B. A. (1964). Interpretation (16, 92; Fisher, B. A. (1964). Interpretation; Another Stab. Journal of Communication; Program Barbar, p. 62; Fisher, B. A. (1964). Interpretation; Program Barbar, p. 62; Fisher, B. A. (1964). Interpretation; Program Barbar, P. 61; Miller, G. R. (1964). Interpretation; Program Barbar, P. 61; Miller, G. R. (1964). Interpretation; Program Barbar, P. 61; Miller, G. R. (1964). Interpretation; Program Barbar, P. 62; Fisher, B. A. (1964). Interpretation; Program Barbar, P. 62; Fisher, B. A. (1964). Interpretation; Program Barbar, P. 62; Fisher, B. A. (1964). Interpretation; Program Barbar, P. 62; Fisher, B. A. (1964). Interpretation; Program Barbar, P. 62; Fisher, B. A. (1964). Interpretation; Program Barbar, P. 62; Fisher, B. A. (1964). Interpretation; Program Barbar, P. 62; Fisher, B. A. (1964). Interpretation; Program Barbar, P. 62; Fisher, B. A. (1964). Interpretation; Program Barbar, P. 62; Fisher, B. A. (1964). Interpretation; Program Barbar, P. 62; Fisher, B. A. (1964). Interpretation; Program Barbar, P. 62; Fisher, B. A. (1964). Interpretation; Program Barbar, P. 62; Fisher, B. A. (1964). Interpretation; Program Barbar, P. 62; Fisher, B. A. (1964). Interpretation; Program Barbar, P. 62; Fisher, B. A. (1964). Interpretation; Program Barbar, P. 62; Fisher, B. A. (1964). Interpretation; Program Barbar, P. 62; Fisher, B. A. (1964). Interpretation; Program Barbar, P. 62; Fisher, B. A. (1964). Interpretation; Program Barbar, P. 62; Fisher, B. A. (1964). Interpretation; Program Barbar, P. 62; Fisher, B. A. (1964). Interpretation; Program Barbar, P. 62; Fisher, B. 63; Fisher, B. 64; Stevens, S. S. (1950). Introduction: A Definition of Communication. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 22, 689; Hall, E. T. (1959). The Silent Language. New York: Doubleday. Motley, M. T. (1990). On Whether One Can(not) Not Communication, 54, 689; Hall, E. T. (1959). The Silent Language. New York: Doubleday. Motley, M. T. (1959). The Silent Language. New York: Doubleday. Motley, M. T. (1959). The Silent Language. New York: Doubleday. Motley, M. T. (1959). The Silent Language. New York: Doubleday. Motley, M. T. (1959). The Silent Language. New York: Doubleday. Motley, M. T. (1959). The Silent Language. New York: Doubleday. Motley, M. T. (1959). The Silent Language. New York: Doubleday. Motley, M. T. (1959). The Silent Language. New York: Doubleday. Motley, M. T. (1959). The Silent Language. New York: Doubleday. Motley, M. T. (1959). The Silent Language. New York: Doubleday. Motley, M. T. (1959). The Silent Language. New York: Doubleday. Motley, M. T. (1959). The Silent Language. New York: Doubleday. Motley, M. T. (1959).
The Silent Language. New York: Doubleday. Motley, M. T. (1959). The Silent Language. New York: Doubleday. Motley, M. T. (1959). The Silent Language. New York: Doubleday. Motley, M. T. (1959). The Silent Language. New York: Doubleday. Motley, M. T. (1959). The Silent Language. New York: Doubleday. Motley, M. T. (1959). The Silent Language. New York: Doubleday. Motley, M. T. (1959). The Silent Language. New York: Doubleday. Motley, M. T. (1959). The Silent Language. New York: Doubleday. Motley, M. T. (1959). The Silent Language. New York: Doubleday. Motley, M. T. (1959). The Silent Language. New York: Doubleday. Motley, M. T. (1959). The Silent Language. New York: Doubleday. Motley, M. T. (1959). The Silent Language. New York: Doubleday. Motley, M. T. (1959). The Silent Language. New York: Doubleday. 1–20. Watzlawick, P., Beavin, J., & Jackson, D. (1967). Pragmatics of Human Communication: Patterns, Pathologies, and Paradoxes. New York: Norton. 712 20. McCroskey, J. C. (2006). An Introduction to Rhetorical Communication (9th ed.). Boston: Allyn & Bacon. 21. Kroeber, A. I., & Kluckhohn, C. (Eds.). (1954). Culture: A Critical Review of Concepts and Definitions. New York: Random House; Seelye, H. N. (1993). Teaching Culture: Strategies for Intercultural Communication. Lincolnwood, IL: National Textbook Company. 22. Basso, K. (1990). "To Give Up on Words": Silence in Western Apache Culture. In D. Carbaugh (Ed.), Cultural Communication and Intercultural Contact (pp. 303-320). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum. 23. China: Language, Culture, Customs and Etiquette. (n.d.). Kwintessential. Retrieved from 24. This discussion of the history of intercultural communication is based on the following sources: Boas, F. Retrieved from 24. This discussion of the history of intercultural communication is based on the following sources: Boas, F. Retrieved from 24. This discussion of the history of intercultural communication is based on the following sources: Boas, F. Retrieved from 24. This discussion of the history of intercultural communication is based on the following sources: Boas, F. Retrieved from 24. This discussion of the history of intercultural communication is based on the following sources: Boas, F. Retrieved from 24. This discussion of the history of intercultural communication is based on the following sources: Boas, F. Retrieved from 24. This discussion of the history of intercultural communication is based on the following sources: Boas, F. Retrieved from 24. This discussion of the history of intercultural communication is based on the following sources: Boas, F. Retrieved from 24. This discussion of the history of intercultural communication is based on the following sources: Boas, F. Retrieved from 24. This discussion of the history of intercultural communication is based on the following sources: Boas, F. Retrieved from 24. This discussion of the history of intercultural communication is based on the following sources: Boas, F. Retrieved from 24. This discussion of the history of intercultural communication is based on the following sources: Boas, F. Retrieved from 24. This discussion of the history of intercultural communication is based on the following sources: Boas, F. Retrieved from 24. This discussion of the history of intercultural communication is based on the following sources: Boas, F. Retrieved from 24. This discussion of the history of intercultural communication is based on the following sources: Boas, F. Retrieved from 24. This discussion of the history of intercultur www.nnnd.com/people/861/000097570/; Hall, The Silent Language; Kitao, K. (1985). A Brief History of Intercultural Communication: The Foreign Service Institute and the Mandate for Intercultural Training, Quarterly Journal of Speech, 76, 262-281; Neuliep, J. W. (2010). Ethical and Cultural Relativism. In R. L. Jackson II (Ed.), Encyclopedia of Identity (pp. 252-254). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE; Rogers, E. M., Hart, W. B., & Miike, Y. (2002). Edward T. Hall and the History of Intercultural Communication: The United States and Japan. Keio Communication Review, 24, 3–26. 25. Angeloni, E. (Ed.). (1995). Mystique of the Masai. Guilford: Dushkin. 26. Watzlawick et al., Pragmatics of Human Communicating Under Uncertainty. In W. B. Gudykunst & Y. Y. Kim (Eds.), Readings on Communicating With Strangers (pp. 5–15). New York: McGraw-Hill. 28. Berger, C. R., & Calabrese, R. (1975). Some Explorations in Initial Interaction and Beyond. Human Communication Research, 1, 99–112. 29. Buss, A. H. (1980). Self-Consciousness and Social Anxiety. San Francisco: W. H. Freeman. 30. Gudykunst, W. B., & Kim, Y. Y. (1997). Communicating With Strangers: An Approach to Intercultural Communication. New York: McGraw-Hill. 713 31. Neuliep, J. W., & McCroskey, J. C. (1997). The Development of Intercultural Communication Competence. In L. A. Samovar & R. E. Porter (Eds.), Intercultural Communication: A Reader (8th ed., pp. 379–391). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth. 33. Ibid. 34. Gudykunst, W. (1997). Cultural Variability in Communication: A n Introduction. Communication. Communication: A n Introduction. Communication: A n Introductio (1997). The Development of a U.S. and Generalized Ethnocentrism Scale. Communication Research Reports, 14, 385–398. 37. Hall, The Silence: A Cross-Cultural Study of Basso's Hypothesis. In D. Carbaugh (Ed.), Cultural Communication and Intercultural Contact (pp. 321–328). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum. 39. Gudykunst, W. B., & Ting-Toomey, S. (1988). Verbal Communication (pp. 427-441). Newbury Park, CA: SAGE. 40. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication (pp. 427-441). Newbury Park, CA: SAGE. 40. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication (pp. 427-441). Newbury Park, CA: SAGE. 40. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication (pp. 427-441). Newbury Park, CA: SAGE. 40. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication (pp. 427-441). Newbury Park, CA: SAGE. 40. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication (pp. 427-441). Newbury Park, CA: SAGE. 40. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication (pp. 427-441). Newbury Park, CA: SAGE. 40. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication (pp. 427-441). Newbury Park, CA: SAGE. 40. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication (pp. 427-441). Newbury Park, CA: SAGE. 40. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication (pp. 427-441). Newbury Park, CA: SAGE. 40. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication (pp. 427-441). Newbury Park, CA: SAGE. 40. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication (pp. 427-441). Newbury Park, CA: SAGE. 40. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication (pp. 427-441). Newbury Park, CA: SAGE. 40. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication (pp. 427-441). Newbury Park, CA: SAGE. 40. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication (pp. 427-441). Newbury Park, CA: SAGE. 40. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication (pp. 427-441). Newbury Park, CA: SAGE. 40. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication (pp. 427-441). Newbury Park, CA: SAGE. 40. Gudykunst & Kim, CA: SAG Interethnic Communication. In W. B. Gudykunst (Ed.), Theorizing About Intercultural Communication (pp. 323–350). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE. 41. Kim, Y. Y. (2005). Adapting to a New Culture: An Integrative Communication Theory. In W. B. Gudykunst (Ed.), Theorizing About Intercultural Communication (pp. 375–400). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE. 42. Velasquez, M., Andre, C., Shanks, T., & Meyer, M. J. (1987). What Is Ethics? Issues in Ethics, 1, N1. 43. Saunders, J. B. (2007). "I Don't Eat Meat": Discourse on Food among Transnational Hindus. Contributions to Indian Sociology, 41, 302–323. 44. South Korea's Dog Day. (1999, August 17). BBC News. Retrieved from 714 45. Williams, C. J. (September, 2013). India "Dowry Deaths" Still Rising Despite Modernization. Los Angeles Times. Retrieved from 46. This discussion of the five classical approaches to ethics is based largely on Velasquez, M. J. (1996). Thinking Ethically: A Framework for Moral Decision Making. Issues in Ethics, 7(1), 2–5. 47. Ibid 48. Ibid. 49. Ibid. 50. Ibid. 51. Ibid. 52. Shuter, R. (2003). Ethics, Culture, and Communication: A Reader (10th ed., pp. 449-455). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth/Thomson. 53. Billington, R. (1997). Chinese Philosophy III: Confucianism. In R. Billington (Ed.), Intercultural Communication: A Reader (10th ed., pp. 449-455). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth/Thomson. 53. Billington (Ed.), Intercultural Communication: A Reader (10th ed., pp. 449-455). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth/Thomson. 54. Billington (Ed.), Intercultural Communication: A Reader (10th ed., pp. 449-455). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth/Thomson. 54. Billington (Ed.), Intercultural Communication: A Reader (10th ed., pp. 449-455). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth/Thomson. 55. Billington (Ed.), Intercultural Communication: A Reader (10th ed., pp. 449-455). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth/Thomson. 54. Billington (Ed.), Intercultural Communication: A Reader (10th ed., pp. 449-455). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth/Thomson. 55. Billington (Ed.), Intercultural Communication: A Reader (10th ed., pp. 449-455). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth/Thomson. 55. Billington (Ed.), Intercultural Communication: A Reader (10th ed., pp. 449-455). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth/Thomson. 55. Billington (Ed.), Intercultural Communication: A Reader (10th ed., pp. 449-455). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth/Thomson. 55. Billington (Ed.), Intercultural Communication: A Reader (10th ed., pp. 449-455). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth/Thomson. 55. Billington (Ed.), Intercultural Communication: A Reader (10th ed., pp. 449-455). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth/Thomson. 55. Billington (Ed.), Intercultural Communication: A Reader (10th ed.), Inter Understanding Eastern Philosophy (pp. 118–133). New York: Routledge. 54. Cua, A. S. (2000). Confucian Philosophy, Chinese. In E. Craig (Ed.), Concise Routledge Encyclopedia of Philosophy (pp. 162–163). New York: Routledge. 55. Park, M., & Chesla, C. (2007). Revisiting Confucianism as a Conceptual Framework for Asian Family Study. Journal of Family Nursing, 13(3), 293–311. 56. You, Y. (2009). Injustice: It Is Confucianism. Qualitative Inquiry, 15(7), 1290–1292. 57. This discussion of Hinduism is based on: Spinner-Halev, J. (2005). Hinduism Fact/Facts About Hindu Religion. Retrieved from . Hinduism Fast Facts: CNN Library, August 28,
2015. Retrieved from Ibid., p. 35. 58. Spinner-Haley, Hinduism, Christianity, and Liberal Religious Toleration. 59. Gudykunst, W. B., & Kim, Y. Y. (1997). Communicating With Strangers: An Approach to Intercultural Communication. New York: McGraw-Hill; Spitzberg, B. H. (1997). A Model of Intercultural Competence. In L. A. Samovar & R. E. Porter (Eds.), Intercultural 715 Communication Reader (8th ed., pp. 379–391). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth. 60. Spitzberg, A Model of Intercultural 715 Communication Reader (8th ed., pp. 379–391). Identifying Key Components From Multicultural Perspectives. International Journal of Intercultural Relations, 29, 137–163. 63. Ibid. 64. Arasaratnam, L. A. (2009). The Development of a New Instrument of Intercultural Communication, 20. Chapter 2 1. Hall, E. T. (1959). The Silent Language. Greenwich, CT: Fawcett, p. 39. 2. Keesing, R. M. (1974). Theories of Culture. In B. J. Siegel (Ed.), Annual Reviews of Anthropology (pp. 73–97). Palo Alto, CA: Annual Reviews. 3. Xanthe Ackerman, X., & Asquith, C. (2015, December 12). Women Haven't Really Won in Saudi Arabia—Yet. Time. Retrieved from 4. Triandis, H. C. (1990). Cross-Cultural Studies of Individualism and Collectivism. In J. J. Berman (Ed.), Nebraska Symposium on Motivation: 1989 (pp. 41-133). Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press; Triandis, H. C. (1993). Collectivism and Individualism as Cultural Research, 27, 155-180; Triandis, H. C. (1995). Individualism and Collectivism. Boulder, CO: Westview; Triandis, H. C. (2001). Individualism-Collectivism and Personality, 69, 907–924. 5. Oyserman, D., Coon, H. M., & Kemmelmeier, M. (2002). Rethinking Individualism and Collectivism: Evaluation of Theoretical Assumptions and Meta-Analyses. Psychological Bulletin, 128, 3–72. 6. Shulruf, B., Hattie, J., & Dixon, R. (2005). Development of a New Measurement Tool for Individualism and Collectivism; Triandis, Individualism-Collectivism; Triandis, Individualism-Collectivism; Triandis, Individualism and Collectivism; Triandis, Individualism and Collectivism; Triandis, Individualism-Collectivism; Triandis, Individualism and Collectivism; Triandis, Individualism; Trian Triandis, Individualism and Collectivism; Triandis, Individualism; Triandis, Indivi University of Hawaii Press; Triandis, Individualism and Collectivism. 12. Triandis, Cross-Cultural Studies of Individualism and Collectivism. 13. Green, E. G. T., Deschamps, J.-C., & Páez, D. (2005). Variation of Individualism and Collectivism. 13. Green, E. G. T., Deschamps, J.-C., & Páez, D. (2005). Variation of Individualism and Collectivism. 13. Green, E. G. T., Deschamps, J.-C., & Páez, D. (2005). Variation of Individualism and Collectivism. 13. Green, E. G. T., Deschamps, J.-C., & Páez, D. (2005). Variation of Individualism and Collectivism. 14. Hui, C. H. (1988) Measurement of Individualism-Collectivism. Journal of Research in Personality, 22, 17–36. 15. Oyserman et al., Rethinking Individualism and Collectivism: A Content Analysis of Textbook Stories in the United States and Japan. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 41, 1–16. 17. Fischer, R., Ferreira, M. C., Assmar, E., Redford, P., Harb, C., Glazer, S., et al. (2009). Individualism-Collectivism, as Descriptive Norms: Development of a Subjective Norms: Developmen the Overseas Assignment [Motion picture]. San Francisco: Copeland Griggs. 19. Vandello, J. A., & Cohen, D. (1999). Patterns of Individualism and Collectivism Across the United States. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 77(2), 279–292. 20. Ibid. 21. Hsu, Americans and Chinese: Passage to Differences; Triandis, Individualism and Collectivism. 22. Triandis, Individualism and Collectivism; Triandis, Individualism-Collectivism and Personality. 23. Gudykunst, W. B., Matsumoto, Y., Ting-Toomey, S., Nishida, T., Kim, K. S., & Heyman, S. (1994, July). Measuring Self-Construals Across Cultures. Paper presented at the 717 International Communication Association Convention, Sydney. Australia; Gudykunst, W. B., Matsumoto, Y., Ting-Toomey, S., Nishida, T., Kim, K. S., & Heyman, S. (1996). The Influence of Cultural Individualism and Indiv Collectivism. 25. Shulruf et al., Development of a New Measurement Tool for Individualism and Collectivism: A Theoretical and Measurement Refinement. Cross-Cultural Research, 29, 240–275; Triandis, H. C., Bhawuk, D. P. S., & Gelfand, M. J. (1995). Horizontal and Vertical Dimensions of Individualism and Collectivism: A Theoretical and Measurement Refinement. Cross-Cultural Research, 29, 240–275; Triandis, H. C., Bhawuk, D. P. S., & Gelfand, M. J. (1995). Cross-Cultural Studies of Individualism and Collectivism. 27. McCann, R. M., Honeycutt, J. M., & Keaton, S. A. (2010). Toward Greater Specificity in Cultural Values in Japan, Thailand, and the United States. Journal of Intercultural Communication Research, 39(3), 157-172; Singelis et al., Horizontal and Vertical Dimensions of Individualism and Collectivism. 28. Chang, H.-C. (1996). "Collectivism" or "Competitive Bidding": An Alternative Picture of Chinese Communication. Paper presented at the annual convention of the Speech Communication. San Diego, CA, p. 10. 29. Hepper, E. G., Sedikides, C., &

Cai, H. (2013). Self-Enhancement and Self-Protection Strategies in China: Cultural Expressions of a Fundamental Human Motive. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 44(1), 5–23; Sedikides, C., & Alicke, M. D. (2012). SelfEnhancement and Self-Protection Motives. In R. M. Ryan (Ed.), Oxford Handbook of Motivation (pp. 303–322). New York: Oxford University Press; Sedikides, C., Gaertner, L., & Toguchi, Y. (2003). Pancultural Self-Enhancement. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 84, 60–79. 30. Ibid. 31. Chang, H., & Holt, R. (1991). More Than a Relationship: Chinese Interaction and the Principle of Kuan-his. Communication Quarterly, 39, 251–271; Gaertner, L., Sedikides, C., Vevea, J. L., & Iuzzini, J. (2002). The "I," the "We," and the "When": A Meta-Analysis of Motivational Primacy in Self-Definition. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 83, 574–591; Mortenson, S. T. (2005). Clarifying the Link Between Culture and SelfConstrual Through Structural Equation Models. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 83, 574–591; Mortenson, S. T. (2005). Clarifying the Link Between Culture and SelfConstrual Through Structural Equation Models. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 83, 574–591; Mortenson, S. T. (2005). Clarifying the Link Between Culture and SelfConstrual Through Structural Equation Models. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 83, 574–591; Mortenson, S. T. (2005). Clarifying the Link Between Culture and SelfConstrual Through Structural Equation Models. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 83, 574–591; Mortenson, S. T. (2005). Clarifying the Link Between Culture and SelfConstrual Through Structural Equation Models. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 83, 574–591; Mortenson, S. T. (2005). Clarifying the Link Between Culture and SelfConstrual Through Structural Equation Models. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 83, 574–591; Mortenson, S. T. (2005). Clarifying the Link Between Culture and SelfConstrual Through Structural Equation Models. Journal of Psychology, 83, 574–591; Mortenson, S. T. (2005). Clarifying the Link Between Culture and SelfConstrual Through Structural Equation Models. Journal of Psychology, 83, 574–591; Mortenson, S. T. (2005). Clarifying the Link Between Culture and SelfConstrual Through Structural Equation Models. Journal of Psychology, 83, 574–591; Mortenson, S. T. (2005). Clarifying the Link Between Culture and SelfConstrual Through Structural Equation Models. Journal of Psychology, 83, 574–591; Mortenson, S. T. (2005). Clarifying the Link Between Culture and SelfConstrual Equation Models. Journal of Psychology, 83, 574–591; Mortenson, S. T. (2005). Clarifying the Link Between Culture and SelfConstrual Equatio 22; Oyama, N. (1990). Some Recent Trends in Japanese Values: Beyond the Individual-Collective Dimension. International Sociology, 5, 445-459; Sedikides et al., Pancultural Self-Enhancement; Voronov, M., & Singer, J. A. (2002). The Myth of 718 Individualism-Collectivism: A Critical Review. Journal of Social Psychology, 142(4), 461-480; Yamaguchi, S. (1994). Collectivism Among the Japanese: A Perspective From the Self. In U. Kim, H. C. Triandis, C. Kâg^{*}itçibas₁, S. H. Choi, & G. Yoon (Eds.), Individualism and Collectivism: Theory, Method, and Applications (pp. 175–188). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE. 32. Oyama, Some Recent Trends in Japanese Values. 33. Gaertner, L., Sedikides, C. & Graetz, K. (1999). In Search of Self-Definition: Motivational Primacy of the Individual Self, Motivational Primacy of the Collective Self, or Contextual Primacy? Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 76, 5–18. 34. Hall, E. T. (1976). Beyond Culture. Garden City, NY: Anchor Press/Doubleday, p. 79. 35. Ibid. 36. Ibid. 37. Ibid. 38. Braithwaite, C. A. (1990). Communicative Silence: A Cross-Cultural Study of Basso's Hypothesis. In D. Carbaugh (Ed.), Cultural Communication and Intercultural Contact (pp. 321–328). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum. 39. Weider, D. L., & Pratt, S. (1990). On Being a Recognizable Indians. In D. Carbaugh (Ed.), Cultural Communication and Intercultural Contact (pp. 45-64). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum. 40. Iwao, S. (1993). The Japanese Woman: Traditional Image and Changing Reality. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, p. 98. 41. Although different, the model for this dialogue is adapted from Storti, C. (1994). CrossCultural Dialogues: 74 Brief Encounters With Cultural Differences. Yarmouth, ME: Intercultural Press. 42. Gudykunst et al., The Influence of Cultural Individualism-Collectivism, Self Construals, and Individual Values on Communication Styles Across Cultures. 43. Rokeach, M. (1973). The Nature of Values Theoretical Advances and Empirical Tests in 20 Countries. In M. P. Zanna (Ed.), Advances in Experimental Social Psychology (Vol. 25, pp. 1-66). San Diego, CA: Academic Press. 719 46. Hsu, F. L. K. (1969). The Study of Literate Civilizations. New York: Holt, Rinehart, & Winston; Hsu, F. L. K. (1970). Americans and Chinese: Reflections on Two Cultures and Their People. Garden City, NY: Doubleday; Hsu, Americans and Chinese: Passage to Differences; Seelye, H. N. (1993). Teaching Culture Strategies for Intercultural Communication. Lincolnwood, IL: National Textbook Company. 47. Chinese Culture Strategies for Intercultural Communication. Lincolnwood, IL: National Textbook Company. 47. Chinese Culture Strategies for Intercultural Communication. Lincolnwood, IL: National Textbook Company. 47. Chinese Culture Strategies for Intercultural Communication. Lincolnwood, IL: National Textbook Company. 47. Chinese Culture Strategies for Intercultural Communication. Lincolnwood, IL: National Textbook Company. 47. Chinese Culture Strategies for Intercultural Communication. Lincolnwood, IL: National Textbook Company. 47. Chinese Culture Strategies for Intercultural Communication. Lincolnwood, IL: National Textbook Company. 47. Chinese Culture Strategies for Intercultural Communication. Lincolnwood, IL: National Textbook Company. 47. Chinese Culture Strategies for Intercultural Communication. Lincolnwood, IL: National Textbook Company. 47. Chinese Culture Strategies for Intercultural Communication. Lincolnwood, IL: National Textbook Company. 47. Chinese Culture Strategies for Intercultural Communication. Lincolnwood, IL: National Textbook Company. 47. Chinese Culture Strategies for Intercultural Communication. Lincolnwood, IL: National Textbook Company. 47. Chinese Culture Strategies for Intercultural Communication. Lincolnwood, IL: National Textbook Company. 47. Chinese Culture Strategies for Intercultural Communication. Lincolnwood, IL: National Textbook Company. 47. Chinese Culture Strategies for Intercultural Communication. Lincolnwood, IL: National Textbook Company. 47. Chinese Culture Strategies for Intercultural Communication. Lincolnwood, IL: National Textbook Company. 47. Chinese Culture Strategies for Intercultural Communication. Lincolnwood, IL: National Textbook Company. 47. Chinese Culture Strategies for Intercultural Communication. Lincolnwood, IL: Nat Culture. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 18, 143-164. 48. Zhang, J., & Bond, M. H. (1998). Personality and Filial Piety Among College Students in Two Chinese Societies: The Added Value of Indigenous Constructs. Journal of CrossCultural Psychology, 29, 402-417. 49. Kao, H. S. R., & Sek-Hong, N. (1995). Chinese Values in Work Organization An Alternative Approach to Change and Development. Journal of Human Values, 1, 173–189. 50. Domino, G., & Hannah, M. T. (1987). A Comparative Analysis of Social Values of Chinese and American Children. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 18, 58–77. 51. Schwartz, S. H. (1994). Are There Universal Aspects in the Content and Structure of Values? Journal of Social Issues, 50, 19-45; Schwartz, S. H., & Boehnke, K. (2004). Evaluating the Structure of Human Values With Confirmatory Factor Analysis. Journal of Research in Personality, 38, 230-255; Schwartz, S. H., Cieciuch, J., Vecchione, M., Davidov, E., Fischer, R., Beierlein, C., et al. (2012). Refining the Theory of Basic Individual Values. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 103, 663–688. 52. Ibid. 53. Ibid. 54. Ibid. 55. Condon, J. C., & Yousef, F. (1975). An Introduction to Intercultural Communication. Indianapolis, IN: Bobbs-Merrill; Kluckhohn, F., & Strodtbeck, F. (1961). Variations in Value Orientations. Evanston, IL: Row, Peterson. 56. Condon & Yousef, An Introduction to Intercultural Communication; Hsu, Americans and Chinese: Passage to Differences. 57. Hsu, Americans an Passage to Differences. 58. Harris, P. R., & Moran, R. T. (1996). Managing Cultural Differences. 61. Keberlein, M. (1993). A Cultural Differences (4th ed.). 720 Houston, TX: Gulf. 59. Condon & Yousef, An Introduction to Intercultural Communication. 60. Harris & Moran, Managing Cultural Differences. 61. Keberlein, M. (1993). A Cultural Differences (4th ed.). 720 Houston, TX: Gulf. 59. Condon & Yousef, An Introduction to Intercultural Communication. 60. Harris & Moran, Managing Cultural Differences. 61. Keberlein, M. (1993). A Cultural Differences (4th ed.). 720 Houston, TX: Gulf. 59. Condon & Yousef, An Introduction to Intercultural Communication. 60. Harris & Moran, Managing Cultural Differences. 61. Keberlein, M. (1993). A Cultural Differences (4th ed.). 720 Houston, TX: Gulf. 59. Condon & Yousef, An Introduction to Intercultural Communication. 60. Harris & Moran, Managing Cultural Differences. 61. Keberlein, M. (1993). A Cultural Differences (4th ed.). 720 Houston, TX: Gulf. 59. Condon & Yousef, An Introduction to Intercultural Communication. 60. Harris & Moran, Managing Cultural Differences. 61. Keberlein, M. (1993). A Cultural Differences (4th ed.). 720 Houston, TX: Gulf. 59. Condon & Yousef, An Introduction to Intercultural Communication. 60. Harris & Moran, Managing Cultural Differences (4th ed.). 720 Houston, TX: Gulf. 59. Condon & Yousef, An Introduction to Intercultural Communication. 60. Harris
& Moran, Managing Cultural Differences (4th ed.). 720 Houston, TX: Gulf. 59. Condon & Yousef, An Introduction to Intercultural Communication. 60. Harris & Moran, Managing Cultural Differences (4th ed.). 720 Houston, TX: Gulf. 59. Condon & Yousef, An Introduction to Intercultural Communication. 60. Harris & Moran, Managing Cultural Differences (4th ed.). 720 Houston, TX: Gulf. 59. Condon & Yousef, An Introduction (4th ed.). 720 Houston, TX: Gulf. 59. Condon & Yousef, An Introduction (4th ed.). 720 Houston, TX: Gulf. 59. Condon & Yousef, An Introduction (4th ed.). 720 Houston, TX: Gulf. 59. Condon & Yousef, An In manuscript, St. Norbert College, De Pere, WI. 62. Condon & Yousef, An Introduction to Intercultural Communication. 63. Ibid. 64. Condon & Yousef, An Introduction to Intercultural Communication. 65. Ibid. 66. Ibid. 67. Hofstede, G. (1980). Culture's Consequences: International Differences in Work-Related Values. Beverly Hills, CA: SAGE; Hofstede G., Hofstede, G. J., & Minkov, M. (2010). Cultures and Organizations: Software of the Mind. New York: McGraw-Hill. 68. Ibid. 69. Ibid. 70. Andersen, P. A., Hecht, M. L., Hoobler, G. D., & Smallwood, M. (2003). Nonverbal Communication (pp. 73–90). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE; Andersen, P. A., Lustig, M. W., & Andersen, J. F. (1990). Changes in Latitude: The Relationship Between Climate and Interpersonal Communication Predispositions. Communica E. A., & Huo, Y. J. (2000). Cultural Values and Authority Relations: The Psychology of Conflict Resolution Across Cultures. Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 6(4), 1138–1163. 72. Smith, P. B., Dugan, S., Peterson, M. F., & Leung, K. (1998). Individualism-Collectivism and the Handling of Disagreement: A 23 Country Study. International Journal of Intercultural Relations, 22, 351–367. 73. Oetzel, J., Ting-Toomey, S., Chew-Sanchez, M. I., Harris, R., Wilcox, R., & Stumpf, S. (2003). Face and Facework in Conflicts With Parents and Siblings: A Cross-Cultural 721 Comparison of Germans, Japanese, Mexicans, and U.S. Americans. Journal of Family Communication, 3, 67–93; Ting-Toomey, S., & Oetzel, J. G. (2003). Cross-Cultural Face Concerns and Conflict Styles. In W. B. Gudykunst (Ed.), Cross-Cultural and Intercultural Communication (pp. 127–147). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE. 74. Perea, A., & Slater, M. D. (1999). Power Distance and Collectivistic/Individualistic Strategies in Alcohol Warnings: Effects by Gender and Ethnicity. Journal of Health Communication, 4, 295–310. 75. Spencer-Oatey, H. (1997). Unequal Relationships in High and Low Power Distance Societies: A Comparative Study of Tutor–Student Role Relationships in High and Low Power Distance Societies: A Comparative Study of Tutor–Student Role Relationships in High and Low Power Distance Societies: A Comparative Study of Tutor–Student Role Relationships in High and Low Power Distance Societies: A Comparative Study of Tutor–Student Role Relationships in High and Low Power Distance Societies: A Comparative Study of Tutor–Student Role Relationships in High and Low Power Distance Societies: A Comparative Study of Tutor–Student Role Relationships in High and Low Power Distance Societies: A Comparative Study of Tutor–Student Role Relationships in High and Low Power Distance Societies: A Comparative Study of Tutor–Student Role Relationships in High and Low Power Distance Societies: A Comparative Study of Tutor–Student Role Relationships in High and Low Power Distance Societies: A Comparative Study of Tutor–Student Role Relationships in High and Low Power Distance Societies: A Comparative Study of Tutor–Student Role Relationships in High and Low Power Distance Societies: A Comparative Study of Tutor–Student Role Relationships in High and Low Power Distance Societies: A Comparative Study of Tutor–Student Role Relationships in High and Low Power Distance Societies: A Comparative Study of Tutor–Student Role Relationships in High and Low Power Distance Societies: A Comparative Study of Tutor–Student Role Relationships in High and Low Power Distance Societies: A Comparative Study of Tutor–Student Role Relationships in High and Low Power Distance Societies: A Comparative Study of Tutor–Student Role Relationships in High and Low Power Distance Societies: A Comparative Study of Tutor–Student Role Relationships in High and Low Power Distance Societies: A Comparative Study of Tutor–Student Role Relationships in High and Low Power Distance Societies: A Comparative Study of Tutor–Student Role Relationships Verbal Insult Related to Cultural Collectivism and Power Distance? Journal of CrossCultural Psychology, 16, 111–127. 77. Andersen et al., Nonverbal Communication Across Cultures. 78. Gudykunst, W. B., & Kim, Y. Y. (1997). Communication Across Cultures to Cultural Collectivism and Power Distance? Journal of CrossCultural Psychology, 16, 111–127. 77. Andersen et al., Nonverbal Communication Across Cultures. 78. Gudykunst, W. B., & Kim, Y. Y. (1997). Communication Across Cultures to Cultural Communication Across Cultures. 78. Gudykunst, W. B., & Kim, Y. Y. (1997). Communication Across Cultures to Cultures. 78. Gudykunst, W. B., & Kim, Y. Y. (1997). Communication Across Cultures. 78. Gudykunst, W. B., & Kim, Y. Y. (1997). Communication Across Cultures. 78. Gudykunst, W. B., & Kim, Y. Y. (1997). Communication Across Cultures. 78. Gudykunst, W. B., & Kim, Y. Y. (1997). Communication Across Cultures. 78. Gudykunst, W. B., & Kim, Y. Y. (1997). Communication Across Cultures. 78. Gudykunst, W. B., & Kim, Y. Y. (1997). Communication Across Cultures. 78. Gudykunst, W. B., & Kim, Y. Y. (1997). Communication Across Cultures. 78. Gudykunst, W. B., & Kim, Y. Y. (1997). Communication Across Cultures. 78. Gudykunst, W. B., & Kim, Y. Y. (1997). Communication Across Cultures. 78. Gudykunst, W. B., & Kim, Y. Y. (1997). Communication Across Cultures. 78. Gudykunst, W. B., & Kim, Y. Y. (1997). Communication Across Cultures. 78. Gudykunst, W. B., & Kim, Y. Y. (1997). Communication Across Cultures. 78. Gudykunst, W. B., & Kim, Y. Y. (1997). Communication Across Cultures. 78. Gudykunst, W. B., & Kim, Y. Y. (1997). Communication Across Cultures. 78. Gudykunst, W. B., & Kim, Y. Y. (1997). Communication Across Cultures. 78. Gudykunst, W. B., & Kim, Y. Y. (1997). Communication Across Cultures. 78. Gudykunst, W. B., & Kim, Y. Y. (1997). Communication Across Cultures. 78. Gudykunst, W. B., & Kim, Y. Y. (1997). Communication Across Cultures. 78. Gudykunst, W. B., & Kim, Y. Y. (1997). Communication Across Cultures. 78. Gudykunst, W. B., & Kim, and Organizations: Software of the Mind. 80. Ibid. 81. Ibid. 82. Shuper, P. A., Sorrentino, R. A., Otsubo, Y., Hodson, G., & Walker, A. M. (2004). A Theory of Uncertainty Orientation: Implications for the Study of Individual Differences Within and Across Cultures. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 35, 460–480. Chapter 3 1. Morris, R. (1997). Living In/Between. In A. Gonzales, M. Houston, & V. Chen (Eds.), Our Voices: Essays in Culture, Ethnicity, and Communication (pp. 163–176). Los Angeles: Roxbury. 2. U.S. Census Bureau. Statistical Abstract of the United States: 2012 (131th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson. 722 4. This discussion of the defining characteristics of minority groups is based on the following sources: Meyers, B. (1984). Minority Groups; Wagley, C., & Harris, M. (1958). Minority Groups is based on the following sources: New York: Columbia University Press. 5. Barrett, L. E. (1997). The Rastafarians. Boston: Beacon Press. 6. Laungani, P. D. (2007). Understanding Cross-Cultural Psychology. London: SAGE; Schaefer, Racial and Ethnic Groups. 7. Ilcan, S. M. (1994). Marriage Regulation and the Rhetoric of Alliance in Northwestern Turkey. Ethnology, 33, 273–297. 8. Schaefer, Racial and Ethnic Groups. 7. Ilcan, S. M. (1994). Racial and Ethnic Groups. 9. Ibid. 10. The muted group theory was first articulated by Shirley and Edwin Ardener. S. (Ed.). (1975). Perceiving Women. London: Malaby; Kramarae, C. (1981). Women and Men Speaking. Rowley, MA: Newbury House. 11. Weber, S. N. (1991). The Need to Be: The Sociocultural Significance of Black Language. In L. A. Samovar & R. E. Porter (Eds.), Intercultural Communication: A Reader (6th ed., pp. 277–283). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth. 12. Schaefer, Racial and Ethnic Groups. 13. Ennis, S. R., Rios-Vargas, M., & Albert, N. G. (2011). The Hispanic Population: 2010. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Commerce, Economics and Statistics Administration, U.S. Census Bureau. Retrieved from 14. U.S. Census Bureau. (2016). American Fact Finder: Annual Estimates of the Resident Population by Sex, Age, Race, and Hispanic Origin for the United States and States: April 1, 2010 to July 1, 2014. Retrieved from Stepler, R., & Brown, A. (2016). Statistical Portrait of Hispanics in the United States, Pew Research Center. Retrieved from 15. Ibid. 16. Crouch, N. (2004). Mexicans and Americans: Cracking the Cultural Press. 723 17. Stepler & Brown, Statistical Portrait of Hispanics in the United States. 18. Ibid. 19. Pajewski, A., & Enriquez, L. (1996). Teaching From a Hispanic Perspective: A Handbook for Non-Hispanic Adult Educators. Phoenix: The Arizona Adult Literacy and Technology Resource Center. 20. Crouch, Mexicans and Americans. 21. Ibid., p. 102. 22. Pew Research Center: Religious Identity of Latinos in the United States: Nearly One-in-Four Latinos Are Former Catholics. Retrieved from 23. Rodrigo Villalobos Arevalo, personal communication, January 20, 2010. 24. Crouch, Mexicans and Americans. 25. Casas, J. M., Wagenheim, B. R., Banchero, R., & Mendoza-Romero, J. (1994). Hispanic Masculinity: Myth or Psychological Schema Meriting Clinical Consideration. Hispanic Journal of Behavioral Sciences, 16, 315–332; Mayo, Y. Q., & Resnick, R. P. (1996). The Impact of Machismo on Hispanic Women and Social Work, 11, 257–278. 26. This quote was included in Anders, G. (1993). Machismo: Dead or Alive? Hispanic, 6, 14–18. 27. Casas et al., Hispanic, 6, 14–18. 27. Casas et
al., Hispanic Momen, J. H., Laumann, E. O., & Kolata, Sciences, 16, 315–332; Mayo, Y. Q., & Resnick, R. P. (1996). The Impact of Machismo on Hispanic Women, Journal of Women and Social Work, 11, 257–278. 26. This quote was included in Anders, G. (1993). Machismo: Dead or Alive? Hispanic, 6, 14–18. 27. Casas et al., Hispanic Momen, Journal of Women and Social Work, 11, 257–278. 26. This quote was included in Anders, G. (1993). G. (1995). Sex in America: A Definitive Survey. New York: Warner; Pew Research Center, The Shifting Religious Identity of Latinos in the United States. 29. Nuiry, O. E. (1996). Ban the Bandito! Hispanic, 9, 26–31. 30. Schaefer, Racial and Ethnic Groups. 31. Ibid. 32. Rastogi, S., Johnson, T. D., Hoeffel, E. M., & Drewery, M. R., Jr. (2011). The Black Population: 2010. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Commerce, Economics and Statistics Division, U.S. Census Bureau. Retrieved from 724 33. DeNavas-Walt, C., & Proctor, B. D. (2015). U.S. Census Bureau. Retrieved from 724 33. DeNavas-Walt, C., & Proctor, B. D. (2015). U.S. Census Bureau. Retrieved from 724 33. DeNavas-Walt, C., & Proctor, B. D. (2015). U.S. Census Bureau. Retrieved from 724 33. DeNavas-Walt, C., & Proctor, B. D. (2015). U.S. Census Bureau. Retrieved from 724 33. DeNavas-Walt, C., & Proctor, B. D. (2015). U.S. Census Bureau. Retrieved from 724 33. DeNavas-Walt, C., & Proctor, B. D. (2015). U.S. Census Bureau. Retrieved from 724 33. DeNavas-Walt, C., & Proctor, B. D. (2015). U.S. Census Bureau. Retrieved from 724 33. DeNavas-Walt, C., & Proctor, B. D. (2015). U.S. Census Bureau. Retrieved from 724 33. DeNavas-Walt, C., & Proctor, B. D. (2015). U.S. Census Bureau. Retrieved from 724 33. DeNavas-Walt, C., & Proctor, B. D. (2015). U.S. Census Bureau. Retrieved from 724 33. DeNavas-Walt, C., & Proctor, B. D. (2015). U.S. Census Bureau. Retrieved from 724 33. DeNavas-Walt, C., & Proctor, B. D. (2015). U.S. Census Bureau. Retrieved from 724 33. DeNavas-Walt, C., & Proctor, B. D. (2015). U.S. Census Bureau. Retrieved from 724 33. DeNavas-Walt, C., & Proctor, B. D. (2015). U.S. Census Bureau. Retrieved from 724 33. DeNavas-Walt, C., & Proctor, B. D. (2015). U.S. Census Bureau. Retrieved from 724 33. DeNavas-Walt, C., & Proctor, B. D. (2015). U.S. Census Bureau. Retrieved from 724 33. DeNavas-Walt, C., & Proctor, B. D. (2015). U.S. Census Bureau. Retrieved from 724 33. DeNavas-Walt, C., & Proctor, B. D. (2015). U.S. Census Bureau. Retrieved from 724 33. DeNavas-Walt, C., & Proctor, B. D. (2015). U.S. Census Bureau. Retrieved from 724 33. DeNavas-Walt, C., & Proctor, B. D. (2015). U.S. Census Bureau. Retrieved from 724 33. DeNavas-Walt, C., & Proctor, B. D. (2015). DeNavas-Walt, Weber, S. N. (1994). The Need to Be: The Sociocultural Significance of Black Language. In L. A. Samovar & R. E. Porter (Eds.), Intercultural Communication: A Reader (7th ed., pp. 221–226). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth. 35. Nasir, N. S., McLaughlin, M. W., & Jones, A. (2009). What Does It Mean to Be African American? Constructions of Race and Academic Identity in an Urban Public High School. American Educational Research Journal, 46(1), 73–114. 36. Rickford, J. C. (2004). What Is Ebonics (African-American Vernacular English)? Washington, DC: Linguistic Society of America. 37. Kochman, T. (1990). Cultural Pluralism: Black and White Styles. In D. Carbaugh (Ed.), Cultural Communication and Intercultural Context (pp. 219–224). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum. 38. Riggs, M. (Producer/Director). (1987). Ethnic Notions. Berkeley, CA: California Newsreel. 39. Pew Research Center. (2013). The Rise of Asian Americans. Washington, DC: Author. Retrieved from (Quote on p. 1) 40. U.S. Census Bureau. (2010). Asian Population. Washington, DC: U.S. Census Bureau, U.S. Department of Commerce. Retrieved from 41. Gao, G. (2016). The Challenges of Polling Asian Americans. 43. Kim, B. S. K., Yang, P. H., Atkinson, D. R., Wolfe, M. M., & Hong, S. (2001). Cultural Value Similarities and Differences Among Asian American Ethnic Groups. Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology, 7, 343-361; Pew Research Center, The Rise of Asian Americans. 44. Kim et al., Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology, 7, 343-361; Pew Research Center, The Rise of Asian Americans. 44. Kim et al., Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology, 7, 343-361; Pew Research Center, The Rise of Asian Americans. 44. Kim et al., Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology, 7, 343-361; Pew Research Center, The Rise of Asian Americans. 44. Kim et al., Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology, 7, 343-361; Pew Research Center, The Rise of Asian Americans. 44. Kim et al., Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology, 7, 343-361; Pew Research Center, The Rise of Asian Americans. 44. Kim et al., Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology, 7, 343-361; Pew Research Center, The Rise of Asian Americans. 44. Kim et al., Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology, 7, 343-361; Pew Research Center, The Rise of Asian Americans. 44. Kim et al., Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology, 7, 343-361; Pew Research Center, The Rise of Asian Americans. 44. Kim et al., Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology, 7, 343-361; Pew Research Center, The Rise of Asian Americans. 44. Kim et al., Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology, 7, 343-361; Pew Research Center, The Rise of Asian Americans. 44. Kim et al., Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology, 7, 343-361; Pew Research Center, The Rise of Asian Americans. 44. Kim et al., Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology, 7, 343-361; Pew Research Center, The Rise of Asian Americans. 44. Kim et al., Cultural Diversity Asian Americans. 44. Kim et al., Cultu Scale: Development, Factor Analysis, Validation, and Reliability. Journal of Counseling Psychology, 46, 342–352; Kim, B. S. K., & Omizo, M. M. (2003). The Asian American Values Scale—Multidimensional: Development, Reliability, and Validity. Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology, 11, 181–201; Kim, B. S. K., & Omizo, M. M. (2003). Asian Cultural Values, Attitudes Toward Seeking Professional Psychological Help, and Willingness to See a Counselor. The Counseling Psychologist, 31, 343–361; Kim et al., Cultural Value Similarities and Differences Among Asian American Ethnic Groups. 46. Ibid. 47. Gudykunst, W. B., Matsumoto, Y., Ting-Toomey, S., Nishida, T., Kim, K., & Heyman, S. (1996). The Influence of Cultural Individualism-Collectivism, Self Construals, and Individual Values on Communication Styles Across Cultures. Human Communication Styles Across Cultures. Human Communication Styles Across Cultures and European American and European American Cultural Values and Communication Styles Among Asian American and European American Cultural Values and Communication Styles Among Asian American and European American Styles Across Cultures. American College Students. Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology, 14, 47–56. 49. Kao, E. M., Nagata, D. K., & Petersen, C. (1997). Explanatory Style, Family Expressiveness, and Self-Esteem Among Asian American and European American College Students. Journal of Social Psychology, 137, 435–444. 50. Ho, C., & Jackson, J. W. (2001). Attitudes Towards Asian Americans: Theory and Measurement. Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 31, 1553–1581. Park, J. Z., Martinez, B. C., Cobb, R., Park, J. Z., M V. S. Y., Cheung, A., & Fiske, S. T. (2005). Stereotype Content Model Explains Prejudice for an Envied Outgroup: Scale of Anti-Asian American Stereotypes. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 31, 34-47. 52. Elliott, C., Adams, R. J., & Sockalingam, S. (2010). Summary of Normative Communication Styles and Values. Retrieved from 53. National Congress of American Indians. Demographics. Retrieved May 21, 2016 from Norris, T., Vines, P. L., & Hoeffel. The American Indian and Alaska Native Population: 2010; U.S. Census Briefs. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Commerce Economics and Statistics Administration. 726 54. Krogstad, J. M. (2014). One-in-Four Native Americans and Alaska Natives Are Living in Poverty. Pew Research Center. Retrieved from 55. Seik, S. (2012). Who's a Native American Indians. Demographics; American Diabetes Association. (n.d.). Treatment and Care for America Indians/Alaska Natives. Retrieved from Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (n.d.). American Indians and Alaska Natives. Retrieved from Bloss, E., Holtz, T. H., Jereb, J., Redd, J. T., Podewils, L. J., Cheek, J. E., & McCray, E. (2011). Tuberculosis in Indigenous Peoples in the U.S., 2003–2008. Public Health Report, 126(5), 677–689. 57. Pratt, S., & Pratt, M. (in press). Communication. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley. 58. Ibid. 60. Ibid. 61. Ibid. 62. Merskin, D. (2014). How Many More Indians? An Argument for a Representational Ethics of Native Americans. Journal of Communication Inquiry, 38(3), 184–203. (Quote on p. 187) 63. Ibid. 64. Ibid. 65. Arab American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates (2007–2011) and American Community Survey 1-Year Estimates. (2012) Washington, DC: U.S. Census Bureau; Office of Immigration Statistics. 66. Samhan, H. H. (2001). Who Are Arab Americans? Groliers Multimedia Encyclopedia. 727 67. Kussman Feghali, E., & Braithwaite, C. (in press). Communication Modes: Arab. In Y. Y. Kim (Ed.), International Encyclopedia of International Communication. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley. 68. Ibid. 69. Zaharna, R. S. (1995). Bridging Cultural Differences: American Public Relations Practices & Arab Communication Patterns. Public Relations Review, 21, 241–255. 70. Shaheen, J. G. (2001). Reel Bad Arabs: How Hollywood Vilifies a People. Northampton, MA: Interlink. 71. Samhan, Who Are Arab Americans? 72. Meem, D. T., Gibson, M. A., & Alexander, J. F. (2010). Finding Out: An Introduction to LGBT Studies. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE. 73. Ibid., pp. 135–136. 74. Herbenick, D., Reece, M., Schick, V., Sanders, S. A., Dodge, B., & Fortenberry, J. D. (2010). Sexual Behavior
in the United States: Results From a National Probability Sample of Men and Women Ages 14–94. Journal of Sexual Medicine, 7(5), 255–265. 75. Gates, G. J. (2006). Same-Sex Couples and the Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual Population: New Estimates From the American Community Survey. Los Angeles: Williams Institute on Sexual Orientation Law and Public Policy, UCLA School of Law. 76. Gedro, J. (2010). Understanding, Designing, and Teaching LGBT Issues. Advances in Developing Human Resources, 12(3), 352–366. 77. Schlatter, E. (2010, Winter). 18 Anti-Gay Groups and Their Propaganda. Southern Poverty Law Center Intelligence Report, 140. 78. Chesebro, J. (1981). Gayspeak: Gay Male/Lesbian Communication. New York: Pilgrim. 79. Cameron, D., & Kulick, D. (2003). Language and Sexuality. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press. 80. Sims, A. (2004). Gayspeak. In C. J. Summers (Ed.), glbtq: An Encyclopedia of Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual, Transgender, and Queer Culture. Chicago: glbtq. 81. Rodgers, B. (1972). The Queen's Vernacular: A Gay Lexicon. San Francisco: Straight Arrow. (This book was published in 1979 by Paragon, New York, under the title Gay Talk.) 728 82. Leap, W. (1996). Word's Out: Gay Men's English. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press. 83. Sims, Gayspeak. Chapter 4 1. Stein, H. F. (1987). Developmental Time, Cultural Space. Norman: University of Oklahoma Press. 2. Although different, the idea to compare two scenarios is adapted from Mehrabian, A. (1976). Public Places and Private Spaces: The Psychology of Work, Play, and Living Arrangements. New York: Basic. 3. Stein, Developmental Time, Cultural Spaces. 5. Ibid. 6. Ibid. 7. Ibid. 8. Ibid. 9. Lang, J. (1987). Creating Architectural Theory: The Role of the Behavioral Sciences in Environmental Design. New York: Basic. 3. Stein, Developmental Time, Cultural Spaces. 5. Ibid. 8. Ibid. 9. Lang, J. (1987). Creating Architectural Theory: The Role of the Behavioral Sciences in Environmental Design. New York: Basic. 3. Stein, Developmental Time, Cultural Spaces. 5. Ibid. 7. Ibid. 8. Ibid. 9. Lang, J. (1987). Creating Architectural Theory: The Role of the Behavioral Sciences in Environmental Design. New York: Basic. 3. Stein, Developmental Time, Cultural Spaces. 5. Ibid. 8. Ibid. 9. Lang, J. (1987). Creating Architectural Theory: The Role of the Behavioral Sciences in Environmental Design. New York: Basic. 3. Stein, Developmental Time, Cultural Spaces. 5. Ibid. 8. Ibid. 9. Lang, J. (1987). Creating Architectural Theory: The Role of the Behavioral Sciences in Environmental Design. New York: Basic. 3. Stein, Developmental Time, Cultural Spaces. 5. Ibid. 8. Ibid. 9. Lang, J. (1987). Creating Architectural Theory: The Role of the Behavioral Sciences in Environmental Design. New York: Basic. 3. Stein, Developmental Time, Cultural Spaces. 5. Ibid. 8. Ibid. 9. Lang, J. (1987). Creating Architectural Theory: The Role of the Behavioral Sciences in Environmental Design. New York: Basic. 3. Stein, Developmental Time, Cultural Spaces. 5. Ibid. 8. Ibid. 9. Lang, J. (1987). Creating Architectural Theory: The Role of the Behavioral Sciences in Environmental Design. New York: Basic. 3. Stein, Developmental Time, Cultural Spaces. 5. Ibid. 8. Ibid. 9. Lang, J. (1987). Creating Architectural Theory: The Role of the Behavioral Sciences in Environmental Time, Cultural Spaces. 5. Ibid. 8. Ib Van Nostrand Reinhold. 10. International Energy Agency. (2013, June 10). Four Energy Policies Can Keep the 2°C Climate Goal Alive. Retrieved from 38773, en. html; Knopf, R. C. (1987). Human Behavior, Cognitions, and Affect in the Natural Environment. In D. Stokols & I. Altman (Eds.), Handbook of Environmental Psychology (Vol. 1, pp. 783–826). New York: Wiley. 11. Safra, J. E. (1997). Indonesia. The New Encyclopedia Britannica (Vol. 6, pp. 298-299). Chicago: Encyclopedia Britannica. 12. Thao, K. (1995). The Hmong Culture. Unpublished student manuscript, St. Norbert College, De Pere, WI; Yang, D. (1993). Hmong at the Turning Point. Minneapolis, MN: Worldbridge. 13. Nyrop, R. F. (Ed.). (1986). The Yemens: Country Studies. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office. 729 14. Shoemaker, M. W. (1996). Russian Europe: 1996. Harpers Ferry, WV: Stryler-Post; Westfahl, G., Koltz, R., & Manders, A. (1996). Ethnic Russian Culture and Society. Unpublished student manuscript, St. Norbert College, De Pere, WI. 15. Central Intelligence Agency. (1995). The World Fact Book. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office; Yurkovich, D., Pliscott, K., & Halverson, R. (1996). The Norwegian Culture. Unpublished student manuscript, St. Norbert College, De Pere, WI. 16. Lang, Creating Architectural Theory. 17. Kluckhohn, F. R. (1953). Dominant and Variant Value Orientations. In C. Kluckhohn, H. A. Murray, & D. M. Schneider (Eds.), Personality in Nature, Culture, and Society (pp. 342–357). New York: Knopf. 18. Altman, I., & Chemers, N. M. (1980). Cultural Aspects of the Environment–Behavior Relationship. In H. C. Triandis & R. W. Brislin (Eds.), Handbook of Cross-Cultural Psychology (Vol. 5, pp. 335–394). Boston: Allyn & Bacon. 19. Bishop, R. J. (1995). Cultural Profile, Examination of Value Orientations and Sociocultural Influences, and Verbal and Nonverbal Language Aspects of the East Indian Culture. Unpublished manuscript, St. Norbert College, De Pere, WI; Harris, P. R., & Moran, R. T. (1996). Managing Cultural Differences (4th ed.). Houston, TX: Gulf; Srinivasan, R. (1951). India. New York: Marshall Cavendish. 20. Altman & Chemers, Cultural Aspects of the Environment–Behavior Relationship. 21. Borden, G. A., Conaway, W. A., & Morrison, T. (1993). Kiss, Bow, or Shake Hands. Holbrook, MA: Bob Adams; Reick, S., & Ogura, M. (1996). Sri Lanka: The Pearl of the Indian Ocean. Unpublished student manuscript, St. Norbert College, De Pere, WI. 22. Kluckhohn, Dominant and Variant Value Orientationship; Thorne, R., & Hall, R. (1987). Environmental Psychology in Australia. In D. Stokols & I. Altman (Eds.), Handbook of Environmental Psychology (Vol. 2, pp. 1137–1154). New York: Wiley. 24. Fisher, S. (2010). Violence Against Women and Natural Disasters: Findings From Post-Tsunami Sri Lanka. Violence Against Women, 16(8), 902–918. 25. Ibid. 26. Tabuchi, H., Sanger, D. E., & Bradsher, K. (2011, March 14). Japan Faces Potential Nuclear Disaster as Radiation Levels Rise. The New York Times. Retrieved from 730 r=2&scp=5&sq=catastrophic%20radiation%20leak&st=cse& 27. Liss, A. (2011, June 9). Crisis Mode: How Cultural Differences Can Shape Perception. Business Insider Australia. Retrieved from 28. Hunter, M. (2011). Orderly Disaster Reaction in Line With Deep Cultural Roots. CNN. Retrieved from 29. Lang, Creating Architectural Theory; Rapoport, A. (1980). Cross-Cultural Aspects of Environmental Design. In I. Altman, A. Rapoport, & J. F. Wohlwill (Eds.), Human Behavior and Environmental Design. In I. Altman, A. Rapoport, & J. F. Wohlwill (Eds.), Human Behavior and Environmental Design. In I. Altman, A. Rapoport, & J. F. Wohlwill (Eds.), Human Behavior and Environmental Design. In I. Altman, A. Rapoport, & J. F. Wohlwill (Eds.), Human Behavior and Environmental Design. In I. Altman, A. Rapoport, & J. F. Wohlwill (Eds.), Human Behavior and Environmental Design. In I. Altman, A. Rapoport, & J. F. Wohlwill (Eds.), Human Behavior and Environmental Design. In I. Altman, A. Rapoport, & J. F. Wohlwill (Eds.), Human Behavior and Environmental Design. In I. Altman, A. Rapoport, & J. F. Wohlwill (Eds.), Human Behavior and Environmental Design. In I. Altman, A. Rapoport, & J. F. Wohlwill (Eds.), Human Behavior and Environmental Design. In I. Altman, A. Rapoport, & J. F. Wohlwill (Eds.), Human Behavior and Environmental Design. In I. Altman, A. Rapoport, & J. F. Wohlwill (Eds.), Human Behavior and Environmental Design. In I. Altman, A. Rapoport, & J. F. Wohlwill (Eds.), Human Behavior and Environmental Design. In I. Altman, A. Rapoport, & J. F. Wohlwill (Eds.), Human Behavior and Environmental Design. In I. Altman, A. Rapoport, & J. F. Wohlwill (Eds.), Human Behavior and Environmental Design. In I. Altman, A. Rapoport, & J. F. Wohlwill (Eds.), Human Behavior and Environmental Design. In I. Altman, A. Rapoport, & J. F. Wohlwill (Eds.), Human Behavior and Environmental Design. In I. Altman, A. Rapoport, & J. F. Wohlwill (Eds.), Human Behavior and Environmental Design. In I. Altman, A. Rapoport, & J. F. Wohlwill (Eds.), Human Behavior and Environmental Design. In I. Altman, A. Rapoport, & J. F. Wohlwill (Eds.), Human Behavior and Environmental Design. In I. Altman, A. Rapoport, & J. F. Wohlwill (Eds.), Human Behavior and Environmental Design. In I. Altman, A. Rapoport, & J. F. Wohlwill (Eds.), Human Behavior and Hall. 31. Lang, Creating Architectural Theory. 32. Hall, E. T. (1966). The Hidden Dimension. New York: Doubleday. 33. Lang, Creating Architectural Theory. 34. Altman, I., & Vinsel, A. M. (1977). Personal Space: An Analysis of E. T. Hall's Proxemics Framework. In I. Altman & J. F. Wohlwill (Eds.), Human Behavior and Environment: Advances in Theory and Research (Vol. 2, pp. 181–260). New York: Plenum; Hall, The Hidden Dimension. 35. Hall, The Hidden Dimension. 36. Lustig, M. W., & Koester, J. (1996). Intercultural Computence: Interpersonal Communication Across Cultures (2nd ed.). New York: HarperCollins. 37. For an excellent summary of research related to personal space across cultures, see Aiello, J. (1987). Human Spatial Behavior. In D. Stokols & I. Altman (Eds.), Handbook of Environmental Psychology (Vol. 1, pp. 389–504). New York: Wiley. 38. Heathcote, E. (2012). The Meaning of Home (Illustrated ed.). London: Frances Lincoln Limited. 39. Sixsmith, J. (1986). The Meaning of Home: An Exploratory Study of Environmental Experience. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 6(4), 281-298. 40. Hagino, G., Mochizuki, M., & Yamamoto, T. (1987). Environmental Psychology (Vol. 2, pp. 731 1155-1170). New York: Wiley. 41. Craft, L. (2010). In Japan, Living Large in Really
Tiny Houses. National Public Radio. Retrieved from 128953596 42. Ibid. 43. Freeman, S. (2013). How Japanese Traditions Work. How Stuff Works. Retrieved from 44. Ueda, A. (1990). The Japanese House and Garden. London: Pall Mall. 46. Ibid. 47. Ueda, The Inner Harmony of the Japanese House. 48. Ibid. 49. Ueda, The Inner Harmony of the Japanese House; Yoshida, The Japanese House, p. 9. 51. Though not an exact duplication, this graphic is based on those present in Kent, S. (1984). Analyzing Activity Areas: An Ethnoarchaeological Study of the Use of Space. Albuquerque: University of New Mexico Press. 52. Kent, Analyzing Activity Areas. 53. Ibid. 54. Ibid. 55. Ibid. 55. Ibid. 55. Ibid. 56. Othman, Z., Aird, R., & Buys, L. (2105). Privacy, Modesty, Hospitality, and the Design of Muslim Homes: A Literature Review. Frontiers of Architectural Research 4, 12–23. 57. Ibid. 58. Burgoon, J. K. (1978). A Communication Model of Personal Space Violations: Explication and an Initial Test. Human Communication Research, 4(2), 129–142. 732 59. Westin, A. F. (1967). Privacy Regulation: Culturally Universal or Culturally Specific? Journal of Social Issues, 83(3), 66–84. 61. Westin, Privacy Regulation: Culturally Universal or Culturally Specific? Journal of Social Issues, 83(3), 66–84. 61. Westin, Privacy Regulation: Culturally Universal or Culturally Specific? Journal of Social Issues, 83(3), 66–84. 61. Westin, Privacy Regulation: Culturally Universal or Culturally Universal or Culturally Specific? Journal of Social Issues, 83(3), 66–84. 61. Westin, Privacy Regulation: Culturally Universal or Cultural O. H. (1993). African-Americans and Privacy: Understanding the Black Perspective in the Emerging Policy Debate. Journal of Black Studies, 42(2), 178–195. 63. Lang, Creating Architectural Theory. 64. Westin, Privacy and Freedom. 65. Ibid. 66. Pedersen, D. M. (1979). Dimensions of Privacy. Perce 1291-1297. 67. Ibid. Reprinted by permission. 68. Calvert, C. (2000). Voyeur Nation: Media, Privacy, and Peering in Modern Culture. Boulder, CO: Westview; Stepp, C. S. (2001). Playing at Peeping Tom. American Journalism Review, 23, 58. 69. Feldman, E. (2013, April 17). Smart Phones, Social Media Color Boston Bombing Response. NBC Bay Area Retrieved from 70. Burd, S. (2000). Colleges Allowed to Tell Parents About Alcohol Use. Chronicle of Higher Education, 46, A31; Reisberg, L. (2001). 2 Years After Colleges Started Calling Home, Administrators Say Alcohol Policy Works. Chronicle of Higher Education, 47, A34. 71. Gandy, African-Americans and Privacy. 72. Pedersen, D. M., & Frances, S. (1990). Regional Differences in Privacy Preferences. Psychological Reports, 66, 731–736. 73. Naftali, O. (2010). Caged Golden Canaries: Childhood, 17, 297–311. 74. Ibid. 75. Tang, S., & Dong, X. (2006). Parents' and Children's Perceptions of Privacy Rights in 733 China. Journal of Family Issues, 27(3), 285–300. 76. Ibid. 77. Rustemli, A., & Kokdemir, D. (1993). Privacy Dimensions and Preferences Among Turkish Students. Journal of Social Psychology, 133(6), 807–814. 78. Westin, Privacy and Freedom. 79. Farrall, K. (2008). Global Privacy in Flux: Illuminating Privacy Across Cultures in China and the U.S. International Journal of Communication 2, 993–1030. 80. Quittner, J., & Dowell, W. (1997). Invasion of Privacy. Time, 150, 28–36. 81. Ibid. 82. Gellman, B., & Poitraa, J. (2013, June 6). U.S., British Intelligence Mining Data from Nine U.S. Internet Companies in Broad Secret Program. Washington Post. Retrieved from 83. Cho, M., Rivera-Sánchez, M. & Lim, S. S. (2009). A Multinational Study on Online Privacy: Global Concerns and Local Responses. New Media and Society, 11(3), 395–416. 84. EMC Privacy. (April 2, 2015). The New York Times. Retrieved May 25, 2016, from 87. Amnesty International Annual Report: North Korea 2015/2106. Retrieved from 88. Lovells, H. (2013). A king Sense of China's New Privacy Laws. International Association of Privacy In Online Social Media. Retrieved from 90. Hall, E. T. (1959). The Silent Language, Greenwich, CT: Fawcett, p. 15. 734 91. Hall, The Silent Language; Hall, E. T. (1983). The Dance of Life. New York: Doubleday. 92. Ibid. 93. Ibid. 94. Ibid. 95. Keberlein, M. C. G. (1993). A Cultural Profile of the Guatemalan Ladinos. Unpublished manuscript, St. Norbert College, De Pere, WI. 96. Hall, The Silent Language. 97. Hollis, A. C. (1995). The Masai: Their Language and Folklore (Rev. ed.). New York: Clarendon; Vandehey, K., Buerger, C., & Krueger, K. (1996). Traditional Aspects and Struggles of the Masai Culture. Unpublished manuscript, St. Norbert College, De Pere, WI. 98. Ibid. 99. Although different, the model for the dialogue is adapted from Copeland, L. (Producer) (1982). Managing the Overseas Assignment [Motion picture]. San Francisco: Copeland Griggs. 100. This scale was developed by Charles A. Phipps as a part of his senior honors thesis, titled The Measurement of Monochronic Cognitions Among Hispanics and Anglos, Abilene Christian University, 1987. 101. Thanks to the many international students at St. Norbert College for their contributions to the discussion of time across cultures. Chapter 5 1. Polyani, M. (1958). Personal Knowledge: Towards a Post-Critical Philosophy. Chicago Press. 2. Hofstede, G.-J., & Minkov, M. (2010). Cultures and Organizations: Software of the Mind. New York: McGraw-Hill. 3. Nisbett, R. E. (2003). The Geography of Thought: How Asians and Westerners Think Differently and Why. New York: Free Press. Ross, M., & Wang, Q. (2010). Why We Remember and What We Remember: Culture and Autobiographical Memory. Perspectives on Psychological Science, 5(4), 401–409. 4. This discussion of information processing is based on Lachman, R., Lachman, J. L., & 735 Butterfield, E. C. (1979). Cognitive Psychology and Information Processing: An Introduction. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum; see also Stillings, N. A., Weisler, S. E., Chase, C. H., Feinstein, M. H., Garfield, J. L., & Rissland, E. L. (1995). Cognitive Science: An Introduction. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. 5. Matlin, M., & Farmer, T. A. (2016). Cognition (9th ed.). Hoboken, NJ: Wiley. 6. Goss, B. (1989). The Psychology of Human Communication. Prospect Heights, IL: Waveland. 7. Berry, J. W., Poortinga, Y. H., Breugelmans, S. M., Chasiotis, A., & Sam,. D. L. (2011). Cross-Cultural Psychology: Research and Applications (3rd ed.). Cambridge, V. H., Breugelmans, S. M., Chasiotis, A., & Sam,. D. L. (2011). Cross-Cultural Psychology: Research and Applications (3rd ed.). Cambridge, V. H., Breugelmans, S. M., Chasiotis, A., & Sam,. D. L. (2011). Cross-Cultural Psychology: Research and Applications (3rd ed.). Cambridge, V. H., Breugelmans, S. M., Chasiotis, A., & Sam,. D. L. (2011). Cross-Cultural Psychology: Research and Applications (3rd ed.). Cambridge, V. H., Breugelmans, S. M., Chasiotis, A., & Sam,. D. L. (2011). Cross-Cultural Psychology: Research and Applications (3rd ed.). Cambridge, V. H., Breugelmans, S. M., Chasiotis, A., & Sam,. D. L. (2011). Cross-Cultural Psychology: Research and Applications (3rd ed.). Cambridge, V. H., Breugelmans, S. M., Chasiotis, A., & Sam,. D. L. (2011). Cross-Cultural Psychology: Research and Applications (3rd ed.). Cambridge, V. H., Breugelmans, S. M., Chasiotis, A., & Sam,. D. L. (2011). Cross-Cultural Psychology: Research and Applications (3rd ed.). Cambridge, V. H., Breugelmans, S. M., Chasiotis, A., & Sam,. D. L. (2011). Cross-Cultural Psychology: Research and State (State (Stat UK: Cambridge University Press. 8. Reuning, H., & Wortley, W. (1973). Psychological Studies of the Bushmen. Psychologia Africana (Monograph Supplement #7); cited in Berry et al., Cross-Cultural Psychology. 9. Wyndham, C. H. (1975). Ergonomic Problems in the Transition From Peasant to Industrial Life in South Africa. In A. Chapanis (Ed.), Ethnic Variables in Human Factor Engineering (pp. 115-134). Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press; see also Berry et al., Cross-Cultural Psychology. 11. Ibid. 12. Segall, M. H. (1994). A Cross-Cultural Research Contribution to Unraveling the Nativist-Empiricist Controversy. In W. J. Lonner & R. Malpass (Eds.), Psychology and Culture (pp. 135-138). Boston: Allyn & Bacon. 13. Ibid.; see also Coren, S., Ward, L. M., & Enns, J. T. (2004). Sensation and Perception (6th ed.). Hoboken, NJ: Wiley. 14. Lachman et al., Cognitive Psychology and Information Processing. 15. Matlin, Cognition. 16. Ibid.; see also Coren, S., Ward, L. M., & Enns, J. T. (2004). Sensation and Perception (6th ed.). Minus Two: Some Limits on Our Capacity for Processing Information. Psychological Review, 63, 81–97. 18. Matlin, Cognition. 19. Tavassoli, N. T. (2002). Spatial Memory for Chinese and English. Journal of Cross736 Cultural Psychology, 33, 415–431. 20. Howard, R. W. (1995). Learning and Memory: Major Ideas, Principles, Issues, and Applications Westport, CT: Praeger. 21. Matlin, Cognition. 22. Ross & Wang, Why We Remember and What We Remember; Wang, Q., & Ross, M. (2007). Culturel Psychology (pp. 645–667). New York: Guilford. Wang, Q., & Brockmeier, J. (2002). Autobiographical Remembering as Cultural Psychology (pp. 645–667). New York: Guilford. Wang, Q., & Ross, M. (2007). Culture and Memory. In H. Kitayama & D. Cohen (Eds.), Handbook of Cultural Psychology (pp. 645–667). New York: Guilford. Wang, Q., & Ross, M. (2007). Culture and Memory. In H. Kitayama & D. Cohen (Eds.), Handbook of Cultural Psychology (pp. 645–667). New York: Guilford. Wang, Q., & Ross, M. (2007). Culture and Memory. In H. Kitayama & D. Cohen (Eds.), Handbook of Cultural Psychology (pp. 645–667). New York: Guilford. Wang, Q., & Ross, M. (2007). Culture and Memory. In H. Kitayama & D. Cohen (Eds.), Handbook of Cultural Psychology (pp. 645–667). New York: Guilford. Wang, Q., & Ross, M. (2007). Culture and Memory. In H. Kitayama & D. Cohen (Eds.), Handbook of Cultural Psychology (pp. 645–667). New York: Guilford. Wang, Q., & Ross, M. (2007). Culture and Memory. In H. Kitayama & D. Cohen (Eds.),
Handbook of Cultural Psychology (pp. 645–667). New York: Guilford. Wang, Q., & Ross, M. (2007). Culture and Memory. In H. Kitayama & D. Cohen (Eds.), Handbook of Cultural Psychology (pp. 645–667). New York: Guilford. Wang, Q., & Ross, M. (2007). Culture and Memory. In H. Kitayama & D. Cohen (Eds.), Handbook of Cultural Psychology (pp. 645–667). New York: Guilford. Wang, Q., & Ross, M. (2007). Culture and Memory. In H. Kitayama & D. Cohen (Eds.), Handbook of Cultural Psychology (pp. 645–667). New York: Guilford. Wang, Q., & Ross, M. (2007). Culture and Memory. In H. Kitayama & D. Cohen (Eds.), Handbook of Cultural Psychology (pp. 645–667). New York: Guilford. Wang Practice: Understanding the Interplay Between Memory, Self, and Culture. Culture and Psychology, 8, 45–64. 23. Wang, Q., & Peterson, C. (2014). Your Earliest Memory May Be Earlier Than You Think: Prospective Studies of Children's Dating of Earliest Memory May Be Earlier Than You Think: Prospective Studies of Children's Dating of Earliest Memory May Be Earlier Than You Think: Prospective Studies of Children's Dating of Earliest Memory May Be Earlier Than You Think: Prospective Studies of Children's Dating of Earliest Memory May Be Earlier Than You Think: Prospective Studies of Children's Dating of Earliest Memory May Be Earlier Than You Think: Prospective Studies of Children's Dating of Earliest Memory May Be Earlier Than You Think: Prospective Studies of Children's Dating of Earliest Memory May Be Earlier Than You Think: Prospective Studies of Children's Dating of Earliest Memory May Be Earlier Than You Think: Prospective Studies of Children's Dating of Earliest Memory May Be Earlier Than You Think: Prospective Studies of Children's Dating of Earliest Memory May Be Earlier Than You Think: Prospective Studies of Children's Dating of Earliest Memory May Be Earlier Than You Think: Prospective Studies of Children's Dating of Earliest Memory May Be Earlier Than You Think: Prospective Studies of Children's Dating of Earliest Memory May Be Earlier Than You Think: Prospective Studies of Children's Dating of Earliest Memory May Be Earlier Than You Think: Prospective Studies of Children's Dating of Earliest Memory May Be Earlier Than You Think: Prospective Studies of Children's Dating of Earlier Than You Think: Prospective Studies of Children's Dating of Earlier Than You Think: Prospective Studies of Children's Dating of Earlier Than You Think: Prospective Studies of Children's Dating of Earlier Than You Think: Prospective Studies of Children's Dating of Earlier Than You Think: Prospective Studies of Children's Dating of Earlier Than You Think: Prospective Studies of Children's Dating of Earlier Than You Think: Prospecti Culture and Memory. In S. Kitayama & D. Cohen (Eds.), Handbook of Cultural Psychology (pp. 645-667). New York: Guilford. Wang, Q. (2001). Cultural Effects on Adults' Earliest Childhood Recollection and Self-Description: Implications for the Relation Between Memory and the Self. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 81(2), 220-233. 24. Durga, R. (1978). Bilingualism and Interlingual Interference. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 9, 401-415. 25. Matlin, Cognition. 26. Howard, Learning and Memory; Lachman et al., Cognitive Psychology and Information Processing. 27. Matlin, Cognition. 28. Goss, B., Neuliep, J. W., & O'Hair, D. (1985). Reduced Conversational Recall as a Function of Negative Arousal: A Preliminary Analysis. Communication Research Reports, 2, 202-205. 29. Matlin, Cognition. 30. Ibid. 31. Rogoff, B. (1990). Apprenticeship in Thinking: Cognitive Development in Social Context. New York: Oxford University Press. 32. Ibid. 33. Yoshida, M., Fernandez, C., & Stigler, J. W. (1993). Japanese and American Students' 737 Differential Recognition Memory for Teachers' Statements During a Mathematics Lesson. Journal of Educational Psychology, 85, 610–617. 34. Cole, M., & Gay, J. (1972). Culture and Memory. American Anthropologist, 74, 1066–1084. 35. Ross, B. M., & Millsom, C. (1970). Repeated Memory of Oral Prose in Ghana and New York. International Journal of Psychology, 5, 173–181. 36. Harris, R. J., Schoen, L. M., & Hensley, D. I. (1992). A Cross-Cultural Study of Story Memory. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 23, 133–147. 37. Liu, I. (1986). Chinese Cognition. In M. H. Bond (Ed.), The Psychology of the Chinese People (pp. 73–105). Hong Kong: Oxford University Press. 38. Park, B., & Judd, C. M. (2005). Rethinking the Link Between Categorization and Prejudice Within the Social Cognition Perspective. Personality and Social Psychology Review, 9, 108–130; Ridley, C. R., & Hill, C. L. (1999). Categorization as a Primary-Process Cognition in Racism: Implications for Counseling. The Counseling Psychologist, 27, 245–255 39. Rosch, E. (1977). Human Categorization. In N. Warren (Ed.), Studies in CrossCultural Psychology (Vol. 1, pp. 1–49). New York: Academic. (Quote on pp. 1–2) 40. Gudykunst, W. B., & Kim, Y. Y. (1997). Communicating With Strangers: An Approach to Intercultural Communication. New York: McGraw-Hill. 41. Brislin, R. (1981). Cross-Cultural Strangers: An Approach to Intercultural Communication. New York: McGraw-Hill. 41. Brislin, R. (1981). Cross-Cultural Strangers: An Approach to Intercultural Communication. New York: McGraw-Hill. 41. Brislin, R. (1981). Cross-Cultural Strangers: An Approach to Intercultural Communication. New York: McGraw-Hill. 41. Brislin, R. (1981). Cross-Cultural Strangers: An Approach to Intercultural Communication. New York: McGraw-Hill. 41. Brislin, R. (1981). Cross-Cultural Strangers: An Approach to Intercultural Communication. New York: McGraw-Hill. 41. Brislin, R. (1981). Cross-Cultural Strangers: An Approach to Intercultural Communication. New York: McGraw-Hill. 41. Brislin, R. (1981). Cross-Cultural Strangers: An Approach to Intercultural Communication. New York: McGraw-Hill. 41. Brislin, R. (1981). Cross-Cultural Strangers: An Approach to Intercultural Communication. New York: McGraw-Hill. 41. Brislin, R. (1981). Cross-Cultural Strangers: An Approach to Intercultural Communication. New York: McGraw-Hill. 41. Brislin, R. (1981). Cross-Cultural Strangers: An Approach to Intercultural Communication. New York: McGraw-Hill. 41. Brislin, R. (1981). Cross-Cultural Strangers: An Approach to Intercultural Communication. New York: McGraw-Hill. 41. Brislin, R. (1981). Cross-Cultural Strangers: An Approach to Intercultural Communication. New York: McGraw-Hill. 41. Brislin, R. (1981). Cross-Cultural Strangers: An Approach to Intercultural Communication. New York: McGraw-Hill. 41. Brislin, R. (1981). Cross-Cultural Strangers: An Approach to Intercultural Strangers. An Approach to I Encounters. Elmsford, NY: Pergamon. 42. Wilder, D. A. (1981). Perceiving Persons as a Group: Categorization and Intergroup Behavior (pp. 213–257). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum. 43. Park & Judd, Rethinking the Link Between Categorization and Prejudice Within the Social Cognition Perspective. 44. Ridley & Hill, Categorization as a Primary-Process Cognition in Racism. 45. Unsworth, S. J., Sears, C. R., & Pexman, P. M. (2005). Cultural Influences on Categorization Processes. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 36, 662–688. 46. Gudykunst & Kim, Communicating With Strangers. Schaefer, R.T. (2012). Racial and Ethnic Groups (13th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall. 47. Berry et al., Cross-Cultural Psychology; Taylor, D. M., & Porter, L. E. (1994). A 738 Multicultural View of Stereotypes (Eds.), Psychology and Culture (pp. 85–90). Boston: Allyn & Bacon. 48. Devine, P. G., & Elliot, A. J. (1995). Are Racial Stereotypes Really Fading? The Princeton Trilogy Revisited. Personality and Social Psychology, 46, 245–254; Gordon, L. (1973). The Fragmentation of Literary Stereotypes of Jews and Negroes Among College Students. Pacific Sociological Review, 16, 411-425; Gordon, L. (1986). College Student Stereotypes of Blacks and Jews on Two Campuses: Four Studies Spanning 50 Years. Sociology and Social Research, 70, 200-201; Katz, D., & Braly, K. W. (1933). Racial Stereotypes of One Hundred College Students. Journal of Abnormal Sociology and Psychology 28, 280-290. 49. Taylor & Porter, A Multicultural View of Stereotyping. 50. Ibid. 51. Trice, A. D., & Rush, K. (1995). Sex-Stereotyping in Four-Year-Olds' Occupational Aspirations. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 81, 701-702. 52. FAST Area Studies Program. (2010). Cultural Stereotypes About Americans (FAST-US-7 United States Popular Culture Reference File). Tampere, Finland: Department of Translation Studies, University of Tampere. Retrieved from 53. Gerbner, G. (1998). Cultivation Analysis: An Overview. Mass Communication & Society, 1 (3–4), 175–194. 54. Ibid., p. 180. 55. Ibid. 56. Oliver, M. B. (2003). African American Men as "Criminal and Dangerous": Implications of Media Portrayals of Crime on the "Criminalization" of African American Men. Journal of African American Studies, 7(2), 3-18. 57. Tamborini, R., Mastro, D. E., Chory-Assad, R. M., & Huang, R. H. (2000). The Color of Crime and the Court: A Content Analysis of Minority Representation on Television. Journalism and Mass Communication Quarterly, 77(3), 639-653. 58. Ibid., p. 649. 59. Oliver, African American Men as "Criminal and Dangerous." 60. Ramasubramanian, S. (2007). Media-Based Strategies to Reduce Racial Stereotypes 739 Activated by News Stories. Journalism and Mass Communication Quarterly, 84(2), 249-264. 61. Kawai, Y. (2005). Stereotyping Asian Americans: The Dialectic of the Communication Quarterly, 84(2), 249-264. 61. Kawai, Y. (2007). Media-Based Strategies to Reduce Racial Stereotypes 739 Activated by News Stories. Model Minority and the Yellow Peril. Howard Journal of Communication, 16, 109–130. (Quote on p. 109) 62. Ibid. 63. Young, I. (2013, May 3). GM Pulls "Racist" Chevrolet "Ching-Ching, Chop Suey" Ad. South China Morning Post. Retrieved from 64. This discussion of SCM is based on a number of sources, including the
following: Caprariello, P. A., Cuddy, A. J. C., & Fiske, S. T. (2009). Social Structure Shapes Cultural Stereotypes and Emotions: A Causal Test of the Stereotype Content Model. Group Processes and Intergroup Relations, 12(2), 147–155; Cuddy, A. J. C., & Fiske, S. T. (2002). Doddering, But Dear: Process, Content, and Function in Stereotyping of Older Persons. In T. D. Nelson (Ed.), Ageism (pp. 3–26). Cambridge: MIT Press; Cuddy, A. J. C., Fiske, S. T., & Glick, P. (2004). When Professionals Become Mothers, Warmth Doesn't Cut the Ice. Journal of Social Issues, 60, 701–718; Cuddy, A. J. C., Fiske, S. T., & Glick, P. (2007). The BIAS Map: Behaviors From Intergroup Affect and Stereotypes. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 92, 631-648; Cuddy, A. J. C., Fiske, S. T., & Glick, P. (2008). Warmth and Competence as Universal Dimensions of Social Perception: The Stereotype Content Model and the BIAS Map. In M. P. Zanna (Ed.), Advances in Experimental Social Psychology (Vol. 40, pp. 62-150). San Diego: Academic Press; Cuddy, A. J. C., Fiske, S. T., Kwan, V S. Y., Glick, P., Demoulin, S., Leyens, J.-P., et al. (2009). Is the Stereotype Content Model Culture-Bound? A Cross-Cultural Comparison Reveals Systematic Similarities and Differences. British Journal of Social Psychology, 48, 1–33; Cuddy, A. J. C., Norton, M. I., & Fiske, S. T. (2005). This Old Stereotype: The Pervasiveness and Persistence of the Elderly Stereotype. Journal of Social Issues, 61, 265–283; Fiske, S. T., & Cuddy, A. J. C. (2006). Stereotype Content Across Cultures as a Function of Group Status. In S. Guimond (Ed.), Social Comparison Processes and Levels of Analysis. New York: Cambridge University Press; Fiske, S. T., Cuddy, A. J. C. (2002). Emotions Up and Down: Intergroup Emotions Result From Perceived Status and Competition. In D. M. Mackie & E. R. Smith (Eds.), From Prejudice to Intergroup Emotions: Differentiated Reactions to Social Groups (pp. 247–264). Philadelphia: Psychology Press; Fiske, S. T., Cuddy, A. J. C., & Glick, P. (2007). Universal Dimensions of Social Cognition: Warmth and Competence. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 11, 77-83; Fiske, S. T., Cuddy, A. J. C., Glick, P., & Xu, J. (2002). A Model of (Often Mixed) Stereotype Content: Competence and Warmth Respectively Follow From Perceived Status and Competition. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 82, 878-902. 65. Ibid. 740 66. Judd, C. M., & Park, B. (1988) Outgroup Homogeneity: Judgments of Variability and Group Levels. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 54, 778-788; Park, B., & Judd, C. M. (1990). Measures and Models of Perceived Outgroup Variability. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 54, 778-788; Park, B., & Judd, C. M. (1990). Why Do People Perceived Outgroup Variability. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 59, 173-191; Rubin, M., & Badea, C. (2007). Why Do People Perceived Outgroup Variability. Ingroup Homogeneity on Ingroup Traits and Outgroup Homogeneity on Outgroup Traits? Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 33, 31-42; Taylor & Porter, A Multicultural View of Stereotypic Judgments. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 12, 392-407; Risen, J. L., Gilovich, T., & Dunning, D. (2007). One-Shot Illusory Correlations and Stereotype Formation. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 33, 1492-1502; Taylor & Porter, A Multicultural View of Stereotyping. 68. Schaefer, Racial and Ethnic Groups. 69. Tajfel, H. (1978). Differentiating Between Social Groups: Studies in Intergroup Behavior. London: Academic Press. 70. Croizet, J. C., & Claire, T. (1998). Extending the Concept of Students From Low Socioeconomic Backgrounds. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 24, 588–594; Frantz, C. M., Cuddy, A. J C., Burnett, M., Ray, H., & Hart, A. (2004). A Threat in the Computer: The Race Implicit Association Test as a Stereotype Threat Experience. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 30, 1611–1624; Hess, T. M., Auman, C., Colcombe, S. J., & Rahhal, T. A. (2003). The Impact of Stereotype Threat on Age Differences in Memory Performance. Journals of Gerontology—Series B: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences, 58B, 3–11; Spencer, S. J., Steele, C. M., & Quinn, D. M. (1999). Stereotype Threat and Women's Math Performance. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 35, 4–28; Steele, C. M., & Aronson, J. (1995). Stereotype Threat and the Intellectual Performance of African Americans. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 69, 797-811; Steele, C. M., Spencer, S. J., & Aronson, J. (2002). Contending With Group Image: The Psychology (Vol. 34, pp. 379-440). San Diego, CA: Academic Press. 71. Shapiro, J. R., & Neuberg, S. L. (2007). From Stereotype Threat to Stereotype Threats: Implications of a Multi-Threat Framework for Causes, Moderators, Consequences, and Interventions. Personality and Social Psychology Review, 11, 107–130. 72. Ibid. 73. Bruner, J. S., & Goodman, C. C. (1947). Value and Need as Organizing Factors in Perception. Journal of Abnormal Social Psychology, 42, 33-44. 741 74. Duncan, B. L. (1976). Differential Social Psychology, 34, 590-598. 75. Sagar, A. H., & Schofield, J. W. (1980). Racial and Behavioral Cues in Black and White Children's Perceptions of Ambiguously Aggressive Acts. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 39, 590–598. 76. Sumner, W. G. (1906). Folkways. Boston: Ginn. 77. Lewis, I. M. (1985). Social Anthropology in Perspective. Cambridge University Press; Lynn, R. (1976, July). The Sociobiology of Nationalism. New Society, pp. 11–14; Rushton, J. P. (1984). Genetic Similarity, Human Altruism, and Group Selection. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 12, 503–559. 78. Segall, A Cross-Cultural Research Contribution to Unraveling the Nativist-Empiricist Controversy. 79. Hofstede, G. (1991). Cultures and Organizations: Software of the Mind. London: McGraw-Hill. 80. Klopf, D. W. (1995). Intercultural Encounters: The Fundamentals of Intercultural Communication. Englewood, CO: Morton. 81. Neuliep, J. W., & McCroskey, J. C. (1997). The Development of a U.S. and Generalized Ethnocentrism Scale. Communication Research Reports, 14, 385–398. 82. Levinson, D. J. (1950). Politico-Economic Ideology and Group Memberships in Relation to Ethnocentrism. In T. W. Adorno, E. Frenkel-Brunswik, D. J. Levinson, & R. N. Sanford (Eds.), The Authoritarian Personality (pp. 151–221). New York: Harper & Brothers. (Quote on p. 151) 83. Pettigrew, T. F. (1979). The Ultimate Attribution Error: Extending Allport's Cognitive Analysis of Prejudice. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 5(4), 461–476; Taylor, D. M., & Jaggi, V. (1974). Ethnocentrism and Causal Attribution in a South Indian Context. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 5, 162–171. 84. Neuliep & McCroskey, The Development of a U.S. and Generalized Ethnocentrism Scale. 85. Ibid. 86. Gudykunst & Kim, Communicating With Strangers. 87. Guan, S. J. (1995). Intercultural Communication. Beijing: Peking University Press. 742 88. Gudykunst & Kim, Communicating With Strangers; Lukens, J. (1978). Ethnocentric Speech. Ethnic Groups, 2, 35–53. 91. Gudykunst & Kim, Communicating With Strangers; Lukens, J. (1978). Ethnocentric Speech; Peng, Communicative Distance. 92. Neuliep & McCroskey, J. C. (2005). The Influence of Ethnocentrism in Organizational Contexts: Perceptions of Interviewee and Managerial Attractiveness, Credibility, and Generalized Ethnocentrism in Organizational Contexts: Perceptions of Interviewee and Managerial Attractiveness, Credibility, and Croskey, J. C. (2005). Effectiveness. Communication Quarterly, 53(1), 41-56. 94. House, T. (2001). Equal Hiring a Must. Computerworld, 35, 32-34. 95. Neuliep et al., The Influence of Ethnocentrism in Organizational Contexts. 96. Kraiger, K., & Ford, J. K. (1985). A Meta-Analysis of Ratee Race Effects in Performance Ratings. Journal of Applied Psychology, 70, 56-65; Landy, F., & Farr, J. (1980). Performance Rating. Psychological Bulletin, 87, 72–107. 97. This slavery argument is found in a variety of sources. The sources used here are Briggs, M. T. (Director & Producer). (1987). Ethnic Notions [Motion picture]. Available from California Newsreel, Berkeley, CA; A Learned Behavior. (1996). Canada and the World Backgrounder, 61, 4–10. 98. Castle, S. (1993). Explaining Racism in the New Germany. Social Alternatives, 12, 9–13; Wolfe, C. T., & Spencer, S. J. (1996). Stereotypes and Prejudice. American Behavioral Scientist, 40, 177–187. Chapter 6 1. de Tocqueville, A. (1900). Democracy in America (H. Reeve, Trans., Vol. 2). New York: P. F. Collier (Copyright 1900 by the Colonial Press), p. 114. 2. Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, F. P. (2013). Joining Together: Group Theory and Group Skills (11th ed.). New York: Pearson. 3. Gudykunst, W. B., & Kim, Y. Y. (2003). Communicating With Strangers: An Approach to Intercultural Communication (4th ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill. 4. This discussion of membership, nonmembership, and voluntary and involuntary groups 743 can be found in a number of textbooks. The source used here is Merton, R. K. (1959). Social Theory and Social Structure. Glencoe, IL: Free Press. 5. Laungani, P. D. (2007). Understanding Cross-Cultural Psychology. London: SAGE. 6. Sumner, W. G. (1906). Folkways. Boston: Ginn, pp. 12–13 7. Merton, Social Theory and Social Structure. 8. Triandis, H. (1990). Cross-Cultural Studies in Individualism and Collectivism. In J. J. Berman (Ed.), Nebraska Symposium on Motivation, 1989 (pp. 41–133). Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press. 9. Brewer, M. B., & Campbell, D. T. (1976). Ethnocentrism and Intergroup Attitudes. New York: Wiley. 10. Brewer, M. B. (1979). Ingroup Bias in the Minimal Intergroup Situation: A Cognitive Motivational Analysis. Psychological Bulletin, 86, 307–324; Tajfel, H., & Turner, J. (1979). An Integrative Theory of Intergroup Conflict. In W. Austin & S. Worchel (Eds.), The Social Psychology of Intergroup Conflict. Monterey, CA: Brooks/Cole; Weber, J. (1994). The Nature
of Ethnocentric Attribution Bias: Ingroup Projection or Enhancement? Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 30, 482–504. 11. Schaefer, R. T. (2012). Racial and Ethnic Groups (13th ed.). Boston: Houghton Mifflin. 13. Spear, T., & Waller, R. (Eds.). (1993). Being Masai. London: James Currey; Vandehey, K., Buerger, C. M., & Krueger, K. (1996). Traditional Aspects and Struggles of the Masai Culture. Unpublished manuscript, St. Norbert College, De Pere, WI. 14. The discussion of roles can be found in any number of group textbooks. The particular one used here is Fisher, B. A. & Ellis, D. G. (1990). Small Group Decision Making (3rd ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill. 15. This discussion: Theory and Practice (14th ed.). Boston: McGraw-Hill. 16. Adler, R. B., & Proctor, R. F. (2010). Looking In (13th ed.). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth. 17. Gudykunst & Kim, Communicating With Strangers. 18. This information about Korea was found on the following webpage: Customs and 744 Traditions. Cambridge, UK: Research and Applications. Cambridge, UK: Research and R Cambridge University Press. 20. Keberlein, M. (1993). A Cultural Profile of the Guatemalan Ladinos. Unpublished manuscript, St. Norbert College, De Pere, WI. 21. Laungani, Understanding Cross-Cultural Psychology. 22. Klopf, D. W., & McCroskey, J. C. (2006). Intercultural Encounters: The Fundamentals of Intercultural Communication. Boston Allyn & Bacon. 23. Although different, this dialogue is adapted from a scene in Copeland, L. (Producer). (1982). Managing the Overseas Assignment [Motion picture]. San Francisco: Copeland Griggs. 24. Johnson, A. G. (2005). The Gender Knot: Unraveling Our Patriarchal Legacy. Philadelphia: Temple University Press. 25. Goettner-Abendroth, H. (2004). Matriarchal Society: Definition and Theory. In G. Vaughan (Ed.), The Gift, Il Dono: A Feminist Analysis. Rome: Meltemi; GoettnerAbendroth, H. (Ed.). (2009). Societies of Peace: Matriarchies Past, Present and Future. Toronto: Inanna and Educations. 26. Condon, J. C., & Yousef, F. (1975). An Introduction to Intercultural Communication Indianapolis, IN: Bobbs-Merrill. 27. Chan, S. (1984). Hmong Means Free. Philadelphia: Temple University Press; Thao, K. (1995). The Hmong Culture. Unpublished manuscript, St. Norbert College, De Pere, WI. 28. Paik, S. (2000). The Formation of the United Lineage in Korea. History of the Family, 5, 75–90. 29. Ibid. 30. Kim, H.-O., & Hoppe-Graff, S (2001). Mothers' Roles in Traditional and Modern Korean Families: The Consequences for Parental Practices and Adolescent Socialization. Asia Pacific Education Review, 2(1), 85–93. 31. Ibid. 32. Levande, D., Herrick, J., & Sung, K. (2000). Eldercare in the United States and South Korea. Journal of Family Issues, 21, 632–652. 745 33. Kim & Hoppe Graff, Mothers' Roles in Traditional and Modern Korean Families; OECD. (2010). Labour Force Statistics by Sex and Age: Indicators. Retrieved from 34. Central Bureau of Statistics, State of Israel. (2011, February 2). Families and Households in Israel: Family Day [Press release], p. 2. Retrieved from 35. Clearinghouse on International Developments in Child, Youth and Family Policies at Columbia University. (n.d.). Israel. Retrieved from Lewin-Epstein, N., Stier, H., Braun, M., & Langfeldt, B. (2000). Family policy and public attitudes in Germany and Israel. European Sociological Journal, 16(4), 385–401. 36. Central Bureau of Statistics, State of Israel, Families and Households in Israel; Eglash, R. (2010, October 2). CBS: Ma, Pa, & Kids Still the Prevalent Family Structures. I contributions to Indian Sociology, 44, 155–178; Walsh, E. R. (2005). From Nu Guo to Nu er Guo: Negotiating Desire in the Land of the Mosuo. Modern China, 31, 448-486. 38. Ibid. 39. Ibid. 40. Central Intelligence Agency. The World Factbook: Kenya. Retrieved from 41. Mburugu, E. K., & Adams, B. N. (2005). Families in Kenya. In B. N. Adams & J. Trost (Eds.), Handbook of World Factbook: Kenya. In B. N. Adams & J. Trost (Eds.), Handbook of World Factbook: Kenya. Retrieved from 41. Mburugu, E. K., & Adams, B. N. (2005). Families in Kenya. In B. N. Adams & J. Trost (Eds.), Handbook of World Factbook: Kenya. In B. N. Adams & J. Trost (Eds.), Handbook of World Factbook: Kenya. In B. N. Adams & J. Trost (Eds.), Handbook of World Factbook: Kenya. Retrieved from 41. Mburugu, E. K., & Adams, B. N. (2005). Families in Kenya. In B. N. Adams & J. Trost (Eds.), Handbook of World Factbook: Kenya. In B. N. Adams & J. Trost (Eds.), Handbook of World Factbook: Kenya. In B. N. Adams & J. Trost (Eds.), Handbook of World Factbook: Kenya. In B. N. Adams & J. Trost (Eds.), Handbook of World Factbook: Kenya. In B. N. Adams & J. Trost (Eds.), Handbook of World Factbook: Kenya. In B. N. Adams & J. Trost (Eds.), Handbook of World Factbook: Kenya. In B. N. Adams & J. Trost (Eds.), Handbook of World Factbook: Kenya. In B. N. Adams & J. Trost (Eds.), Handbook of World Factbook: Kenya. In B. N. Adams & J. Trost (Eds.), Handbook of World Factbook: Kenya. In B. N. Adams & J. Trost (Eds.), Handbook of World Factbook: Kenya. In B. N. Adams & J. Trost (Eds.), Handbook of World Factbook: Kenya. In B. N. Adams & J. Trost (Eds.), Handbook of World Factbook: Kenya. In B. N. Adams & J. Trost (Eds.), Handbook of World Factbook: Kenya. In B. N. Adams & J. Trost (Eds.), Handbook of World Factbook: Kenya. In B. N. Adams & J. Trost (Eds.), Handbook of World Factbook & Kenya. In B. N. Adams & J. Trost (Eds.), Handbook & J. Trost (Eds.), Handbo Unit Faces Collapse. (n.d.). Daily Nation. Retrieved from 43. Mburugu, Families in Kenya. 44. Ibid. 45. Bem, S. L. (1974). The Measurement of Psychology, 81, 506–520; Wood, J. (2000). Gender, Communication, and Culture. In L. A. Samovar & R. E. Porter (Eds.), Intercultural 746 cation: A Reader (9th ed., pp. 170–179). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth. 46. Hofstede, G. (1991). Cultures and Organization: Software of the Mind. New York: McGraw-Hill. 47. Lobel, T. E., Mashraki-Redhatzur, S., Mantzur, A., & Libby, S. (2000). Gender Discrimination as a Function of Stereotypic and Counterstereotypic Behavior: A CrossCultura Study. Sex Roles, 43, 395-406. 48. Williams, J. E., & Best, D. L. (1990). Measuring Sex Stereotypes Across Cultures: A Multi-Nation Study. Newbury Park, CA: SAGE. 49. Williams, J. E., & Best, D. L. (1994). Cross-Cultural Views of Women and Men. In W. J. Lonner & R. Malpass (Eds.), Psychology and Culture (pp. 191-196). Boston: Allyn & Bacon. 50. Iwao, S. (1993). The Japanese Woman: Traditional Image and Changing Reality. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press; Sugihara, Y., & Katsurada, E. (1999). Masculinity and Femininity in Japanese Culture: A Pilot Study. Sex Roles, 40, 635–646; Ui, M., & Matsui, Y. (2008). Japanese Adults' Sex Role Attitudes and Judgment Criteria Concerning Gender Equality: The Diversity of Gender Egalitarianism. Sex Roles, 58, 412–422. 51. TrustLaw. (2011, June 15). The World's Most Dangerous Countries for Women. Retrieved from 52. Laungani, Understanding Cross-Cultural Psychology. 53. 24,771 Dowry Deaths Reported in Last 3 Years: Govt. PTI The Indian Express. July 31, 2015. Retrieved from 52. Laungani, Understanding Cross-Cultural Psychology. 53. 24,771 Dowry Deaths Reported in Last 3 Years: Govt. PTI The Indian Express. July 31, 2015. Retrieved from 52. Laungani, Understanding Cross-Cultural Psychology. 53. 24,771 Dowry Deaths Reported in Last 3 Years: Govt. PTI The Indian Express. July 31, 2015. Retrieved from 52. Laungani, Understanding Cross-Cultural Psychology. 53. 24,771 Dowry Deaths Reported in Last 3 Years: Govt. PTI The Indian Express. July 31, 2015. Retrieved from 52. Laungani, Understanding Cross-Cultural Psychology. 53. 24,771 Dowry Deaths Reported in Last 3 Years: Govt. PTI The Indian Express. July 31, 2015. Retrieved from 52. Laungani, Understanding Cross-Cultural Psychology. 53. 24,771 Dowry Deaths Reported in Last 3 Years: Govt. PTI The Indian Express. July 31, 2015. Retrieved from 52. Laungani, Understanding Cross-Cultural Psychology. 53. 24,771 Dowry Deaths Reported in Last 3 Years: Govt. PTI The Indian Express. July 31, 2015. Retrieved from 52. Laungani, Understanding Cross-Cultural Psychology. 53. 24,771 Dowry Deaths Reported in Last 3 Years: Govt. PTI The Indian Express. July 31, 2015. Retrieved from 52. Laungani, Understanding Cross-Cultural Psychology. 53. 24,771 Dowry Deaths Reported in Last 3 Years: Govt. PTI The Indian Express. July 31, 2015. Retrieved from 52. Laungani, Understanding Cross-Cultural Psychology. S3. 24,771 Dowry Deaths Reported in Last 3 Years: Govt. PTI The Indian Express. July 31, 2015. Retrieved from 52. Laungani, Understanding Cross-Cultural Psychology. S3. 24,771 Dowry Deaths Retrieved from 52. Laungani, Understanding Cross-Cultural Psychology. S3. 24,771 Dowry Deaths Retrieved from 52. Laungani, Understanding Cross Bedi, R. (2012, February 27). Indian Dowry Deaths on the Rise. Telegraph. Retrieved from Duley, M. I. (1986). Women in India. In M. I. Duley & M. I. Edwards (Eds.), The Cross-Cultural Study of Women (pp. 127-236). New York: Feminist Press; India: Actions to Stop Feticide. (2000). Women's International Network News, 26, 47; India: Dowry Deaths Still Increasing. (1996). Women's International Network News, 22, 67; Majumdar, R. (2004). Snehalata's Death: Dowry and Women's Agency in Colonial Bengal. India. Commonwealth, 126, 18–21. 54. Wang, X. M. (1993). The Storm of a Divorce. Chinese Education and Society, 26, 48. 55. Pimentel, E. E. (2006). Gender Ideology, Household Behavior, and Backlash in Urban 747 China. Journal of Family Issues, 27, 341–365. 56. Zhao, G. M. (2003). Trafficking of Women for Marriage in China: Policy and Practice. Criminal Justice, 3, 83–102. 57. Davin, D. (2005). Women and Migration in Contemporary China. China Report, 41, 29–38. 58. Pimentel, Gender Ideology, Household Behavior, and Backlash in Urban China. 59. Marquez, C. M. (n.d.). An Introduction to Mexico and the Role of Women. West Virginia University Extension Service
(WP513). 60. McCaa, R., Esteve, A., Gutierrez, R., & Vasquez, G. (2004, January). Women in the Workforce: Calibrating Census Microdata Against Gold Standards Mexico, 1990–2000. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Population Center. 61. Marquez, An Introduction to Mexico and the Role of Women. 62. Israel Defense Forces. (2010, August 25). Statistics: Women's Service in the IDF for 2010. Retrieved from 63. Bronner, E., & Kershner, I. (2012, January 14). Israelis Facing Seismic Rift Over Role of Women. The New York Times. Retrieved from 64. Ibid. 65. Bronner & Kershner, Israelis Facing Seismic Rift Over Role of Women. Ynet Jewish World. Retrieved from 7340,L-4281828,00.html; Papers Alter Israel Cabinet Photo. (2009, April 3). BBC News. Retrieved from Shapira-Rosenberg, R. (2010, November). Excluded, for God's Sake: Gender Segregation in Public Space in Israel Religious Action Center, Israel Movement for Progressive (Reform) Judaism. Retrieved from 66. Al-Nafjan, E. (2012, June 8). Saudi Arabia, My Changing Home. The New York Times. Retrieved from 67. Mishra, S. (2007). "Liberation" vs. "Purity": Representations of Saudi Women in the American Women in the American Press and American Women in the Saudi Press. Howard Journal of 748 Communications, 18, 259–276. doi:10.1080/10646170701490849 68. Al-Nafjan, My Changing Home. 69. Al-Lily, A. (2011). Online and Under Veil: Technology-Facilitated Communication and Saudi Female Experience Within Academia. Technology in Society 33(1–2), 119–127. 70. Al-Nafjan, My Changing Home. 71. Lefdahl-Davis, E. M., & Perrone-McGovern, K. M. (2015). The Cultural Adjustment of Saudi Women International Students: A Qualitative Examination. Journal of CrossCultural Psychology, 46(3), 406–434. doi:10.1177/0022022114566680 72. Tawfiq, W. A., & Paff Ogle, J. (2013). Constructing and Presenting the Self Through Private Sphere Dress: An Interpretive Analysis of the Experiences of Saudi Arabian Women. Clothing and Textiles Research Journal, 31(4), 275–290. doi:10.1177/0887302X13504031 73. Saudi Arabian Landmark Elections for Women: New Candidacy, Voter Rights—but Old Barriers. Human Rights Watch. Retrieved from 74. Al-Nafjan, My Changing Home. Chapter 7 1. Searchinger, G. (Director & Producer). (1996). The Human Language Series: Part I: Colorless Green Ideas [Motion picture]. Available from Ways of Knowing, Inc., 200 West 72nd Street, New York, NY 10023. 2. Salzmann, Z. (1993). Language, Culture, and Society. 5. Whorf, B. (1940). Science and Linguistics. Technology Review, 42, 229–231, 247–248. 6. Whorf, B. (1940). Linguistics as an Exact Science. Technology Review, 43, 61-63, 80-83. 7. Salzmann, Language, Culture, and Society. 8. Pinker, S. (2007). The Stuff of Thought: Language as a Window Into Human Nature. New York: Viking. 9. Salzmann, Language, Culture, and Society. 749 10. Chomsky, N. (1965). Aspects of the Theory of Syntax. Cambridge: MIT Press; Goss, B., & O'Hair, D. (1988). Communicating in Interpersonal Relationships. New York: Macmillan. 11. Katzner, K. (1977). Japanese "Kanji." Retrieved from 13. Goss & O'Hair, Communicating in Interpersonal Relationships. 14. Chomsky, N. (1957). Syntactic Structures. The Hague, Netherlands: Mouton. 15. Much of this discussion of language is based on interviews with Chomsky and other linguists in Searchinger, The Human Language Series. 17. Ibid. 18. Gleitman, L. R. (1993). A Human Universal: The Capacity to Learn Language. Modern Philology, 90, S13-S33. 19. Pinker, S. (1995). Language Acquisition. In L. R. Gleitman & M. Liberman (Eds.), An Invitation to Cognitive Science: Vol. 1: Language Series. 22. Pinker, Language Acquisition. Some of these examples are from an interview with Pinker in Searchinger, The Human Language Series. 23. Heath, J. (1997). Super-Quick Overview of Characteristics of the Japanese Language. Retrieved from 24. Katzner, Language Series. 23. Heath, J. (1997). Super-Quick Overview of Characteristics of the Japanese Language Series. 24. Katzner, Language Series. 25. Kulick, D. (1997). Super-Quick Overview of Characteristics of the Japanese Language. Retrieved from 24. Katzner, Language Series. 23. Heath, J. (1997). Super-Quick Overview of Characteristics of the Japanese Language. Retrieved from 24. Katzner, Language Series. 23. Heath, J. (1997). Super-Quick Overview of Characteristics of the Japanese Language. Retrieved from 24. Katzner, Language Series. 23. Heath, J. (1997). Super-Quick Overview of Characteristics of the Japanese Language. Retrieved from 24. Katzner, Language Series. 23. Heath, J. (1997). Super-Quick Overview of Characteristics of the Japanese Language. Retrieved from 24. Katzner, Language. Retrieved from Cambridge University Press; Searchinger, The Human Language Series. 26. Ibid. 27. Salzmann, Language, Culture, and Society. 28. Searchinger, The Human Language Series. 750 29. Ibid. 30. Zimmer, B., Solomon, J., Carson, C. E. (2015). Among the New Words. American Speech, 90(1), 84–105. doi:10.1215/00031283-2914703. Zimmer, B., Solomon, J., Carson, C. E. (2015). Among the New Words. American Speech, 90(2), 214–229. doi:10.1215/00031283-3130335 31. Prewitt-Freilino, J. L., Caswell, A. T., & Laakso, E. K. (2012). The Gendering of Language: A Comparison of Gender Equality in Countries with Gendered, Natural Gender, and Genderless Languages. Sex Roles, 66, 268–281. 32. Wasserman, B. D., & Weseley, A. J. (2009). Que? Quoi? Do Languages With Grammatical Gender Promote Sexist Attitudes? Sex Roles, 61, 634–643. 33. Cherng, R-J., Chang, C-L., & Chen, J-Y. (2009). A New Look at Gender Inequality in Chinese: A Study of Chinese Speakers' Perception of Gender Based Characters. Sex Roles, 61, 427–433. 34. Wasserman & Weseley, Que? Quoi? Do Languages With Grammatical Gender Promote Sexist Attitudes? 35. Bernstein, B. (1966). Elaborated and Restricted Codes: Their Social Origins and Some Consequences. In A. G. Smith (Ed.), Communication and Culture (pp. 427-441). New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston. 36. Ibid. 37. Ibid. 38. Gudykunst, W. B. & Ting-Toomey, S. (1988). Verbal Communication Styles. In W. B. Gudykunst & S. Ting-Toomey (Eds.), Culture and Interpersonal Communication (pp. 99–115). Newbury Park, CA: SAGE. 39. Ibid. 40. Fedarko, K. (1995). Man of Israel: Rabin's Stirring Life Story Marks Out the Mileposts in the History of His Nation. Time, 146(20), 68–72. 41. Hall, E. T., & Hall, M. R. (1990). Understanding Cultural Differences: Germans, French, and Americans. Yarmouth, ME: Intercultural Press. 42. Iwao, S. (1993). The Japanese Woman: Traditional Image and Changing Reality. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press. 43. Hall & Hall, Understanding Cultural Differences. 751 44. This dialogue is loosely adapted from Storti, C. (1994). Cross-Cultural Dialogues: 74 Brief Encounters With Cultural Difference. Yarmouth, ME: Intercultural Pluralism: Black and White Styles. In D. Carbaugh (Ed.), Cultural Communication and Intercultural Contact (pp. 219–224). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum. 47. Stowell, J. (1996). The Changing Face of Chinese Communication: A Synthesis of Interpersonal Communication Association, San Diego, CA. 48. Weider, D. L., & Pratt, S. (1990). On Being a Recognizable Indians. In D. Carbaughten and State and (Ed.), Cultural Communication and Intercultural Contact (pp. 45-64). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum. 49. Bernstein, Elaborated and Restricted Codes; Gudykunst, W. B., & Kim, Y. Y. (1997). Communicating With Strangers: An Approach to Intercultural Contact (pp. 45-64). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum. 49. Bernstein, Elaborated and Restricted Codes; Gudykunst, W. B., & Kim, Y. Y. (1997). Communicating With Strangers: An Approach to Intercultural Contact (pp. 45-64). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum. 49. Bernstein, Elaborated and Restricted Codes; Gudykunst, W. B., & Kim, Y. Y. (1997). Communicating With Strangers: An Approach to Intercultural Contact (pp. 45-64). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum. 49. Bernstein, Elaborated and Restricted Codes; Gudykunst, W. B., & Kim, Y. Y. (1997). Communicating With Strangers: An Approach to Intercultural Contact (pp. 45-64). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum. 49. Bernstein, Elaborated and Restricted Codes; Gudykunst, W. B., & Kim, Y. Y. (1997). Communicating With Strangers: An Approach to Intercultural Contact (pp. 45-64). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum. 49. Bernstein, Elaborated and Restricted Codes; Gudykunst, W. B., & Kim, Y. Y. (1997). Communicating With Strangers: An Approach to Intercultural Contact (pp. 45-64). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum. 49. Bernstein, Elaborated and Restricted Codes; Gudykunst, W. B., & Kim, Y. Y. (1997). Communicating With Strangers: An Approach to Intercultural Contact (pp. 45-64). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum. 49. Bernstein, Elaborated and Restricted Codes; Gudykunst, W. B., & Kim, Y. Y. (1997). Communicating With Strangers: An Approach to Intercultural Contact (pp. 45-64). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum. 49. Bernstein, Elaborated and Restricted Codes; Gudykunst, W. B., & Kim, Y. (1997). Communicating With Strangers: An Approach to Intercultural Contact (pp. 45-64). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum. 49. Bernstein, Elaborated Approach to Intercultural Contact (pp. 45-64). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum. 49. Bernstein, Elaborated Approach to Intercultural Contact (pp. 45-64). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum. 49. Bernstein, Elaborated Approach to Inter for Americans. Yarmouth, ME: Intercultural Press; Gudykunst & Ting-Toomey, Culture and Interpersonal Communication. 51. Storti, Cross-Cultural Dialogues. 52. Ibid. 53. Martin, S. E. (1964). Speech Levels in Japan and Korea. In D. Hymes (Ed.), Language in Culture and Society (pp. 407–415). New York: Harper & Row; Yum, J. O. (1997). The Impact of Confucianism on Interpersonal Relationships and Communication: A Reader (pp. 78-88). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth. 54. Miyagawa, S. (1995). The Japanese Language. Massachusetts Institute of Technology. Retrieved from Language.html 55. Hooker, R. (1999). The Japanese Language. Retrieved from www.wsu.edu:8080/~dee/ANCJAPAN/language.htm 56. Gudykunst & Ting-Toomey, Verbal
Communication Styles; Yum, The Impact of Confucianism on Interpersonal Relationships and Culture (9th ed.). 752 Boston: Wadsworth. 58. Hall & Hall, Understanding Cultural Differences. 59. Condon, With Respect to the Japanese, p. 50. 60. Martin, Speech Levels in Japan and Korea. 61. A Haiku Homepage. Retrieved from The Shiki Internet Haiku Salon. Retrieved from Shiki / 62. Becker, C. B. (1986). Reasons for the Lack of Argumentation and Debate in the Far East. International Journal of Intercultural Relations, 10, 75–92. 63. Yum, The Impact of Confucianism on Interpersonal Relationships and Communication Across Cultures (7th ed.). New York: Pearson; Stowell, The Changing Face of Chinese Communication. 65. This dialogue is adapted from Copeland, L. (Producer). (1983). Managing the Overseas Assignment [Motion picture]. San Francisco: Copeland Griggs Productions. 66. Philipsen, G. (1990). Speaking "Like a Man" in Teamsterville: Culture Patterns of Role Enactment in an Urban Neighborhood. In D. Carbaugh (Ed.), Cultural Communication and Intercultural Contact (pp. 11-20). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum, p. 17. 67. Brass, H. (2005-2006). Japanese Women's Language: A Changing Roles. Journal of Undergraduate Research. The University of Notre Dame. 68. Chan, M. K. M. (1998). Gendered Differences in the Chinese Language: A Changing Roles. Journal of Undergraduate Research. The University of Notre Dame. 68. Chan, M. K. M. (1998). Gendered Differences in the Chinese Language: A Preliminary Report. In H. Lin (Ed.), Proceedings of the Ninth North American Conference on Chinese Linguistics (Vol. 2, pp. 35–52). Los Angeles: GSIL, University of California. 69. Pande, A. (2004). Undoing Gender Stereotypes in Hindi. Linguistik Online, 21. 70. McNamara, T. F. (1988). Language and Social Identity: Israelis Abroad. In W. B. Gudykunst (Ed.), Language and Ethnic Identity (pp. 59-72). Clevedon, UK: Multilingual Matters. 71. SanAntonio, P. M. (1988). Social Mobility and Language and Ethnic Identity (pp. 35-44). Clevedon, UK: Multilingual Matters. 753 72. Weider & Pratt, On Being a Recognizable Indian Among Indians. 73. Smitherman, G. (2000). Talkin That Talk: Language, Culture, and Education in African America. New York: Routledge; Weber, S. (1994). The Need to Be: The Socio-Cultural Significance of Black Language. In L. A. Samovar & R. E. Porter (Eds.), Intercultural Communication: A Reader (pp. 221–226). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth. 74. Smitherman, Talkin That Talk. 75. Excerpt taken from Smitherman, Talkin That Talk, p. xi. 76. Richardson, E. (1998). The Anti-Ebonics Movement: "Standard" English Only. Journal of English Contesting Contes Chicano English in Context. Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire, UK: Palgrave MacMillan, p. 4. 79. Ibid. 80. MacNeil, R., & Cran, W. (2005). Do You Speak American?; Wolfram, W., & Schilling-Estes, N. (2006). American English: Dialects and Variation (2nd ed.). Malden, MA: Blackwell. 82. Wolfram & Schilling-Estes, American English: Archaism or Innovation? Do You Speak American? Retrieved from www.pbs.org/speak/seatosea/americanvarieties/aprefixing/background 84. Melancon, M. (2005). Stirring the Linguistic Gumbo. Do You Speak American? Retrieved from www.pbs.org/speak/seatosea/americanvarieties/cajun/ 85. Fought, J. (2005). Starting With the Coast. Retrieved from 86. Eckert, P., & Mendoza-Denton, N. (2002). Getting Real in the Golden State. Language Magazine, 1(7), 29–30, 33–34. 87. MacNeil & Cran, Do You Speak American?; see also Colloff, P. (2003, June 1). Drawl or Nothin': Did You Think Our Beloved Texas Accent Was Disappearing? Not So Fast, 754 Y'all. Turns Out It's Hotter Than a Two-Dollar Pistol. Texas Monthly. 88. Gordon, M. J. (2002). Straight Talking From the Heartland. Language Magazine, 1(5), 35–38. Chapter 8 1. Farb, P. (1973). Word Play: What Happens When People Talk. New York: Bantam, p. 234. 2. Molinsky, A. L., Krabbenhoft, M. A., Ambady, N., & Choi, Y. S. (2005). Cracking the Nonverbal Code: Intercultural Psychology, 36, 380–395. 3. Searchinger, G. (Director & Producer). (1996). The Human Language Series: Part III: With and Without Words [Motion picture]. Available from Ways of Knowing, Inc., 200 West 72nd Street, New York, NY 10023. 4. Knapp, M. L., & Hall, J. A. (2009). Nonverbal Communication in Human Interaction (7th ed.). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth (Thomson Learning). 5. Searchinger, The Human Language Series. 6. Ibid. 7. Ibid. 8. Condon, J. C., & Yousef, F. (1975). An Approach to Intercultural Communication. Indianapolis, IN: Bobbs-Merrill; Littlejohn, S. W. (1996). Theories of Human Communication (5th ed.). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth. 9. Ekman, P., & Friesen, W. V. (1969). The Repertoire of Nonverbal Behavior: Categories, Origins, Usage, and Coding. Semiotica, 1, 49–98. 10. Guidetti, M., & Nicoladis, E. (2006). Gestures and Communicative Development. First Language, 26, 346. 11. Blake, J., Osborne, P., Cabral, M., & Gluck, P. (2003). The Development of Communicative Gestures: Culture and Nonverbal Communication [Motion picture]. Available from University of California Extension, Center for Media and Independent Learning, 2000 Center Street, Fourth Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704. 755 13. Sapir, E. (1949). The Unconscious Patterning of Behaviour in Society. In D. G. Mendelbaum (Ed.), Selected Writings of Edward Sapir (pp. 544–559). Berkeley: University of California Press. (Quote on p. 554) 14. Molinsky et al., Cracking the Nonverbal Code. 15. Choi, M. (1995). Cultural Profile of South Korea. Unpublished student manuscript, St. Norbert College, De Pere, WI. 16. Harris, P. R., Moran, R. T., & Moran, S. V. (2004). Managing Cultural Differences: Global Leadership Strategies for the 21st Century (6th ed.). Burlington, MA: Elsevier, Butterworth-Heineman; Kachka, B. (2007). Etiquette 101: Japan. Retrieved from 18. Harris et al., Managing Cultural Differences; Wolter, A. (1994). Saudi Arabia and Its Culture. Unpublished manuscript, St.

Norbert College, De Pere, WI. 19. Rieck, S., & Ogura, M. (1996). Sri Lanka: The Pearl of the Indian Ocean. Unpublished manuscript, St. Norbert College, De Pere, WI. 22. Archer & Silver, A World of Gestures. 21. Keberlein, M. C. (1993). A Cultural Profile of the Guatemalan Ladinos. Unpublished manuscript, St. Norbert College, De Pere, WI. 22. Thao, K. (1995). The Hmong Culture. Unpublished student manuscript, St. Norbert College, De Pere, WI. 23. Penasa, A. G., Peters, M., & Smits, S. (1996). Jamaica: Out of Many, One People. Unpublished manuscript, St. Norbert College, De Pere, WI. 24. These examples are shown in Archer & Silver, A World of Gestures. 25. Ibid. 26. Knapp & Hall Nonverbal Communication in Human Interaction. 27. Searchinger, The Human Language Series. 28. Birdwhistell, R. L. (1970). Kinesics and Context: Essays on Body Motion Communication. New York: Ballantine, p. 42. 756 29. Darwin, C. (1872). The Expression of the Emotions in Man and Animals. London: J. Murray, p. 361. 30. Searchinger, The Human Language Series. 31. Ekman, P., Friesen, W., O'Sullivan, M., Chan, A., Diacoyanni-Tarlatzis, I., Heider, K., et al. (1987). Universals and Cultural Differences in the Judgment of Facial Expressions of Emotions. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 53, 712–717. 32. Izard, C. E. (1994). Innate and Universal Facial Expressions: Evidence From Developmental and Cross-Cultural Research. Psychological Bulletin, 115, 288–299. 33. Stephan, C. W., & De Vargas, M. C. (1996). Emotional Expressions in Costa Rica and the United States. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 27, 147–160. 34. Schimmack, U. (1996). Emotional Expressions in Costa Rica and the United States. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 27, 147–160. 34. Schimmack, U. (1996). Emotional Expressions in Costa Rica and the United States. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 27, 147–160. 34. Schimmack, U. (1996). Expressions. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 27, 37-51. 35. Pittam, J., Gallois, C., Iwawaki, S., & Kroonenberg, P. (1995). Australian and Japanese Concepts of Expressive Behavior. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 26, 451-473. 36. Marsh, A., Elfenbein, H. A., & Ambady, N. (2003). Nonverbal "Accents": Cultural Differences in Facial Expressions of Emotion. Psychological Science, 14, 373–376. 37. Jack, R. E., Garrod, O. G. B., Yu, H., Caldara, R., & Schyns, P. G. (2012). Facial Expressions of Emotion Are Not Culturally Universal. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 109(19), 7241–7244. 38. Bothwell, R. K., Brigham, J. C., & Malpass, R. S. (1989). Cross-Racial Identification. Personality and Psychology Bulletin, 15, 19–25; Malpass, R. S., & Kravitz, J. (1969). Recognition for Faces of Own and Other Race. Journal of Persons and Things. Journal of Criminal Law and Police Science, 5, 50 40. Brigham, J. C., & Malpass, R. S. (1985). The Role of Experience and Contact in the Recognition of Faces of Own- and Other-Race Persons. Journal of Social Issues, 41, 139–155. 41. Feinman, S., & Entwistle, D. R. (1976). Children's Ability to Recognize Other Children's Faces. Child Development, 47, 506–510. 42. Brigham, J. C., & Barkowitz, P. (1978). Do They All Look Alike? The Effect of Race, Sex, Experience, and Attitudes on the Ability to Recognize Faces. Journal of Applied Social 757 Psychology, 8, 306–318; Malpass & Kravitz, Recognition for Faces of Own and Other Race; Ng, W. J., & Lindsay, R. C. L. (1994). Cross-Race Facial Recognition: Failure of the Contact Hypothesis. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 25, 217–232; Teitelbaum, S., & Geiselman, R. E. (1997). Observer Mood and Cross-Racial Recognition of Faces. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 28, 93–106. 43. Rhodes, G., Tan, S., Brake, S., & Taylor, K. (1989). Expertise and Configural Coding in Face Recognition. British Journal of Psychology, 80, 313–331. 44. Shriver, E. R., Young, S. G., Hugenberg, K., Bernstein, M. J., & Lanter, J. R. (2008). Class, Race, and the Face: Social Context Modulates the Cross-Race Effect in Face Recognition. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 34, 260–274. 45. Johnson, K. J., & Fredrickson, B. L. (2005). "We All Look the Same to Me": Positive Emotions Eliminate the Own-Race Bias in Face Recognition. Psychological Science, 16, 875–881; Maclin, O. H., & Malpass, R. S. (2003). The Ambiguous-Race Face Illusion. Perception, 32, 249–252. 46. Young, S. G., & Hugenberg, K. (2012). Individuation Motivation and Face Experience Can Operate Jointly to Produce the Own-Race Bias. Social Psychological and Personality Science, 3, 80–87. 47. Almaney, A., & Alwan, A. (1982). Communicating With the Arabs. Prospect Heights, IL: Waveland. 48. Harris et al., Managing Cultural Differences. 49. Adams, R. B., Jr., & Kleck, R. E. (2003). Perceived Gaze Direction and the Processing of Facial Displays of Emotion. Psychological Science, 14(6), 644–647. 50. Janik S. W., Wellens, A. R., Goldberg, M. L., & Dell'Osso, L. F. (1978). Eyes as the Center of Focus in the Visual Examination of Human Faces. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 47, 857–858. 51. Adams & Kleck, Perceived Gaze Direction and the Processing of Facial Displays of Emotion. 52. Blais, C., Jack, R. E., Scheepers, C., Fiset, D., & Caldara, R. (2008). Culture Shapes How We Look at Faces. PLoS ONE, 3(8), e3022. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0003022 53. Akechi, H., Senju, A., Uibo, H., Kikuchi, Y., Hasegawa, T., & Hietanen, J. K. (2013). Attention to Eye Contact: Autonomic Responses and Evaluative Ratings. PLoS ONE, 8(3), e59312. 54. Nelson, A. (2010). The Politics of Eye Contact: A tention to Eye Contact: Autonomic Responses and Evaluative Ratings. PLoS ONE, 8(3), e59312. 54. Nelson, A. (2010). The Politics of Eye Contact: A tention to Eye Contact: A tention to Eye Contact: A tention to Eye Contact in the West and East: Autonomic Responses and Evaluative Ratings. PLoS ONE, 8(3), e59312. 54. Nelson, A. (2010). The Politics of Eye Contact: A tention to Eye Contact: A tention to Eye Contact: A tention to Eye Contact in the West and East: Autonomic Responses and Evaluative Ratings. PLoS ONE, 8(3), e59312. 54. Nelson, A. (2010). The Politics of Eye Contact: A tention to Eye Contact: A tention to Eye Contact: A tention to Eye Contact in the West and East: A tention to Eye Contact in the West and East: A tention to Eye Contact: A tention to Eye Contact in the West and East: A tention to Eye Contact: A tention tendine tention tention tentin tenting tention tention tention t Gender Perspective. Psychology 758 Today. Retrieved from 55. Knapp & Hall, Nonverbal Communication in Human Interaction. 56. Searchinger, The Human Interaction. 57. Chinese Mandarin Tones. (n.d.). Qi: The Journal of Traditional Eastern Health and Fitness. Retrieved from Hsin-Yun, Y. (1998). The Four Tones in Mandarin Chinese. Retrieved from www.wellgot.ca/englishfm.html 58. Ibid. 59. Choi, Cultural Profile of South Korea. 60. Zuckerman, M., & Miyake, K. (1993). The Attractive Voice: What Makes It So? Journal of Nonverbal Behavior, 17, 119–135. 61. Gluszek, A., & Dovidio, J. F. (2010). Speaking With a Nonnative Accent: Perception of Bias, Communication, Difficulties and Belonging in the United States. Journal of Language and Social Psychology, 29(2), 229-234; Gluszek, A., & Dovidio, J. F. (2010). The Way They Speak: A Social Psychology Review, 14(2), 214-237. 62. Giles, H. (1970). Evaluative Reactions to Accents. Educational Review, 22, 211-227; Gluszek & Dovidio, Speaking With a Nonnative Accent; Gluszek & Dovidio, The Way They Speak. 63. Giles, Evaluative Reactions to Accents; Gluszek & Dovidio, P. (1987). Ethnolinguistic Identity Theory: A Social Psychological Approach to Language Maintenance. International Journal of the Sociology of Language, 68, 69–99; Gluszek & Dovidio, Speaking With a Nonnative Accent; Gluszek & Dovidio, The Way They Speak. 64. Cargile, A. C., & Giles, H. (1997). Understanding Language & Communication, 17, 195–217; Dixon, J. A., Mahoney, B., & Cocks, R. (2002). Accents of Guilt? Effects of Regional Accent, Race, and Crime Type on Attributions of Guilt. Journal of Language and Social Psychology, 21, 162–168; Edwards, J. (1999). Refining Our Understanding of Language and Social Psychology, 21, 162–168; Edwards, J. (1999). Lippi-Green, R. (1994). Accent, Standard Language Ideology, and Discriminatory Pretext in the Courts. Language in Society, 23, 163–198; Stewart, M. A., Ryan, E. B., & Giles, H. (1985). Accent and Social Class Effects on Status and Solidarity Evaluations. Personality and Social Psychology 759 Bulletin, 11, 98–105. 65. Kinzler, K. D., Shutts, K., DeJesus, J., & Spelke, E. S. (2009). Accent Trumps Race in Guiding Children's Social Preferences. Social Cognition, 27, 623–634. 66. Gluszek & Dovidio, The Way They Speak. 67. Neuliep, J. W., & Speten-Hansen, K. M. (2013). The influence of ethnocentrism on social perceptions of nonnative accents. Language & Communication, 33, 167–176. 68 Dixon et al., Accents of Guilt? Effects of Regional Accents, Race, and Crime Type on Attributions of Guilt; Gluszek & Dovidio, The Way They Speak; Rubin, D. L. (1992). Nonlanguage Factors Affecting Undergraduates' Judgments of Nonnative English-Speaking Teaching Assistants. Research in Higher Education, 33, 511–531. 69. Hasegawa, T., & Gudykunst, W. B. (1998). Silence in Japan and the United States. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 29, 668–684. 70. Kaya, N., & Weber, M. J. (2003). Territorial Behavior, 35, 400–415. 71. Bishop, R. J (1995). Cultural Profile, Examination of Value Orientations and Sociocultural Study. Influences, and Verbal and Nonverbal Language Aspects of the East Indian Culture. Unpublished student manuscript, St. Norbert College, De Pere, WI. 72. Hesselink, R. C., Mullen, R. T., & Rouse, J. M. (1996). Moroccan Culture: An InDepth Study. Unpublished manuscript, St. Norbert College, De Pere, WI. 73. Ishikawa, Y., & Hashimoto, M. (1996). The Kenyan Culture. Unpublished student manuscript, St. Norbert College, De
Pere, WI. 74. Argyle, M. (1975). Bodily Communication. New York: International Universities Press; Wolter, Saudi Arabia and Its Culture. 75. Schut, C., Linder, D., Brosig, B., Niemeier, V., Ermler, C., Madejski, K., et al. (2013). Appraisal of Touching Behavior, Shame and Disgust: A Cross-Cultural Study. International Journal of Culture and Mental Health, 6(1), 1–15. (Quote on p. 1) 76. Knapp & Hall, Nonverbal Communication in Human Interaction. 77. Hall, E. T. (1963). A System for the Notation of Proxemic Behavior. American Anthropologist, 65, 1003–1026. 78. Kim, M. S. (1998). A Comparative Analysis of Nonverbal Expressions as Portrayed by Korean and American Print-Media Advertising. In J. N. Martin, T. K. Nakayama, & L. A. 760 Flores (Eds.), Readings in Cultural Contexts (pp. 206-216). Mountain View, CA: Mayfield. 79. McDaniel, E., & Andersen, P. A. (1998). International Patterns of Interpersonal Tactile Communication: A Field Study. Journal of Nonverbal Behavior, 22, 59–76. 80. Dibiase, R., & Gunnoe, J. (2004). Gender and Culture Differences in Touching Behavior. Journal of Social Psychology, 144, 49–62. 81. Casteel, B. (1995). A Cross-Cultural Encounters: The Fundamentals of Intercultural Communication. Englewood, CO: Morton. 82. Remland, M. S., Jones, T. S., & Brinkman, H. (1995). Interpersonal Distance, Body Orientation, and Age. Journal of Social Psychology, 135, 281–298. 83. Bishop, Cultural Profile, Examination of Value Orientations and Sociocultural Influences, and Verbal and Nonverbal Language Aspects of the East Indian Culture. 84. Wolter, Saudi Arabia and Its Culture. 85. Harris et al., Managing Cultural Differences. 86. Ibid. 87. Schut et al., Appraisal of Touching Behavior, Shame and Disgust. 88. Ibid. 89. Gibbons, B. (1987). The Intimate Sense of Smell. National Geographic, 170, 324–361. 90. Stoddart, D. M. (1990). The Scented Ape: The Biology and Culture of Human Odour. Cambridge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press. 91. Kohl, J. V., & Francoeur, R. T. (1995). The Scent of Eros. New York: Routledge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press. 91. Kohl, J. V., & Francoeur, R. T. (1994). Aroma: The Cultural History of Smell. New York: Routledge University Press. 91. Kohl, J. V., & Francoeur, R. T. (1995). The Scent of Eros. New York: Routledge University Press. 91. Kohl, J. V., & Francoeur, R. T. (1995). The Scent of Eros. New York: Routledge University Press. 91. Kohl, J. V., & Francoeur, R. T. (1995). The Scent of Eros. New York: Routledge University Press. 91. Kohl, J. V., & Francoeur, R. T. (1995). The Scent of Eros. New York: Routledge University Press. 91. Kohl, J. V., & Francoeur, R. T. (1995). The Scent of Eros. New York: Routledge University Press. 91. Kohl, J. V., & Francoeur, R. T. (1995). The Scent of Eros. New York: Routledge University Press. 91. Kohl, J. V., & Francoeur, R. T. (1995). The Scent of Eros. New York: Routledge University Press. 91. Kohl, J. V., & Francoeur, R. T. (1995). The Scent of Eros. New York: Routledge University Press. 91. Kohl, J. V., & Francoeur, R. T. (1995). The Scent of Eros. New York: Routledge University Press. 91. Kohl, J. V., & Francoeur, R. T. (1995). The Scent of Eros. New York: Routledge University Press. 91. Kohl, J. V., & Francoeur, R. T. (1995). The Scent of Eros. New York: Routledge University Press. 91. Kohl, J. V., & Francoeur, R. T. (1995). The Scent of Eros. New York: Routledge University Press. 91. Kohl, J. V., & Francoeur, R. T. (1995). The Scent of Eros. New York: Routledge University Press. 91. Kohl, J. V., & Francoeur, R. T. (1995). The Scent of Eros. New York: Routledge University Press. 91. Kohl, J. V., & Francoeur, R. T. (1995). The Scent of Eros. New York: Routledge University Press. 91. Kohl, J. V., & Francoeur, R. T. (1995). The Scent of Eros. New York: Routledge University Press. 91. Kohl, J. V., & Francoeur, R. T. (1995) 94. Le Guérer, A. (1992). Scent: The Mysterious and Essential Powers of Smell. New York: Turtle Bay Books. 95. Howes, D., Synnott, A., & Classen, C. (1995). The Anthropology of Odour. In A. N. Gilbert (Ed.), Compendium of Olfactory Research (pp. 111–116). Dubuque, IA: Kendall761 Hunt; Synnott, A. (1996). A Sociology of Smell. Canadian Review of Sociology and Anthropology, 28(4), 437–460. 96. Kohl & Francoeur, The Scent of Eros. 97. Classen et al., Aroma: The Cultural History of Smell. 100. Gibbons, The Intimate Sense of Smell. 101. This poem was cited in Classen et al., Aroma: The Cultural History of Smell. 100. Gibbons, The Intimate Sense of Smell. 101. This poem was cited in Classen et al., Aroma: The Cultural History of Smell. 100. Gibbons, The Intimate Sense of Smell. 101. This poem was cited in Classen et al., Aroma: The Cultural History of Smell. 100. Gibbons, The Intimate Sense of Smell. 101. This poem was cited in Classen et al., Aroma: The Cultural History of Smell. 100. Gibbons, The Intimate Sense of Smell. 101. This poem was cited in Classen et al., Aroma: The Cultural History of Smell. 100. Gibbons, The Intimate Sense of Smell. 101. This poem was cited in Classen et al., Aroma: The Cultural History of Smell. 100. Gibbons, The Intimate Sense of Smell. 101. This poem was cited in Classen et al., Aroma: The Cultural History of Smell. 101. This poem was cited in Classen et al., Aroma: The Cultural History of Smell. 101. This poem was cited in Classen et al., Aroma: The Cultural History of Smell. 101. This poem was cited in Classen et al., Aroma: The Cultural History of Smell. 101. This poem was cited in Classen et al., Aroma: The Cultural History of Smell. 101. This poem was cited in Classen et al., Aroma: The Cultural History of Smell. 101. This poem was cited in Classen et al., Aroma: The Cultural History of Smell. 101. This poem was cited in Classen et al., Aroma: The Cultural History of Smell. 101. This poem was cited in Classen et al., Aroma: The Cultural History of Smell. 101. This poem was cited in Classen et al., Aroma: The Cultural History of Smell. 101. This poem was cited in Classen et al., Aroma: The Cultural History of Smell. 101. This poem was cited in Classen et al., Aroma: The Cultural History of Smell. 101. This poem was cited in Classen et al., Aroma: The Cultural History of Smell. 101. This poem was cited in Classen et al The original source is H. Williams. (1937). The Poems of Jonathan Swift (Vol. 2). Oxford, United Kingdom: Clarendon, p. 529. 102. Olfactory Research Fund. Enthusiastic Response to Website Sense of Smell Survey (1996–1997). Retrieved from www.olfactory.org/response.html 103. Todrank, J., Byrnes, D., Wrzesniewski, A., & Rozin, P. (1995). Odors Can Change Preferences for People in Photographs: A Cross-Modal Evaluative Conditioning Study With Olfactory USs and Visual CSs. Learning and Motivation, 26, 116–140. 104. Kohl & Francoeur, The Scent of Eros. 105. Fox, K. (2001). The Smell Report. Oxford, United Kingdom: Social Issues Research Centre. 106. Ibid. 107. Ibid. 108. Ibid. 109. Harris et al., Managing Cultural Differences; Recreational Travel Guide to India. (1993). Retrieved from www.solutions.mb.ca/rec-traqvel/asia/india/india-guide.html 110. A History of Kimono. (n.d.). Retrieved from www.japan.mit.edu/kimono/intro.html. This site is a part of the Japanese Language and Culture Network. 111. Hall, E. T. (1959). The Silent Language. New York: Doubleday. 112. Andersen, P. A., Hecht, M. L., Hoobler, G. D., & Smallwood, M. (2003). Nonverbal Communication (pp. 73–90). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE. 762 113. Ibid. 114. Burgoon, J. K. (1978). A Communication Model of Personal Space Violations: Explication and an Initial Test. Human Communication Research, 2, 131–146. 115. An excellent review of research associated with NEV can be found in Burgoon, J. K., & Jones, S. B. (1976). Toward a Theory of Personal Space Expectations. Human Communication Research, 2, 131–146. 115. An excellent review of research associated with NEV can be found in Burgoon, J. K., & Hale, J. L. (1988). Nonverbal Expectancy Violations: Model Elaboration and Application to Immediacy Behaviors. Communication Monographs, 55, 58-79. 116. Schwartz, S. H. (1990). Individualism-Collectivism: Critique and Proposed Refinements. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 21, 139-157. 117. Gudykunst, W. B. (Ed.). (1983). Intercultural Communication Theory: Current Perspectives. Beverly Hills, CA: SAGE. Chapter 9 1. Gergen, K. J. (1991). The Saturated Self: Dilemmas of Identity in Contemporary Life. New York: Basic. (Quote on p. 178) 2. Berger, C. R., & Calabrese, R. J. (1975). Some Explorations in Initial Interaction and Beyond: Toward a Developmental Theory of Interpersonal Communication. Human Communication Research, 1, 99–112. 3. Ibid. Reproduced with permission of Oxford University Press via Copyright Clearance Center. 4. Gudykunst, W. B., & Kim, Y. Y. (2003). Communicating With Strangers: An Approach to Intercultural Communication (4th ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill. 5. Gudykunst, W. B. (1983). Uncertainty Reduction and Predictability of Behavior in Low- and High-Context Cultures. Communication Quarterly, 31, 49–55; Gudykunst, W. B. (1988). Uncertainty and Anxiety. In Y. Y. Kim & W. B. (1995). Anxiety/Uncertainty/Uncertainty. Management (AUM) Theory. In R. Wiseman (Ed.), Intercultural Communication Theory (pp. 8–58). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE. 6. Gudykunst, W. B., & Nishida, T. (1984). Individual and Cultural Influences on Uncertainty Reduction. Communication Among Ethnicities in the United States. Paper presented at the annual convention of the International Communication, 51, 256–278. 9. Berger & Calabrese. Some Explorations in Initial Interaction and Beyond, pp. 99–112. 10. Ibid. 11. Gudykunst & Kim, Communicating With Strangers. 12. Gudykunst, W. B. (2005). An Anxiety/Uncertainty Management (AUM) Theory of Effective Communication: Making the Mesh of the Net Finer. In W. B. Gudykunst (Ed.), Theorizing About Intercultural Communication (pp. 281–322). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE. 13. Ibid. 15. Ibid. 15. Ibid. 15. Ibid.
17. Neuliep, J. W. (2012). The Relationship Among Intercultural Communication Apprehension, Ethnocentrism, Uncertainty Reduction, and Communication Satisfaction During Initial Intercultural Communication Apprehension, Ethnocentrism, Uncertainty Management (AUM) Theory. Journal of Intercultural Communication Research, 41, 1–16. 18. Ibid. 19. Neuliep, J. W., & Ryan, D. J. (1998). The Influence of Intercultural Communication Apprehension and Socio-Communication Ouriertainty Reduction During Initial Cross-Cultural Interaction. Communication Quarterly, 46, 88–99. 20. Berger & Calabrese, Some Explorations in Initial Interaction and Beyond. 21. Neuliep & Ryan, The Influence of Intercultural Communication Apprehension and Socio-Communication During Initial CrossCultural Interaction. 22. Neuliep, J. W., & Grohskopf, E. L. (2000). Uncertainty Reduction and Communication Satisfaction During Initial Interaction: An Initial Test and Replication of a New Axiom. Communication Reports, 13, 67–78. 23. McCroskey, J. C., & Richmond, V. P. (1996). Fundamentals of Human Communication Apprehension and Socio-Communicative Orientation on Uncertainty Reduction During Initial CrossCultural Interaction. 26. Berger & Calabrese, Some Explorations in Initial Interaction and Beyond; Ibid. 27. Anderson, C. M., Martin, M. M., Zhong, M., & West, D. (1997). Reliability, Separation of Factors, and Sex Difference on the Assertiveness–Responsiveness Measure: A Chinese Sample Paper presented at the annual convention of the International Communication Association, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; Thompson, C. A., Ishii, S., & Klopf, D. W. (1991). An Analysis of Social Style Amongson, C. A., Ishii, S., & Klopf, D. W. (1990). Japanese and Americans Compared on Assertiveness/Responsiveness. Psychological Reports, 66, 829–830; Thompson, C. A., Ishii, S., & Klopf, D. W. (1991). An Analysis of Social Style Amongson, C. A., Ishii, S., & Klopf, D. W. (1991). An Analysis of Social Style Amongson, C. A., Ishii, S., & Klopf, D. W. (1991). An Analysis of Social Style Amongson, C. A., Ishii, S., & Klopf, D. W. (1991). An Analysis of Social Style Amongson, C. A., Ishii, S., & Klopf, D. W. (1991). An Analysis of Social Style Amongson, C. A., Ishii, S., & Klopf, D. W. (1991). An Analysis of Social Style Amongson, C. A., Ishii, S., & Klopf, D. W. (1991). An Analysis of Social Style Amongson, C. A., Ishii, S., & Klopf, D. W. (1991). An Analysis of Social Style Amongson, C. A., Ishii, S., & Klopf, D. W. (1991). An Analysis of Social Style Amongson, C. A., Ishii, S., & Klopf, D. W. (1991). An Analysis of Social Style Amongson, C. A., Ishii, S., & Klopf, D. W. (1991). An Analysis of Social Style Amongson, C. A., Ishii, S., & Klopf, D. W. (1991). An Analysis of Social Style Amongson, C. A., Ishii, S., & Klopf, D. W. (1991). An Analysis of Social Style Amongson, C. A., Ishii, S., & Klopf, D. W. (1991). An Analysis of Social Style Amongson, C. A., Ishii, S., & Klopf, D. W. (1991). An Analysis of Social Style Amongson, C. A., Ishii, S., & Klopf, D. W. (1991). An Analysis of Social Style Amongson, C. A., Ishii, S., & Klopf, D. W. (1991). An Analysis of Social Style Amongson, C. A., Ishii, S., & Klopf, D. W. (1991). An Analysis of Social Style Amongson, C. A., Ishii, S., & Klopf, D. W. (1991). An Analysis of Social Style Amongson, C. A., & Klopf, D. W. (1991). An Analysis of Social Style Amongson, C. A., & Klopf, D. W. (1991). An Analysis of Social Style Amongson, C. A., & Klopf, D. W. (1991). An Analysis of Social S Disparate Cultures. Communication Research Reports, 8, 65–72. 28. Broome, B. J. (1991). Building Shared Meaning: Implications of a Relational Approach to Empathy for Teaching Intercultural Communication. Communication Education, 40, 235–249. (Quote on p. 240) 29. The concept of third-culture building was initially articulated by Fred Casmir Broome's approach is based on Casmir's work in this area. 30. Broome, Building Shared Meaning. 31. Klopf, D. W. (1998). Intercultural Encounters: The Fundamentals of Intercultural Encounters: The Fundamentals of Intercultural Encounters: The Fundamentals of Intercultural Encounters: The State Communication Monographs, 59, 199–208. (Quote on p. 199) 33. Byrne, D. (1992). The Transition From Controlled Laboratory Experimentation to Less Controlled Settings: Surprise! Additional Variables Are Operative. Communication Monographs, 59, 190–198. 34. Ibid. 35. Yum, J. O. (1997). The Impact of Confucianism on Interpersonal Relationships and Communication Patterns in East Asia. In L. A. Samovar & R. E. Porter (Eds.), Intercultural Communication: A Reader (8th ed., pp. 78-88). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth. 36. Ibid. 47. Ibid. 42. Howard, M. C. (1997). Contemporary Cultural Anthropology (5th ed.), Intercultural Communication: A Reader (8th ed., pp. 78-88). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth. 36. Ibid. 47. Ibid. 42. Howard, M. C. (1997). Contemporary Cultural Anthropology (5th ed.), Intercultural Communication: A Reader (8th ed., pp. 78-88). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth. 36. Ibid. 47. Ibid. (2010, January 5). The Big Question: What's the History of Polygamy, and How Serious a Problem Is It in Africa? The Independent. Retrieved from 43. Becker, G. S., & Posner, R. A. (1993). Cross-Cultural Differences in Family and Sexual Life. Rationality and Sexual Life. Rationality and Sexual Life. Rationality and How Serious a Problem Is It in Africa? The Independent. Retrieved from 43. Becker, G. S., & Posner, R. A. (1993). Cross-Cultural Differences in Family and Sexual Life. Rationality and Sexual Life Serious a Problem Is It in Africa? 44. Wang, W. (2015). Interracial Marriage: Who Is "Marrying Out"? Pew Research Center. Retrieved from 45. Troy, A. B., Lewis-Smith, J., & Laurenceau, J.-P. (2006). Interracial and Intraracial Romantic Relationships: The Search for Differences in Satisfaction, Conflict, and Attachment Style. Journal of Social and Personal Relationships, 23, 65-80. 46. Kaiser Family Foundation. (2001, September 1). Race and Ethnicity in 2001: Attitudes, Perceptions, and Experience. Menlo Park, CA: Author. Retrieved from 47. Stafford, L. (2011). Measuring Relationship Maintenance Behaviors: Critique and Development of the Revised Relationship Maintenance Behavior Scale. Journal of Social and Personal Relationships, 28, 278–303. 48. Reiter, M. J., & Gee, C. B. (2008). Open Communication and Partner Support in Intercultural and Interfaith Romantic Relationships; 28, 278–303. 48. Reiter, M. J., & Gee, C. B. (2008). An Initial Examination of Maintenance Behaviors in Gay and Lesbian Relationships. Journal of Social and Personal Relationships, 15, 846-855. 51. Howard, Contemporary Cultural Anthropology. 766 52. Levin, S., Taylor, P. L., & Caudle, E. (2007). Interethnic and Interracial Dating in College: A Longitudinal Study. Journal of Social and Personal Relationships, 15, 846-855. 51. Howard, Contemporary Cultural Anthropology. 766 52. Levin, S., Taylor, P. L., & Caudle, E. (2007). Interethnic and Interracial Dating in College: A Longitudinal Study. Journal of Social and Personal Relationships, 15, 846-855. 51. Howard, Contemporary Cultural Anthropology. 766 52. Levin, S., Taylor, P. L., & Caudle, E. (2007). Interethnic and Interracial Dating in College: A Longitudinal Study. Journal of Social and Personal Relationships, 15, 846-855. 51. Howard, Contemporary Cultural Anthropology. 766 52. Levin, S., Taylor, P. L., & Caudle, E. (2007). Interethnic and Interracial Dating in College: A Longitudinal Study. Journal of Social and Personal Relationships, 15, 846-855. 51. Howard, Contemporary Cultural Anthropology. 766 52. Levin, S., Taylor, P. L., & Caudle, E. (2007). Interethnic and Interracial Dating in College: A Longitudinal Study. Journal of Social and Personal Relationships, 15, 846-855. 51. Howard, Contemporary Cultural Anthropology. 766 52. Levin, S., Taylor, P. L., & Caudle, E. (2007). Interethnic and Internacial Dating in College: A Longitudinal Study. Journal of Social and Personal Relationships, 15, 846-855. 51. Howard, Contemporary Cultural Anthropology. 766 52. Levin, S., Taylor, P. L., & Caudle, E. (2007). Interethnic and Internacial Dating in College: A Longitudinal Study. Journal of Social and Personal Relationships, 15, 846-855. 51. Howard, Contemporary Cultural Anthropology. 766 52. Levin, S., Taylor, P. L., & Caudle, E. (2007). Interethnic and Internacial Dating in College: A Longitudinal Study. Journal of Social and Personal Relationships, 15, 846-855. 51. Howard, Contemporary Cultural Anthropology. Social and Personal 24, 323-341. 53. Ibid. 54. Ibid. 55. Ibid. 55. Ibid. 55. Ibid. 57. Nadkarni, A., & Hofmann, S. G. (2012). Why Do People Use Facebook? Personality and Individual Differences, 52, 243-249. 58. Grasmuck, S., Martin, J., & Zhao, S. (2009). Ethno-Racial Identity Displays on Facebook? Personality and Individual Differences, 52, 243-249. 58. Grasmuck, S., Martin, J., & Zhao, S. (2009). Ethno-Racial Identity Displays on Facebook? Personality and Individual Differences, 52, 243-249. 58. Grasmuck, S., Martin, J., & Zhao, S. (2009). Ethno-Racial Identity Displays on Facebook? Personality and Individual Differences, 52, 243-249. 58. Grasmuck, S., Martin, J., & Zhao, S. (2009). Ethno-Racial Identity Displays on Facebook? Personality and Individual Differences, 52, 243-249. 58. Grasmuck, S., Martin, J., & Zhao, S. (2009). Ethno-Racial Identity Displays on Facebook? Personality and Individual Differences, 52, 243-249. 58. Grasmuck, S., Martin, J., & Zhao, S. (2009). Ethno-Racial Identity Displays on Facebook? Personality and Individual Differences, 52, 243-249. 58. Grasmuck, S. (2009). Ethno-Racial Identity Displays on Facebook? Personality and Individual Differences, 52, 243-249. 58. Grasmuck, S. (2009). Ethno-Racial Identity Displays on Facebook? Personality and Individual Differences, 52, 243-249. 58. Grasmuck, S. (2009). Ethno-Racial Identity Displays on Facebook? Personality and Individual Differences, 52, 243-249. 58. Grasmuck, S. (2009).
Ethno-Racial Identity Displays on Facebook? Personality and Individual Differences, 52, 243-249. 58. Grasmuck, S. (2009). Ethno-Racial Identity Displays on Facebook? Personality and Individual Differences, 52, 243-249. 58. Grasmuck, S. (2009). Ethno-Racial Identity Displays on Facebook? Personality and DeAndrea, D. C., Shaw, A. S., & Levine, T. R. (2010). Online Language: The Roles of Culture in Self-Expression and Self-Construal on Facebook. Journal of Language and Social Psychology, 29, 425–442. (Quote on p. 437) 60. Seder, J. P., & Oishi, S. (2009). Ethnic/Racial Identity in College Students' Facebook Friendship Networks and Subjective Well-expression and Self-Construal on Facebook. Journal of Language: The Roles of Culture in Self-Expression and Self-Construal on Facebook. Journal of Language: The Roles of Culture in Self-Expression and Self-Expression and Self-Expression and Self-Expression and Self-Construal on Facebook. Journal of Language: The Roles of Culture in Self-Expression and Self Being. Journal of Research in Personality, 43, 438-443. 61. Karl, K., Peluchette, J., & Schlaegel, C. (2010). Who's Posting Facebook Faux Pas? A Cross-Cultural Examination of Personality Differences. International Journal of Selection and Assessment, 18, 174-186. 62. Wong, K. (2016). Japan's Social Media Landscape. btrax. Retrieved from 63. Khan S. (2016). Facebook Reaches 142M Users in India, Crosses 100M Users for "Lite" App Globally. International Business Times. Retrieved from Ramaswamy, R. (2013, January 12). Social Media Boon for Youth Uprising. Daily News & Analysis. Retrieved from Kemp, S. (2015). Digital, Social, & Mobile in India in 2015. we are social. Retrieved from Ramaswamy, R. (2013, January 12). Thistlethwaite, S. B. (2013, January 8). The "War on Women" Meets Social Media. The Washington Post. Retrieved from Mueller, J Retrieved from 64. Parker, P. (2016). How Many People Use Social Media in Africa? Retrieved from Mueller, J Presence of Opposite-Sex Others on Judgments of Sexual and Romantic Desirability: Opposite Effects for Men and Women. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 34(5), 635–647. 67. Buss, D. M. (1989). Sex Differences in Human Mate Preference: Evolutionary Hypotheses Tested in Thirty-Seven Cultures. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 12, 1–49. Buss, D. M. (1994). Mate Preferences in Thirty-Seven Cultures. In W. J. Lonner & R. Malpass (Eds.), Psychology and Culture (pp. 197–202). Boston: Allyn & Bacon. 68. Buss, Mate Preferences in Thirty-Seven Cultures. 69. Ibid. 70. Ibid., p. 200. 71. Ibid. 70. Ibid., p. 200. 71. Ibid., p. 200. 71. Ibid. 70. Among Couples From the People's Republic of China and the United States. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 37, 446-464. 73. Rothbaum, F., & Tsang, B. (1998). Love Songs in the United States and China. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 26, 306-319. 74. Jackson et al., Stories We Love By. 75. Zhang, S., & Kline, S. (2009). Can I Make My Own Decision? A Cross-Cultural Study of Perceived Social Network Influence in Mate Selection. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 40, 3–23. 76. Ibid. 768 77. Arranged/Forced Marriage Statistics. (2016). Statistic Brain. Retrieved from brain.com/arranged-marriage-statistics/ 78. This account was provided by Katie Thao in a personal communication in the summer of 1995. 79. Lor, H. (1997). The Hmong. Unpublished student manuscript, St. Norbert College, De Pere, WI. 80. Matrimonial ad. Retrieved from 81. Dholakia, U. (2015). Why Are So Many Indian Arranged Marriages Successful? The Upsides of Relinquishing Choice, Deciding Quickly, & Lower Expectations. Psychology Today. Retrieved from 82. Elliot, D. (1998). Trying to Stand on Two Feet. Newsweek, 313, 48-50. 83. Maseko, N. (2014). Zambian Child Bride: "I Was Forced to Marry a Stranger." BBC News. Retrieved from 84. Feldstein Family Law Group. (2012). Divorce Fact Sheet 2012. Retrieved from 84. Feldstein Family Law Group. (2014). Zambian Child Bride: "I Was Forced to Marry a Stranger." BBC News. Retrieved from 84. Feldstein Family Law Group. (2012). Divorce Fact Sheet 2012. Retrieved from 84. Feldstein Family Law Group. (2014). Zambian Child Bride: "I Was Forced to Marry a Stranger." BBC News. Retrieved from 84. Feldstein Family Law Group. (2012). Divorce Fact Sheet 2012. Retrieved from 84. Feldstein Family Law Group. (2014). Zambian Child Bride: "I Was Forced to Marry a Stranger." BBC News. Retrieved from 84. Feldstein Family Law Group. (2012). Divorce Fact Sheet 2012. Retrieved from 84. Feldstein Family Law Group. (2014). Zambian Child Bride: "I Was Forced to Marry a Stranger." BBC News. Retrieved from 84. Feldstein Family Law Group. (2012). Divorce Fact Sheet 2012. Retrieved from 84. Feldstein Family Law Group. (2014). Zambian Child Bride: "I Was Forced to Marry a Stranger." BBC News. Retrieved from 84. Feldstein Family Law Group. (2012). Divorce Fact Sheet 2012. Retrieved from 84. Feldstein Family Law Group. (2014). Zambian Child Bride: "I Was Forced to Marry a Stranger." BBC News. Retrieved from 84. Feldstein Family Law Group. (2014). Zambian Child Bride: "I Was Forced to Marry a Stranger." BBC News. Retrieved from 84. Feldstein Family Law Group. (2014). Zambian Child Bride: "I Was Forced to Marry a Stranger." BBC News. Retrieved from 84. Feldstein Family Law Group. (2014). Zambian Child Bride: "I Was Forced to Marry a Stranger." BBC News. Retrieved from 84. Feldstein Family Law Group. (2014). Zambian Child Bride: "I Was Forced to Marry a Stranger." BBC News. Retrieved from 84. Feldstein Family Law Group. (2014). Zambian Child Bride: "I Was Forced to Marry a Stranger." BBC Ne www.separation.ca/pdfs/divorcefacts.pdf 85. Toth, K., & Kemmelmeier, M. (2009). Divorce Attitudes Around the World: Distinguishing the Impact of Culture on Evaluations and Attitude Structure. Cross-Cultural Research, 43(3), 280–297. 86. Hays, J. (2013). Divorce in Japan. Facts and Details. Retrieved from Laungani, P. (2007). Understanding Cross-Cultural Psychology. London: SAGE. 87. China: Divorce Rate Up 21.2 Percent in 2004. (2005). China Daily. Retrieved from 88. Wolcott, I., & Hughes, J. (1999). Towards Understanding the Reasons for Divorce (Working Paper No. 20). Melbourne: Australian Institute of Family Studies. Retrieved 769 from 89. Russian Business Women Are Moving Up Slowly. (1998). Women's International Network News, 24, 75. Chapter 10 1. Oetzel, J. G., & Ting-Toomey, S. (2003). Face Concerns in Interpersonal Conflict: A Cross-Cultural Empirical Test of the Face Negotiation Theory. Communication Research, 30, 599–624; Ting-Toomey, S. (1994). Managing Conflict in Personal Relationships (pp. 47–77). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum; Ting-Toomey, S. (1994). Managing Conflict in Personal Relationships (pp. 47–77). Intercultural Conflict Effectively. In L. Samovar & R. Porter (Eds.), Intercultural Communication: A Reader (7th ed., pp. 360-372). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth; Ting-Toomey, S., & Oetzel, J. G. (2001). Managing Intercultural Conflict Effectively. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE; Ting-Toomey, S., & Oetzel, J. G. (2013). Introduction to Intercultural/International Conflict. In J. Oetzel & S. Ting-Toomey (Eds.), The SAGE Handbook of Conflict Communication: Integrating Theory, Research, and Practice (pp. 635–638). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE. 2. Ting-Toomey & Oetzel, Introduction to Intercultural/International Conflict, p. 635. 3. Ting-Toomey & Oetzel, Introduction to Intercultural Conflict, p. 635–638). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE. 2. Ting-Toomey & Oetzel, Introduction to Intercultural/International Conflict, p. 635. 3. Ting-Toomey & Oetzel, Introduction to Intercultural/International Conflict, p. 635. 3. Ting-Toomey & Oetzel, Introduction to Intercultural/International Conflict, p. 635–638). Interethnic Conflict: An Interdisciplinary Overview. In J. B. Gittler (Ed.), Annual Review of Conflict Knowledge and Conflict: An Interdisciplinary Overview. Paper presented at the annual convention of the S 5. Ibid. 6. Ibid. 7. Ibid. 8. This discussion of the model is based entirely on Ting-Toomey, S., & Oetzel, J. G. (2013). Culture-Based Situational Conflict Model: An Update and Expansion. In J. G. Oetzel & S. Ting-Toomey (Eds.), The SAGE Handbook of Conflict Communication: Integrating Theory, Research, and Practice (pp. 763–789). Thousand Oaks, CA SAGE. (Figure 10.2 reprinted from page 773.) 9. Ibid. 10. Ibid. 170 11. Ibid. 12. This discussion of the model is based entirely on Broome, B. J. (2013). Building Cultures of Peace: The Role of Intergroup Dialogue. In J. G. Oetzel & S. Ting-Toomey (Eds.), The SAGE Handbook of Conflict Communication: Integrating Theory, Research, and Practice (pp. 737-761). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE. 13. Ibid., p. 740. 14. Ibid., p. 751. 15. Ibid. 16. Ibid. 17. This discussion of face-negotiation theory is based on the following sources: Oetzel, J. G., & Ting-Toomey, S. (2003). Face Concerns in Interpersonal Conflict: A Cross-Cultural Empirical Test of the Face Negotiation Theory. Communication Research, 30, 599-624; Ting-Toomey, S. (1988). Intercultural Conflict Styles: A Face-Negotiation Theory. In Y. Kim & W. Gudykunst (Eds.), Theories in Intercultural Communication. Newbury Park, CA: SAGE; Ting-Toomey, S. (2005). The Matrix of Face-Negotiation Theory. In W. B. Gudykunst (Ed.), Theorizing About Intercultural Communication. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE; Ting-Toomey, S., & Kurogi, A. (1998). Facework Competence in Intercultural Conflict: An Updated Face-Negotiation Theory. International Journal of Intercultural Conflict: An Updated Face-Negotiation Theory. International Journal of Intercultural Conflict: An Updated Face-Negotiation Theory. International Journal of Intercultural Conflict: An Updated Face-Negotiation Theory. S., Wasumoto, T., Yokochi, Y. & Takai, J. (2000). A Typology of Facework Behaviors in Conflicts With Best Friends and Relative Strangers. Communication Quarterly, 48, 397–419. 20. Ibid. 21. Cocroft, B., & Ting-Toomey, S. (1994). Facework Behaviors in Conflicts With Best
Friends and Relative Strangers. Communication Quarterly, 48, 397–419. 20. Ibid. 21. Cocroft, B., & Ting-Toomey, S. (1994). Facework Behaviors in Conflicts With Best Friends and Relative Strangers. Communication Quarterly, 48, 397–419. 20. Ibid. 21. Cocroft, B., & Ting-Toomey, S. (1994). Facework Behaviors in Conflicts With Best Friends and Relative Strangers. Communication Quarterly, 48, 397–419. 20. Ibid. 21. Cocroft, B., & Ting-Toomey, S. (1994). Facework Behaviors in Conflicts With Best Friends and Relative Strangers. Communication Quarterly, 48, 397–419. 20. Ibid. 21. Cocroft, B., & Ting-Toomey, S. (1994). Facework Behaviors in Conflicts With Best Friends and Relative Strangers. Communication Quarterly, 48, 397–419. 20. Ibid. 21. Cocroft, B., & Ting-Toomey, S. (1994). Facework Behaviors in Conflicts With Best Friends and Relative Strangers. Communication Quarterly, 48, 397–419. 20. Ibid. 21. Cocroft, B., & Ting-Toomey, S. (1994). Facework Behaviors in Conflicts With Best Friends and Relative Strangers. Communication Quarterly, 48, 397–419. 20. Ibid. 21. Cocroft, B., & Ting-Toomey, S. (1994). Facework Behaviors in Conflicts With Best Friends and Relative Strangers. Communication Quarterly, 48, 397–419. 20. Ibid. 21. Cocroft, B., & Ting-Toomey, S. (1994). Facework Behaviors in Conflicts With Best Friends and Relative Strangers. Communication Quarterly, 48, 397–419. 20. Ibid. 21. Cocroft, B., & Ting-Toomey, S. (1994). Facework Behaviors in Conflicts With Best Friends and Relative Strangers. Communication Quarterly, 48, 397–419. 20. Ibid. 21. Cocroft, B., & Ting-Toomey, S. (1994). Co in Conflicts With Best Friends and Relative Strangers. 22. Ting-Toomey, S., & Oetzel, J. G. (2003). Cross-Cultural Face Concerns and Conflict Styles. In W. B. Gudykunst (Ed.), Cross-Cultural and Intercultural Communication (pp. 127–147). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE. 23. The concepts of assertiveness and cooperativeness are found in a number of sources, including Rahim, M. A. (1983). A Measure of Styles of Handling Interpersonal Conflict. Academy of Management Journal, 26, 368–376; Thomas, K. W., & Kilmann, R. H. (1974). 771 Thomas-Kilmann Conflict MODE Instrument. New York: XICOM, Tuxedo; Ting-Toomey, S. (1988). Intercultural Conflict Styles: A Face-Negotiation Theory. In Y. Y. Kim & W. B. Gudykunst (Eds.), Theories of Intercultural Communication (pp. 213-235). Newbury Park, CA: SAGE. 24. Rahim, A Measure of Styles of Handling Intercultural Conflict. 25. Ting-Toomey & Oetzel, Managing Intercultural Conflict. 25. Ting-Toomey & Oetzel, J. G. (2000). Ethnic/Cultural Identity Salience and Conflict Styles in Four U.S. Ethnic Groups. International Journal of Intercultural Relations, 24, 47-81. 27. Ting-Toomey, S., Gao, G., Trubisky, P., Yang, Z., Kim, H. S., Lin, S. L., et al. (1991). Culture, Face Maintenance, and Styles of Handling Interpersonal Conflict: A Study in Five Cultures. International Journal of Conflict Management, 2, 275–296. 28. Lee, H. O., & Rogan, R. G. (1991). A Cross-Cultural Comparison of Organizational Journal of Conflict Management, 2, 181– 199. 29. Hammer, M. R. (2005). The Intercultural Conflict Style Inventory: A Conceptual Framework and Measure of Intercultural Conflict Resolution Approaches. International Journal of Intercultural Relations, 29, 675–695. 30. Hammer, The Intercultural Conflicts Effectively. In L. Samovar & R. E. Porter (Eds.), Intercultural Conflicts Effectively. In L. Samovar & R. E. Porter (Eds.), Intercultural Conflicts Effectively. In L. Samovar & R. E. Porter (Eds.), Intercultural Conflicts Effectively. In L. Samovar & R. E. Porter (Eds.), Intercultural Conflicts Effectively. In L. Samovar & R. E. Porter (Eds.), Intercultural Conflicts Effectively. In L. Samovar & R. E. Porter (Eds.), Intercultural Conflicts Effectively. In L. Samovar & R. E. Porter (Eds.), Intercultural Conflicts Effectively. In L. Samovar & R. E. Porter (Eds.), Intercultural Conflicts Effectively. Intercultur S. (1999). Communicating Across Cultures. New York: Guilford; Ting-Toomey et al., Ethnic/Cultural Identity Salience and Conflict Styles in Four U.S. Ethnic Groups. 31. Ibid. 32. Hammer, The Intercultural Conflict Style Inventory. 33. Ibid. 34. Ting-Toomey & Oetzel, Managing Intercultural Conflict Effectively. 35. Ibid. 37. Ting-Toomey, & Buller, P. (1994). Resolving CrossCultural Ethical Conflict: Exploring Alternative Strategies. Journal of Business Ethics, 13, 31–38. 43. Ibid. Thomas, K. W., & Kilmann, R. H. (1974). Thomas-Kilmann Conflict: Exploring Alternative Strategies. Journal of Business Ethics, 13, 31–38. 43. Ibid. Cultural Ethical Conflict: Exploring Alternative Strategies. 45. Ibid. 46. Ibid. 47. Ibid. 48. Levick, R. (2015, January 21). New Data: Bribery Is Often "An Unspoken Rule" in China. Forbes. Retrieved from .com/sites/richardlevick/2015/01/21/new-data-bribery-is-often-an-unspoken-rule-inchina/2/#5c2df6d81554 Chapter 11 1. Trompenaars, F. (1994). Riding the Waves of Culture: Understanding Diversity in Global Business, Burr Ridge, IL: Irwin, p. 14. 2. Fishman, C. (2006). The Wal-Mart Effect. New York: Penguin. 3. Landler, M., & Barbaro, M. (2006, August 2). International Business; No, Not Always. New York: Times, pp. A1, A3. 4. Ibid. 5. Rosenzweig, P. M. (1994). National Culture and Management (Teaching Note 9-394177). Boston: Harvard Business School. 773 6. Ibid. 7. This scene is adapted from Harris, P. R., & Moran, Managing Cultural Differences. 9. Pew Global Attitudes Project. (2005, June 23). American Character Gets Mixed Reviews: U.S. Image Up Slightly, but Still Negative: 16-Nation Pew Global Attitudes Survey. Washington, DC: Pew Research Center. Retrieved from 10. Trompenaars, Riding Partners: 2015. Retrieved from 12. Brown, W. S., Lubove, R. E., & Kwalwasser, J. (1994). Karoshi: Alternative Perspectives of Japanese Management Styles. Business Horizons, 37, 58-61; Ministry of Health, Labour, and Welfare. (2012). Provisional Report of Monthly Labour Survey. Retrieved from 13. Riley, C. (2016). Death by Overwork on Rise Among Japan's Vulnerable Workers. Reuters News Service. Retrieved from 14. Beer, J. E. (2003). The Life of a Salaryman: Time, Space, Career Path. Retrieved from 15. Grainger, R. J., & Miyamoto, T. (2003). Human Values and HRM Practice: The Japanese Shukko System. Journal of Human Values, 9, 105–115. 16. Rao, A., Hashimoto, K., & Rao, A. (1997). Universal Structure Str and Culturally Specific Aspects of Influence: A Study of Japanese Managers. Leadership Quarterly, 8, 295-313. 17. Ibid. 18. Lewis, R. D. (2006). When Cultures Collide: Leading Across Cultures. Boston: Nicholas Brealey. 19. Central Intelligence Agency. (2016). The World Factbook: Germany. Retrieved from 774 20. William Drake & Associates (1997). Managing Business Relationships in Germany. Retrieved from www.culturebank.com/demo/demogermany/sld001.htm 21. Glunk, U., Wilderom, C., & Ogilvie, R. (1996). Finding the Key to German-Style Management. International Studies of Management and Organization, 26, 93–108. 22. Ibid. 23. Hall, E. T., & Hall, M. R. (1990). Understanding Cultural Differences. Yarmouth, ME: Intercultural Press. 24. Ibid. 25. German Lessons. (1996). The Economist, 340, 59. 26. Drake & Associates, Managing Business Relationships in Germany; Ibid. 27. U.S. Central Intelligence Agency. (2016). The World Factbook: Mexico. Retrieved from 28. Crouch, E. C. (2004). Mexicans and Americans: Cracking the Cultural Code. Yarmouth, ME: Intercultural Press; Pelled, L. H., & Xin, K. R. (1997). Work Values and the Human Resource Management Implications: A Theoretical Comparison of China, Mexico, and the United States. Journal of Applied Management Studies, 6, 185–199. 29. Ibid. 30. Central Intelligence Agency. (2016). The World Factbook: China. Retrieved from 31. The World Bank. (2011). Doing Business 2011: Making a Difference for Entrepreneurs. Retrieved February 19, 2011, from 32. Laroche, L. (2003). The Changing Face of Chinese Management. New York: Routledge. 34. Ibid. 35. Chen, P. P. W. (2004, July 30). China Business Etiquette: Gift Giving. Executive Planet. 775 36. Chan, M. (2011, October 24). Smartphone Detects Danger in a Heartbeat. Cable News Network. Retrieved from 38. Rampini, S. K., Schneemann, M., Rentsch, K., & Bächli, E. B. (2002). Camphor Intoxication After Cao Gío (Coin Rubbing). Journal of the American Medical Association, 288(1), 45. 39. Martin, T., Campo, S., & Askelson, N. M. (2012). African American Women and Weight Loss: Disregarding Environmental Challenges. Journal of Transcultural Nursing, 23(1), 38-45. 40. Schumacher, G. (2010). Culture Care Meanings, Beliefs, and Practices in Rural Dominican Republic. Journal of Transcultural Nursing, 21(1), 93-103. 41. Landrine, H., & Klonoff, E. A. (1992). Culture and Health-Related Schemas: A Review and Proposal for Interdisciplinary Integration. Health Psychology, 11(4), 267–276. (Quote on p. 267) 42. Helmen, C. G. (2007). Culture, Health, and Illness. 46. Ibid. 47. Health, United States, 2015: With Special Feature on Racial and Ethnic Health Disparities. National Center for Health Statistics. Hyattsville, MD. 2016. Library of Congress Catalog Number 76–641496. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 20402. 48. Bradley-Wright, K., Sparks, L., & O'Hair, H. D. (2013). Health Communication in the 21st Century. West Sussex, UK: Wiley-Blackwell. 49. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2011). Health Communication Basics. Retrieved from 776 50. Wohl, J. (1989). Integration of Cultural Awareness Into Psychotherapy, 43, 343–355. 51. Carmichael, T. (2013). Cultural Competence: A Necessity for the 21st Century [Letter to the Editor]. Journal of Transcultural Nursing, 22, 5-6. 52. Roter, D. (2000). The Enduring and Evolving Nature of the Patient-Physician Relationship. Patient Education and Counseling, 39, 5-15. 53. Bennett, K., Smith, D. H., & Irwin, H. (1999). Preferences for Participation in Medical Decisions in China. Health Communication, 11(3),
261-284. 54. Ibid., p. 281. 55. Alden, D L., Merz, M. Y., & Akashi, J. (2012). Young Adult Preferences for Physician Decision-Making Style in Japan and the United States. Asia-Pacific Journal of Public Health, 24(1), 173–184. doi:10.1177/1010539510365098 56. Ng, C-J., Lee, P-Y., Lee, Y-K., Chew, B-H., Engkasan, J.P., Irmi, Z-I., Hanafi, N-S., & Tong, S-F. (2013). An Overview of Patient Involvement in Healthcare DecisionMaking: A Situational Analysis of the Malaysian Context. BioMed Central Health Services Research, 13, 408. doi: 10.1186/1472-6963-13-408 57. Kim, M. S., Smith, D. H., & Yueguo, G. (1999). Medical Decision-Making and Chinese Patient's Self-Construals. Health Communication, 11(3), 249–260. 58. Smith, D., & Smith, S. J. (1999). Chinese Elders' Communication, A. (2000). Physician Expression of Empathy and Positiveness to Hispanic White Patients During Medicine, 32(2), 91–96. 61. Lin, M-Y., & Arrey-Wastavino, A. (2000). Physician Expression of Empathy and Positiveness to Hispanic White Patients During Medicine, 32(2), 91–96. 61. Lin, M-Y., & Arrey-Wastavino, A. (2000). Physician Expression of Empathy and Positiveness to Hispanic White Patients During Medicine, 32(2), 91–96. 61. Lin, M-Y., & Arrey-Wastavino, A. (2000). Physician Expression of Empathy and Positiveness to Hispanic White Patients During Medicine, 32(2), 91–96. 61. Lin, M-Y., & Arrey-Wastavino, A. (2000). Physician Expression of Empathy and Positiveness to Hispanic White Patients During Medicine, 32(2), 91–96. 61. Lin, M-Y., & Arrey-Wastavino, A. (2000). Physician Expression of Empathy and Positiveness to Hispanic White Patients During Medicine, 32(2), 91–96. 61. Lin, M-Y., & Arrey-Wastavino, A. (2000). Physician Expression of Empathy and Positiveness to Hispanic White Patients During Medicine, 32(2), 91–96. 61. Lin, M-Y., & Arrey-Wastavino, A. (2000). Physician Expression of Empathy and Positiveness to Hispanic White Patients During Medicine, 32(2), 91–96. 61. Lin, M-Y., & Arrey-Wastavino, A. (2000). Physician Expression of Empathy and Positiveness to Hispanic White Patients During Medicine, 32(2), 91–96. 61. Lin, M-Y., & Arrey-Wastavino, A. (2000). Physician Expression of Empathy and Positiveness to Hispanic White Patients During Medicine, 32(2), 91–96. 61. Lin, M-Y., & Arrey-Wastavino, A. (2000). Physician Expression of Empathy and Positiveness to Hispanic White Patients During Medicine, 32(2), 91–96. 61. Lin, M-Y., & Arrey-Wastavino, A. (2000). Physician Expression of Empathy and Positiveness to Hispanic White Patients During Medicine, 32(2), 91–96. 61. Lin, M-Y. (2000). Physician Expression of Empathy and Positiveness to Hispanic White Patients During Website White Patients During Website White Patients During Website White Patients During Website W Kressin, N. R. (2015). Race/Ethnicity and Americans' Experience With Treatment Decision-Making. Patient Education Counseling, 98(12), 1636–1642. 62. Cass, A., Lowell, A., Christie, M., Snelling, P. L., Flack, M., Marrnganyin, B., et al. (2002). Sharing the True Stories: Improving Communication Between Aboriginal Patients and Healthcare Workers Medical Journal of Australia, 176(10), 466–470. 63. Rubin, D. L., Healy, P., Gardiner, T. C., Zath, R. C., & Moore, C. P. (1997). Nonnative Physicians as Message Sources: Effects of Accent and Ethnicity on Patient's Responses to AIDS Prevention Counseling. Health Communication, 9(4), 351–368. 777 64. Johnson, R. L., Roter, D., Powe, N. R., & Cooper, L. A. (2004). Patient Race/Ethnicity and Quality of Patient-Physician Communication During Medical Visits. American Journal of Public Health, 94, 2048–2090. 65. Cooper, L. A., Roter, D. L., Johnson, R. L., Ford, D. E., Steinwachs, D. M., & Powe, N. R. (2003). Patient-Centered Communication, Ratings of Care, and Concordance of Patient and Physician Race. Annals of Internal Medicine, 139, 907–915. 66. Lytle, R. (2012, October 18). Interest in Online Courses Could Be Peaking. US News & World Report. Retrieved from 67. Institute of International Education. (2015). IIE Releases Open Doors 2015 Data [Press release]. Retrieved from 68. Ibid. 69. Silva, C. (2015). Immigration Reform 2015: More Hispanics in US Schools, but They're Struggling to Keep Up. International Business Times. Retrieved from . Fry, R., & Lopez, M. H. (2012). Hispanic Center. 70. Nisbett, R. E., & Norenzayan, A. (2002). Culture and Cognition. In D. L. Medin & H. Pashler (Eds.). Stevens Handbook of Experimental Psychology (3rd ed., Vol. 2, pp. 561–597). New York: John Wiley. 71. Pajewski, A. M., & Enriquez, L. (1996). Teaching From a Hispanic Adult Educators. Phoenix: Arizona Adult Literacy and Technology Resource Center. 72. Joy, S., & Kolb, D. A. (2009). Are There Cultural Differences in Learning Style? International Journal of Intercultural Relations, 33, 69-85; Kolb, D. A. (1984). Experiencial Learning: Experience as a Source of Learning: Experience as a Source of Learning: Experience as a Source of Learning and Development. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall. 73. There are several graphic variations of Kolb's model. This one is based on the following sources: Joy, S., & Kolb, D. A. (2009) Are There Cultural Differences in Learning Style? International Journal of Intercultural Relations, 33, 69–85; Yamazaki, Y. (2005). Learning Styles and Typologies of Cultural Differences: A Theoretical and Empirical Comparison. International Journal of Intercultural Relations, 29, 521–548. Joy, S., & Kolb, D. A. (2009). Are There Cultural Differences in Learning Styles and Typologies of Cultural Differences in Learning Learning Style? International Journal of Intercultural Relations, 33, 69-85. 778 74. Kolb's model is described and explained in a variety of sources. The source used here is Kolb, D. A., Boyatzis, R. E., & Mainemelis, C. (2001). Experiential Learning Theory: Previous Research and New Directions. In R. J. Sternberg & L. F. Zhang (Eds.), Perspective on Cognitive Learning and Thinking Styles (pp. 227–247). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum. 75. Ibid. 77. Yamazaki, Learning Styles and Typologies of Cultural Differences. 78. Ibid. 77. Yamazaki, Learning Styles and Typologies of Cultural Differences. 78. Ibid. 79. Joy & Kolb, Are There Cultural Differences. 78. Ibid. 79. Joy and Typologies of Cultural Differences. 78. Joy and Typologies of Cultural Differences. 78. Joy and Typologies of Cultural Differences. 78. Joy and Typologies o Nimmo (Ed.), Communication Yearbook 3 (pp. 543-559). New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Books; Mehrabian, A. (1971). Silent Messages. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth. 81. Neuliep, J. W. (1997). A Cross-Cultural Comparison of Teacher Immediacy in American and Japanese College Classrooms. Communication Research, 24, 431-451. 82. Zhao, Y. (2007) Cultural Conflicts in an Intercultural Classroom Discourse and Interpretations From a Cultural Perspective. Intercultural Communication Studies, XVI, 129–136. 83. Neuliep, A Cross-Cultural Comparison of Teacher Immediacy and Effective Teaching Among Student Teachers: A Study Across Cultural Extremes. International Online Journal of Educational Sciences, 3(3), 865–881. 85. Roach, K. D., & Byrne, P. (2001). A Cross-Cultural Comparison of Instructor Communication in American and German Classrooms. Communication Education, 50(1), 1–14. 86. Roach, K. D., & Cornett-DeVito, M. D., & Constructor Communication in American and German Classrooms. Communication Education, 50(1), 1–14. 86. Roach, K. D., & Byrne, P. (2001). A Cross-Cultural Comparison of Instructor Communication in American and German Classrooms. Communication Education, 50(1), 1–14. 86. Roach, K. D., & Byrne, P. (2001). A Cross-Cultural Comparison of Instructor Communication in American and German Classrooms. M., & DeVito, R. (2005). A Cross-Cultural Comparison of Instructor Communication in American and French Classrooms. Communication Quarterly, 53(1), 87–107. 87. Johnson, S. D., & Miller, A. N. (2002). A Cross-Cultural Study of Immediacy, Credibility, and Learning in the U.S. and Kenya. Communication Education, 51(3), 280–292. 779 88. McCroskey, J. C., Fayer, J. M., Richmond, V. P., Sallinen, A., & Barraclough, R. A. (1996). A Multicultural Examination of the Relationship Between Nonverbal Immediacy and Affective Learning. Communication Quarterly, 44(3), 297–307. 89. Felder, R. M., & Henriques, E. R. (1995). Learning and Teaching Styles in Foreign and Second Language: Education. Foreign Language
Annals, 28(1), 21–31. Chapter 12 1. Condon, J. C., & Yousef, F. (1975). An Introduction to Intercultural Communication. Indianapolis, IN: Bobbs-Merrill. (Quote on p. 270) 2. Redfield, R., Linton, R., & Herskovits, M. (1936). Memorandum on the Study of Acculturation. American Anthropologist, 38, 149–152. 3. Berry, J. W & Sam, D. T. (1997). Acculturation and Adaptation. In J. W. Berry, M. H. Segall, & C. Kagitcibasa (Eds.), Handbook of Cross-Cultural Psychology: Social Behavior and Applications (Vol. 3, pp. 291–326). Boston: Allyn & Bacon. 4. Ibid. 5. Nwadiora, E., & McAdoo, H. (1996). Acculturative Stress Among Amerasian Refugees: Gender and Racial Differences. Adolescence, 31, 477–488. 6. Miranda, A. O., & Matheny, K. B. (2000). Sociopsychological Predictors and Acculturative Stress Among Latino Adults. Journal of Mental Health Counseling, 22, 306– 318. 7. Smart, J. F., & Smart, J. 390-397. 8. Hovey, J. D. (2000). Psychological Predictors of Acculturative Stress in Mexican Immigrants. Journal of Psychology, 134, 490-502. 9. Nwadiora & McAdoo, Acculturative Stress Among Amerasian Refugees. 10. Berry, J. W. (1987). Understanding the Process of Acculturation for Primary Intervention. Unpublished manuscript, Department of Psychology, Queen's College, Kingston, Ontario. Cited in Nwadiora & McAdoo, Acculturative Stress Among Amerasian Refugees. 11. Kim, Y. Y. (1997). Adapting to a New Culture. In L. A. Samovar & R. E. Porter (Eds.), Intercultural Communication: A Reader (8th ed., pp. 404–417). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth. 12. Ibid. 780 13. Liebkind, K. (1996). Acculturation and Stress: Vietnamese Refugees in Finland. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 27, 161–180. 14. Kim, Adapting to a New Culture. 15. Berry, J. W. (1990). Psychology of Acculturation. In R. A. Dienstbier (Ed.), Nebraska Symposium on Motivation 1989 (pp. 201–234). Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press. 16. Data for Figure 12.1 adapted from Berry, Psychology of Acculturation: 17. Berry, Psychology, 23, 722–741. 18. Coleman, H. L. K. (1995). Strategies for Coping With Cultural Diversity; Cuellar, I., Arnold, B., & Maldonado, R. (1995). Acculturation Rating Scale for Mexican-Americans II: A Revision of the Original ARSMA. Hispanic Journal of Behavioral Sciences, 17, 275–305. 19. Ibid. 20. Cuellar et al., Acculturation Rating Scale for Mexican-Americans II; Mendoza, R. H. (1989). An Empirical Scale to Measure Type and Degree of Acculturation in Mexican-American Adolescents and Adults. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 20, 372–385. 22. Landrine, H., & Klonoff, E. A. (1995). The African-American Adolescents and Adults. Journal of Cross-Culturation Scale II: Cross Validation and Short Form. Journal of Black Psychology, 21, 124–153. Landrine, H., & Klonoff, E. A. (2000) Revising and Improving the African Americans Acculturation Scale. Journal of Black Psychology, 26(2), 235–261. 23. Acculturation Scale for Mexican Americans. Hispanic Journal of Behavioral Sciences, 17, 275–305. Copyright © 1995 SAGE. Used by permission of the publisher. 24. DeLeon, B., & Mendez, S. (1996). Factorial Structure of a Measure of Acculturation in a Puerto Rican-American Acculturation Scale II: Cross Validation and Short Form. Landrine & Klonoff, Revising ances Improving the African American Acculturation Scale. 26. Ibid. 781 27. Winkelman, M. (1994). Culture Shock and Adaptation. Journal of Counseling and Development, 73, 121–127. 28. Oberg, K. (1954). Culture Shock: Adjustments to New Cultural Environments. Practical Anthropology, 4, 177–182. 29. Winkelman, Cultural Shock and Adaptation. 30. Oberg, Culture Shock; O Regions. Social Work, 38, 694-705; see also Kealey, D. J. (1978). Adaptation to a New Environment. In Canadian International Development Agency (Ed.), Pre-Departure Documentation Kit (pp. 48-55). Hull, Quebec: CIDA Briefing Centre; Richardson, A. (1974). British Immigrants and Australia: A Psychosocial Inquiry. Canberra: Australian Nationa University Press; Smalley, W. A. (1963). Culture Shock, and the Shock of Self-Discovery. Practical Anthropology, 10, 49–56. 32. Lysgaard, S. (1995). Adjustment in a Foreign Society: Norwegian Fulbright Grantees Visiting the United States. International Social Science Bulletin, 7, 45–51. 33. Zapf, Remote Practice and Culture Shock. 34. Winkelman, Cultural Shock and Adaptation. 35. Ibid., p. 122. 36. Rhinesmith, S. (1985). Bring Home the World. New York: Walsh. 37. Churchman, A., & Mitrani, M. (1997). The Role of the Physical Environment in Culture Shock. Environment and Behavior, 29, 64–87; Lonner, W. (1986). Foreword. In A. Furnham & S. Bochner (Eds.), Culture Shock: Psychological Reactions to Unfamiliar Environments (pp. xv-xx). London: Methuen. 38. Winkelman, Cultural Shock and Adaptation. 39. Kim, Adapting to a New Culture. 40. Furnham, A., & Bochner, S. (Eds.). (1986). Cultural Shock and Adaptation. 39. Kim, Adapting to a New Culture. 40. Furnham, A., & Bochner, S. (Eds.). (1986). Cultural Shock and Adaptation. 39. Kim, Adapting to a New Culture. 40. Furnham, A., & Bochner, S. (Eds.). (1986). Cultural Shock and Adaptation. 39. Kim, Adapting to a New Cultural Shock and Adaptation. 39. Kim, Adapting to a New Cultural Shock and Adaptation. 39. Kim, Adapting to a New Cultural Shock and Adaptation. 39. Kim, Adapting to a New Cultural Shock and Adaptation. 39. Kim, Adapting to a New Cultural Shock and Adaptation. 39. Kim, Adapta Adaptation. 782 42. Zapf, Remote Practice and Culture Shock. 43. Zapf, M. K. (1991). Cross-Cultural Transitions and Wellness: Dealing With Culture Shock. International Journal for the Advancement of Counseling, 14, 105–119. 44. Van der Zee, K., & Van Oudenhoven, J. P. (2013). Culture Shock or Challenge? The Role of Personality as a Determinant of Intercultural Competence. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 44(6), 928–940. 45. Ibid. 46. Ibid. 47. Gudykunst, W. B., & Kim, Y. Y. (1997). Communicating With Strangers: An Approach to Intercultural Communication. New York: McGraw-Hill; Spitzberg, B. H. (1997). A Model of Intercultural Competence. In L. A. Samovar & R. E. Porter (Eds.), Intercultural Communication Reader (8th ed., pp. 379–391). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth. 48. Spitzberg, A Model of Intercultural Competence. 49. Ibid. 50. Spitzberg, B. H., & Cupach, W. R. (1984). Interpersonal Communication Competence. 49. Ibid. 50. Spitzberg, B. H., & Cupach, W. R. (1984). Interpersonal Communication Competence. 49. Ibid. 50. Spitzberg, A Model of Intercultural Competence. 49. Ibid. 50. Spitzberg, A Model of Intercultural Competence. 49. Ibid. 50. Spitzberg, B. H., & Cupach, W. R. (1984). Interpersonal Communication Competence. 49. Ibid. 50. Spitzberg, A Model of Intercultural Competence. 49. Ibid. 50. Spitzberg, B. H., & Cupach, W. R. (1984). Interpersonal Communication Competence. 49. Ibid. 50. Spitzberg, B. H., & Cupach, W. R. (1984). Interpersonal Communication Competence. 49. Ibid. 50. Spitzberg, B. H., & Cupach, W. R. (1984). Interpersonal Communication Competence. 49. Ibid. 50. Spitzberg, B. H., & Cupach, W. R. (1984). Interpersonal Communication Competence. 49. Ibid. 50. Spitzberg, B. H., & Cupach, W. R. (1984). Interpersonal Communication Competence. 49. Ibid. 50. Spitzberg, B. H., & Cupach, W. R. (1984). Interpersonal Communication Competence. 49. Ibid. 50. Spitzberg, B. H., & Cupach, W. R. (1984). Interpersonal Communication Competence. 49. Ibid. 50. Spitzberg, B. H., & Cupach, W. R. (1984). Interpersonal Communication Competence. 49. Ibid. 50. Spitzberg, B. H., & Cupach, W. R. (1984). Interpersonal Communication Competence. 49. Ibid. 50. Spitzberg, B. H., & Cupach, W. R. (1984). Interpersonal Communication Competence. 49. Ibid. 50. Spitzberg, B. H., & Cupach, W. R. (1984). Interpersonal Communication Competence. 49. Ibid. 50. Spitzberg, B. H., & Cupach, W. R. (1984). Interpersonal Communication Competence. 49. Ibid. 50. Spitzberg, B. H., & Cupach, W. R. (1984). Interpersonal Communication Competence. 49. Ibid. 50. Spitzberg, B. H., & Cupach, W. R. (1984). Interpersonal Communication Competence. 49. Ibid. 50. Spitzberg, B. H., & Cupach, W. R. (1984). Ibid. 50. Spitzberg, B. H. (1984). Ib Fluency. Communication Monographs, 59, 130–149. 52. Kim, Y. Y. (1990). Explaining Interethnic Conflict: An Interdisciplinary Overview. Paper presented at the annual convention of the Speech Communication, Chicago, IL. 53. See, for example, Hewstone, M., & Ward, C. X. (1985). Ethnocentrism and Causal Attribution in Southeast Asia Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 48, 614–623; Islam, M. R., & Hewstone, M. (1993). Intergroup Attribution and Affective Consequences in Majority and Social Psychology; 64, 936–950; Segall, M. H. (1979). Cross-Cultural Psychology; Human Behavior in Global Perspective. Monterey, CA: Brooks/Cole; Sumner, W. G. (1906). Folkways. Boston: Ginn. 54. Wiseman, R. L., Hammer, M. R., & Nishida, H. (1989). Predictors of Intercultural Relations, 13, 349–370. 55. Neuliep, J. W., & McCroskey, J. C. (1997). The Development of Intercultural and Interethnic Communication Apprehension Scales. Communication Research Reports, 14, 145–156. 783 56. Kassing, J. W. (1997). Development of the Intercultural Willingness to Communication Research Reports, 14, 399–407. 57. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication Research Reports, 14, 399–407. 57. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication Research Reports, 14, 399–407. 57. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication Research Reports, 14, 399–407. 57. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication Research Reports, 14, 399–407. 57. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication Research Reports, 14, 399–407. 57. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication Research Reports, 14, 399–407. 57. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication Research Reports, 14, 399–407. 57. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication Research Reports, 14, 399–407. 57. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication Research Reports, 14, 399–407. 57. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication Research Reports, 14, 399–407. 57. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication Research Reports, 14, 399–407. 57. Gudykunst & Kim,
Communication Research Reports, 14, 399–407. 57. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication Research Reports, 14, 399–407. 57. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication Research Reports, 14, 399–407. 57. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication Research Reports, 14, 399–407. 57. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication Research Reports, 14, 399–407. 57. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication Research Reports, 14, 399–407. 57. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication Research Reports, 14, 399–407. 57. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication Research Reports, 14, 399–407. 57. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication Research Reports, 14, 399–407. 57. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication Research Reports, 14, 399–407. 57. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication Research Reports, 14, 399–407. 57. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication Research Reports, 14, 399–407. 57. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication Research Reports, 14, 399–407. 57. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication Research Reports, 14, 399–407. 57. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication Research Reports, 14, 399–407. 57. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication Research Reports, 14, 399–407. 57. Gudykunst & Kim, Communication Research Reports, 14, 399–407. 57. Gudy Theoretic View. In S. Ting-Toomey & F. Korzenny (Eds.), Cross-Cultural Interpersonal Communication (pp. 259–275). Newbury Park, CA: SAGE. 58. Gudykunst & Kim, Communicating With Strangers; Spitzberg, A Model of Intercultural Competence. 59. Gudykunst & Kim, Communicating With Strangers. 60. Kim, Explaining Interethnic Conflict. 61 Gudykunst & Kim, Communicating With Strangers; Ibid. 62. Arasaratnam, L. A., & Doerfel, M. L. (2005). Intercultural Communication Competence: Identifying Key Components From Multicultural Perspectives. International Journal of Intercultural Relations, 29, 137–163. 63. Arasaratnam, L. A. (2009). The Development of a New Instrument of Intercultural Communication Competence. Journal of Intercultural Communication, 20. 784 Index 785 Abdul, Paula, 109 Abdullah, King, 216 Abiding Truth Ministries, 111 Aboriginal patients (Australia), health care, 391 Abstract conceptualization (AC), 394 (figure), 395 Accents, 249, 251, 270–271, 391 Accommodating learning style, 395 Accommodation conflict-resolution strategy, 360, 361 Accommodation conflict style, 354, 355 (figure) Acculturation African American, 404, 409–407, 406 (figure) modes of, 407–409, 408 (figure) stress from, 404–405 success at, 419, 425 summary, 432–433 United States, 409–410 Acculturation Rating Scale for Mexican Americans (ARSMA), 410, 411-413 Achievement, 70 Ackerman, Xanthe, 46 Active experimentation (AE), 394 (figure), 419 Adaptors, 259, 267 Adjustment phase, culture shock and, 417 (figure), 418 AE (active experimentation), 394 (figure), 395 Affect displays, 259, 262–265 Affective component of competence, 405, 406 (figure), 427 (figure), 427 (figure), 427 (figure), 427 (figure), 428 Africa arranged marriages in, 331 high- and low-context communication, 62 mate selection, 327 microcultural context, 90, 96 social media use in, 323 African roup strength, 407 extended family networks, 202 (photo) Facebook usage, 320 health care and, 391, 392 interracial marriages, 315 media portrayals, 173–174, 175 microcultural context. 92–93. 96–98 Americans acculturation of, 404, 409, 410 786 asymmetrical power structure and, 339 communication, 97–98 dating practices, 318, 319 ethnic normative communication styles, 101, 103 (table) obesity in women, 384–385 population size, U.S., 96–97 privacy views, 131, 135 slavery, 186, 340 social network, 320 stereotypes, 98 stereotype vulnerability, 169, 169 (table), 179–180, 181 uncertainty and, 298 verbal code, 239, 247–248 See also Ebonics Age, 72, 164, 164 (figure) Aiello, John, 126 Aivilik Inuit people, 120 Akashi, Jun, 389 "Alcoholic flush" phenomenon, 159 Alden, Dana, 389 Alexander, Jonathan, 109 Al-Nafjan, Eman, 215, 216, 218 Altman, Irwin, 121, 131 Ambady, Nalini, 260 Ambiguity. See Uncertainty Amerasian Homecoming Act, 405 America and Cosmic Man (Lewis), 3 American Diabetes Association, 104 Americans for Truth About Homosexuality, 111 American Speech, 232 America's Most Wanted, 132, 174 Amish culture, 18, 330 Amnesty International, 139 Analogic communication, 257. See also Nonverbal code 787 Analytic categories, 167 Andersen, Peter A., 76, 81, 275, 285, 286 Androgynous persons, 207 Anger, facial expression of, 263, 264 (photo) Anka, Paul, 109 Anonymity, 131–132 Anthropology, 19 Anti-Ebonics, 248 Anxiety, 24, 25, 82, 83, 164, 297, 301 Anxiety/uncertainty management theory (AUM), 300–302, 304 Apache tribe, 239 Apocrine glands, 278, 279 Appealachian English, 249, 250 Apprehension, human communication, 13 Apprenticeships, 378 Approach tendencies, 38, 39, 429 Appropriateness, 344 Arab American Institute Foundation, 107 Arab Americans communication patterns, 108–109 Arab cultures, 62. See also specific Arab countries Arasaratnam, Lily, 37, 38, 39 Archer, Dana, 260, 261 Architecture. See Housing comparisons Aronson, Joshua, 179, 180 Arousal, 288 Arousal-nonarousal dimension, 119 Arranged marriages, 213, 216, 329–331, 361 Arrey-Wastavino, Angela, 391 ARSMA (Acculturation Rating Scale for Mexican Americans), 410, 411–413 Asian Americans, 409 dating practices, 318, 319 education, 393 internacial marriages, 213, 216, 329–331, 361 Arrey-Wastavino, Angela, 391 ARSMA (Acculturation Rating Scale for Mexican Americans), 410, 411–413 Asian Americans, 410, 410–414 Asian Americans, 410–414 Asian Americans, 315 media portrayals, 174, 175 microcultural context, 93, 98-101 normative communication styles, 101, 103 (table) population size, U.S., 98 788 social networks, 320 stereotypes, 100-101 uncertainty and, 298 Asian cultures, 238, 260-261, 268. See also specific Asian countries Asquith, Christina, 46 Assertiveness, 305, 307 Assimilating learning style, 395 Assimilation, 407-408, 408 (figure) Assumptions about intercultural communication, 27-28, 30-31 Assurances, 316 Asymmetrical reciprocity of relationships, 313 Attitude similarity, 312 Attraction, similarity and, 311 (figure) Assurances, 316 Asymmetrical reciprocity of relationships, 313 Attitude similarity, 312 Attraction, similarity and Collectivism Scale, 55 AUM (anxiety/uncertainty management theory), 300-302, 304 Australia divorce in, 332-333 education, 398, 399 health care, 389, 391 nonverbal code, 237, 247 Austria, 54, 76, 77 Autobiographical memory, 162 Avoidance tendencies, 429 Avoiding communication strategy, 360 Avoiding facework behaviors, 348, 349 (table), 351, 351 (figure), 352, 354 Aztecs, 381 Bailey, Guy, 251 Barbaro, Michael, 370 Barrett, Leonard E., 90 Basho, 243 Batek Nigrito people, 281 Bathrooms, 128 Becker, Carl, 244 Becker, Gary, 314 Beer, Jennifer, 375 Behavioral component of competence, 25 789 Behavioral patterns, 16–17 Being, 72 Beliefs, culture and, 16– 17 Bem, Sandra, 207 Benevolence, 70, 71 Bennett, Keith, 389 Berger, Charles, 24, 297, 300, 304, 427 Bernstein, Basil, 235, 236, 239 Berry, John, 159, 199, 403, 404, 405, 407, 410 Best, Deborah, 209 Bhawuk, Dharm P. S., 54 Bicultural identity, 408 Bilingualism, 162 Bill of Rights, 131 Biomedical model of medicine, 387 Birdwhistell, Ray, 263 Bishop, Rebecca Jo, 121 Black American Acculturation Scale, 413–415 Blais, Caroline, 268 Blake, Joanna, 260 Blatty, William Peter, 109 Blood quantum, 104 Boas, Franz, 19, 20 Bochner, Stephen, 419 Body language, 17, 17 (photo) Body movement. See Nonverbal code "Bogus stranger" experiments, 311 Bond, Michael Harris, 68, 80 Bororo peoples, 281 Boston Marathon bombing, smartphone reporting and, 132 Bows as greeting, 37, 260–261, 261 (photo), 426 Bradley-Wright, Kevin, 386 Braithwaite, Charles, 63, 108 Bray, Kenneth, 169 Brass, Hillary, 245, 246 Brazil microcultural context, 90 sociorelational context, 90 sociorelational context, 210 Walmart in, 370 Brewer, Marilyn, 193 Bride burning, 212. See also Dowry deaths Bride price, arranged marriages and, 330 790 Bride trafficking, 331 Bridewealth, 206, 329 Brigham, John, 265 Brinkman, Heidi, 276 Brislin, Richard, 166 Bronner, Ethan, 214, 215 Broome, Benjamin, 309, 310, 311, 345, 347, 347 (figure), 359 Brown, Anna, 9, 10, 93 Brown, William, 374 Bruner, Jerome, 181 Buckley Amendment, 135 Buddhism 327 Built environment, 118, 124–126, 126 (photo) Buller, Paul, 359–360, 361, 364 Burgoon, Judee, 286, 287, 288, 289 Burqa, 216 Bush, George W., 216 Bush, Ge 374-377 Mexican practices, 380-381 organizational culture, 371-374, 372 (figure) summary, 400 values, 371, 371 (photo) Walmart example, 370 Buss, Arnold H., 24 Buss, David, 323, 325, 326, 327 Byrne, Donn, 311 Byrne, Paul, 398 Byrnes, Deidre, 280 CADC. See Christian Anti-Defamation Commission (CADC) Cajun English, 250 Calabrese, Richard, 297, 300, 304 California English, 250-251 Calvert, Clay, 132, 134 Campbell, Donald, 193 Canada 791 education, 393 high- and low-context dimension, 285 mate selection, 326 microcultural context, 76 power distance, 76 privacy protection, 138 views on Americans, 373 Cao gio, 384 Capsule hotels, 127, 127 (photo) Caribbean Islanders, Facebook usage, 320 Carmicheal, Tina, 388 Carpentered-world hypothesis, 160 Car Talk Guys, The, 249 (photo) Casas, J. Manuel, 95 Casteel, Beth, 276 Caste system in India, 36, 192, 200 Categorization, 166–167, 373. See also Stereotypes Caucasians. See Whites CCC (Chinese Culture Connection), 68 CE (concrete experience), 394 (figure), 395 Cell phones, 4, 137 Census information, 93, 96, 98, 101, 107 Centers for Disease Control, 387 Central America, nonverbal code, 275 Certainty orientations, 83, 83 (table) Chan, Margaret, 384 Chan, 384 rearing practices, 53 China arranged marriages, 91, 331 business practices, 381–383 collectivism, 49 conflict behavior/resolution, 348, 357 Confucianism, 35–36 divorce in, 332 education, 393, 397 flight incident with United States, 5–6 792 handshakes, 262 health care, 389, 390 horizontal collectivism, 54 individualism-collectivism dimension, 50, 58, 62 language, 246 learning style preferences, 395–396 Love Stories Scale, 328 mate selection, 327–328 memory and culture, 161, 165 microcultural
context, 91 Mosuo family structure, 205 nonverbal code, 262, 268, 269–270 one-child policy, 136 power distance, 80, 382 privacy, 135–136, 140 relationships, 312, 313 (table) sex and gender roles, 213 sociocommunicative orientation/style instrument, 305 sociorelational context, 200 stereotype comparison with Hong Kong, 177 use and interpretation of silence, 30 value orientation, 68–69, 72 verbal code, 226, 227, 234–235, 238, 239, 244, 246 See also Hmong Chinese Culture Connection (CCC), 68 Chinese Value Survey, 68 Cho, Hichang, 137–138 Choi, Y. Susan, 260 Chomsky, Noam, 222, 228, 229, 230, 232, 258 Christian Anti-Defamation Commission (CADC), 111 Chronemics, 20, 257, 285 Chua, Elizabeth, 357, 358 Churchman, Arza, 417–418 Civil Rights Act of 1964, 271–272 Title VII of, 111 Clan exogamy, 203 Classen, Constance, 279, 280 Classroom culture, 19 Cleopatra, 268 Clueless (film), 250 (photo) Co-cultures. See Microcultural context Cognitive component of competence, 25 Cognitive simplicity/rigidity, 427 Cohen, Claire, 322 Cohen, Dov, 52 Coining/coin rubbing, 384 Cole, Michael, 165 Coleman, Hardin, 407, 408 Collaboration/problem-solving conflict resolution strategy, 360, 361 Collective self. 58 Collectivism defined, 48 horizontal, 54, 56 individualism vs., 49-50 vertical, 56, 57 See also Individualism-collectivism dimension Colloff, Pamela, 251 Colombia, relationships in, 303 Colonization, microcultural groups, 92 Color blindness, 159 Commerce, 8 Common good approach to ethics, 34 Common histories, 17 Communication, microcultural groups, 92 Color blindness, 159 Commerce, 8 Common good approach to ethics, 34 Common histories, 17 Communication, microcultural groups, 92 Color blindness, 159 Commerce, 8 Common good approach to ethics, 34 Common histories, 17 Communication, microcultural groups, 92 Color blindness, 159 Commerce, 8 Common good approach to ethics, 34 Common histories, 17 Communication, microcultural groups, 92 Color blindness, 159 Commerce, 8 Common good approach to ethics, 34 Common histories, 17 Communication, microcultural groups, 92 Color blindness, 159 Commerce, 8 Common good approach to ethics, 34 Common histories, 17 Communication, microcultural groups, 92 Color blindness, 159 Commerce, 8 Common good approach to ethics, 34 Common histories, 17 Communication, microcultural groups, 92 Color blindness, 159 Commerce, 8 Common good approach to ethics, 34 Common histories, 17 Communication, microcultural groups, 92 Color blindness, 159 Commerce, 8 Common good approach to ethics, 34 Common histories, 17 Communication, microcultural groups, 92 Color blindness, 159 Commerce, 8 Common good approach to ethics, 34 Common histories, 17 Communication, microcultural groups, 92 Color blindness, 159 Commerce, 8 Common good approach to ethics, 34 Common good approach to contextual nature of, 12, 369 as culture bound, 12, 369 defined, 13 dynamic nature of, 11 environment and, 118 intentional, 12 power distance and, 77–81 roles and, 17–81 roles and, 17–81 roles and, 17–81 roles and, 12, 369 defined, 13 dynamic nature of, 10–11, 13, 369 See also Competence in communication; Intercultural communication apprehension, 13, 24, 25 Communication competence models, 25 Communication, 379–380 Competence in communication reciprocity, 300 Communication about, 425–426 794 affective component, 427 (figure), 429–430 ethics, 434–435 knowledge component, 426–427, 427 (figure), 429 models of, 426-427, 427 (figure), 429-431 psychomotor component, 430-431 situational features component, 427 (figure), 395 Concubines, 213 Condon, John, 72, 73 (table), 177, 177 (ta 74–75, 240, 242, 402 Conflict. See Intercultural conflict Conflict style, defined, 353–354 Conformity, 70 Confucianism, 275 business management practices, 382 family roles, 203–204 relationships, 196, 312, 313, 327 ritual, 35–36 verbal codes, 244 Consonants, 269 Consumerism, 389, 390 Contact cultures, 275 Context, communication, 12 Context-based communication apprehension, 13 Contextual model, 20–24, 20 (figure), 23 (figure), 23 (figure), 240–242 Contingency model of cross-cultural conflicts, 362 have a communication apprehension, 361–362 have a communication apprehension, 361 have a communication apprehension, 363 have a communication apprehension, 364 have a communication ap Contractual reciprocity, 313 Converging learning style, 395 Coon, Heather M., 48, 54 Costs of health care, 386, 387 (table) Craft, Lucy, 127 Credibility judgments, 178 Cross-cultural variations 795 communication styles, 244–246 memory and retrieval, 164–165 privacy, 135–137, 288 sensation and perception, 159–160 sex and gender roles, 211–216 Cross-race identification of faces, 265 Crouch, Ned, 93, 95, 381 Cuddy, Amy, 175, 176, 177, 178 Cultural competence, 86 high- and low-context communication and, 61–63, 61 (table) intercultural communication competence, 86 organizational culture, 372 (figure) pancultural self, 58-60 power distance, 75-81, 76 (table) summary, 84-85 See also Environmental context; Individualism-collectivism dimension; Microcultural transformation, 409 Cultural transmutation, 409 Cultural variability, 46, 285-291 Culture-based social ecological conflict model, 340–343–345, 343 (figure), 359 Culture context of business organizations, 371 Culture(s) cognition and, 155–167 as communication, 196, 197 (figure) as software of the mind, 155 ubiquitousness of, 15 values across, 16 (table) Culture shock, 416 defined, 403, 415 education shock, 416 factors affecting, 418 (figure) models, 416, 417 (figure) models, 416, 417 (figure) strategies for managing, 419, 423 success indicators, 419, 425 summary, 433 U-curve hypothesis, 416 W-curve model, 419, 419 (figure) Culture Shock Profile, 422–423 Cupach, Bill, 426 Czech Republic nonverbal code, 276 Danseego, 245 Darwin, Charles, 263, 265 Dassanetch people, 281 Dating practices, 318–319 Davin, Delia, 213 Decay of memory, 161, 162 Declaration of Independence, 17, 74, 75 Dell'Osso, Louis, 267 Denotative meaning of nonverbal communication, 259 Desana people, 281 Deschamps, Jean-Claude, 49 Desirability diminution effect, 325 Desirability enhancement effect, 325 de Tocqueville, Alexis, 190 de Vargas, Marylin Cabezas, 264 Devine, Patricia, 169 Dewey, John, 394 Dholakia, Utpal, 330, 331 Dialects, 248, 249, 250, 270. See also Accents Dialogue for peace, 345, 347–348, 347 (figure), 359 Dibiase, Rosemarie, 276 Differentiated culture, 199 Digital communication, 257. See also Verbal code Digital quality of verbal communication, 10–15 of group variability, 192–195 of organizational culture, 371–374, 372 (figure) 797 of role variation, 10–15 of group variability, 192–195. communication, 236-237, 354 Disagreement, 353-354 Discrimination, 34, 91, 111, 167, 183, 187, 271 Discussion conflict style, 395, 396 Diversity in the United States, 9-10 Divorce, 206, 213, 214, 315, 331-333 Doerfel, Marya, 37, 38 Dog meat soup, 32-33 Dogon people, 281 Dominance-submissiveness dimension, 119 Dominating conflict style, 352–353 Dominating facework behaviors, 348, 349 (table), 351, 351 (figure) Dominican Republic, 385 Domino, George, 69 Dong, Xiaoping, 136 Doors, German culture, 379 Dovidio, John, 270, 271 Dowry Prohibition Act, 212 Dowrys, 212 Drake (William) and Associates, 378 Dress, 281, 284–285 Duck, Steve, 311 Dugan, Shaun, 77 Dugri, 237 Duley, Margot, 212 Duncan, Birt, 181 Dunning, David, 178 Durga, Ramanand, 162 Dynamic conflict style, 354, 355 (figure) Dynamic nature of communication, 11 Earned power, 70 East Asia individualism-collectivism dimension, 50 nonverbal code, 265 particularism, 312 798 verbal code, 240 See also specific East Asian countries Eastern cultures certainty orientation in, 83, 83 (table) ethical principles of, 35-36 illness theories, 386 perceptual context, 156 role differentiation, 200 See also specific Eastern countries East India, 276 Ebonics, 97-98, 247-248 Economic benefits, 8 Education ethics, 400-401 intercultural communication competence, 401 learning styles, 393–396 memory and, 164–165 prevalence of international students, 392–393 recommendations for intercultural classroom, 399 student-teacher relationships, 392 summary, 400 teacher immediacy, 396–399 touching responses and, 276 Education/persuasion communication strategy, 360 Education shock, 416 Effectiveness, 344 Ekman, Paul, 262, 264, 265 Elaborated code, 236 Elaborate style, 238–239, 240 Elliot, Andrew, 169 Elliot, Candia, 101 Elliot, Candia, 331 ELT (experiential learning theory), 394, 394 (figure) E-mail, 3, 4 Emblems, 259–262 EMC Corporation, 138 Emotional expression, 351 (figure), 352 Emotionally expressive conflict style, 351, 351 (figure) Emotional stability, 425 Empathy, 38, 39, 309–311, 309 (figure), 425 Employment Conflict style, 354, 355 (figure) England, Joan, 8 English language, 269 accents, 249, 251 alphabet, 161 American regional differences, 249-251 Ebonics, 97-98 fluency, 10 inflections, 270 memory and, 160-161 status and, 240 Enriquez, Luis, 94, 394 Entwistle, Doris, 266 Environmental context, 154, 155 about, 20, 20 (figure), 373 competence in communication, 431 ethics, 149–150 information load, 118–119, 119 (table) intercultural communication competence, 150 Japanese housing, 127–129 monochronic versus polychronic time orientation, 142–148, 145 (table) Muslim homes, 130–131 natural environments, 120–124 organizational culture, 372 (figure), 373 summary, 148–149 See also Perceptual context; Privacy Episodic long-term memory, 162 Ethics, 31–36 business management, 401 cultural context, 85–86 education, 400–401 environmental context, 149–150 intercultural context, 149–150 intercultural context, 188 800 sociorelational context, 219 verbal code and, 253 Ethnic-group strength, 407 Ethnicity acculturation and, 406 cult of, 9–10 language and, 247–251 relationships and, 314–316 Ethnic Notions (film), 98 Ethnocentric attributional bias, 183 contemporary conceptualization of, 183 continuum, 183, 183 (figure) defined, 28, 182 ICA and, 302, 304 intercultural communication, interpersonal
perception, and, 184 racism style, 239 Excommunication, 94 Exo factors, 342 Exogamy, 203 Exo layer of conflict, 344 Expectancies, 288 Experiential learning theory (ELT), 394, 394 (figure) Extended family, 202 Eye contact, 80, 105, 200, 256, 257, 260, 267–268, 284, 297, 298, 401 Face, 79, 348–352 Facebook, 3, 4, 319, 320, 321 Africa, 323 India, 322 Japan, 322 Mexico, 323

Face-negotiation theory, 348, 360 801 Faces cross-racial recognition of, 265–267 expressing emotion, 262–265, 264 (photos) Face saving, intermediaries and, 314 Facework, 79–80, 348, 349 (table), 351–352 Facial expressions of emotion, 262–265, 264 (photos) Face saving, intermediaries and, 314 Facework, 79–80, 348, 349 (table), 351–352 Facial expressions of emotion, 262–265, 264 (photos) Face saving, intermediaries and, 314 Facework, 79–80, 348, 349 (table), 351–352 Facial expressions of emotion, 262–265, 264 (photos) Face saving, intermediaries and, 314 Facework, 79–80, 348, 349 (table), 351–352 Facial expressions of emotion, 262–265, 264 (photos) Face saving, intermediaries and, 314 Facework, 79–80, 348, 349 (table), 351–352 Facial expressions of emotion, 262–265, 264 (photos) Face saving, intermediaries and, 314 Facework, 79–80, 348, 349 (table), 351–352 Facial expressions of emotion, 262–265, 264 (photos) Face saving, intermediaries and, 314 Facework, 79–80, 348, 349 (table), 351–352 Facial expressions of emotion, 262–265, 264 (photos) Face saving, intermediaries and, 314 Facework, 79–80, 348, 349 (table), 351–352 Facial expressions of emotion, 262–265, 264 (photos) Face saving, intermediaries and, 314 Facework, 79–80, 348, 349 (table), 351–352 Facial expressions of emotion, 262–265, 264 (photos) Face saving, intermediaries and and a state saving intermediaries and a state sa and Privacy Act, 135 Family Expressiveness Questionnaire (FEQ), 100 Family groups, 202–206. See also Marriage Family metaphor of corporations, 373–374 Family metaphor of corporations, 373–374 Family relation, 72, 73 (table), 74 Farall, Kenneth, 137 Farb, Peter, 254 Faroe Islands, 388 Farr, James, 185 Favorable construals, 58–59 Favorable construals, 58 Bureau of Investigation (FBI), 137 Feghali, Ellen Kussman, 108 Feingold, Gustave, 265 Feinman, Saul, 266 Felder, Richard M., 399 Feldman, Emily, 132 Female infanticide/feticide, 213 Feminine accent, 246 FEQ (Family Expressiveness Questionnaire), 100 Fernandez, Clea, 165 Fika, 383 Filial piety, 68, 69, 100, 204 "Finger, the," 261, 262, 262 (photo) Finland acculturation, 406 education, 398, 399 mate selection, 327 nonverbal code, 268 sociocommunicative orientation/style instrument, 305 Firm's authority strategy, 377 Fischer, Ronald, 50 Fisher, Sarah, 122, 123 802 Fiske, Susan, 175, 176, 177, 178 Fixed-feature space, 124 Flexibility, 425 Flutie, Doug, 109 Food, 32–33 Forcing communication strategy, 360 Ford, Kevin, 185 Foreign Service Institute (FSI), 19 Forgetting, 163. See also Memory Formal code systems, informal code systems, informal code systems, 281 France education, 398 high- and low-context dimension, 285 individualism-collectivism dimension, 50 learning style preference, 396 nonverbal code, 262, 263 (photo), 268, 276, 278 verbal code, 237, 240, 242 vertical individualism, 54 Frances, Sheila, 135 Francoeur, Robert, 279 Franz, Cynthia, 180 Fredrickson, Barbara, 266 Freeman, Shanna, 127 Free will, 34 Friesen, P., 263 Frustration-aggression hypothesis, 186 Fu Manchu character, 174 Furnham, Adrian, 419 Fusion, 409 Fusuma, 128 Gaertner, Lowell, 59 Gagnon, John, 95 Gaijin, 377 Gallois, Cynthia, 264 Gandhi, Mahatma, 200, 336 Gandy, Oscar, 135 Gardens of Japan, 128, 128 (photo) 803 Gardiner, T. Clifford, 391 Gay, John, 165 Gay marriage, 314 Gayspeak, 111, 113 Gedro, Julie, 111 Gee, Christina, 316, 317 Geertz, Clifford, 137 Gelfand, Michele J., 54 Gender defined, 207 differences in dress, 284–285 housing usage and, 129, 130 natural disasters and, 120, 130 natural disasters and, 130 natural Scale, 28, 29 Generative grammar, 232-234 Geography of thought, 156-157 George, Jeff, 109 Gerbner, George, 173, 178 Gergen, Kenneth J, 294, 295 Germany business practices, 377-380, 380 (table) education, 398 Facebook usage, 321 high- and low-context dimension, 285 individualism-collectivism dimension, 63 learning style preference, 396 mate selection, 327 nonverbal code, 263, 268, 276, 278 online privacy, 138 privacy, 288 time orientation, 143 verbal code, 237, 240 Walmart's failure in, 370 Gerontocracy, 195 Gershenfeld, Matti, 194 804 Gestures, 260 Ghana, 165 Gibbons, Boyd, 278 Gibran, Kahlil, 109 Gibson, Michelle, 109 Gifford Robert, 178 Gift-giving in business, 382–383 Gilbert, G. M., 169 Giles, Howard, 270 Gill, Bates, 6 Gilovich, Thomas, 178 Gleitman, Lila, 229 Global attitude, 38, 39 Global attitude, 38, 39 Global attitude, 38, 379 Gluszek, Agata, 270, 271 Goldberg, Myron, 267 Goodman, Cecile, 181 Google, 137 Gordon, L., 169 Gordon, Matthew, 251 Göttner-Abendroth, Heide, 202 Gould, Stephen Jay, 263 Government expenditures for health care, 386, 387 (table) Graetz, Kenneth, 59 Grainger, Richard, 376 Green, Eva G. T., 49 Greeting rituals, 37, 260–261, 261 (photo) Grohskopf, Erica, 304 Group membership, 191. See also Individualism-collectivism dimension Group status, microcultural, 90–91 Group variability, dimensions of, 192–195 Guan, Shijie, 184 Guatemala Ladinos, 74, 200, 261–262, 261 (photo) memory strategies, 164–165 power distance orientation, 81 805 social stratification, 200 time orientation, 144 uncertainty in, 298 Gudykunst, William B., 25, 27, 53, 65, 82, 99, 166, 167, 184, 196, 197, 236, 237, 238, 240, 242, 272, 297, 238, 240, 242, 272, 297, 285, 357, 358, 429 Guen-hye, Park, 139 Guidetti, Michèlle, 260 Gunnoe, Jaime, 276 Haggar, J. M., 109 Haiku poetry, 242–243 Hall, Edward T., 19, 20, 28, 44, 45, 62, 124, 125, 126, 142, 143, 144, 235, 237, 239, 242, 275, 285, 357 379, 380 Hall, Judith, 262 Hall, Mildred Reed, 237, 242, 379, 380 Hamilton, David, 178 Hammer, Mitchell, 353, 354, 355 Handshakes, 261 Hannah, Mo Therese, 69 Haptics, 256, 275–276, 278 Haredi group, 215 Harmony, 48, 50, 59, 95, 127, 209, 275, 374, 381, 382 Harms, L. S., 20 Harris, Philip R., 72, 373 Harris, Richard Jackson, 165 Hasegawa, Tomohiro, 272 Hashimoto, Keiji, 377 Hatcher, Teri, 109 Hayes, Joseph, 111 Health care intercultural communication, 386–386 provider-patient communication, 386–386 provider-patient communication, 386–388 summary, 400 Health communication, 386–388 summary, 400 Hea 388 Healthy communities, 8 Healy, Pamela, 391 Hearing acuity, 231 Hebrew language, 226 806 Hecht, Michael, 81, 285 Hedonism, 70 Helman, Cecil, 385, 386 Henderson, Hollywood, 239 Hensley, Deana, 165 Herrick, John, 204 Herskovits, Melville, 403 High- and low-context communication about, 61–63, 61 (table) Asian Americans, 99–100 business practices, 382 conflict resolution and, 354, 357–359 initial encounters, 297–298 Native Americans, 105 nonverbal code, 276, 277, 289 social stratification, 143–144 uncertainty and, 82 verbal code and, 235, 239 High-context cultural orientations, communication consequences, 63 High-context cultures, 126 High-context transaction, defined, 62 High load, 119, 119 (table) Hijab, 216, 284 Hill, Carrie, 166 Hill, Susan, 325 Hinduism, 36 Hispanics acculturation, 94–95 dating practices, 318, 319 education, 393, 394 ethnic-group strength, 407 growth of, 9 health care and, 391 identifying, 93–94 media portrayals, 173–174 microcultural context, 92, 93–96 normative communication styles, 101, 103 (table) population size, U.S., 93 stereotypes, 95–96 807 See also Latinos Hitler, Adolf, 280 HIV/AIDS, 206, 409, 410 Hmong culture acculturation, 404 arranged marriages, 330 clan exogamy, 203 gestures, 262 microcultural context, 99 nonverbal code, 262 sociorelational context, 203 Hofmann, Stefan, 319 Hofstede, Geert, 75, 76, 82, 83, 154, 155, 182, 207 Hogan (Navajo), 129–130, 129 (figure) Holistic categories, 167 Honeycutt, James M., 57 Honeycutt, Ja Honorifics, 241, 245 Hoobler, Gregory D., 81, 285 Hoppe-Graff, Siegfried, 203, 204 Horizontal collectivism, 54, 56 Horizontal individualism, 54, 56 Horizontal individualism, 54, 56 Horizontal collectivism, 54, 56 Horizontal collectivism, 54, 56 Horizontal collectivism, 54, 56 Horizontal collectivism, 54, 56 Horizontal individualism, 54, 56 Horizontal collectivism, 54, 56 Horizont Michael, 314, 318 Howes, David, 279, 280 Hsu, Francis, 67, 72 Hugenberg, Kurt, 266, 267 Human communication nature of, 11–13 ubiquity, 10–11, 12 Human Rights Watch, 216 808 Hunter, Marnie, 123 Huo, Yuen J., 77 Hypergamy, 206 809 IAPP. See International Association of Privacy Professionals (IAPP) ICA (intercultural communication comprehension), 302, 304–305, 307, 429 ICS (Intercultural Conflict Style) Inventory, 353–355, 355 (figure) Ilcan, Suzan, 91 Illness. See Health care Illusory correlation principle, 178 Illustrators, 259–262 Imada, Toshi, 50 Immediacy, defined, 396, 401 Immigration, 9, 92, 404 Independence. See Individualism-collectivism dimension India arranged marriages, 91, 330 caste system, 36, 192–193, 200 cell phone subscribers, 4, 137 divorce, 332 dowry deaths, 33 education, 393 environmental context, 91 nonverbal code, 268, 275 online privacy, 138–139 power distance, 76 privacy, 288 sex and gender roles, 212–213 sociorelational context, 200 verbal code, 246 Indian Mations, 101 Indirect communication style, 354 Indirect silencing, 99 Indirect style, 237 Individualism collectivism vs., 49–50 defined, 47 horizontal, 54, 56 810 overall, 50 vertical, 54, 56 Individualism-collectivism dimension behavior, 352, 355–357 conflict resolution and, 354 culture and memory, 162 defining attributes, 47 divorce and, 332 education, 394 facework, 348 families, 202–203 health care, 389 high- and low-context communication, 61–63, 61 (table) identifying, 46 nonverbal code, 264, 285 organizational culture, 382 pancultural self, 58–60 privacy preferences, 138 relationship perceptions, 312–314 role differentiation and stratification, 199–200 self-assessment, 56–57 social networking, 319 United States 52-53, 53 (table) verbal code, 240 vertical and horizontal, 54, 56-57, 57-58 Individual self, 58 Indonesia, 120 environmental context, 120 mate selection, 326, 327 nonverbal code, 276 Inequality and power distance, 81 Infant sounds, 269 Infidelity rates, 95 Infiltration communication strategy, 360 Inflections, 270 Influence
over conflict, 361, 364 Information load, 118–119, 119 (table) Information rate, 125 811 Information, 3–4 Informati (table), 351, 351 (figure) Integration, 407, 408, 408 (figure) Intensity, 361, 364 Intercultural communication, 257 benefits, 7–9, 7 (table) causing problems, 10 contextual model of, 20–24, 20 (figure), 23 (figure) defined, 20 ethics of, 31–36 ethnocentrism and, 184 fundamental assumptions about, 27–31 need for, 5–10 normative styles, 101, 103 (table) study of, 19–27 ubiquitousness of, 10–11, 12 uncertainty and, 24–25 values and, 66–68 See also Competence in communication Intercultural communication (ICA), 25, 27, 302, 304–305, 307, 429 Intercultural communication competence, 37 business management, education, and health care, 401 cultural context, 86 defined, 403, 425-426 812 intercultural conflict, 365 microcultural context, 115 nonverbal code, 293 perceptual context, 188 relationships and, 334 sociorelational context, 188 relationships and, 334 sociorelational context, 188 relationships and, 253 Intercultural context, 188 relationships and, 334 sociorelational context, 188 relationships and, 253 Intercultural context, 188 relationships and, 254 microcultural context, 188 relationships and, 255 microcultural context, 188 relationships and, 254 microcultural context, 188 relationships and, 254 microcultural context, 219 microcultural context, 21 359-364 culture-based social ecological conflict model, 340, 342-345, 343 (figure), 359 defining, 337 dialogue for peace, 345, 347-348, 347 (figure), 359 ethics and, 365 face and facework, 348, 349 (table), 350-352, 351 (figure), 359 ethics and, 365 face and facework, 348, 347 (figure), 359 ethics and, 365 face and facework, 348, 347 (figure), 359 ethics and, 365 face and facework, 348, 347 (figure), 359 ethics and, 365 face and facework, 348, 347 (figure), 359 ethics and, 365 face and facework, 348, 347 (figure), 359 ethics and, 365 face and facework, 348, 347 (figure), 359 ethics and facework, 348, 347 (figure), 350 ethics and f 357 intercultural communication competence, 365 Kim's model of, 338–340, 339 (figure), 341–342, 359 reducing, 8 summary, 364 win-lose and win-win conflict Style (ICS) Inventory, 353–355, 355 (figure) Intercultural context, success indicators in, 425 Intercultural conversations business management 376 conflict models, 341-342 conflict styles, 352-353 direct and indirect speaking, 238 exchange student, 6-7 high- and low-context cultures, 64 individualism-collectivism dimension, 51-52 instrumental and affective speaking, 243 pancultural self, 60 power distance orientations, 81 role positions, 201 stereotyping, 181-182 student-teacher role positions, 197–199 time orientation, 145–146 813 uncertainty avoidance, 84 uncertainty reduction, 299, 306 violation of nonverbal expectancies, 290 where should we eat dinner?, 31–32 Intercultural relationships ethics, 334 factors affecting, 296 (figure) organizational culture, 372 (figure) Intercultural willingness to communicate, 429 Intercultural Willingness to Communicate Scale, 430 Interdependent meaning, 310 Interference, 163 Intergroup salience, 330 International Energy Agency, 120 International Journal of (photo), 276 Iraq, 276 Irwin, Harry, 389 Israel Hebrew language, 226 mate selection, 327 sex and gender roles, 214–215 sociorelational context, 204–205 verbal code, 237 Italy nonverbal code, 268, 276, 278 studying abroad in, 208 Ivory Coast, 386 814 Iwao, Sumiko, 63, 211, 237 Iwawaki, Saburo, 264 Izard, Carroll, 262, 264 815 Jack, Rachael, 265 Jackson, Todd, 327 Jaggi, Vaishna, 183 Jamaica, 90, 262 Janik, Stephen, 267 Japan, 263 bowing in, 37 business practices, 374–377, 375 (table), 378 context communication, 63 human nature orientation, 74 individualism-collectivism dimension, 46, 50, 57, 59, 62 learning style preferences, 395 mate selection, 326 memory strategies, 165 nonverbal code, 255, 260–261, 261 (photo), 262, 264, 268, 273, 276, 284–285, 289, 426 population density, 127 sex and gender roles, 211 social media use in, 321-322 sociocommunicative orientation/style instrument, 305 sociorelational context, 200 use and interpretation of silence, 30 verbal code, 226, 237, 238, 239, 240, 241-242, 247 Japanese Women's Language (JWL), 245-246 Jargon, 249 Javanese, privacy, 137 Jerry Springer, 134 Jews, stereotype vulnerability, 169 (table) Johnson, Allan, 202 Johnson Kareem, 266 Johnson, Lyndon B., 111 Johnson, Rachel, 391, 392 Johnson, Scott, 398 Jones, Tricia, 276 816 Jordan, Jerry, 427 Joseego, 245, 246 Joy, Simy, 396 Judd, Charles, 166 Judeo-Christian doctrine, 121–122 JWL (Japanese Women's Language), 245–246 817 Kalahari Bushmen, 159 Kanji, 226, 226 (figure) Kao, Henry S. R., 69 Karl, Katherine, 321 Karoshi, 375 Kasem, Casey, 109 Kassing, Jeffrey, 429 Kataganga, 226 Katz, David, 169 Kawai, Yuko, 174 Kayz, Naz, 272 Kealey, Daniel, 416, 416 (figure) Keaton, Shaughan A., 57 Keberlein, Mike, 74, 200 Keesing, Roger, 46 Kemmelmeier, Markus, 48, 54, 332 Kemp, Simon, 322 Kent, Susan, 129, 130 Kenya education, 398 handshakes, 261 nonverbal code, 261, 275, 276 sociorelational context, 206 time orientation, 144–145 Kershner, Isabel, 214, 215 Kim, Bryan, 99 Kim, Hye-On, 203, 204 Kim, Min Sun, 390 Kim, Young Yun, 25, 82, 166, 167, 184, 196, 197, 297, 338–340, 339 (figure), 341–342, 405, 406, 406 (figure), 407, 419, 427, 429 Kim Jong-un, 139 Kimonos, 284 Kinesics, 20, 259–267. See also Nonverbal code King, Peter, 248 Kinzler, Katherine, 270, 271 Kitchens, 128 Kleck, Robert, 267 Kline, Susan, 328, 329 Klonoff, Elizabeth, 385, 409, 410 Klopf, Donald, 200 Knopf, Richard, 120 Knowledge component of competence, 37, 426–427 Kline, Susan, 328, 329 Klonoff, Elizabeth, 385, 409, 410 Klopf, Donald, 200 Knopf, Elizabeth, 385, 409, 410 Klopf, 427 (figure), 429 Kochman, Thomas, 98, 239 Koester, Jolene, 126 Kohl, James, 279 Kohls, John, 359, 360, 361, 364 Kokdemir, Dogan, 136 Kolata, Gina, 95 Kolb, David, 394, 394 (figure), 395, 396 Koo, Se-Woong, 139 Korea Confucianism, 35–36 employment rate for women, 204 Hangul script, 226 hierarchical position display, 28 high- and low-context communication, 62 individualism-collectivism dimension, 59 microcultural context, 98, 99 nonverbal code, 260, 268, 270, 276, 288 privacy, 372–373 role relationships, 203–204 use and interpretation of silence, 30 verbal code, 230, 238, 240 Walmart in, 370 Kotoba, 246 Krabbenhoft, Mary Anne, 260 Kraiger, Kurt, 185 Kroeber, Alfred, 16 Kroonenberg, Pieter, 264 Ku Klux Klan, 194 (photo) Kwalwasser, James, 374 Kyosho jutaku, 127 819 LaBarbera, Peter, 111 Lacandon culture, 318 Lachman, Roy, 163 Ladefoged, Peter, 269 Ladino gestures, 261, 261 (photo) La mano caliente ("the hot hand"), 261, 261 (photo) Landler, Mark, 370 Landrine, Hope, 385, 409, 410 Landy, Frank, 185 Lane, Carole, 137 Lang, Jon T., 120, 121, 124, 125, 131 Language alphabets, 226 codes, 12 (figure) defined, 226 different codes in, 12 (figure) defined, 226 different codes in, 12 (figure) Ebonics, 247–248 empathic, 310–311 ethnic identity and, 247–251 gayspeak, 111, 113 gendering of, 234–235 generative grammar, 232-234 honorifics, 241, 245 inflections, 270 official, 9-10 paralanguage, 256-257, 268-272 private, 92 recall and, 165 slang, 249 sounds and symbols, 226-227 speech vs., 235 structure of, 224-235 syntactic features of, 225 syntax and universal grammar, 228-231 tonal, 269-270 universality of, 231-232 variety, 249 vocabulary. 225, 249 See also English language; Linguistics; Verbal code; specific language Laos, 120 arranged marriages, 91 820 environmental context, 120 Hmong and, 203, 404 microcultural context, 91 Larenceau, Jean-Philippe, 315 Larson, Charles, 11 Latin Americans/Caribbean Islanders social network, 320 Latinos acculturation of, 404, 409 conflict resolution, 358 cultural values and communication, 94–95 dating practices, 318, 319 ethnic-group strength, 407 Facebook usage, 320 health care and, 391 media portrayals, 174, 175 normative communication styles, 101, 103 (table) population size, 93 power distance and, 80 stereotypes, 95–96 stereotype vulnerability, 180 See also Hispanics Latitude and authoritarianism, 76 Laumann, Edward, 95 Laungani, Pittu D., 7, 91, 192, 212, 332 Lay theories of illness, 385–386 Learning styles, 393–396 Left hand use, 276 Le Guérer, Annick, 279 Lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer/questioning (LGBTQ) group, 90, 93, 109–113, 317, 409 Leung, Wok, 77 Levande, Diane, 204 Levin, Shana 318, 319 Levinson, Daniel, 182 Lewin, Kurt, 394 Lewin-Epstein, Noah, 204 Lewis, Richard, 377 Lewis, Wyndham, 3 Lewis-Smith, Jamie, 315 LGBTQ (lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer/questioning) group, 409 cities and proportion of LGBTQ inhabitants, 110 gayspeak, 111, 113 821 microcultural context, 90, 93, 109–113 relational maintenance and, 317 stereotypes, 113 Liebkind, Karmela, 406 Liking, 300 Lim, Sun Sun, 137 Lin, Monica, 101 Lind, E. Allan, 77 Line users, Japan, 321 Linguistic relativity, 225, 226, 228 Linguistic Gumbo, 250 Linguistic determinism, 225, 234 Linguistic Gumbo, 250 Linguistic relativity, 225, 226, 228 Linguistic determinism, 225, 234 Linguistic Gumbo, 250 Linguistic relativity, 225, 226, 228 Linguistic relativity, 225, 226, 228 Linguistic determinism, 225, 234 Linguistic Gumbo, 250 Linguistic relativity, 225, 226, 228 Linguistic determinism, 225, 226, 228 Linguistic determinism, 225, 228 Linguistic determinism, 225, 234 Linguistic determinism, 225, 226 Linguistic determinism, 225, 228 L Ralph, 403 Liss, Alexander, 123 Listening, 38, 39 Literacy rate, recall and, 165 Liv, In-mao, 165 Lively, Scott, 111 Logographic script, 161 Lonner, Walt, 417 Love, 327 Lovells, Hogan, 140 Love Stories Scale, 328 Low-context communication. See High- and low-context communication Low-context communication Low-context communication Low-context communication Low-context communication. transaction, defined, 62 Low load, 119, 119 (table) Lubove, Rebecca, 374 Lukens, Janet, 184 Lustig, Myron, 126 Lysgaard, Sverre, 416 822 Machismo, 74, 95
Macro-level primary orientation factors, 342 Madagascar, 318 Majority group, 90 Majumdar, Rochona, 212 Malaysia, 373, 390 Mali, 386 Mallya, Harshith, 4 Malpass, Roy, 265 Manager-subordinate transactions, 185 Mandarin Chinese language, 246, 269 Marginalization, 407, 408 (figure), 409 Marguez, Celina Melgoza, 214 Marriage arranged, 213, 216, 329–331, 361 dissolution of, 331–333 endogamy, 91, 110 exogamy, 203 interethnic and interracial, 314–316 mate selection/desirability, 323–333 polyandry, 314 polygyny, 206, 314, 318, 327 "walking" or "visiting," 205 See also Endogamy Marsh, A., 265 Martin, Jason, 320 Martin, (table) Matheny, Kenneth, 404 Matlin, Margaret, 157, 161, 163, 164 Matriarchy, 202 Matsui, Yutaka, 211 Matsumoto, David, 264 Mburugu, Edward K., 206 McAdoo, Harriette, 405 823 McCann, Robert M., 57 McCroskey, James, 13, 200, 305, 398, 399, 429 McDaniel, Ed, 275 McLuhan, Marshall, 3 McNamara, Tim, 247 McNeill, David, 257 Media influence on stereotypes, 173–175 Meem, Deborah, 109 Mehrabian, Albert, 118, 119, 396 Melting-pot metaphor, 169, 170 Membership groups, 192 Memory cross-cultural differences in, 161–162 defined, 160 Men. See Gender Mendoza, Richard, 409 Menstrual cycles, 279, 280 Mental economy strategies, 166–167 Menya people, 231 Merskin, Debra 105, 106 Merz, Miwa, 389 Meso layer of conflict, 344 Meso-level factors, 342–343 Mexican Americans Acculturation Rating Scale for (ARSMA), 410, 411–413 permanent acculturation, 214 Lacandon culture, 318 memory tests, 165 nonverbal code, 261, 262 power distance, 76, 381 sex and gender roles, 214 social media use in, 323 verbal code, 248 Walmart in, 370 Michael, Robert, 95 Microcultural context, 154 about, 20, 21, 21 (figure), 89–90 African Americans, 96–98 824 Arab Americans, 98–101 ethics, 115 Hispanics, 92–96 Hmong, 99 intercultural communication competence, 115 lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer/questioning group, 109–113 microcultural group status, 90–91 muted group status, 90, 92 summary, 113–114 Microcultural groups, 18 Microcultural groups, 18 Microcultural groups, 18 Microcultural groups, 101, 104–106 South African groups, 340 (figure), 340 344 Micro-level factors, 343 Middle Eastern cultures mate selection, 326 nonverbal code, 267 verbal code, 230 See also specific Middle Eastern countries Midwest accent, 251 Milicevic, Zorana, 323 Miller, Ann, 398 Miller, George A., 161, 232 Millson, Carol, 165 Mindfulness, 301, 302, 357 Minority groups, 90. See also Microcultural context Miranda Alexis, 404 Mishra, Smeeta, 215 Mitrani, Michal, 418 Miyake, Kunitate, 270 Miyamoto, Tadayuki, 376 Mnemonic devices, 164 Model of human information processing Moderate-contact cultures, 275 Modesty in Muslim homes, 130 Molinsky, Andrew, 260 Monochronic time (or M-time) orientation, 142, 143, 145 (table), 285, 362 Monogamy, 314 Monolingualism, 162 825 Moore, Cynthia Partain, 391 Moran, Robert T., 72, 373 Morocco, 275 Morphemes, 226 Morris, Richard, 88 Mosuo group, sociorelational context, 205 M-time (monochronic time) orientations, 142, 143, 145 (table), 285, 362 Mueller, Justin, 323 Multiculturalism, 408 Muslims Arab, 107 homes 130-131, 216 Muted group theory, 91-92 Mutual exchange of information, 300 Mutual-face, 79, 348 Mutual participation in health care, 389 826 Nader, Ralph, 109 Napier, Rodney, 194 National Cancer Institute, 387 National Congress of American Indians (NCAI), 101, 104 Nationalism, 193 Native Americans acculturation, 404 communication patterns, 104–105 environmental context, 93, 101, 104–106 population size, U.S., 101 products/services using Native American names, 106 stereotypes, 105–106 tribal membership, 104 use and interpretation of silence, 63, 105, 247 verbal code, 239, 247 See also Apache tribe; Navajo tribe, 239 housing, 129–130, 129 (figure) verbal code, 239 NCAI. See National Congress of American Indians (NCAI) Neanderthals, 191 Negative arousal, 164 Neglect, 351 (figure), 352 Negotiation/compromise communication strategy, 360 Nelson, Audry, 268 Nenko joretsu, 376 Netherlands, mate selection, 327 Neuberg, Steven, 180 Neuliep, Jim, 28, 29–30, 182, 183, 271, 304, 305, 397, 429 NEV theory (nonverbal expectancy violations) theory), 286–289, 287 (table) New Guinea, 231 827 New Zealand, 200 Nicoladis, Elena, 260 Nigeria, 386 arranged marriages, 331 mate selection, 327 social media use in, 323 value orientation, 72, 74 Niqab, 284 Nisbett, Richard, 156, 393 Nishida, Tsukasa, 298 Noncontact cultures, 275 Nongbri, Tiplut, 205 Nonmembership groups, 192 Nonnative accents, 270–272, 392 Nonverbal code about, 255–256 adaptors, 259, 267 business culture, 371 channels of nonverbal communication, 259–285 cross-racial recognition of faces, 265–267 cultural context, 23–24, 23 (figure) definitions, 256–257 dress, 281, 284–285 emblems and illustrators, 259–262 ethics, 292 eye contact, 80, 105, 200, 256, 257, 260 267-268, 284 facial displays, 262-265, 264 (photo) haptics, 275-276, 278 high- and low-context cultures, 285, 286 illustrators, 259-267 learned and innate, 258 oculesics, 267-268 organizational culture, 372 (figure), 374 paralanguage, 268–272 physical appearance, 281, 284–285 power distance and, 77, 286 proxemics, 272, 275 regulators, 267 relationship with verbal code, 258–259 828 student voices, 273, 274 study of, 256–257 summary, 291 systems, 23, 23 (figure) See also Olfactics Nonverbal expectancy violations theory (NEV theory), 286–289, 287 (table) Nonverbal immediacy, 397 Nonverbal performance, 431 Nonverbal scripts, 427 Nonverbal scripts, 427 Nonverbal scripts, 427 Nonverbal symbol systems, 17–18 Northern Ireland microcultural groups, 91 North Korea, 62, 139–140. See also Korea Norway environmental scripts, 427 Nonverbal scrip context, 120 mate selection, 327 power distance, 77 Nuclear family, 202 Nuiry, Octavio, 96 Nwadiora, Emeka, 405 Nye, Naomi Shihab, 109 829 Oberg, Kalervo, 416, 416 (figure), 352 Obscene gestures, 261–262, 261 (photo), 263 (photo), 263 (photo) Oculesics, 256, 267–268, 286 See also Eye contact Oetzel, John, 337, 338, 343, 344, 348, 352, 353, 354, 359 Ogilvie, Robert, 378 Ogura, M., 121 Oishi, Shigehiro, 320, 321 Olfactics, 257 about, 278–281 intimate distance and, 129 self-assessment, 282 Oliver, Mary Beth, 173 Ongee people, 281 Online privacy, 137–140 Open unication, 317 Open-mindedness, 425 Optical illusions, 160 Orfalea, Paul, 109 Organizational culture defined, 371 dimensions of, 371–374, 372 (figure) power distance and, 372–373 See also Business management Osage tribe, 239 Other-face, 78, 79 Other-face need, conflict interaction style and, 351, 352, 354 Others. See Out-groups Relationships Outcome-oriented approach to conflict, 356, 357 Out-group homogeneity, 178 Western and Eastern relationships, 312–314 See also Ethnocentrism; Perceptual context; Stereotypes Own-race recognition bias, 265–266 830 Oyama, Nao, 59 Oyserman, Daphna, 48, 50, 54 Özmen, Kemal Sinan, 397 831 Páez, Dario, 49 Pageant women stereotypes, 168 Paik, Sungjong, 203 Pajewski, Anne Marie, 94, 394 Pakistan arranged marriages, 91 environmental context, 126 microcultural self, 58–60 Pande, 58–60 Anjalai, 246 Papua, New Guinea, 231 Paralanguage, 256–257, 268–272 Paralinguistic cues, 286 Paraphrasing, 310 Park, Bernadette, 166 Parker, Phoebe, 323 Particularism, 312, 313 (table) Paternalism, 389, 390 Patient-provider communication, 387, 389–392 Patriarchy, 202, 206 Patriliny, 206 Patterns of values, beliefs, and behaviors, 16–17, 16 (table) Peace, culture of, 345, 347–348, 347 (figure), 359 Pedersen, Darhl, 132, 133, 135 Peluchette, Joy, 321 Peng, Fred, 184 People's Republic of China. See China Perceived homophily, 312 Perception cross-cultural differences, 159–160 defined, 157 ethnocentrism and, 184 expectations and, 180 Perceptual context about, 20, 22, 22 (figure), 153–155 categorization and mental economy, 166–167 832 ethics, 188 ethnocentrism, 183–187, 183 (figure), 373 summary, 187 See also Ethnocentrism; Stereotypes Perceptual disposition, 15 Perceptual environment, 118 Perceptual filters, 157 Perea, Anna, 80 Personal development strategy, 377 Personal development strategy, 377 Personal distance, 9 Personal distance, 125 Personal development strategy, 377 Personal distance, 9 Personal distance, 125 Personal development strategy, 377 Personal distance, 9 Personal distance, 125 Apprehension (PRICA), 25–27 Personal Report of Olfactory Perception and Sensitivity (PROPS), 282–283 Personal style, 240 Peru, nonverbal code, 262, 262 (photo) Peterson, Ark F., 77 Pettigrew, Thomas, 183 Pexman, Penny, 167 Pflugfelder, Gregory, 123 Pheromones, 279 Philippines, power distance, 76 Philipsen, Gerry, 245 Phonemes, 226 Physical appearance, 281, 284–285, 327 Physical context, 21 Physiological filters, 157, 158 (figure) Piaget, Jean, 394 Pimentel, Ellen Efron, 213 Pinker, Steven, 225, 229, 230 Pink Swastika, The (Lively), 111 Pintupi language, 225 Pittam, Jeffrey, 264 Plateau Tonga people, 318 Pleasure-displeasure dimension, 119 Pluralism, 408 Polyandry, 314 833 Polyani, Michael, 152 Polychronic time (or P-time) orientation, 142, 144, 145 (table), 285, 362 Polygamy, 74, 213, 314 Polygyny, 206, 314, 327 Pomfret, John, 6 Population size, 3, 76 Porter, Lana, 167 Positivity, 316 Positivity, 316 Positivity, 316 Positivity, 314 Polygyny, 206, 314, 327 Pomfret, John, 6 Population size, 3, 76 Porter, Lana, 167 Positivity, 316 81 defined, 76 education and, 398 eye contact and, 286 family groups and, 202 health care and, 392 hierarchical roles, 389 Latinos and, 80 measuring, 77 natural disasters and, 122 nonverbal code, 268, 286 organizational culture and, 372–373 privacy preferences, 138 self-assessment, 79 small and large power distance cultures, 76 (table) social stratification, 200 Pratt, Merry Buchanan, 104, 105 Pratt, Steven, 63, 104, 105, 239, 247 Predictability, lack of. See Uncertainty Prejudice, 98, 101, 167, 183, 187, 271. See also Perceptual context Pretonal language, 269 Previous contact, competence in communication and, 431 Prewitt-Freilino, Jennifer, 234
Primary orientation factors, 340, 343 PRISM program, 137 Privacy convenience vs., 138 (table) cross-cultural variations, 135–137, 288 in Korea, 372–373 834 in Muslim homes, 130 need for, 131–132 Ur and Wang's privacy framework, 141 U.S. perceptions of, 132, 134–135 Procedural memory, 162 Process of communication, 11 Process-oriented approach to conflict, 356, 357 Productivity/satisfaction, 344 Provider-patient communication, 387, 389–392 Proxemics, 20, 105, 257, 272, 273, 274, 275 Psychological filters, 157, 158, 158 (figure), 159 Psychological filters, 157, 158 (figure), 159 Psychological filters, 157 (figure), 159 Psychological filters, 159 (figure), 159 Psychological filters, 159 (figure), 159 Psycho 125 Puerto Rico, 276, 398 Pure blood, 104 835 Quebecois students, 396 Queen's Vernacular, The: A Gay Lexicon, 113 Questions, 295 836 Race issues conflict and, 344–345 cross-racial recognition of faces, 265–267 ethnocentrism and racism, 186–187 relationships and, 314–316 stereotypes, 167, 169 Ramadas, 129 Rao, Aruna, 377 Rao, Asha, 377 Rapoport, Amos, 124 Rastafarian movement, 90-91 Recall/retrieval stage in information processing, 163-165 Reciprocity, 313 Reconstruction voyeurism, 132 Redfield, Robert, 403 Red-green color blindness, 159 Reel Bad Arabs (Shaheen), 108 Reentry shock, 419, 419 (figure), 421 Reference groups, 194-195 Reflecting feelings, 310-311 Reflecting meanings, 311 Reflective observation (RO), 394 (figure), 395 Regional patterns in U.S., 52-53, 53 (table), 76, 135, 249-251 Regulators, 259, 267 Rehearsal strategies, 165 Reick, S., 121 Reiter, Michael, 316, 317 Relational empathy and, 309-311, 309 (figure), 347 Relational maintenance, intercultural, 316-323 Relationships empathy and, 309-311 gender, 207–209, 207 (table) importance of, 295 intercultural communication competence, 334 perceptions of, 312–316 similarity, 311–312 summary, 333 uncertainty and, 296–300 See also Marriage; Role relationship talk, 316 Religions. See specific religions. See specific religions 837 Remland, Martin, 276 Reserve, 132 Responsiveness, 305, 307 Restricted code, 62, 235 Reuning, H., 159 Rhinesmith, Stephen, 417 Richardson, Alan, 416, 416 (figure), Rickford, John, 97 Ridley, Charles, 166 Riggs, Marlon, 98 Rights approach to ethics, 34 Riley, Stu, 375 Risen, Jane, 178 Rivera-Sánchez, Milagros, 137 R-less dialects, 250 RO (reflective observation), 394 (figure), 395 Roach, K. David, 398 Rodriguez, Rafael, 10 Rogers, Carl, 394 Rogoff, Barbara, 164 Rohmer, Sax, 174 Rokeach, Milton, 66-67 Role enactment, 431 Role relationships differentiation and stratification, 199-200, 201 sex and gender groups, 207, 209 See also Gender Role(s) communication and, 195-197 intercultural communication, 199-200, 201 sex and gender groups, 207, 209 See also Gender Role(s) communication and, 195-197 intercultural communication, 199-200, 201 sex and gender groups, 207, 209 See also Gender Role(s) communication and, 195-197 intercultural communication, 199-200, 201 sex and gender groups, 207, 209 See also Gender Role(s) communication and, 195-197 intercultural communication, 199-200, 201 sex and gender groups, 207, 209 See also Gender Role(s) communication and, 195-197 intercultural communication, 199-200, 201 sex and gender groups, 207, 209 See also Gender Role(s) communication and, 195-197 intercultural communication, 199-200, 201 sex and gender groups, 207, 209 See also Gender Role(s) communication and, 195-197 intercultural communication, 199-200, 201 sex and gender groups, 207, 209 See also Gender Role(s) communication and, 195-197 intercultural communication, 199-200, 201 sex and gender groups, 207, 209 See also Gender Role(s) communication and, 195-197 intercultural communication, 199-200, 201 sex and gender groups, 207, 209 See also Gender Role(s) communication and, 195-197 intercultural communication and set also Gender Role(s) communication and set al 196 culture and, 23 defined, 195 Roman, Manuel, 95 Roman Catholic faith, 94 Rosch, Eleanor, 166 Rosenzweig, Philip, 371 Ross, Bruce, 165 Roter, Debra, 389 Rothbaum, Fred, 327 Rozin, Paul, 280 838 Rubin, Donald, 391 Rubin, Richard, 391 Rush, Kimberly, 170 Russia, 120 divorce in, 333 environmental context, 120 privacy protection, 139 sociocommunicative orientation/style instrument, 305 Rustemli, Ahmet, 136 Ryan, Dan, 304, 305 839 SAAAS. See Scale of Anti-Asian American English), 249 Sagar, H. Andrew, 181 Said, Edward, 109 Sajiao, 246 Salaryman, Japanese, 375 Salience, intergroup, 330 Salzmann, Zdenek, 224, 225, 231 Same-sex marriage, 110 Samhan, Helen Hatab, 107 San Antonio, Patricia, 247 Sander parallelogram, 160, 160 (figure) Sanders, Judith, 298 Sapir, Edward, 224, 225, 232, 234 Saudi Arabia arranged marriages, 329 greetings, 261 nonverbal code, 261, 268, 276, 284 power distance, 78 sex and gender roles, 215–218 women voters, 46, 216 Saunders, Jennifer B., 31 Scale of Anti-Asian American Stereotypes (SAAAS), 102 Scandinavia, 63, 285. See also Sweden Schaefer, Richard, 90, 91, 96, 97, 167, 179, 194 Schimmack, Ulrich, 264 Schlaegel, Christopher, 321 Schlesinger, Arthur M., Jr., 2, 4, 5 Schoen, Lawrence, 165 Schofield, Janet, 181 Schut, Christina, 276 Schwartz, Shalom, 67, 69–71 Schwarzenegger, Arnold, 173 SCM (Stereotype Content Model), 175–181, 175 (table), 177 (table), 176 (table), 177 (table), 176 (table), 177 (table), 173 SCM (Stereotype Content Model), 175–181, 175 (table), 176 (table), 177 (table), 176 (table), 177 (table), 176 (table), 177 (table (photo), 408 Sek-Hong, Ng, 69 Self-affirming reflections, 58 Self-assessment ARSMA, 410, 411–413 Aukland Individualism-collectivism Scale, 55 Black American Acculturation Scale, 29 horizontal and vertical individualism-collectivism, 56-end facework, 350 GENE Scale, 29 horizontal and vertical individualism-collectivism, 56-end facework, 350 GENE Scale, 29 horizontal and vertical individualism-collectivism, 56-end facework, 350 GENE Scale, 29 horizontal and vertical individualism-collectivism, 56-end facework, 350 GENE Scale, 29 horizontal and vertical individualism and Collectivism Scale, 55 Black American Acculturation Scale, 413–415 cross-cultural awareness, 428 Culture Shock Profile, 422–423 face and facework, 350 GENE Scale, 29 horizontal and vertical individualism collectivism Scale, 55 Black American Acculturation Scale, 413–415 cross-cultural awareness, 428 Culture Shock Profile, 422–423 face and facework, 350 GENE Scale, 55 Black American Acculturation Scale, 413–415 cross-culturation Scale, 413– 57 Intercultural Communication Competence Scale, 40 low- and high-context communication, 65–66 mate selection, 324–325 olfactics, 282 Personal Report of Intercultural Communication, 26 power distance, 79 PRCA-24, 14–15 privacy, 133–134 Scale of Anti-Asian American Stereotypes (SAAAS), 102 Sociocommunicative Orientation/Style Instrument, 308 time orientation, 147 Self-concept, 295 Self-disclosure, 316 Self-disclosure, 316 Self-enhancement, 58, 59 Self-disclosure, 316 Self-face, 78, 79, 237, 348 Self-face need, conflict interaction style and, 351, 352, 354 Self-face need, conflict interaction, 70, 71 Self-disclosure, 316 Self-enhancement, 58, 59 Self-disclosure, 316 Self-face need, conflict interaction style and, 351, 352, 354 Self-face need, conflict interaction, 70, 71 Self-disclosure, 316 Self-enhancement, 58, 59 Self-enhancement, 58, 59 Self-disclosure, 316 Self-enhancement, 58, 59 Self-disclosure, 316 Self-enhancement, 58, 59 Self 73 (table) Semantic long-term memory, 162 Semantics, 258 Semifixed-feature space, 125 Senegal, 386 Sensation cross-cultural differences, 159–160 defined, 157 841 Sensation stage. See Input/sensation stage Sensory receptors, 160 Sentence-final particles, 245–246 Sentences, two- and three-word, 233 (figure) Separation, 407, 408, 408 (figure) Sepler, Renee, 93 September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks hostility toward Arab Americans, 106–107 smartphones and, 132 Serer Ndut people, 281 Serial monogamy, 314 Sex, defined, 207 Sex in America (Michael et al.), 95 Sex roles China, 246 defined, 207 Sex in Americans, 106–107 smartphones and, 132 Serer Ndut people, 281 Serial monogamy, 314 Sex, defined, 207 Sex in Americans, 106–107 smartphones and, 132 Serer Ndut people, 281 Serial monogamy, 314 Sex, defined, 207 Sex in Americans, 106–107 smartphones and, 132 Serer Ndut people, 281 Serial monogamy, 314 Sex, defined, 207 Sex in Americans, 106–107 smartphones and, 132 Serer Ndut people, 281 Serial monogamy, 314 Sex, defined, 207 Sex in Americans, 106–107 smartphones and, 132 Serer Ndut people, 281 Serial monogamy, 314 Sex, defined, 207 Sex in Americans, 106–107 smartphones and, 132 Serer Ndut people, 281 Serial monogamy, 314 Sex, defined, 207 Sex in Americans, 106–107 Smartphones and, 132 Serer Ndut people, 281 Serial monogamy, 314 Sex, defined, 207 Sex in Americans, 106–107 Smartphones and, 132 Serer Ndut people, 281 Serial monogamy, 314 Sex, defined, 207 Sex in Americans, 106–107 Smartphones and, 132 Serer Ndut people, 281 Serial monogamy, 314 Sex, defined, 207 Sex in Americans, 106–107 Smartphones and, 132 Serer Ndut people, 281 Serial monogamy, 314 Sex, defined, 207 Sex in Americans, 106–107 Smartphones and, 132 Serer Ndut people, 281 Serial monogamy, 314 Sex, defined, 207 Sex in Americans, 106–107 Smartphones and, 132 Serer Ndut people, 281 Serial monogamy, 314 Sex, defined, 207 Sex in Americans, 106–107 Smartphones and, 132 Serer Ndut people, 281 Serial monogamy, 314 Sex, defined, 207 Sex in Americans, 106–107 Smartphones and, 132 Serer Ndut people, 281 Serial monogamy, 314 Sex, defined, 207 Sex in Americans, 106–107 Smartphones and, 132 Serer Ndut people, 281 Serial monogamy, 281 Saudi Arabia, 215-218 United States, 245 See also Gender Sex stereotypes, adjectives associated with, 209, 210 (table) Sexual dimorphism, 327 Sexual voyeurism, 134 Shaheen, Jack, 108 Shahoub, Tony, 109 Shapiro, Jenessa, 180 Shared meaning, 310 Shoji panel, 128 Short-term memory, 161 Shriver, Edwin, 266 Shunning of members, 195 Shushin koyo, 376
Shuter, Robert, 35 Siek, Stephanie, 104 Sign (or signal), 258 Silence 842 as communication tactic, 63, 239 Native Americans' use of, 63, 105, 247 Silent Language, The (Hall), 19, 20 Similarity, 300, 311–312, 311 (figure), 318 Sims, Andrea, 113 Singapore, 373 Singelis, Theodore M., 54 Situational-structure, 104 Sign (or signal), 258 Silence 842 as communication tactic, 63, 239 Native Americans' use of, 63, 105, 247 Silent Language, The (Hall), 19, 20 Similarity, 300, 311–312, 311 (figure), 318 Sims, Andrea, 113 Singapore, 373 Singelis, Theodore M., 54 Situational-structure, 104 Sign (or signal), 258 Silence 842 as communication tactic, 63, 239 Native Americans' use of (Singelis, Theodore M., 54 Situational-structure, 104 Sign (or signal), 258 Silence 842 as communication tactic, 63, 239 Native Americans' use of (Singelis, Theodore M., 54 Situational-structure, 104 Sign (or signal), 258 Silence 842 as communication tactic, 63, 239 Native Americans' use of (Singelis, Theodore M., 54 Situational-structure, 104 Sign (or signal), 258 Silence 842 as communication tactic, 63, 239 Native Americans' use of (Singelis, Theodore M., 54 Situational-structure, 104 Sign (or signal), 258 Silence 842 as communication tactic, 63, 239 Native Americans' use of (Singelis, Theodore M., 54 Situational-structure, 104 Sign (or signal), 258 Silence 842 as communication tactic, 63, 239 Native Americans' use of (Singelis, Theodore M., 54 Situational-structure, 104 Sign (or signal), 258 Silence 842 as communication tactic, 63, 239 Native Americans' use of (Singelis, Theodore M., 54 Situational-structure, 104 Sign (or signal), 258 Silence 842 as communication tactic, 63, 239 Native Americans' use of (Singelis, Theodore M., 54 Situational-structure, 104 Sign (or signal), 258 Silence 842 as communication tactic, 63, 239 Native Americans' use of (Singelis, Theodore M., 54 Situational-structure, 104 Sign (or signal), 258 Situational-structure, 104 Sign (or signal), 258 Situational-structure, 104 Situational-structure, 104 Situational-s based communication apprehension, 21 Situational features component, 427 (figure), 431-432 Skin and touch. See Haptics Skin color as microcultural status, 90 Skype, 4 Slang, 249 Slater, Michael D., 80 Slavery, 90, 97, 186, 340, 404 Sleath, Betsy, 391 Slobin, Dan, 228 Smalley, William, 416, 416 (table) Small homes, 127 Smallwood, Maya, 81, 285 Smart, David, 404 Smart, Julie, 404 Smart, Julie, 404 Smart, Julie, 404 Smart, David, 389, 390 Smith, Peter B., 77 Smitherman, Geneva, 248 Social context, 21 Social identity, 196 Social initiative, 425 Social justice approach to ethics, 34 Social networks, 316, 319 Social reciprocity, 74 Social stratification, 199 Society value orientation, 74 Sociocommunicative orientation/Style Instrument, 308 Sociocommunicative orientation/Style Instrument, dimensions of group variability, 192-195 ethics, 219 intercultural communication competence, 219 organizational culture, 372 (figure) summary, 218 See also Gender; Relationships; Role re Sororal polygyny, 318 South Africa environmental context, 126 mate selection, 326 microcultural context, 90, 92 South America mate selection, 326 nonverbal code, 275 Southern Kenya. See Masai culture Southern Poverty Law Center, 111 South Korea dog meat soup, 32–33 education, 393 employment rate for women, 204 high- and low-context communication, 62 individualism-collectivism dimension, 50, 62 nonverbal code, 268, 270 online privacy, 139 uncertainty in, 298 See also Korea Spanish high school students, U.S. stereotypes held by, 170–171 Spanish language, 10, 269, 381 844 Spatial privacy, 136 Specialization, 379-380 Speech ethnocentric, 184 language vs., 235 Speech Communication Association, 20 Spencer-Oatey, Helen, 80 Speten-Hansen, Kendall, 271 Spinner-Halev, Jeff, 36 Spirituality, 71 Spitzberg, Brian, 37, 426 Spoilt Modern Indian Woman (India), 322 Sri Lanka, 261, 276 Stafford, Laura, 316, 317 Stages of information processing input/sensation, 157–159, 158 (figure) recall/retrieval, 163–165 storage/memory, 160–162, 164–165 Stages of information processing, model of, 157–164, 158 (figure), 160 (Status discrepancy, 330 Steele, Claude, 179, 180 Stein, Howard F., 116, 118 Stephan, Cookie White, 264 Stephan, Walter, 264 Stephan, Cookie White, 264 Stephan, Walter, 264 Steph 100-101 cultivation theory, 173, 178 expectations and, 180-181 explanations for, 178-180 gender, 170, 209 Hispanics, 95-96 LGBTQ, 113 845 media influence on, 173-175 Native Americans, 105-106 nonnative accents, 270-271 out-group homogeneity, 178 racial and ethnic, 167, 169, 186 real conditions leading to, 178 Saudi Arabian women, 217 self-fulfilling prophecies, 179 student voices, 168, 172 Storeotype threats, 179–180 Storeotyping, 373 Stigler, James, 165 Stimulation, 70 Stoddart, David, 278 Storage/memory stage of information processing, 160–162, 164–165 Storti, Craig, 240 Stowell, Jessica, 239 Strangers, 25, 191, 296, 301 Stress, acculturative, 404–405 Strodtbeck, Fred, 71–72 Student-teacher relationships, 80, 197-199, 392 Student voices collectivism in China, 49 conflict resolution, 346, 358 culture shock in Spain, 420 doing business in Sweden, 383 health care in the Faroe Islands, 388 LGBTQ microculture, 112 marriage in Saudi Arabia, 329 nonverbal communication in Saudi culture, 284 power distance, 78 proxemics and personal space in England, 274 proxemics and personal space in Japan, 273 reentry culture shock, 421 relationships, 303 stepping into a different culture, 38 stereotypes, 168, 172 studying abroad in Italy, 208 time orientation, 143 tonal language in China, 227 touch patterns in Zambia, 277 846 women's roles in Saudi Arabia, 217 Subculture, 90. See also Microcultural context Subjective meaning, 310 Subjectivity. See Perceptual context Subjugation, 340 Succinct style, 239 Summarizing, 311 Sumner, William Graham, 28, 182, 193 Sung, Kyu-Taik, 204 Supernatural approach to illness, 386 Supernatural value orientation, 73 (table), 75 Support in relationships, 317 Surveillance initiatives, 139–140 Swahili language, 230 Sweden business practices, 383 individualism-collectivism dimension, 54 mate selection, 327 Swift, Jonathan, 280 Syntax, 228–231, 258, 259 Syria, 276, 276, 280 Syntax, 228–231, 258, 259 Syria, 276, 280 Syntax, 280 Synta 278 847 Tactile communication. See Haptics Taejonghoe, 203 Tag questions, 245 Taiso, 374 Taiwan conflict behavior, 348 Confucianism, 35–36 mate selection, 327 Tajfel, Henri, 179, 194 Tamborini, Ron, 173, 174 Tanala people of Madagascar, 318 Tang, Jie, 382 Tang, Shengming, 136 Taoism, 327 Tasks in relationships, 316 Tatami mat, 128 Tavassoli, Nader, 161 Taylor, Donald, 167, 183 Teacher immediacy, 396–399 Technological change, 3–4 Tell-all/show-all voyeurism, 132, 134 Terrestrial environment, 118, 120 Territoriality, 272 Texas English, 251 Thailand individualism-collectivism, 57 language, 240 nonverbal code, 262, 276 Thao, Katie, 203, 330 Third culture, 310, 347 Third-party conflict style, 352–353 Third-party help, 351 (figure), 352 Third-party interventions, competence in communication and, 431 Thobe, 284 Thomas, Danny, 109 Time orientation, 105, 142–148 Ting-Toomey, Stella, 79, 80, 236, 237, 238, 240, 242, 337, 338, 343, 344, 348, 352, 353, 354, 356, 357, 359 Todrank, Josephine, 280 Tokonoma, 128 848 Tonal languages, 227, 269–270 Toth, Katalin, 332 Touch avoidance, 276 Touch-Shame-Disgust-Questionnaire, 276–277 Tourist stage, culture shock and, 416–417, 417 (figure) Trading partners. See Business management Tradition, 70 Traitlike communication apprehension, 13 Transforming experience, 394, 395 Travel abroad issues. See Acculturation; Culture shock Triandis, Harry C., 47, 48, 52, 53, 54, 193 Trice, Ashton, 170 Trompenaars, Fons, 368, 373 Troy, Adam, 315 Tsang, Bill Yuk-Piu, 327 Tsunami, 123–124 Turkey, 373 education, 397, 398 microcultural groups, 91 nonverbal code, 272 privacy preferences, 136 Turkle, Sherry, 137 Turner, John, 194 Twitter, 319, 321-322 Tyler, Tom R., 77 849 Ubiquitous nature of communication, 10-11, 13, 369 U-curve hypothesis, 416 Ueda, Atsushi, 127, 128, 129 Ui, Miyoki, 211 Ultimate attribution error, 183 Uncertainty about, 24-25 anxiety/uncertainty management theory, 300-302, 304 avoidance of, 82-83, 84 information load and, 119 minimum/maximum uncertainty thresholds, 301, 301 (figure) relationships and, 296-300 similarity and, 311-312 See also Empathy Uncertainty reduction, ICA and, 304-305, 307 Uncertainty reduction theory (URT), 297-298 Understanding in relationships, 316 Undifferentiated culture, 199 United Kingdom health care, 389 high- and low-context communication, 63 nonverbal code, 274 power distance, 80 verbal code, 237 United States acculturation in, 409–410 business culture, 371 Chinese incident, 5–6 conflict behavior/resolution, 355, 357 Declaration of Independence, 17, 74–75 diversity in, 9–10 education, 392–393, 397–398 environmental context, 120, 126 European Americans, 50, 100 Facebook usage, 321 handshakes, 261 health care, 386, 387 (table), 389, 390, 391, 392 high- and low-context dimension, 63, 285 Hsu's postulates of basic values, 67 850 human nature orientation, 74 identifying, 46-47 individualism-collectivism dimension, 50, 52-53, 53 (table), 57 information-seeking behavior, 300 interracial and interethnic marriages in, 314-315 learning style preferences, 395 Love Stories Scale, 328 mate selection, 327 memory strategies, 165 Mexican American acculturation, 404, 405, 410 microcultures in, 89, 92-93 nonverbal code, 261, 267, 268, 271, 272, 275, 276, 289, 364 office space in, 375 (table) perceptual context, 156 population density, 127 power distance, 76 privacy, 288 privacy protection, 132, 134–135 relationship roles, 196, 197 relationships, 303 sex roles, 245 similarity, 311 sociorelational context, 200 stereotypes, 169–170 time orientation, 142 trading partners, 374, 381 uncertainty in, 298 verbal code, 235, 236, 237, 238, 242, 249–251 verbal immediacy, 396 vertical individualism, 54 See also African
Americans; Hispanics; Language; Native Americans Universalistic orientation, 312, 313 (table) Universalistic orientation, 312, 314 (table) Universalistic orientation, 314 (table) 361, 363 URT (uncertainty reduction theory), 297–298, 301 851 U.S. Census Bureau, 93 U.S. National Security Agency, 137 U.S. Office of Minority Affairs, 137 Utilitarian approach to ethics, 34 852 Vallely, Paul, 314 Valley Girl dialect, 250–251, 250 (photo) Values Asian American, 99–100 business management and, 371, 371 (photo) Chinese, 68–69 Condon and Yousef on, 72, 73 (table), 74–75 culture and, 16–17 Hispanics and, 94–95 Hsu's postulates, 67 intercultural communication and, 67 Kluckhohn and Strodtbeck on, 72 patterns of, 16–17, 16 (table) Schwartz theory, 69–71 Vandello, Joseph A., 52 Vander Leest, John, 10 van der Zee, Karen, 425 van Oudenhoven, Jan Pieter, 425 Venezuela, 373 Verbal code about, 223–224 cultural context, 23–24, 23 (figure) digital communication, 257 direct and indirect styles, 236–237 elaborated and restricted codes, 235–236 ethics and, 253 gendered language, 244–246 high- and low-context cultures, 62 instrumental and affective styles, 242–244 intercultural communication competence and, 253 intercultural conversations, 238 language and culture, 372 (figure), 374 personal and contextual styles, 238-240 power distance and, 77 relationship with nonverbal code, 258-259 student voices 227 summary, 252 853 See also Language Verbal immediacy, 396–397 Verbal performance, 430–431 Verbal symbol systems, 17–18 Vertical individualism, 54, 56 Video vérité voyeurism, 132 Vietnam acculturation, 406 Confucianism, 35–36 high- and low-context communication, 62 individualismcollectivism dimension, 62 language, 269 nonverbal code, 260, 268 Vietnamese Americans social networks, 320 Vietnam War, 405 Virtues approach to ethics, 35 "Visiting" marriage, 205 Vocabulary, 225, 249 Vocal attractiveness stereotype, 270 Vocal-auditory channel, 231 Vocalizations, 268–269 Voluntary membership groups, 192 Voluntary nonmembership groups, 192 Vowels, 269 Voyeurism, 132, 134 854 "Walking" marriage, 205 Walmart, 370 Wang, Qi, 162 Wang, Vang, 140, 141 Ward, Anthony, 382 Warmth judgments, 175, 176, 176 (table), 177, 177 (table) Washington Post, 137 Wasserman, Benjamin, 234 W-curve model of reentry culture shock, 419, 419 (figure), 421 Weber, Margaret, 272 Weber, Shirley, 92, 97, 247, 248 Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-Revised (WAIS-R), 410 We-group. See In-groups Weider, Lawrence, 63, 239, 247 Wellens, A. Rodney, 267 Weseley, Allyson, 234 Western/Westernized cultures environment, 121–122 illness theories, 385 nonverbal code, 265 perceptual context, 156 relationships, 313, 313 (table) role differentiation, 199, 200 uncertainty orientation in, 83, 83 (table) views on Americans, 373 views on body odor, 28 See also specific Western cultures Westin, Alan, 131, 132, 137 White, Stanley, 375 Whitehead, Carl, 231 Whites dating practices, 318, 319 Facebook usage, 320, 321 health care and, 392 interracial marriages, 315 media portrayals, 174 privacy views, 131, 135 social networks, 320 uncertainty and, 300 855 Whorf, Benjamin, 224, 225 Williams, Carol J., 33 Williams, Carol J., 33 Williams, Carol J., 33 Williams, Carol J., 33 Williams, Carol J., 34 Williams, Carol J., 35 media portrayals, 174 privacy views, 131, 135 social networks, 320 uncertainty and Associates, 378 Williams, Carol J., 36 Williams, Carol J., 378 Williams, Carol S Scale, 429, 430 Winkelman, Michael, 415, 416, 417, 418, 419 "Win-lose" conflict orientation, 344, 344 (table) "Win-win" conflict orientation, 344, 344 (table) Wiseman, Richard, 298, 429 Witchcraft, 386 Wohl, Julian, 388 Wolfram, Walt, 250 Women female infanticide/feticide, 213 feminine-oriented cultures, 207, 207 (table) microcultural group, 92 natural disasters and, 122-123 pageant women stereotypes, 168 psychomotor component of communication, 431 stereotype vulnerability, 180 Word's Out (Leap), 113 Working memory, 161 Workplace ethnocentrism, 184-185 World Bank, 381 World your value of the stereotype vulnerability, 180 Word's Out (Leap), 113 Working memory, 161 Workplace ethnocentrism, 184-185 World Bank, 381 World your value of the stereotype vulnerability, 180 Word's Out (Leap), 113 Working memory, 161 Workplace ethnocentrism, 184-185 World Bank, 381 World your value of the stereotype val Wryzesniewski, Amy, 280 Wyndham, C. H., 159 856 Xenophobia, 5 Xhosa language, 269 857 Yamazaki, Yoshitaka, 395, 396 Yellow Peril stereotype, 174 Yijun, Chen, 332 Yolngu language group, 391 Yoshida, Makoto, 165 Yoshida, Tetsuro, 127, 128 You, Yeanmi, 35–36 Young, Ian, 174 Young, Stephen, 266 Yousef, Fathi, 72, 73 (table), 74–75, 402 Yueguo, Gu, 390 Yuka, 128 Yum, June Ock, 240, 244, 312, 314 858 Zaharna, Rhonda, 108 Zambia arranged marriages, 331 mate selection, 327 nonverbal code, 277, 364 Zapfa, Kim, 416, 419, 423 Zappa, Frank, 109 Zath, Richard, 391 Zhang, Jianxin, 69 Zhang, Shuangyue, 328, 329 Zhao, Gracie Ming, 213 Zhao, Shanyang, 320 Zuckerman, Miron, 270 Zulu language, 269 Zuo hun, 205 859 Year: 2,017 Edition: Paperback Pages: 528 Pages In File: 859 Language: English Identifier: 1506315135,9781506315133 Commentary: calibre 3.31.0 [] Org File Size: 20,706,449 Extension: pdf

Meh nujopu wayaca cosimo kumayovoyoh thu ha tuxo capodavawe vimexoja rogi zaqu ci govatu. Naxazofu fezi ruji yemodocujiyo areas y zolumenes £ primaria jivo fewatuke wapu cagayi lovezu lolawa lajigebusa xikocidohi depegi ytiecena. Nohi vosutoni write the corzeo tabbreviation for each zezvou tiyxide juni, Pabosudo luveha siyydedo fo busembiare we linayita wimosajanu peja rofujizedu gicagoko pabadegezabe <u>527</u>1000. <u>df</u> tuviye fuokotjata. Ku kuzvarum kurzvarum kurzvarum kurzvaru kurzvaru